

NRR-PMDAPem Resource

From: Thomas Saporito <saprodani@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2016 5:40 AM
To: Buckberg, Perry
Subject: [External_Sender] Re: 2.206 Petition on Cooling Water Intake Security - Petition Review Board Decision

The interim decision by the NRC PRB related to the instant 2.206 Enforcement Petition [places the National Security and Common Defense of the United States of America \(USA\) in grave jeopardy](#) - from an attack by ISIS or ISLE or other terrorist organizations.

Please schedule a 2nd teleconference call to allow my to further support the 2.206 Enforcement Petition.

In addition, I request that the NRC invite a representative from the FBI and Homeland Security Department to attend the call.

Best regards,
Thomas

Thomas Saporito
401 Old Dixie Hwy. Unit 3525
Tequesta, Florida 33469
Voice: (561) 972-8363
Email: saprodani@gmail.com

On 6/29/2016 3:27 PM, BUCKBERG, PERRY H wrote:

Mr. Saporito.

The Petition Review Board (PRB) that was selected to consider your 2.206 Petition regarding licensee security for cooling water intake structures, piping, and facilities has concluded that your petition should be rejected because it meets the following rejection criteria in NRC Management Directive MD 8.11:

- *The petitioner raises issues that have already been the subject of NRC staff review and evaluation either on that facility, other similar facilities, or on a generic basis, for which a resolution has been achieved, the issues have been resolved, and the resolution is applicable to the facility in question.*

Specific to plant security – nuclear plant operating licenses and existing federal regulations require that physical security threats be assessed and addressed by all NRC licensees. Protection against a waterborne (boat) attack or an attack with hand carried equipment (diver), falls specifically under 10 CFR 73.1(a)(1)(E)(iv) and 10 CFR 73.1(a)(1)(D) respectively. The petition, as supported, included discussion of waterborne terrorist attacks in general and the two St. Lucie diver incidents. NRC security staff advised that these attacks are all well within the current scope of consideration for any plant's physical security, which is under constant review by well qualified security specialists. In addition, nuclear power plants have several security layers of increasing surveillance and defense protecting those facilities.

If you would like a second opportunity to address the PRB regarding this petition, please contact me.

Thanks,
Perry Buckberg
Senior Project Manager

phone: (301)415-1383
perry.buckberg@nrc.gov
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Mail Stop O-8G9a
Washington, DC, 20555-0001

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA
Email Number: 2937

Mail Envelope Properties (5774E8DA.5070108)

Subject: [External_Sender] Re: 2.206 Petition on Cooling Water Intake Security - Petition
Review Board Decision
Sent Date: 6/30/2016 5:39:38 AM
Received Date: 6/30/2016 5:39:47 AM
From: Thomas Saporito

Created By: saprodani@gmail.com

Recipients:
"Buckberg, Perry" <Perry.Buckberg@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: gmail.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2692	6/30/2016 5:39:47 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: