



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Brian Bailey
27 Woodvale St.
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Mr. Bailey:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 27 AM 10:08
'99 DEC 29 A9:19

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF
PUBLIC AFFAIRS
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

REC'D BY SECY

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

7 DEC 99 3:18

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the Fast End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Brian Bailey
R. Bai



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Jean Berardi
6 Widgeon Lane
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Berardi:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5391

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 PM 4:20

'99 DEC 15 AIG:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
GENERAL COUNSEL
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Jean Berardi

*Jean Berardi
6 Widgeon Lane
Sag Harbor, N.Y. 11963*



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Meikle Blossom
295 Madison St.
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Blossom:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5388

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 AM 9:20

'99 DEC 15 AIO:42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
REGULATORY
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the Fast End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

M. B. W.





UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

J Bond
19 Widgeon Lane
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear J. Bond:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5381

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 10 PM 3:46 '99 DEC 15 A10:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
ROBERT M. ADJUDICATING OFFICER

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,


I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,



BOND
19 WIDGEON LANE
SAG HARBOR, NY 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Suzanne Bond
19 Widgeon Lane
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Bond:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 10 PM 3:43
99 DEC 15 10:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
REGISTRAR
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,


I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,



BOND
19 WIDGEON LANE
SAG HARBOR, NY 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Sally Booth
127 Madison St.
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Booth:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5581

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 10 PM 3:46

'99 DEC 15 A10:42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF
PUBLIC
ADJUSTMENT

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the Fast End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Sally Booth

S. Booth
127 Madison St.
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Levin Chaskey
P O. Box 27
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE. Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Mr. Chaskey:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 PM 4:20
'99 DEC 15 AIO:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
FOUR
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Levin Chaskey

Levin Chaskey
P.O. Box 27
Sag Harbor, NY
11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Megan Chaskey
P O Box 27
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Chaskey:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5391

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 PM 4:21
99 DEC 15 A10:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFER
RUC
ADJUR
REF

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Megan Chaskey

Megan Chaskey
P.O. Box 27
Sag Harbor, NY
11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Scott Chaskey
P.O. Box 27
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Mr. Chaskey:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5391

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 PM 4:22
'99 DEC 15 A10:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
REGULATORY
ADJUDICATOR

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Scott Chaskey
P.O. Box 27
Sag Harbor, NY
11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Jeff Cole
127 Madison St.
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Mr. Cole:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 10 PM 3:46
'99 DEC 15 A10:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFF. OF THE
REG. ADJ. GEN.
NRC

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus:

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Jeff Cole

Jeff Cole
127 Madison St.
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Catherine Creeton-Sanseil
Box 2081
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Creeton-Sanseil:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5381

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 10 PM 3:46
'99 DEC 15 A10:42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
RU
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman:Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the Fast End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Catherine Creeton-Sanseil

Box 2081

Sag Harbor, Ny

11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Dan DeSorine
P.O. Box 1590
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No 50-423-LA-3

Dear Mr. DeSorine:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5581

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 10 11 3: 46
'99 DEC 15 A10:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
REGULATORY
ADJUDICATOR

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Don DeSantis
P.O. Box 1540
Say Harbor, NY 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Wendy K. Fitzpatrick
24 N. Harbor Dr.
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Fitzpatrick:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5000

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 11 9: 20

'99 DEC 15 10:42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
REGULATORY
ADJUDICATOR

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Wendy K. Fitzpatrick
Wendy K. FITZPATRICK
24 N. HARBOR DR.
SAB HARBOR, NY 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Heine
38 Archibald Way
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Heine:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5391

Tom & Gayle Heine
38 Archibald Way
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

DOCKETED
USMRC

1999 DEC 13 PM 4: 20

'99 DEC 15 AIO:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
GENERAL COUNSEL
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Gayle Heine
Tom Heine



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

June Kluglein
17 Pheasant Road
Sag Harbor, NY 11963-9998

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Kluglein:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5388

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 AM 9:20

'99 DEC 15 AIO:42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
REGULATORY
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Jane Kluglein

Kluglein
17 Pheasant Road
Sag Harbor, N.Y.
11963-9998



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Cathy Linsk
P.O. Box 1976
East Hampton, NY 11937

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Linsk:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 AM 9:20
'99 DEC 15 AIO:42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
GENERAL COUNSEL
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,



CATHY LINSK

POB 1976

EAST HAMPTON, N.Y.

11937



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

James Merrell
31 Carlisle Lane
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Mr. Merrell:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5381

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 10 99 FILE 3:46
DEC 15 10:42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
REGULATORY
ADJUDICATOR

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

James Merrell
31 Carlisle La
Sag Harbor NY 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Susan Merrell
31 Carlisle Lane
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms Merrell:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5381

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 10 PM 3:46
'99 DEC 15 AIG:42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF
FUEL
ADJUDICATION

VF

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Susan Merrill
31 Carlisle Ln
Sag Harbor NY 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Shirley A. Ruch
28 S Valley Rd.
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Ruch:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5391

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 PM 4:23 AIG:43
99 DEC 23

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE
REGISTRATION
ADJUTANT

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Shirley A. Ruel

28 S. Valley Rd.
Say Harbor, NY 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Diane Schimmer
141 Wildwood Rd.
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Schimmer:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 10 PM 3:46
'99 DEC 15 A10:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
REGULATORY
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Diane Schimmer
DIANE SCHIMMER
141 Wildwood Rd.
Sag Harbor,
N.Y. 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Marc Schimmer
141 Wildwood Rd.
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Mr. Schimmer:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5388

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 AM 9:20
'99 DEC 15 A10:42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF
REGULATORY
ADJUSTMENT STAFF

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Maac Schimmer
141 Wildwood Rd.
Sags Harbor, N.Y. 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Angela Scott
P.O. Box 1590
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Scott:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 10 PM 3:46

'99 DEC 15 A10:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE
FUEL
ADJUSTMENT

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus:

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Angela Scott
P.O. Box 1590
SAG Harbor, NY 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Christine Stanley
P.O. Box 1650
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Stanley:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5388

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 AM 9:20

'99 DEC 15 AIG:42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFF: [unclear]
RU [unclear]
ADJUD [unclear]

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the Fast End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

Christine Stanley
P.O. Box 1650
Sag Harbor, N.Y. 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Cayle von Wehren
P.O. Box 1094
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. von Wehren:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5381

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 16 PM 3:46

'99 DEC 15 A10:42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
REGULATORY
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the Fast End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,
Page on Web
P.O. BOX 1094

CAYLE VAN WENKED
P.O. BOX 1094
SAL HARBOUR NY
11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

LouAnn Walker
P.O. Box 2131
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Ms. Walker:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

DOCKETED
USMRC

1999 DEC 10 11:3:46
'99 DEC 15 10:43

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF THE
REGULATORY
ADJUTANT GENERAL

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus:


I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the Fast End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

 LouAnn Walker
PO Box 2131
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Scott Alan Weiss
295 Madison Street
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

RE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

Dear Mr. Weiss:

Thank you for your letter sent to Commissioner Dicus concerning the application for a License amendment for operations at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. As your letter notes, there is a proceeding in progress on this amendment request before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In such proceedings, the Commission has an appellate role. Because of that role, the Commission cannot respond to your request. Your request and a copy of this response, however, will be placed in Agency records on the Millstone plant. A copy will also be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff with regulatory responsibility for plant operations.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian
Assistant for Rulemakings
and Adjudications

5388

DOCKETED
USNRC

1999 DEC 13 AM 9:20

'99 DEC 15 AIG :42

Chairwoman Dicus
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

OFFICE OF
REGULATORY
ADJUDICATION
CF

December 7, 1999

Dear Chairwoman Dicus,

I write to you in opposition to the continuing operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Waterford, Connecticut. I hope you will use your considerable power as the NRC Chair to help shut down this dangerous plant.

I am disturbed to learn that Northeast Utilities, the parent company of Millstone, is requesting permission to double the fuel racks for storing spent fuel rods. Increasing the amount of spent fuel rods stored in this heavily populated area is wrongheaded—these pools are not contained, and there is greater risk of dangerous radioactive releases. I don't want to live with more threat of danger from this nuclear power plant—one that is poorly run, damages our coastal waters, and fails to benefit us in any way.

Please pressure the NRC to block the request by Northeast Utilities to increase the amount of spent fuel stored at the Millstone Nuclear Power plant. The hearing regarding this request is on December 13th in New London. Let them know that the voters in Long Island oppose the operation of this plant!

I DO NOT WANT MORE SPENT FUEL STORED at Millstone. I think it is wrong to increase the risk of radioactive leakage or nuclear accident. There is no evacuation plan in place for the towns of the East End. We must rely on you to protect our interests. Please do so.

Sincerely,

MBW

SCOTT ALAN WEISS
295 MADISON STREET
SAG HARBOR, NY.
11963