

**Fiscal Year 2016 Louisiana IMPEP
Comment Resolution for the July 6, 2016, letter from Louisiana (ML16189A000)
regarding the May 27, 2016, draft IMPEP report**

Louisiana Comment 1

Report Section 3.3.b:

In its comment on the draft report for the indicator *Technical Quality of Inspections*, Louisiana noted the dates of the inspection accompaniments of Louisiana staff were stated in error.

NRC Response

The review team acknowledges the error and corrected the report to state that inspection accompaniment were conducted March 21–24, 2016 and not April 25-28, 2016.

Louisiana Comment 2

Report Section 3.2.a and 3.5.c:

In its comment on the draft report for the indicator Louisiana noted a few minor typographical errors.

NRC Response

The review team made the corresponding edits.

Louisiana Comment 3

Report Section 2.0.:

Louisiana offered its agreement with the IMPEP's suggestion to close the 2012 recommendations and commented on its efforts concerning sealed source and device evaluation

NRC Response

The review team appreciates the State's comment. No response or report corrections are indicated.

Louisiana Comment 4

Section 3.1:

In response to the team's proposed recommendation for the indicator, *Technical Staffing and Training*, Louisiana noted its actions to date and planned actions to address attrition and training.

NRC Response

The review team appreciates the State's responsiveness to the recommendation. No response or report corrections are indicated.

Louisiana Comment 5

Section 3.4:

In response to the team's proposed recommendation for the indicator, *Technical Quality of Licensing Actions*, Louisiana noted its progress to address the protection of sensitive information while remaining compliant with Louisiana's open records laws.

NRC Response

The review team appreciates the State's responsiveness to the recommendation. No response or report corrections are indicated.

Louisiana Comment 6

Section 3.5:

In response to the team's proposed recommendation for the indicator, *Technical Quality of Incidents and Allegations*, Louisiana noted its actions to date in developing a procedure along with its plan for staff training.

NRC Response

The review team appreciates the State's responsiveness to the recommendation. No response or report corrections are indicated.

Louisiana Comment 7

Section 3.5.d Results:

In its comment on the draft report for the indicator, *Technical Quality of Incidents and Allegations*, Louisiana requested clarification on the statement "...and lack of management coordination and involvement in the incidents and allegations process." Louisiana commented that management is very involved and how senior staff communicate with management in the area of incidents and allegations

NRC Response

The review team appreciates the State's comment. In reviewing the indicator, *Technical Quality of Incidents and Allegations*, the team observed the level of management input into the incident and allegation process was not as expected as seen by the lack of an incident and allegation procedure, poor documentation of incidents and allegations which would show management input and decision points in the process, and lack of allegation training for the staff. During the Louisiana IMPEP review, the team worked with Louisiana staff to gather the information from various locations to be able to conclude

Fiscal Year 2016 Louisiana IMPEP
Comment Resolution

that incidents were appropriately reviewed. The most conversant staff were the two senior staff members that performed the work. There were only two allegations during the review period, and one of which was not addressed by Louisiana. The team concluded that some attention by management in the area of incidents and allegations was lacking. In consideration of Louisiana's comment, the team modified the report language to say, "...and lack of management coordination in the incidents and allegations process."