

**From:** Deborah Grinnell  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 23, 2016 11:29 AM  
**To:** Gray, Mel <[Mel.Gray@nrc.gov](mailto:Mel.Gray@nrc.gov)>  
**Cc:** Sandra Gavutis >  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Seabrook's annual assessment in Hampton NH

Hello Mel,

At the NRC Seabrook's annual assessment on July 21 in Hampton NH yesterday, I asked Raymond Lorson, Fred Bower, Brian Wittick, Angelo Buford, Bill Cook, Paul Calaldo and you why the NRC documentation does not report containment's testing as Seabrook was beyond yield in the CEB ( Element 255) reported in 2011. I do not have their emails. Would you forward my email request to all. Thank you.

Seabrook's ASR is significantly worse and as NextEra took containment cores a year ago and only "looked" at them. This is not acceptable. Has the NRC tested for data on cores, petrography and done calculations in Seabrook's containment? Where are they?? Please send to me the core/petrography data and calculations on Seabrook's containment. What are the calculations NRC has make since 2011 in CEB? Where is the data on the most recent testing?

I have an email from NRC R Nelson about the concern that Seabrook's containment (CEB) was beyond yield . I have the document in 2011 that does not reveal between two NRC staff the concern in an email from the FOIA but the facts are in this document . It is on page 6 in item 4. DE/EMCB Comments on AR1644074 Evaluation of Containment Enclosure Building (CEB) (4-27-11). The NRC document below about Seabrook's calculations when they were beyond yield in Element 255. to respond to my request.