

EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	Osterholtz	Facility:	Callaway	Date of Written Exam:	6/1/2016
U/I:	N/A	Start of Op Test:	5/23/2016	End of Op Test:	5/26/2016
Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility			Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
11/23/2015	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	11/4/15	CEO		
12/21/2015	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	11/4/15	CEO		
12/21/2015	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	11/4/15	CEO		
12/21/2015	Corporate Notification Letter sent	11/5/15	CEO	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
1/18/2016	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	N/A	N/A	ES-201 Att-3	
2/22/2016	Integrated exam outlines due	1/21/16	CEO		
2/29/2016	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	3/1/16	CEO	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
2/29/2016	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	3/1/16	CEO		
3/24/2016	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	3/25/16	CEO		
3/29/2016	Peer review of written exam complete	4/4/16	CEO	Document review on ES-401-9	
4/7/2016	Written exam and operating test reviews completed	4/12/16	CEO	Document review on ES-401-9	
4/18/2016	Preliminary license applications due	5/2/16	CEO	NRC Forms 398/396	
4/25/2016	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	5/3/16	CEO		
4/18/2015	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	4/22/16	CEO		
4/25/2016	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	4/14/16	CEO		
4/25/2016	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	4/22/16	CEO		
5/9/2016	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	5/16/16	CEO	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
5/9/2016	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	5/18/16	CEO	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
5/16/2016	Facility Licensee management queried regarding the licensee's views on the examination	5/24/16	CEO		
5/16/2016	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	5/12/16	CEO		
5/16/2016	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	4/21/16	CEO		
5/16/2016	Exam material to exam team	5/16/16	CEO		
5/23/2016	Administer Operating Test	5/26/16	CEO		
6/8/2016	Facility post-exam documentation due	6/3/16	CEO		
6/8/2016	NRC written exam grading completed	6/6/16	CEO	ES-403-1 to BC	
6/2/2016	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	6/6/16	CEO		
6/15/2016	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	6/7/16	CEO	Signed ES 303's to BC	
6/22/2016	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	6/7/16	CEO		
6/29/2016	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	6/7/16	CEO		
6/29/2016	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	09/21/2011			
6/29/2016	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	6/6/16	CEO	print Report-21	
7/13/2016	Examination Report Issued	6/17/16	CEO	produced by CE	
7/20/2016	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	6/27/16	CEO	SUNSI checklist to LA	
7/27/2016	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	6/7/16	CEO		
Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 10, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1					

Facility: Callaway		Date of Examination: 5/23/2016		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	ch
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N.	MC	*	CO
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	MC	*	CO
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	MC	*	CO
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	MC	*	CO
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	MO	MC	CO
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	MO	MC	CO
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	MO	MC	CO
3. W A L K T H R O U G H	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	MO	MC	CO
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	MO	MC	CO
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	MO	MC	CO
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	MO	MC	CO
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	MO	MC	CO
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	MO	MC	CO
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	MO	MC	CO
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	MO	MC	CO
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	MO	MC	CO
a. Author <u>MARK O'NEAL / M.A.E.</u> Printed Name/Signature b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>Mark Covey / Mark Covey</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>Chuck Osterholtz / Chuck Osterholtz</u> d. NRC Supervisor <u>Vincent Galky / Vincent Galky</u>		Date 5/23/16 / 5/23/16 2/29/16 3/1/16 3/1/16		
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.				

Facility: Callaway		Date of Exam: 6/1/2016		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initial				
	a	b*	c*#		
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	PSE	me	CO		
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	PSE	me	CO		
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	PSE	me	CO		
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR/NRO OL program office).	PSE	me	CO		
5. Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)					
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right	Bank	Modified	New		
	35/6 ↑ 33/8 CO	4/2 ↑ 9/2 CO	36/17 ↑ 33/15 CO		
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	CIA			
	36/7	39/18			
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	PSE	me	CO		
9. Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	PSE	me	CO		
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	PSE	me	CO		
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	PSE	me	CO		
Printed Name / Signature			Date		
a. Author	Phillip B Swan / <i>Phy B Sw</i>		3/23/16		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Mark Covey / <i>Mark Cov</i>		3-23-16		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Clyde Osterhdtz / <i>Clyde Osterhdtz</i>		5/17/16		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Vincent Gaddy / <i>Vincent Gaddy</i>		5/18/16		
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials or signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initials items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.				

Facility: Callaway		Date of Examination: 5/23/2016		Operating Test Number: 2016-1	
1. General Criteria		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	MO	mc	CO	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	MO	mc	CO	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	MO	mc	CO	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	MO	mc	CO	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	MO	mc	CO	
2. Walk-Through Criteria		--	--	--	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature – system response and other examiner cues – statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant – criteria for successful completion of the task – identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards – restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	MO	mc	CO	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	MO	mc	CO	
3. Simulator Criteria		--	--	--	
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		MO	mc	CO	
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a.	Author	MARK OTTEN / <i>[Signature]</i>		3/22/16	
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	Mark Covey / <i>[Signature]</i>		3-23-16	
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Clyde Osterholtz / <i>[Signature]</i>		5/17/16	
d.	NRC Supervisor	Vincent Gadeby / <i>[Signature]</i>		5/18/16	
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c": chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility: Callaway		Date of Exam: 5/23/16		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4		Operating Test No.: 2016-1	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials				
			a	b*	c#		
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	MD	me	CD			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	MD	me	CD			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	MD	me	CD			
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	MD	me	CD			
5.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	MD	me	CD			
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	NA	H/A	CD			
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	MD	me	CD			
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	MD	me	CD			
9.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	MD	me	CD			
10.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	MD	me	CD			
11.	The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)	MD	me	CD			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	MD	me	CD			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	MD	me	CD			
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		-	-	-	
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1 / 1 / 2 / 2	MD	me	CD		
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4 / 4 / 4 / 3	MD	me	CD		
3.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1 / 1	MD	me	CD		
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1 / 1	MD	me	CD		
5.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	0 / 1 / 0 / 1	MD	me	CD		
6.	EOP based Critical tasks (2-3)	2 / 2 / 2 / 2	MD	me	CD		
NOTE:	* The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: Callaway		Date of Exam: 6/1/16	Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	PSE	CL	CoD
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented <i>None</i>	PSE	CL	CoD
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	PSE	CL	CoD
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	PSE	CL	CoD
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified <i>N/A</i>	PSE	CL	CoD
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	PSE	CL	CoD
Printed Name/Signature		Date	
a. Grader	<u>Phillip B Swan / P.B.S.</u>	<u>6/2/16</u>	
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>Cody J. Eubanks / CJE</u>	<u>6/2/16</u>	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>Clyde C. Osterholtz / C.C.O.</u>	<u>6/6/16</u>	
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy</u>	<u>6/6/16</u>	
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.			

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2016 To 07/30/2016

Page 1 of 4
 06/03/2016 15:59:52
 Report 21
 Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
01/01/2016	Callaway / 05000483 / 2016301 TAC #: X02571		Prep	NFF	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R. HAYES, MAHDI O. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.
04/18/2016	Callaway / 05000483 / 2016301 TAC #: X02571		Prep	NFF	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	STEELEY, CHRISTOPHER D. BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R. HAYES, MAHDI O.
05/23/2016	Callaway / 05000483 / 2016301 TAC #: X02571	RO - 1	Admin	NFF	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELEY, CHRISTOPHER D. BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R. HAYES, MAHDI O.
05/30/2016	Callaway / 05000483 / 2016301 TAC #: X02571	SROI - 7	Doc	NFF	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELEY, CHRISTOPHER D. BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R. HAYES, MAHDI O.

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/23/16 - 2/29/16 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/23/16 - 2/29/16. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>MARK CRISW</u>	<u>Exam Supervisor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/4/15</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/1/16</u>	
2. <u>Mark Covey</u>	<u>Manager Operations / Facility Rep</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11-4-15</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6-6-16</u>	
3. <u>Philip Sween</u>	<u>Exam Author</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11-4-15</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/1/16</u>	
4. <u>Randi Patterson</u>	<u>Clerk</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11-4-15</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6-3-16</u>	
5. <u>Marla Wallace</u>	<u>Clerical Support</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11-4-15</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/1/16</u>	
6. <u>EDWARD KEENE</u>	<u>SIS - SIMULATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/1/16</u>	
7. <u>F.R.T. SCHULT</u>	<u>Consulting Engineer</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/17/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/1/16</u>	
8. <u>ANDREW NELSON</u>	<u>SIM SW SPEC</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/17/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/1/16</u>	
9. <u>DAVID ISAAC</u>	<u>Contract Software Engineer</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/1/16</u>	
10. <u>JOHN OAKES</u>	<u>Consulting Engineer</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/1/16</u>	
11. <u>Matt Sellers</u>	<u>Operations Supervisor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/20/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/1/16</u>	
12. <u>Tim Holland</u>	<u>Shift Manager</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/29/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/8/16</u>	
13. <u>Michael Huff</u>	<u>Reactor operator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-29-16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6-6-16</u>	
14. <u>Brooks Orians</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-29-16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6-29-16</u>	
15. <u>Josh Capeland</u>	<u>Operations Supervisor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-7-16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6-8-16</u>	

* see last page

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Feb 16 - Feb 16, as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Feb 16 - Feb 16. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>Thomas C. Wright</u>	<u>Reactor Operator</u>	<u>J. Wright</u>	<u>3-7-16</u>	<u>J. Wright</u>	<u>6-1-16</u>	
2. <u>Jessie Schaefer</u>	<u>Reactor Operator</u>	<u>Jessie Schaefer</u>	<u>3-7-16</u>	<u>Jessie Schaefer</u>	<u>6-14-16</u>	
3. <u>Jane Jones</u>	<u>Reactor Operator</u>	<u>Jane Jones</u>	<u>3-14-16</u>	<u>Jane Jones</u>	<u>6-1-16</u>	
4. <u>DALE NICHOLS</u>	<u>REACTOR OPERATOR</u>	<u>Dale Nichols</u>	<u>3-14-16</u>	<u>Dale Nichols</u>	<u>6-3-16</u>	
5. <u>DANIEL MUELLER</u>	<u>REACTOR OPERATOR</u>	<u>Daniel Mueller</u>	<u>3-14-16</u>	<u>Daniel Mueller</u>	<u>6-16-16</u>	
6. <u>A. Leo Long</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>A. Leo Long</u>	<u>3-18-16</u>	<u>A. Leo Long</u>	<u>6-7-16</u>	
7. <u>STEVE MINER</u>	<u>REACTOR OPERATOR</u>	<u>Steve Miner</u>	<u>3-21-16</u>	<u>Steve Miner</u>	<u>6-5-16</u>	
8. <u>Jesse Pitts</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>Jesse Pitts</u>	<u>3-21-16</u>	<u>Jesse Pitts</u>	<u>6-13-16</u>	
9. <u>JAY B SHAW</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>Jay B Shaw</u>	<u>3-21-16</u>	<u>Jay B Shaw</u>	<u>6-3-16</u>	
10. <u>David Stierman</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>David Stierman</u>	<u>3-21-16</u>	<u>David Stierman</u>	<u>6-16-16</u>	
11. <u>Rick Tiepenhar</u>	<u>S-TS</u>	<u>Rick Tiepenhar</u>	<u>3-22-16</u>	<u>Rick Tiepenhar</u>	<u>6-12-16</u>	
12. <u>Jon Chilton</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>Jon Chilton</u>	<u>3-23-16</u>	<u>Jon Chilton</u>	<u>6-1-16</u>	
13. <u>Greg Kaminow</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>Greg Kaminow</u>	<u>3-24-16</u>	<u>Greg Kaminow</u>	<u>6-3-16</u>	
14. <u>Jeff Landrum</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>Jeff Landrum</u>	<u>3-24-16</u>	<u>Jeff Landrum</u>	<u>6-17-16</u>	
15. <u>Brendan Long</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>Brendan Long</u>	<u>4-19-16</u>	<u>Brendan Long</u>	<u>6-2-16</u>	

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Feb 16 - Feb 22 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Feb 16 - Feb 22. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Gerald Hoffmeyer	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/19/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-9-16	
2. KEITH CRIBBER	OS	<i>[Signature]</i> 80459	4-21-16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-9-16	
3. Travis Hart	OS	<i>[Signature]</i> 15878	5/12/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/3-16	
4. Sara Lange	SM	<i>[Signature]</i> 86514	5/12/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-9-16	
5. Dan Ficker	RO	<i>[Signature]</i> 12240	5/13-16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-3-16	
6. Sam Karsendon	RO	<i>[Signature]</i> 11208	5-13-16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-3-16	
7. Jeremy Metcher	OS	<i>[Signature]</i>	5-13-16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-9-16	
8. Jeff Gush	OI	<i>[Signature]</i>	5-23-16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-23-16	
9. L. Rob Morgan	Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	5-23-16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/11/16	
10. E. Wheeler	Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	5-23-16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/3/16	
11. Cody Eubanks	Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i> 53948	5-23-16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/2/16	
12. Dan Harris	Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i> 16357	5-23-16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16	
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES:

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Feb 26-28, as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable; if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures), and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Feb 26-28. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. MICHAEL PETERSON	GS-TRAINING MGR		2/26/16		6/8/16	
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES:

Form ES-201-3

Examination Security Agreement

ES-201

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/22/16 + 6/23/16 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 6/22/16 + 6/23/16. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. MARK OITEK	Exam Supervisor	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
2. Mark Covey	Manager Operators / Facility Rep	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
3. Philip Sykes	Exam Author	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
4. Randi Peterson	Clerk	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
5. Marla Wallace	Clerical Support	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
6. EDWARD KEENE	SIS - SIMULATOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
7. KYLE T. SCHMIDT	Consulting Engineer	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
8. ANDREW NELSON	Consulting Engineer	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
9. David Israel	Consulting Supervisor	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
10. JOHN OAKES	Operations Supervisor	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
11. Matt Sellers	Shift Manager	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
12. Tim Holland	Reactor operator	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
13. Michael Huff	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
14. BROOKS OWENS	Operations Supervisor	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	
15. Josh Capeland	Operations Supervisor	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/16	

NOTES: