

<b>PETITIONS CLOSED DURING THIS PERIOD</b>		
	<b>PETITIONER/EDO No.</b>	<b>Page</b>
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1	Thomas Gurdziel OEDO-16-00204	2
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	Mary Lampert LTR-15-0319	3
<b>CURRENT STATUS OF OPEN PETITIONS</b>		
St. Lucie Plant, Unit 2	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy OEDO-15-00026	4
James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant	Beyond Nuclear, et al. G20120172	5
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists OEDO-15-00479	6
All operating reactor licensees	Roy Mathew, et al. OEDO-16-00104	7
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2	Friends of the Earth CLI-15-14	8
<b>CURRENT STATUS OF OPEN PETITIONS UNDER CONSIDERATION</b>		
Seabrook Station, Unit 1	Sandra Gavutis, C-10 Foundation OEDO-16-00007	9
Turkey Point Nuclear Generating, Units 3 and 4	Thomas Saporito LTR-16-0160	10
Turkey Point Nuclear Generation Units 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Plant, Units 1 and 2	Thomas Saporito LTR-16-0123	11
Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Units 2 and 3	Friends of the Earth LTR-16-0297-1	12

For each petition listed above, the individual status page summarizes the issues raised by the petitioner, the current status, and the next steps. When a petition is received, it is reviewed against criteria to determine if it should be accepted for evaluation or rejected. A petition undergoing this review is referred to as a petition under consideration. Rejection of a petition is communicated in a closure letter. If a petition has been accepted for further evaluation, it is considered an open petition until the staff formally grants or denies the requested action in a Director's Decision (DD).

Timeliness goals are established for each open petition as follows:

- Issue an acknowledgment letter within 35 days of the date of the incoming petition.
- Issue a proposed DD within 120 days of the acknowledgment letter.
- Issue a final DD within 45 days of the end of the comment period.

Enclosure

**CLOSED PETITION**

***OEDO-16-00204 (Petition Age: 4 months)***

---

Facility:	Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Gurdziel
Date of Petition:	February 19, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	Not applicable
Final DD Issuance:	Not applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 3, 2016
Petition Manager:	Blake Purnell
Case Attorney:	Daniel Straus

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) take escalated enforcement action against personnel during a shift in which they were accepting a one half scram, and continuing to do nuclear instrument calibration. The enforcement action would be prohibition from any plant duties for at least 30 days, a refresher course on nuclear instrumentation with a test and a required passing mark, and a one hour minimum discussion on conservative decisionmaking in plant operations.

Background:

- On February 19, 2016, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under Title 10 of the *Code of Federation Regulations* (10 CFR) 2.206.
- On March 29, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the petition review board (PRB).
- On March 30, 2016, the petitioner declined the opportunity to address the PRB.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On April 15, 2016, the Office of the Executive Director for Operations (OEDO) approved an extension until June 30, 2016, to issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On May 4, 2016, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on the petition.
- On May 12, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was being rejected because it did not provide sufficient facts, and raised issues that had been reviewed and resolved by the NRC. The petitioner informed the petition manager that he did not wish to address the PRB a second time; therefore, the PRB's initial recommendation is final.
- On June 3, 2016, the NRC issued a letter to the petitioner rejecting the petition for review (Agencywide Documents Access Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML16067A261) because it did not provide sufficient facts to support the petition, and raises issues that have already been reviewed, evaluated, and resolved by the NRC. All actions on this petition are closed.

**CLOSED PETITION**

**EDO # LTR-15-0319 (Petition Age: 12 months)**

---

Facility:	Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Mary Lampert
Date of Petition:	June 11, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 16, 2016
Petition Manager:	Richard Guzman
Case Attorney:	Emily Monteith

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC evaluate the adequacy of Pilgrim's Radiological Emergency Plan and Procedures due to alleged deficiencies in Federal Emergency Management Agency and Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency reasonable assurance assessments. The petitioner is also concerned with Pilgrim switchyard vulnerability to flashovers, requesting that the NRC require Pilgrim to shutdown as a precautionary measure when severe weather conditions are forecast.

Background:

- On June 11, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through September 2015, see the July–September 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML15279A566).
- On October 13, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that her petition is still under review.
- On December 9, 2015, the PRB met to further discuss an initial recommendation on the petition.
- On March 31, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the initial recommendation was to reject the petition because the petitioner raises issues that are either non-enforcement-related actions and outside the scope of the 2.206 process, or have already been the subject of NRC staff review for which resolution has been achieved. The petition manager also offered the petitioner a second opportunity to address the PRB.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On April 20, 2016, the petitioner addressed the PRB via a teleconference.
- On June 16, 2016, the NRC issued a closure letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML16144A000) rejecting the petition for review because the petitioner raised issues that are either non-enforcement-related actions and outside the scope of the 2.206 process, or have already been the subject of NRC staff review for which resolution has been achieved. All actions on this petition are closed.

**OPEN PETITION**

**EDO # OEDO-15-00026 (Petition Age: 19 months)**

---

Facility:	St. Lucie Plant, Unit 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
Date of Petition:	December 19, 2014
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	May 24, 2016
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	May 24, 2016
Petition Manager:	Dennis Galvin
Case Attorney:	Emily Monteith

Issues/Actions Requested:

The Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE) originally requested a hearing regarding St. Lucie's Unit 2 steam generators. The organization did not ask for any enforcement actions against the licensee. Rather, SACE argued that the staff's inspection and oversight of the replacement steam generators constituted a de facto license amendment.

Background:

- On December 19, 2014, the Commission denied SACE's request and referred it to the OEDO for dispositioning under the 10 CFR 2.206 process (Memorandum and Order CLI-14-11).
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through September 2015, see the July–September 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML15279A566).
- On December 7, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was still under review.
- On January 6, 2016, the OEDO approved an extension until June 10, 2016, to issue a proposed DD.
- On February 3, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was still under review.
- On February 18, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the inspection report related to the petition was issued, and would be considered in the proposed DD.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On April 14, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the PRB was developing a DD.
- On May 24, 2016, the NRC issued a proposed DD (ADAMS Accession No. ML16055A311).
- The next step is to develop and issue a final DD to the petitioner.

**OPEN PETITION**

**EDO # G20120172 (Petition Age: 52 months)**

---

Facility:	James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Beyond Nuclear, et al.
Date of Petition:	March 9, 2012
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	May 26, 2016
Petition Manager:	Booma Venkataraman
Case Attorney:	Patricia Jehle

Issues/Actions Requested:

The joint petitioners requested that the FitzPatrick operating license be immediately suspended because they believe the operator relies on non-conservative, and wrong assumptions for the analysis of the capability of FitzPatrick's pre-existing ductwork containment vent system. The joint petitioners requested that the suspension of the operating license be in effect pending final resolution of a public challenge to the adequacy of the pre-existing vent line in light of the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear accident. The joint petitioners did not seek or request that FitzPatrick operators now install the Direct Torus Vent System since it was demonstrated to have experienced multiple failures to mitigate the severe nuclear accidents at Fukushima Dai-ichi.

Background:

- On March 9, 2012, the petitioners filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through March 2015, see the January–March 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML15097A477).
- On June 29, 2015, a second public meeting was held with the petitioners.
- On July 15, 2015, the PRB met to make a final decision on the petition, which remained unchanged from the initial decision to partially accept the petition. The initial recommendation, which the petition manager conveyed to the petitioner on October 4, 2012, was to accept and hold in abeyance three issues addressing containment venting under severe accident conditions, and the design of vent systems being able to accommodate hydrogen gas.
- On November 12, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioners that the petition was still under review.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On May 26, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioners that the petition was still under review.
- The next step is for the PRB to meet to discuss the agency's resolution of issues relating to the petition.

**OPEN PETITION**  
***OEDO-15-00479 (Petition Age: 12 months)***

---

Facility:	Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists
Date of Petition:	June 24, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 6, 2016
Petition Manager:	Booma Venkataraman
Case Attorney:	Robert Carpenter

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that NRC take enforcement action regarding the inclusion of heavy rainfall events within the licensing basis for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station. The petitioner cited a letter dated March 12, 2015 (ADAMS Accession No. ML15075A082), from Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee) to NRC, which contained a flood re-evaluation report in response to NRC's 50.54(f) letter, dated March 12, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12073A348), to satisfy one of NRC's post-Fukushima mandates. The petitioner noted that doors exist at Pilgrim to protect equipment from submergence during heavy rainfall events, but such events are not included in the current licensing basis.

Background:

- On June 24, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through September 2015, see the July–September 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML15279A566).
- On October 9, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still under review, to which the petitioner replied on the same day that he was discussing with co-petitioners whether to withdraw the petition considering the licensee's recent announcement of plans to permanently close Pilgrim by June 2019.
- On November 16, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the NRC was accepting the petition, and offered him a second opportunity to address the PRB.
- On December 14, 2015, the petitioner informed the petition manager he did not wish to address the PRB a second time.
- On February 11, 2016, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML15356A735) accepting the petition for review.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On April 8, 2016, and on June 6, 2016, the petitioner manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still under review.
- The next step is to prepare a proposed DD.

**OPEN PETITION**  
**OEDO-16-00104 (Petition Age: 4 months)**

---

Facility:	All operating reactor licensees
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Roy Mathew, et al.
Date of Petition:	February 19, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	December 16, 2016
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 24, 2016
Petition Manager:	Tanya Mensah
Case Attorney:	David Cylkowski

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioners requested that either (1) the NRC issue orders that require immediate corrective actions, including compensatory measures to address the operability of electric power systems in accordance with their plant technical specification, and to implement plant modifications in accordance with current NRC regulatory requirements and staff guidance, or (2) issue orders to immediately shutdown the nuclear power plants that are operating without addressing the significant design deficiency identified in NRC Bulletin 2012-01, "Design Vulnerability in Electric Power System" (ADAMS Accession No. ML12074A115), contending that licensees are not in compliance with their Technical Specifications 3.8.1 (typical) requirements related to on-site and off-site power systems.

Background:

- On February 19, 2016, the petitioners filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On February 24, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioners an opportunity to address the PRB, which the petitioners declined.
- On March 14, 2016, the PRB met to determine whether there was a need to take immediate actions, and to make an initial recommendation on the petition.
- On March 15, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioners of the PRB's decision to deny the request for immediate action, and the PRB's initial recommendation to accept the petition for review. The petition manager also offered the petitioners a second opportunity to address the PRB, which was declined on the basis that the petition contained all of the relevant facts.
- On March 21, 2016, the NRC issued a letter to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML16069A214) denying the request for immediate action, and accepting the petition for review.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On June 10, 2016, the OEDO approved an extension request to issue a proposed DD by December 16, 2016, because the petitioners' concerns are still under NRC resolution.
- On June 24, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioners of the next step to issue the proposed DD.

## OPEN PETITION

**CLI-15-14 (Petition Age: 13 months)**

---

Facility:	Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Friends of the Earth
Date of Petition:	May 21, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	April 14, 2016
Petition Manager:	Lisa Regner and Margaret Watford
Case Attorney:	Shelbie Lewman and Emily Monteith

### Issues/Actions Requested:

The petition was referred to the 10 CFR 2.206 process by the Commission. The petitioners claim that the NRC is allowing the licensee to operate Diablo Canyon outside of the plant's licensing basis with respect to the analysis of new seismic data following discovery of the Shoreline Fault in 2008.

### Background:

- On May 21, 2015, the Commission referred, in part, by way of SECY-15-0028, "Pacific Gas and Electric Company (Diablo Canyon Power Plant Units 1 and 2), Petition to Intervene and Request for Hearing by Friends of the Earth," to the OEDO for consideration under the 10 CFR 2.206 process.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through December 2015, see the October-December 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML16007A313).
- On January 7, 2016, the petition managers informed the petitioners that the petition was being accepted, and offered them another opportunity to address the PRB.
- On February 9, 2016, the petitioners submitted a written supplement to the petition in lieu of addressing the PRB.
- On March 15, 2016, the OEDO approved an extension until April 8, 2016, to issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On March 16, 2016, the PRB met to discuss the supplement, and accepted the petition for review.

### Current Status/Next Steps:

- On April 14, 2016, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter to the petitioners accepting the petition for review (ADAMS Accession No. ML16084A717).
- On June 21, 2016, the PRB met to review a summary of the petitioner's concerns and the preliminary resolution for the proposed DD.
- On June 21, 2016, the licensee announced that it had reached an agreement with several groups, including Friends of the Earth (FOE), to discontinue seeking license renewal and to shutdown the units in 2025. The NRC staff will contact FOE's counsel to provide an update and to determine if FOE intends to pursue the 2.206 petition.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**  
**OEDO-16-00007 (Petition Age: 7 months)**

---

Facility:	Seabrook Station, Unit 1
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Sandra Gavutis, C-10 Foundation
Date of Petition:	December 22, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 6, 2016
Petition Manager:	John Lamb
Case Attorney:	Matthew Ring

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested the NRC to issue an order requiring immediate implementation and enforcement of ACI 349.3R and ASTM C 856-11 code standards requiring core sampling, and petrographic testing for the mechanical properties of tensile strength, Poisson's ratio, modulus of elasticity, and compressive strength, specifically for walls of the containment building and spent fuel pool at Seabrook Station.

Background:

- On December 22, 2015, petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On January 8, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On January 14, 2016, the petitioner emailed the petition manager requesting a teleconference with the PRB.
- On February 12, 2016, David Lochbaum, of the Union of Concerned Scientists, stated that he would participate in the teleconference and submitted comments to support the petition.
- On February 15, 2016, the petitioner submitted additional comments on her petition.
- On February 16, 2016, the petitioner addressed the PRB by teleconference.
- On February 24, 2016, and March 17, 2016, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on whether to accept the petition.
- On March 23, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the initial recommendation was to reject the petition because it addresses deficiencies within existing rules, and should be handled through a petition for rulemaking, and offered a second opportunity to address the PRB.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On April 15, 2016, the OEDO issued an extension until July 29, 2016, to issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On June 6, 2016, the petitioner addressed the PRB through a public meeting.
- The next step is for the PRB to finalize its recommendation on whether to accept the petition.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**  
***LTR-16-0160 (Petition Age: 4 months)***

---

Facility:	Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Units 3 and 4
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Saporito
Date of Petition:	March 23, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	May 23, 2016
Petition Manager:	Audrey Klett
Case Attorney:	Shelbie Lewman

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC take escalated enforcement action and issue a confirmatory order to take Turkey Point to a cold-shutdown mode of operation until the licensee meets the conditions outlined in the petition concerning the discharge of radioactive isotopes and other contaminants into the surrounding environment.

Background:

- On March 23, 2016, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On March 29, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB, which he accepted.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On April 7, 2016, the PRB met on whether the NRC needed to take any immediate actions and determined that the petition did not raise public health or safety issues that necessitated immediate enforcement action to shutdown Turkey Point.
- On April 22, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the decision.
- On May 8, 2016, and May 9, 2016, the petitioner emailed the petition manager supplements to his petition.
- On May 10, 2016, the petitioner addressed the PRB via a teleconference.
- On May 23, 2016, the petitioner requested several versions of the updated final safety analysis report. The petition manager is doing SUNSI reviews of those versions in order to respond to the petitioner's request.
- On June 9, 2016, the PRB met internally to discuss the petition review scope and status.
- The next step is for the PRB to decide whether to accept the petition for review.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**  
***LTR-16-0123 (Petition Age: 4 months)***

---

Facility:	Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Units 3 and 4 St. Lucie Plant, Units 1 and 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Saporito
Date of Petition:	March 6, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 29, 2016
Petition Manager:	Perry Buckberg
Case Attorney:	Shelbie Lewman

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC take escalated enforcement action and issue a confirmatory order to take Turkey Point and St. Lucie to a cold shutdown mode of operation until the licensee meets conditions outlined in the petition concerning the operation of intake cooling water structures, piping, and facilities that provide an unauthorized means of entry to the protected area of the facilities.

Background:

- On March 6, 2016, petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On March 30, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB, which he accepted.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On May 18, 2016, the petitioner supplemented his petition.
- On May 19, 2016, the petitioner addressed the PRB via teleconference.
- On May 31, 2016, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on whether to accept the petition for review.
- On June 29, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the initial recommendation was to reject the petition because the issues have already been reviewed, evaluated, and resolved by the NRC.
- The next step is to schedule a second teleconference with the petitioner.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**  
**LTR-16-0297-1 (Petition Age: 1 month)**

---

Facility:	Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Units 2 and 3
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Friends of the Earth, Nuclear Information and Resource Service, and Hudson River Sloop Clearwater
Date of Petition:	May 24, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 24, 2016
Petition Manager:	Douglas Pickett
Case Attorney:	Christopher Hair

Issues/Actions Requested:

Citing the unexpected degradation of the reactor vessel baffle-former bolts identified during the Spring 2016 refueling outage at Indian Point Unit 2, the petitioners requested that the Commission take the following enforcement actions (1) prohibit the restart of Unit 2 until the Commission is satisfied that the unit can be safely restarted, and (2) order the immediate shutdown of Unit 3 so that the baffle-former bolts in that unit may be inspected.

Background:

- On May 24, 2016, the petitioners filed a petition for an enforcement action directly to the Commission. The petition was subsequently referred to the staff for action in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On June 3, 2016, the PRB met on the request for prohibiting the restart of Unit 2, and the immediate shutdown of Unit 3.
- On June 3, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the request for immediate actions for both Indian Point Units was denied because there were no immediate safety significant concerns which would adversely impact the public's health and safety, and offered the petitioners an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On June 14, 2016, the petitioners informed the petition manager that they rejected the NRC's treating the petition under the 2.206 process, and disagreed with the denial of the request for immediate actions.
- On June 16, 2016, the petitioner filed a petition for Writ of Mandamus with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, compelling the NRC to prevent restart of the facility until the agency issued a reasoned decision on the petition.
- On June 21, 2016, the petition manager emailed the petitioners regarding possible dates to address the PRB.
- On June 22, 2016, the Nuclear Information and Resource Service and the Hudson River Sloop Clearwater requested to be considered co-petitioners to the original petition.
- On June 23, 2016, the Writ of Mandamus was denied.
- On June 24, 2016, the staff granted the requests to become co-petitioners.
- The next step is for the petitioners to address the PRB.