

16.0 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

This chapter discusses the plant-specific technical specifications (PTS), as well as the design reliability assurance program (D-RAP) and the controls for systems, structures, and components (SSCs) required for defense-in-depth in accordance with the program for regulatory treatment of nonsafety systems (RTNSS).

16.1 Technical Specifications

16.1.1 Introduction

Section 16.1, "Technical Specifications," of the Turkey Point Nuclear Power Plant Units 6 & 7 combined license (COL) Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), and the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL Part 4, "Technical Specifications," provide the PTS for Turkey Point Units 6 & 7, in accordance with Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 50.36, "Technical specifications," and 10 CFR 52.79(a)(30). Technical Specifications (TS) impose limits, operating conditions, and other requirements upon reactor facility operation for the public health and safety. The TS are derived from the analyses and evaluations in the safety analysis report. In general, TS must include: (1) safety limits and limiting safety system settings; (2) limiting conditions for operation (LCO); (3) surveillance requirements (SRs); (4) design features; and (5) administrative controls. The PTS are derived from the analyses and evaluations in the AP1000 Design Control Document (DCD) and the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR.

As part of the regulatory standardization effort, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has prepared standard technical specifications (STS) for each of the light-water reactor nuclear steam supply systems and associated balance-of-plant equipment systems. In 1992, the NRC issued the STS to clarify the content and format of requirements necessary to ensure safe operation of nuclear power plants. The STS for Westinghouse pressurized water reactors are included in NUREG-1431, "Standard Technical Specifications - Westinghouse Plants." Volume 1 addresses the STS, and Volume 2 addresses the associated STS Bases. The STS include bases for safety limits, limiting safety system settings, LCO, and associated action and surveillance requirements. Major revisions to the STS were published in 1995 (Revision 1), 2001 (Revision 2), and 2004 (Revision 3).

The format and content of the PTS and Bases for a COL referencing a certified design should be based on the generic TS (GTS) and Bases for that design. For a COL application that references a certified design, the proposed PTS and Bases may include appropriate plant-specific departures from the referenced GTS and Bases when warranted. These departures, if included with the COL application, need to be justified to demonstrate that the requirements of 10 CFR 50.36 are met.

16.1.2 Summary of Application

Section 16.1 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR, Revision 7, incorporates by reference Sections 16.1.1 and 16.1.2 of the AP1000 DCD, Revision 19. Part 4 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL incorporates by reference the AP1000 GTS and Bases in Section 16.1 of the DCD. In accordance with Section IV(A)(2)(c) of Appendix D, "Design Certification Rule for the AP1000 Design" to 10 CFR Part 52, "Licenses, certifications, and approvals for nuclear power plants," the applicant's PTS consist of the AP1000 GTS and site-specific information. The applicant took departures from the AP1000 GTS.

The AP1000 GTS includes items that a COL applicant must satisfy in order to complete a particular GTS provision. Detailed design information, equipment selection, instrumentation settings, and other information not available at the time of design certification (DC), are needed to establish the values or information to be included in the PTS. Locations for the addition of this information are signified in the GTS by square brackets [] or reviewer's notes to indicate that the COL applicant must provide plant-specific values or alternate text.

In Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application Part 4 (and in letters dated May 9, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16132A293); May 16, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16140A087); May 6, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16131A674); and April 29, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16124A921)), the applicant provided the following:

Departures

- PTN DEP 3.2-1

The applicant provided additional information about PTN DEP 3.2-1 in Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 COL Part 4 (letter dated May 9, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16132A293)), including changes to TS SR 3.5.4.7 and corresponding Bases, Bases B3.3.3 (LCO Section), and Bases B3.5.4 (Background Section), related to design modifications to the condensate return portion of the Passive Core Cooling System. This information, as well as related PTN DEP 3.2-1 information appearing in other chapters of the FSAR (letter dated May 9, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16132A293)), is reviewed in Section 21.1 of this SER.

- PTN DEP 6.4-1

The applicant provided additional information about PTN DEP 6.4-1 in Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 COL Part 4 (letter dated May 16, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16140A087)), including changes to TS LCO 3.7.4, TS SR 3.7.4.1, and Bases 3.4.10, 3.7.4, and 3.7.6 related to design changes affecting habitability of the main control room and changes to the calculated doses to control room operators. This information, as well as related PTN DEP 6.4-1 information appearing in other chapters of the FSAR (letter dated May 16, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16140A087)), is reviewed in Section 21.2 of this SER.

- PTN DEP 6.4-2

The applicant provided additional information about PTN DEP 6.4-2 in Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 COL Part 4 (letter dated May 6, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16131A674)), including changes to TS 3.3.2 and corresponding Bases and TS 3.7.6 and corresponding Bases related to design changes affecting how the temperature and humidity in the main control room are maintained within the limits for reliable human performance. This information, as well as related PTN DEP 6.4-2 information appearing in other chapters of the FSAR (letter dated May 6, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16131A674)), is reviewed in Section 21.3 of this SER.

- PTN DEP 7.3-1

The applicant provided additional information about PTN DEP 7.3-1 in Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 COL Part 4 (letter dated April 29, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16124A921)), including changes to TS Table 3.3.2-1 and associated Bases, related to required design changes for the

PMS source range neutron flux doubling logic to comply with the requirements of IEEE Std. 603-1991, Clause 6.6. This information, as well as related PTN DEP 7.3-1 information appearing in other chapters of the FSAR (letter dated April 29, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16124A921)), is reviewed in Section 21.5 of this SER.

AP1000 COL Information Item

- PTN COL 16.1-1

The applicant provided additional information in PTN COL 16.1-1 to resolve COL Information Item 16.1-1 (COL Action Item 16.2-1). The applicant provided additional information to address each of the remaining brackets [] and reviewer's notes in the AP1000 GTS.

The following sections of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7, PTS and Bases include information that the applicant addressed as part of COL Information Item 16.1-1:

- PTS 3.3.1, 3.3.2, and 3.6.4
- PTS 4.1, 4.1.1, and 4.1.2
- PTS 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.2.1.a, 5.2.1.b, 5.2.2, 5.3, 5.3.1, 5.6.1, and 5.6.2

16.1.3 Regulatory Basis

The regulatory basis of the information incorporated by reference is addressed in NUREG-1793, "Final Safety Evaluation Report Related to Certification of the AP1000 Standard Design," and its supplements.

In addition, the acceptance criteria associated with the relevant requirements of the Commission regulations for TS and Bases reviews are given in Section 16 of NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants (LWR Edition)." Areas of review that interface with other sections of the standard review plan (SRP) can also be found in Section 16 of NUREG-0800.

The applicable regulatory requirements for the information being reviewed in this section are:

- 10 CFR 50.36
- 10 CFR 52.79(a)(30)

16.1.4 Technical Evaluation

The NRC staff reviewed Section 16.1 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR and Part 4 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application, and checked the referenced DCD to ensure that the combination of the DCD and the COL application represents the complete scope of information relating to this review topic¹. The NRC staff's review confirmed that the information in the application and incorporated by reference addresses the required information relating to the TS. The results of the NRC staff's evaluation of the information incorporated by reference in

¹ See Section 1.2.2 for a discussion of the staff's review related to verification of the scope of information to be included in a COL application that references a DC.

the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application are documented in NUREG-1793 and its supplements.

Section 1.2.3 of this safety evaluation report (SER) provides a discussion of the strategy used by the NRC to perform one technical review for each standard issue outside the scope of the DC and use this review in evaluating subsequent COL applications. To ensure that the staff's findings on standard content that were documented in the SER for the reference COL application (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant [VEGP], Units 3 and 4) were equally applicable to the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application, the staff undertook the following reviews:

- The staff compared the VEGP COL FSAR, Revision 5, to the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR. In performing this comparison, the staff considered changes made to the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR (and other parts of the COL application, as applicable) resulting from requests for additional information (RAIs).
- The staff confirmed that all responses to RAIs identified in the corresponding standard content evaluation were endorsed.
- The staff verified that the site-specific differences were not relevant.

The staff has completed its review and found the evaluation performed for the standard content to be directly applicable to the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application. This standard content material is identified in this SER by use of italicized, double-indented formatting. Section 1.2.3 of this SER provides an explanation of why the standard content material from the SER for the reference COL application (VEGP) includes evaluation material from the SER for the Bellefonte Nuclear Plant (BLN), Units 3 and 4 COL application.

Many VEGP SER section numbers were changed from those used in the BLN SER to more closely follow the PTS numbering. Therefore, the corresponding BLN SER section numbers are frequently identified when quoting standard content material from the SER for the reference COL application (VEGP).

The staff reviewed the information in the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR and the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application, Part 4:

AP1000 COL Information Item

- PTN COL 16.1-1

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4 of the VEGP SER:

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4 of the BLN SER:

In Section 16.1.1 of the BLN COL FSAR, the applicant provided additional information in BLN COL 16.1-1 to resolve COL Information Item 16.1-1 (COL Action Item 16.2-1) listed under the Section 16.1.1 header, "Combined License Information," of the AP1000 DCD, Revision 17, which states:

This set of technical specifications is intended to be used as a guide in the development of the plant-specific technical specifications. The preliminary information originally provided in brackets [] has been revised with the updated information APP-GW-GLR-064 and APP-GW-GLN-075. Combined License applicants referencing the AP1000 will be required to provide the final information for the remaining brackets [] with final plant-specific information.

In Section 16.1 of the BLN COL FSAR, the applicant noted that the GTS and Bases provided with Chapter 16 of the AP1000 DCD are incorporated by reference into the PTS provided in Part 4 of the BLN COL application.

The staff evaluated the applicant's disposition of each of the remaining bracketed information items in the respective TS sections listed below.

The staff did not review portions of the BLN PTS and Bases that were identical to the AP1000 GTS and Bases. The technical evaluation for those portions that are identical to the AP1000 GTS and Bases can be found in the NRC staff's FSER for the AP1000 DCD.

16.1.4.1 Use and Application

Section 1.0 of the BLN PTS includes definitions of terms used in the context of plant TS, and examples to illustrate the applications of logical connectors, completion times for required actions, and frequencies for surveillance requirements (SRs). Section 1.0 of the BLN PTS is identical to the AP1000 GTS. There is no site-specific information that the applicant needed to provide to complete this section.

16.1.4.2 Safety Limits

Section 2.0 of the BLN PTS and Bases include[s] requirements for safety limits to ensure that the fuel design limits are not exceeded during steady state conditions, normal operational transients, and anticipated operational occurrence. Section 2.0 of the BLN PTS and Bases are [is] identical to the AP1000 GTS and Bases. There is no site-specific information that the applicant needed to provide to complete this section.

16.1.4.3.0 Limiting Condition for Operation and Surveillance Requirement Applicability

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.3 of the BLN SER:

Section 3.0 of the BLN PTS and Bases include[s] general provisions regarding determination of equipment operability and performance of SRs in specific TS sections (i.e., TS 3.1 through TS 3.9). Section 3.0 of the BLN PTS and Bases are [is] identical to the AP1000 GTS and Bases. There is no site-specific information that the applicant needed to provide to complete this section.

16.1.4.3.1 Reactivity Control Systems

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.4 of the BLN SER:

Section 3.1 of the BLN PTS and Bases include[s] requirements for the reactivity control systems which are designed to reliably control reactivity changes, and under postulated accident conditions, ensure that the capability to cool the core is maintained. Section 3.1 of the BLN PTS and Bases are [is] identical to the AP1000 GTS and Bases. There is no site-specific information that the applicant needed to provide to complete this section.

16.1.4.3.2 Power Distribution Limits

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.5 of the BLN SER:

Section 3.2 of the BLN PTS and Bases include[s] requirements for the reactor core power distribution limits which are designed to reliably control core thermal limits and core power distribution consistent with the design safety analysis. Section 3.2 of the BLN PTS and Bases are [is] identical to the AP1000 GTS and Bases. There is no site-specific information that the applicant needed to provide to complete this section.

16.1.4.3.3 Instrumentation

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.6 of the BLN SER:

Section 3.3 of the BLN PTS and Bases include[s] requirements for the instrumentation systems that display information required to protect against violating core fuel design limits and Reactor Coolant System (RCS) integrity, and to mitigate accidents.

The BLN instrumentation will be selected after COL issuance, and therefore, in accordance with COL/DC-ISG-8, "Necessary Content of Plant-Specific Technical Specifications When a Combined License is Issued," all trip setpoints and allowable values must be established through a staff-approved administrative control TS that specifies use of an NRC-approved methodology for determining the trip setpoints and allowable values, and a document controlled by 10 CFR 50.59 for recording this information. The trip setpoints and allowable values, referred to in Tables 3.3.1-1 and 3.3.2-1, will be determined after selection of specific instrumentation.

Request for additional information (RAI) 16-1 was issued in accordance with COL/DC-ISG-8, and requested that the applicant identify the method of determining the trip setpoints and allowable values, as well as establish an associated document in which to record the site-specific values and other restrictions necessary to satisfy 10 CFR 50.36. The applicant should clarify that after selection of specific instrumentation, the trip setpoints and allowable values, referred to in Tables 3.3.1-1 and 3.3.2-1, will be calculated using the setpoint

control program that specifies the approved methodology (i.e., WCAP-16361, APP-PMS-JEP-001, Revision 0, May 2006, "Westinghouse Setpoint Methodology for Protection Systems – AP1000"). In addition, the applicant should propose a setpoint control program to be added in the Administrative Control section of the TS, as stated in COL/DC-ISG-8. **This is identified as Open Item 16.1-1.**

Resolution of Standard Content Open Item 16.1-1

Resolution to this issue was brought forward at a public meeting on September 3, 2009, attended by the staff, Westinghouse, and the AP1000 COL applicants. Westinghouse committed to provide an acceptable setpoint control program in the AP1000 DC amendment application, which would then be adoptable by any COL applicants. This program was submitted to the staff in a letter dated February 19, 2010, and revised on May 6, 2010. The review of this program is documented in a supplement to NUREG-1793.

The applicant, in its May 21, 2010, supplemental response to this open item, committed to calculate trip setpoints and allowable values using the approved methodology cited above and to incorporate the AP1000 DCD setpoint control program in the Administrative Controls section of its PTS. The staff finds this response acceptable, since it ensures the applicant will use approved methodologies and a comprehensive administrative program to calculate setpoint values. The incorporation of this program into the VEGP TS in a later revision is **Confirmatory Item 16.1-1.**

Resolution of Standard Content Confirmatory Item 16.1-1

Confirmatory Item 16.1-1 is an applicant commitment to revise its PTS to incorporate the AP1000 DCD setpoint control program in the Administrative Controls section of its PTS. The staff verified that the PTS was appropriately revised. As a result, Confirmatory Item 16.1-1 is now closed. [The Administrative controls section of the Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 PTS cites Revision 1 of WCAP-16361, consistent with the GTS in the AP1000 certified design.]

16.1.4.3.4 Reactor Coolant System

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.7 of the BLN SER:

Section 3.4 of the BLN PTS and Bases include[s] requirements for various RCS parameters (i.e., pressure, temperature, flow, etc.) and subsystems (i.e., RCS loops, pressurizer, low-temperature overpressure protection, etc.) to ensure the fuel integrity and the RCPB [reactor coolant pressure boundary] integrity are preserved during all modes of plant operation. Section 3.4 of the BLN PTS and Bases are [is] identical to the AP1000 GTS and Bases. There is no site-specific information that the applicant needed to provide to complete this section.

16.1.4.3.5 Emergency Core Cooling Systems

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.8 of the BLN SER:

Section 3.5 of the BLN PTS and Bases include[s] requirements for the safety-related passive core cooling system, which is designed to perform emergency core decay heat removal, RCS emergency makeup and boration, and safety injection. Section 3.5 of the BLN PTS and Bases are [is] identical to the AP1000 GTS and Bases. There is no site-specific information that the applicant needed to provide to complete this section.

16.1.4.3.6 Containment Systems

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.9 of the BLN SER:

Section 3.6 of the BLN PTS and Bases include[s] requirements for the containment systems, which are designed to shield [contain] fission products that may be in the containment atmosphere following accident conditions. Section 3.6 of the BLN PTS and Bases are [is] identical to the AP1000 GTS and Bases, except for the deletion of a reviewer's note. For TS 3.6.4, the reviewer's note is not applicable to the PTS, and the applicant has appropriately removed the information. This is acceptable to the staff. There is no site-specific information that the applicant needed to provide to complete this section.

16.1.4.3.7 Plant Systems

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.10 of the BLN SER:

Section 3.7 of the BLN PTS and Bases include[s] requirements for various systems in the secondary side of the steam generators (i.e., the main steam safety valves, the main steam isolation valves, the main feedwater isolation valves, etc.), the spent fuel pool water level and makeup systems, and the main control room habitability system. Section 3.7 of the BLN PTS and Bases are [is] identical to the AP1000 GTS and Bases. There is no site-specific information that the applicant needed to provide to complete this section.

16.1.4.3.8 Electrical Power Systems

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.11 of the BLN SER:

Section 3.8 of the BLN PTS and Bases include[s] requirements for the plant electrical systems that provide redundant, diverse and dependable power sources for all plant operating conditions. In the event of a total loss of off-site power, batteries and back-up on-site diesel generators are provided to supply electrical power equipment necessary for the safe shutdown of the plant. Section 3.8 of the BLN PTS and Bases are [is] identical to the AP1000 GTS and

Bases. There is no site-specific information that the applicant needed to provide to complete this section.

16.1.4.3.9 Refueling Operations

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.12 of the BLN SER:

Section 3.9 of the BLN PTS and Bases include[s] requirements for boron concentration, unborated water sources, nuclear instrumentation, containment penetrations, and water inventory in the refueling pool during Mode 6. Section 3.9 of the BLN PTS and Bases are [is] identical to the AP1000 GTS and Bases. There is no site-specific information that the applicant needed to provide to complete this section.

16.1.4.4 Design Features

Section 4.0 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 PTS includes other design features not covered elsewhere in the PTS such as the site location, the site maps, and other information related to core design and fuel storage design. Section 4.0 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 PTS is identical to the AP1000 GTS except for site-specific information provided by the applicant. In PTS Section 4.1, the applicant provided the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 site location information to replace the bracketed information in the GTS. The staff found the added information acceptable since it is consistent with related information found in FSAR Chapter 2, and in accordance with guidance provided in the GTS. In FSAR Section 2.1.1.1 the applicant describes its site location and figure 2.1-201 shows the site and the surrounding area. Figure 2.1-202 illustrates the general location of the Turkey Point plant property and surrounding sites within 10 miles. FSAR Section 2.1.2 also includes information regarding the exclusion area boundary, which is provided on Figure 2.1-204. The staff found the added information acceptable since it is consistent with related information found in Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR Chapter 2, and in accordance with the guidance provided in the GTS. In Section 4.1.2 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 PTS, the applicant also provided the site location in Figure 4.1-1 and a description of the radius, which establishes its low population zone. The staff found the added information acceptable since it is consistent with related information found in Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR Section 2.1.3.4, and is in accordance with the guidance provided in the GTS.

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.5 of the VEGP SER:

16.1.4.5 Administrative Controls

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.14 of the BLN SER:

This section of the BLN PTS includes provisions, which address various administrative controls related to plant key personnel responsibilities, plant procedures, special programs and reports, etc., to ensure the plant is safely operated. As discussed in Section 16.1.4.6 above, [SER Section 16.1.4.3.3 for Turkey Point Units 6 & 7] the BLN instrumentation will be selected after COL issuance, and therefore, in accordance with COL/DC-ISG-8, all trip setpoints and allowable values must be established through a staff-approved administrative

control TS that specifies use of an NRC-approved methodology for determining the trip setpoints and allowable values, and a document controlled by 10 CFR 50.59 for recording this information. The trip setpoints and allowable values, referred to in Tables 3.3.1-1 and 3.3.2-1, will be determined after selection of specific instrumentation.

The staff issued RAI 16-1 and requested that the applicant identify the method of determining the trip setpoints and allowable values, as well as establish an associated document in which to record the site-specific values and other restrictions necessary to satisfy 10 CFR 50.36. The applicant should clarify that after selection of specific instrumentation, the trip setpoints and allowable values, referred to in Tables 3.3.1-1 and 3.3.2-1, will be calculated using the setpoint control program that specifies the approved methodology (i.e., WCAP-16361, APP-PMS-JEP-001, Revision 0, May 2006, "Westinghouse Setpoint Methodology for Protection Systems – AP1000"). In addition, the applicant should propose a setpoint control program to be added in the Administrative Control section of the TS, as stipulated in COL/DC-ISG-8. **This is identified as Open Item 16.1-1.**

Resolution of Standard Content Open Item 16.1-1

The resolution of this issue is discussed in the evaluation of Section 16.1.4.3.3, "Instrumentation," above. The applicant committed to adopting the setpoint control program approved in the AP1000 DC, which will be verified in a future revision of the VEGP TS. This is **Confirmatory Item 16.1-1**.

Resolution of Confirmatory Item 16.1-1

Confirmatory Item 16.1-1 is an applicant commitment to revise its PTS to incorporate the AP1000 DCD setpoint control program in the Administrative Controls section of its PTS. The staff verified that the PTS was appropriately revised. As a result, Confirmatory Item 16.1-1 is now closed. [The Administrative controls section of the Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 PTS cites Revision 1 of WCAP-16361, consistent with the GTS in the AP1000 certified design.]

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.1.4.14 of the BLN SER:

In Section 5.3.1 of the BLN PTS, the applicant replaced the GTS bracketed information, clarifying that each member of the unit staff shall meet or exceed minimum qualifications of RG [Regulatory Guide] 1.8, Revision 3 except for during cold license operator training where portions of RG 1.8, Revision 2 will apply. The staff finds this acceptable because RG 1.8, Revision 3 does not address cold license operator training. In other respects, Sections 5.0, 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.2.1a, 5.2.1b, 5.2.2, 5.3, 5.6.1, and 5.6.2 of the BLN PTS are identical to the AP1000 GTS, except for site-specific information provided by the applicant to replace the bracketed information in the GTS. The site-specific information provided was administrative in nature and the staff found it acceptable.

In Section 5.2.2 of the VEGP PTS, the applicant proposed to remove the brackets around the COL item related to unit staff organization, as well as removing work hour restrictions in TS 5.2.2.d. The applicant refers to 73 Federal Register (FR) 79923 which provides the NRC's model application for adopting TSTF-511, Revision 0, "Eliminate Working Hour Restrictions from TS 5.2.2 to Support Compliance with 10 CFR Part 26 ["Fitness for Duty Programs"]." The staff finds this deletion acceptable since it conforms to the guidance provided in the TSTF and working hour restrictions in 10 CFR Part 26, and therefore, is no longer required to be in the TS. This appropriately meets the intent of completing this bracketed information.

16.1.5 Post Combined License Activities

There are no post-COL activities related to this section.

16.1.6 Conclusion

The NRC staff reviewed the application and checked the referenced DCD. The staff's review confirmed that the applicant has addressed the relevant information relating to this section, and no outstanding information related to this section remains to be addressed in the Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 COL FSAR. The results of the NRC staff's technical evaluation of the information incorporated by reference in the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application are documented in NUREG-1793 and its supplements. The staff based its conclusions on the following:

- PTN DEP 3.2-1, related to design modifications to the condensate return portion of the Passive Core Cooling System, is reviewed and found acceptable by the staff in Section 21.1 of this SER.
- PTN DEP 6.4-1, related to design changes affecting habitability of the main control room and changes to the calculated doses to control room operators, is reviewed and found acceptable by the staff in Section 21.2 of this SER.
- PTN DEP 6.4-2, related to design changes affecting how the temperature and humidity in the main control room are maintained within the limits for reliable human performance, is reviewed and found acceptable by the staff in Section 21.3 of this SER.
- PTN DEP 7.3-1, related to required design changes for the PMS source range neutron flux doubling logic to comply with the requirements of IEEE Std. 603-1991, Clause 6.6, is reviewed and found acceptable by the staff in Section 21.5 of this SER.
- PTN COL 16.1-1, related to PTS and their Bases, is acceptable because the site-specific information is either identical to the GTS or will be completed using NRC-approved methodologies.

For the reasons set forth above, the staff finds that Section 16.1 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR and Part 4 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application are acceptable and satisfy the requirements of 10 CFR 50.36; 10 CFR 50.36a, "Technical specifications on effluents from nuclear power reactors"; and 10 CFR 52.79(a)(30).

16.2 Design Reliability Assurance Program (Related to RG 1.206, Section C.III.1, Chapter 17, C.I.17.4, “Reliability Assurance Program Guidance”)

The D-RAP comprises the reliability assurance activities that assure that the plant is consistent with the certified design when fuel is loaded for the first time.

Section 16.2 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR, Revision 7, incorporates by reference, with no departures or supplements, Section 16.2, “Design Reliability Assurance Program,” of Revision 19 of the AP1000 DCD, which in turn refers to Section 17.4 for a description of the program. The results of the NRC staff’s technical evaluation of the information incorporated by reference in the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application are documented in NUREG-1793 and its supplements.

The NRC staff’s review of the applicant’s D-RAP is documented in Section 17.4 of this SER.

16.3 Investment Protection

16.3.1 Introduction

The AP1000 design includes active systems that provide defense-in-depth capabilities (identified as “investment protection” by the applicant) for RCS makeup and decay heat removal. These active systems are the first line of defense in reducing challenges to the passive systems in the event of transients or plant upsets. Most active systems in the AP1000 design are designated as nonsafety-related. Because some active systems reduce challenges to safety-related systems to a significant degree, short-term availability controls are necessary to provide reasonable assurance that these SSCs are operable during anticipated events.

A detailed evaluation of the regulatory treatment of nonsafety systems for the AP1000 design, and the concept of investment protection, is addressed in Chapter 22 of NUREG-1793.

16.3.2 Summary of Application

Section 16.3 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR, Revision 7, incorporates by reference Section 16.3 of the AP1000 DCD, Revision 19.

In addition, in Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR Section 16.3, the applicant provided the following:

AP1000 COL Information Item

- STD COL 16.3-1

The applicant provided additional information in Standard (STD) COL 16.3-1 to address COL Information Item 16.3-1. This item is related to the development of a procedure to control the operability of investment protection SSCs.

16.3.3 Regulatory Basis

The regulatory basis of the information incorporated by reference, and the additional information presented in this application, is addressed in the Final Safety Evaluation Report (FSER) related to the DCD.

16.3.4 Technical Evaluation

The NRC staff reviewed Section 16.3 of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR and checked the referenced DCD to ensure that the combination of the DCD and the COL application represents the complete scope of information relating to this review topic.¹ The NRC staff's review confirmed that the information in the application and incorporated by reference addresses the required information relating to SSCs required for defense-in-depth. The results of the NRC staff's evaluation of the information incorporated by reference in the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application are documented in NUREG-1793 and its supplements.

Section 1.2.3 of this SER provides a discussion of the strategy used by the NRC to perform one technical review for each standard issue outside the scope of the DC and use this review in evaluating subsequent COL applications. To ensure that the staff's findings on standard content that were documented in the SER for the reference COL application (VEGP Units 3 and 4) were equally applicable to the Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 COL application, the staff undertook the following reviews:

- The staff compared the VEGP COL FSAR, Revision 5, to the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR. In performing this comparison, the staff considered changes made to the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR (and other parts of the COL application, as applicable) resulting from RAIs.
- The staff confirmed that all responses to RAIs identified in the corresponding standard content evaluation were endorsed.
- The staff verified that the site-specific differences were not relevant.

The staff has completed its review and found the evaluation performed for the standard content to be directly applicable to the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application. This standard content material is identified in this SER by use of italicized, double-indented formatting. Section 1.2.3 of this SER provides an explanation of why the standard content material from the SER for the reference COL application (VEGP) includes evaluation material from the SER for the BLN Units 3 and 4 COL application.

The following portion of this technical evaluation section is reproduced from Section 16.3.4 of the VEGP SER:

AP1000 COL Information Item

- *STD COL 16.3-1*

The applicant provided supplemental information by adding the following statement to DCD Section 16.3-1:

Station procedures govern and control the operability of investment protection systems, structures, and components in accordance with Table 16.3-2 of the DCD, and provide the operating staff with instruction for implementing required actions

when operability requirements are not met. Procedure development is addressed in FSAR Section 13.5.

Section 22.5.9 of the NRC staff's FSER related to the DCD (NUREG-1793) evaluated the short-term availability controls proposed by Westinghouse for important non-safety-related SSCs. The NRC staff concluded that the administrative controls for the SSCs required for defense in depth, listed in Table 16.3-2 of the AP1000 DCD, were acceptable. COL applicants referencing the AP1000 are responsible for developing a procedure to control the operability of these SSCs in accordance with DCD Table 16.3-2 (COL Information Item 16.3.2-1 [16.3-1]).

The applicant's response to STD COL 16.3-1 is acceptable because there were no exceptions taken to the list of SSCs required for defense in depth nor to the administrative procedures included in AP1000 DCD Table 16.3-2. The applicant also committed to place this information in station procedures. The information in DCD Table 16.3-2 also provides the operating staff with instruction for implementing required actions when operability requirements are not met.

16.3.5 Post Combined License Activities

There are no post-COL activities related to this section.

16.3.6 Conclusion

The NRC staff reviewed the application and checked the referenced DCD. The staff's review confirmed that the applicant has addressed the relevant information relating to this section, and no outstanding information related to this section remains to be addressed in the Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 COL FSAR. The results of the NRC staff's technical evaluation of the information incorporated by reference in the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application are documented in NUREG-1793 and its supplements.

In addition, the staff concludes that the relevant information presented in the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL FSAR is acceptable based on the regulatory basis addressed in NUREG-1793. The staff based its conclusion on the following:

- STD COL 16.3-1, as related to SSCs required for defense-in-depth, is acceptable because it states that station procedures will govern and control the operability of these SSCs, in accordance with Table 16.3-2 of the AP1000 DCD, without exceptions. The information in DCD Table 16.3-2 also provides the operating staff with guidance for taking required actions when operability requirements are not met.