



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

June 13, 2016

Mr. Peter A. Gardner
Site Vice President
Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant
Northern States Power Company-Minnesota (NSPM)
2807 West County Road 75
Monticello, MN 55362-9637

SUBJECT: MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT - REQUEST FOR
WITHHOLDING INFORMATION FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE
(CAC NO. MF6730)

Dear Mr. Gardner:

By letter dated May 18, 2016 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML16146A059), you submitted an affidavit dated May 9, 2016, executed by James A. Gresham, Westinghouse Electric Company LLC (Westinghouse), requesting that the information contained in the following document be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Part 2, Section 2.390:

Westinghouse Letter, LTR-BWR-ENG-16-020 P-Attachment, "Responses to the U.S. NRC Requests for Additional Information Relative to the Monticello Perforated Plate Analysis" (Proprietary)

The affidavit stated that the submitted information should be considered exempt from mandatory public disclosure for the following reasons:

- (a) The information reveals the distinguishing aspects of a process (or component structure, tool, method, etc.) where prevention of its use by any of Westinghouse's competitors without license from Westinghouse constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies.
- (b) It consists of supporting data, including test data, relative to a process (or component, structure, tool, method, etc.), the application of which data secures a competitive economic advantage, e.g., by optimization or improved marketability.
- (c) Its use by a competitor would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing a similar product.

We have reviewed your application and the material in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.390 and Section 103(b) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. The basis of the statements in the affidavit have determined that the submitted information sought to be withheld contains proprietary commercial information and should be withheld from public disclosure.

P. Gardner


- 2 -

Withholding from public inspection shall not affect the right, if any, of persons properly and directly concerned to inspect the documents. If the need arises, we may send copies of this information to our consultants working in this area. We will, of course, ensure that the consultants have signed the appropriate agreements for handling proprietary information.

If the basis for withholding this information from public inspection should change in the future such that the information could then be made available for public inspection, you should promptly notify the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). You also should understand that the NRC may have cause to review this determination in the future, for example, if the scope of a Freedom of Information Act request includes your information. In all review situations, if the NRC makes a determination adverse to the above, you will be notified in advance of any public

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I may be reached at 301-415-3733.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. Kuntz', is written over a faint, circular stamp or watermark.

Robert F. Kuntz, Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch III-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-263

cc: Distribution via Listserv

P. Gardner

- 2 -

Withholding from public inspection shall not affect the right, if any, of persons properly and directly concerned to inspect the documents. If the need arises, we may send copies of this information to our consultants working in this area. We will, of course, ensure that the consultants have signed the appropriate agreements for handling proprietary information.

If the basis for withholding this information from public inspection should change in the future such that the information could then be made available for public inspection, you should promptly notify the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). You also should understand that the NRC may have cause to review this determination in the future, for example, if the scope of a Freedom of Information Act request includes your information. In all review situations, if the NRC makes a determination adverse to the above, you will be notified in advance of any public

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I may be reached at 301-415-3733.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Robert F. Kuntz, Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch III-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-263

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC

LPL3-1 R/F

RidsAcrcs_MailCTR Resource

RidsRgn3MailCenter Resource

RidsNrrDorlLpl3-1 Resource

RidsNrrPMMonticello Resource

RidsNrrLASRohrer Resource

RidsNrrDorlDpr Resource

ADAMS Accession No.: ML16160A086

*via email

OFFICE	NRR/DORL/LPL3-1/PM	NRR/DORL/LPL3-1/LA	NRR/DE/EMCB/BC*
NAME	RKuntz	SRohrer	YLi
DATE	6/09/2016	6/08/2016	6/09/2016
OFFICE	NRR/DORL/LPL3-1/BC	NRR/DORL/LPL3-1/PM	
NAME	DWrona	RKuntz	
DATE	6/10/2016	6/13/2016	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY