



U.S.NRC

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Protecting People and the Environment

Current Fuel System Regulatory Guidance and its Relation to Advanced Reactor Fuel Development

Jeff Schmidt

Sr. Reactor Engineer

Office of New Reactors

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Guidance Overview

- NUREG-0800 (SRP) Section 4.2 provides fuel system designs review guidance
- Addresses fuel, fuel clad, reactivity control elements and components which make up the fuel assembly or bundle
- Provides reviewer guidance to assure that,
 - The fuel system is not damaged during normal operation and anticipated operational occurrences (AOOs)
 - Postulated accident fuel failures are not underestimated
 - Coolability and reactivity control is maintained for all Design Basis Events (DBE)
- Currently LWR-centric

Guidance and Regulation Relation

- The fuel system is not damaged during normal operation and anticipated operational occurrences (AOOs)
 - 10 CFR 50 Appendix A, General Design Criteria (GDC) 10
- Postulated accident fuel failures are not underestimated
 - 10 CFR Part 100, 10 CFR 50.67 or 10 CFR 52.47(a)(2)
- Coolability and reactivity control are maintained for all Design Basis Events (DBE)
 - 10 CFR 50 Appendix A, General Design Criteria (GDC) 27 and 35

Guidance and Advanced Fuels

- The staff reviews:
 - Fuel system design bases
 - Description and design drawings
 - Design Evaluation methods
 - Testing, inspections and surveillance plans
- The challenge of licensing advanced fuel designs primarily deals with establishing the fuel system design bases and design evaluation methods

Guidance and Advanced Fuels (cont)

- Fuel system design bases establish damage mechanisms and important limiting values to prevent exceeding acceptable limits based on the DBE classification
- Design evaluation method reviews address analytical models, operating experience, direct experimental comparisons to ensure the design bases are satisfied

Design Bases

- Fuel testing and qualification program is the key to developing the design bases and the tools to evaluate those bases
- Establishment of fuel design bases can be supported by:
 - Historical operational or pre-existing experimental data can be used if properly justified
 - If no existing or insufficient data is available, develop a test program to establish design bases
- Testing and qualification program should address exposure-dependent thermal, mechanical, chemical and nuclear properties

Design Basis Evaluation

- Methods of demonstrating the design bases include:
 - Operating experience
 - Prototype Testing
 - Analytical Predictions
- Operating experience
 - Usually used for criteria associated with normal operation and supported by post irradiation fuel examinations
- Prototype testing used to evaluate evolutionary design changes

Design Basis Evaluation (cont)

- Out of reactor tests should be performed when practical
 - Usually associate with fuel components
- In-reactor tests of new fuel designs are evaluated
 - In research reactors such as Halden
 - Through the used of lead test rods or assemblies in commercial reactors
 - Limits may be placed on the number and fuel duty
 - Usually associated with a post irradiation examination program
 - No detailed guidance on in-reactor prototype constraints
- Validated analytical models used to predict fuel behavior under DBEs

Design Basis Evaluation (cont)

- Reasonable assurance of predicting fuel behavior must be demonstrated for any licensing approach (e.g., prototype plant)
- Commercial licensing applications require
 - 10 CFR 50 Appendix B QA program
 - Qualified software quality assurance program

HTGR Fuel Licensing Perspective

- NRC Feedback on NGNP Fuel Qualification and Mechanistic Source Terms White Papers (ML14174A845)
 - No obvious impediments to licensing NGNP fuel were identified
- Staff recommended that confirmatory tests be performed in NGNP prototype plant including:
 - Special surveillance of fuel conditions, circulating activity, and plateout activity
 - Post-Irradiation Examination and Accident Heat-up Testing of fuel discharged from NGNP prototype plant

HTGR Fuel Licensing Perspective (cont)

- Assumes release rates from NGNP heat-up accidents will bound those from reactivity transients
- Ongoing and planned TRISO fuel development and qualification activities for NGNP are reasonable to support NGNP prototype plant
- Successful TRISO fuel qualification programs in Germany, Japan, and China have included real-time irradiations in HTGRs

SFR Fuel Licensing Perspective

- Pre-Application Safety Evaluation Reports NUREG-1369 (SAFR) and NUREG-1368 (PRISM)
 - Generally no obvious impediments to licensing were identified.
 - Needs were noted for additional design, analysis, testing, and research and development.
- Most recent pre-application interactions (Toshiba 4S and PRISM) have proposed metallic fuel

SFR Fuel Licensing Perspective (cont)

- Experience with characterizing some metallic fuel designs available from EBR-II and FFTF test programs
- Amount of additional characterization dependent on similarity of fuel and deviation from EBR-II operating parameters
- Behavior under transient conditions esp. fast reactivity transients needs further study

Conclusions

- SRP 4.2 covers fuel and fuel system
- SRP 4.2 currently LWR-centric
 - Identifies LWR fuel damage mechanisms
- SRP 4.2 philosophy still valid
 - Limited or no additional fuel failures during AOOs
 - Maintain reactivity control and coolability under PAs
- Advanced fuel design basis and evaluation methods need to be established
- NRC has pre-application experience primarily in the area of SFR and HTGR fuel designs