

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Duke Power Company
Oconee 2

Docket No. 50-270
License No. DPR-47

During an NRC inspection conducted on September 25 - October 29, 1994, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions", 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.4.1.e requires, in part, that the station shall be operated and maintained in accordance with approved procedures, and that written procedures with appropriate checkoff lists and instructions be provided for preventive or corrective maintenance which could affect nuclear safety or radiation exposure to personnel.

Duke Power Company Procedure IP/O/A/0310/004B, "Engineered Safeguards System Analog Channel B RC Pressure Channel Calibration," requires in step 10.7.3.a that channel B be placed in the "range" position.

Duke Power Company Procedure, IP/O/A/3955/01, "AC Watt Transducer, Two Element," step 10.7.17 requires that the test leads be removed. Step 10.8 requires that the watt transmitter be restored to service.

Contrary to the above, these requirements were not met in that:

1. On October 21, 1994, channel A, as opposed to channel B, was improperly placed in the "range" position during the performance of IP/O/A/0310/004B, resulting in an inadvertent Engineered Safeguards actuation.
2. On October 26, 1994, the test leads were not removed prior to restoring power to the equipment as required by procedure IP/O/A/3955/01, step 10.7.17, resulting in an undervoltage condition being sensed on switchgear 2TE.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I) applicable to Unit 2 only.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Duke Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the Oconee Nuclear Plant, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved.

If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia
this 23rd day of November 1994