

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Duke Power Company  
Oconee 1

Docket Nos. 50-269  
License Nos. DPR-38

During an NRC inspection conducted on April 26 - May 23, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

- A. Technical Specification 6.4.1 requires that the station be operated in accordance with approved procedures.

Operating Procedure, OP/1/A/1102/01, Unit Startup, requires that Reactivity Balance Procedure, PT/1/A/1103/15, be used to calculate Estimated Critical Position (ECP) and Estimated Boron Concentration (ECB) prior to going critical.

Contrary to the above, the station was not operated in accordance with procedure OP/1/A/1102/01 in that the Unit 2 Reactivity Balance Procedure, PT/2/A/1103/15 was used on several occasions on May 11 to calculate the Unit 1 ECP and ECB.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I) and is applicable to Unit 1 only.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Duke Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified,

suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia  
this 12th day of June 1992