## ENCLOSURE 1

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Duke Power Company Oconee 1, 2 and 3

Docket Nos. 50-269, -270, and -287 License Nos. DPR-38, -47, and -55

During an NRC inspection conducted on January 5 - February 1, 1992, violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1991), the violations are listed below:

Technical Specification 6.4.1 requires that the station be operated and Α. maintained in accordance with approved procedures and that appropriate written instructions be provided for activities involving nuclear safety.

Procedure OP/O/A/1503/09, Documentation of Fuel Assemblies and/or Component Shuffle Within a Spent Fuel Pool, controls the movement of fuel assemblies and control elements within the spent fuel pool.

Contrary to the above, procedure OP/O/A/1503/09, Documentation of Fuel Assemblies and/or Component Shuffle Within a Spent Fuel Pool was inadequate in that it did not contain guidance or precautions against moving a fuel assembly containing a control element to the holddown spring replacement storage rack location. This resulted in damage to a Unit 2 fuel assembly and control rod on January 29, 1992.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I).

Β. Technical Specification 6.4.1 requires that the station be operated and maintained in accordance with approved procedures.

Maintenance Directive 7.5.3, Work Request Implementation, requires that disconnection/reconnection of wiring be documented and independently verified on Section V of the work request "Additional Sheet".

Contrary to the above, on January 12, 1992, Maintenance Directive 7.5.3 was not followed during the performance of work request 91020832, Perform Diagnostic Test on 2HP-26, in that wires were disconnected/reconnected and replaced during the performance of the work request and not properly documented and independently verified on Section V of the work request.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I) and applies only to Unit 2.





9203180126 92022 PDR ADDCK 65000269

PDR

Duke Power Company Oconee 1, 2 and 3 Docket Nos. 50-269, -270, and -287 License Nos. DPR-38, -47, and -55

С.

D.

Technical Specification 6.4.1 requires that the station be operated and maintained in accordance with approved procedures.

2

Operating Procedure OP/O/A/1107/03A, Charging Standby Busses From Lee Steam Station, Step 3.2.3 requires that a Lee gas turbine be started per section 3.0 of the Lee Steam Station Emergency Power or Backup Power to Oconee procedure.

Contrary to the above, OP/O/A/1107/03A was not followed in that on January 13, 1992, Step 3.2.3 was not performed and the Oconee Standby Busses were connected to the Lee station switchyard rather than an isolated Lee gas turbine resulting in an unqualified power source being connected to the standby busses.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I).

Technical Specification 6.4.1 requires that the station be operated and maintained in accordance with approved procedures.

Maintenance Procedure MP/0/A/1720/10, System/Component Hydrostatic Test, requires that loosened instrument test tee fittings be tightened and independently verified prior to returning instruments to service.

Contrary to the above, on January 27, 1992, the Unit 1 reactor coolant makeup pump pressure and flow instruments were returned to service after a hydrostatic test without the test tees being tightened resulting in a test tee cap blowing off when the pump was started for performance testing. The procedure steps verifying that the test tees had been tightened had been signed off in the procedure as having been accomplished.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I) and applies only to Unit 1.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Duke Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia this 27th day of February 1992