

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Duke Power Company
Oconee Unit 1

Docket No. 50-269
License No. DPR-38

During an NRC inspection conducted on August 26 - September 28, 1991, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1991), the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.4.1 requires that the station be operated and maintained in accordance with approved procedures.

1. Procedure OP/1/A/1104/08, Component Cooling System, Enclosure 3.4, Shutdown of Component Cooling System, specifically requires that all control rod drives be deenergized prior to shutdown of the Component Cooling System.

Contrary to the above, Unit 1 was not maintained in accordance with procedure OP/1/A/1104/08 in that, on September 10, 1991, the component cooling system was shutdown with Group 8 control rod drives energized.

2. Procedure OP/1/A/1103/02, Filling and Venting the RC System, requires that pressurizer level not be increased greater than 390 inches during the fill evolution.

Procedure OP/1/A/1103/11, Draining and Nitrogen Purging of RC System, Enclosure 3.9, Draining and Reference Levels, requires that the loops be dropped and pressurizer level be maintained less than 380 inches for the steam generator upper handhole to be removed.

Contrary to the above, Unit 1 was not maintained in accordance with procedures OP/1/A/1103/02 or OP/1/A/1103/11 in that, on September 16, 1991, pressurizer level was allowed to increase to 400 inches (the height of the upper instrument tap) with the steam generator upper handhole removed.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I) and applies to Unit 1 only.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Duke Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of

the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Alan R. Herdt, Chief
Reactor Projects Branch 3
Division of Reactor Projects

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia
this 25th day of Oct. 1991