

August 17, 2016

MEMORANDUM TO: Kevin Hsueh, Chief  
Licensing Processes Branch  
Division of Policy and Rulemaking  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Brian Benney, Senior Project Manager /RA/  
Licensing Processes Branch  
Division of Policy and Rulemaking  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF APRIL 29, 2016, MEETING WITH THE NUCLEAR  
ENERGY INSTITUTE TO DISCUSS LOW RISK AND LOW SAFETY  
SIGNIFICANT COMPLIANCE ISSUES

On April 29, 2016, a Category 2 public meeting was held between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and representatives of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) at NRC Headquarters, Three White Flint North, 11601 Landsdown Street, North Bethesda, Maryland. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss improving the efficiency of handling low risk compliance issues. The meeting notice and agenda are available in the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) under Package Accession No. ML16145A417.

The purpose of the meeting was for NEI to share its industry operability guidance initiative and give the NRC staff an update on what the industry effort is all about. Slides are available under ADAMS Accession No. ML16118A049. During the high level presentation, the NEI representatives expressed a desire to restore Generic Letter 91-18 separation of concepts (determining operability versus restoration of a deficient condition). The NRC staff is eager to understand and is looking forward to receiving a copy of the guidance document.

The NRC staff had a few questions, which the NEI representatives agreed to consider as they develop the guidance. Specifically,

- 1) Would the guidance affect the TRM definition of operability?
- 2) Could the industry working group address how reliability affects operability?
- 3) Could the guidance consider the AP1000, and how the operability concepts may differ?
- 4) How the changes to the operability guidance Initiative will be controlled?
- 5) How would the staff will be kept informed of any changes to the Initiative?
- 6) Are international agencies participating in the development of the initiative?

It was agreed to hold another meeting/workshop.

Project No. 689

August 17, 2016

MEMORANDUM TO: Kevin Hsueh, Chief  
Licensing Processes Branch  
Division of Policy and Rulemaking  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Brian Benney, Senior Project Manager /RA/  
Licensing Processes Branch  
Division of Policy and Rulemaking  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF APRIL 29, 2016, MEETING WITH THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE TO DISCUSS LOW RISK AND LOW SAFETY SIGNIFICANT COMPLIANCE ISSUES

On April 29, 2016, a Category 2 public meeting was held between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and representatives of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) at NRC Headquarters, Three White Flint North, 11601 Landsdown Street, North Bethesda, Maryland. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss improving the efficiency of handling low risk compliance issues. The meeting notice and agenda are available in the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) under Package Accession No. ML16145A417.

The purpose of the meeting was for NEI to share its industry operability guidance initiative and give the NRC staff an update on what the industry effort is all about. Slides are available under ADAMS Accession No. ML16118A049. During the high level presentation, the NEI representatives expressed a desire to restore Generic Letter 91-18 separation of concepts (determining operability versus restoration of a deficient condition). The NRC staff is eager to understand and is looking forward to receiving a copy of the guidance document.

The NRC staff had a few questions, which the NEI representatives agreed to consider as they develop the guidance. Specifically,

- 1) Would the guidance affect the TRM definition of operability?
- 2) Could the industry working group address how reliability affects operability?
- 3) Could the guidance consider the AP1000, and how the operability concepts may differ?
- 4) How the changes to the operability guidance Initiative will be controlled?
- 5) How would the staff will be kept informed of any changes to the Initiative?
- 6) Are international agencies participating in the development of the initiative?

It was agreed to hold another meeting/workshop.

Project No. 689

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	RidsNrrLADHarrison	RidsNrrOd	RidsOpaMail
RidsNrrDirs	RidsOgcMailCenter	RidsNrrDssStsb	KHsueh
RElliott	RidsACRS_MailCTR	PLPB R/F	
BBenney			

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

MONTGOMERY, Bruce [bsm@nei.org](mailto:bsm@nei.org)

**ADAMS Accession Nos.: Package (ML16145A417); Summary (ML16145A445); Notice (ML16112A337); Slides (ML16118A049); \*via e-mail**

**NRC-001**

OFFICE	DPR/PLPB/PM	DPR/PLPB/LA*	DSS/STSB/BC*	DPR/PLPB/BC	DPR/PLPB/PM
NAME	BBenney	DHarrison	RElliott	KHsueh	BBenney
DATE	07/13/2016	07/7/2016	05/26/2016	08/11/2016	08/ /2016

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY