

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION II 101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

APR 7 1980

In Reply Refer To: RII:JPO 50-369, 50-370 50-269, 50-270 50-287, 50-413 50-414

> Duke Power Company Attn: W. O. Parker, Jr. Vice President, Steam Production P. O. Box 2178 Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Gentlemen:

The enclosed Bulletin 80-08 is forwarded to you for action. A written response is required. If you desire additional information regarding this matter, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

James P. O'Reilly Director

8004180 358

Enclosures:

- IE Bulletin No. 80-08
 Listing of IE Bulletins
 - Recently Issued

APR 7 1980

Duke Power Company

cc w/encl: J. W. Hampton, Station Manager Post Office Box 392 Clover, South Carolina 29710 -2-

D. G. Beam, Project Manager Post Office Box 223 Clover, South Carolina 29710

M. D. McIntosh, Plant Manager Post Office Box 488 Cornelius, North Carolina 28031

J. C. Rogers, Project Manager Post Office Box 33189 Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

J. E. Smith, Station Manager Post Office Box 1175 Seneca, South Carolina 29678

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

SSINS No.: 6820 Accessions No.: 7912190650

April 7, 1980

IE Bulletin No. 80-08

EXAMINATION OF CONTAINMENT LINER PENETRATION WELDS

Description of Circumstances:

On March 20-23, 1979, an NRC inspection at Nine Mile Point Unit 2, identified that certain nondestructive examinations performed on containment penetration welds did not satisfy the applicable ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code requirements. The welds in question were the primary piping containment penetration flued head (integral fitting) to outer sleeve welds which form a part of the containment pressure boundary. The examinations performed included ultrasonic and surface inspections of the outer surface.

Subsequent to the identification of this code problem at Nine Mile Point Unit 2, three welds previously found to be acceptable using ultrasonics were radiographed and two revealed indications in excess of the code allowable. The indications revealed by radiography were slag and lack-of-fusion. Preliminary NRC review indicates that the probable reason the indications were not detected by ultrasonics was due to masking from signals received from the backing bar. As a result of these findings, a complete re-examination program at Nine Mile Point Unit 2 was initiated wherein 10 of 17 welds previously examined and found to be acceptable using ultrasonics, were re-examined by radiography before rework and found to have indications exceeding ASME Code allowables.

Additional information concerning Beaver Valley Unit 2 and North Anna 3 and 4 has also shown cases of flued head piping penetration weld defects exceeding ASME B&PV Code acceptance criteria when radiographed. Original approved vendor procedures at Beaver Valley Unit 2 did not require volumetric examination. Radiography for information purposes disclosed the unacceptable indications at North Anna 3 and 4. Specification deficiencies have also been discovered at Millstone 3 and River Bend where radiography of these welds was not required.

The ASME B&PV Code requires radiography of the subject welds with specified exceptions. The licensees and their architect engineer (Stone and Webster) had specified ultrasonics as the volumetric examination method because, in their judgement, radiography was impractical for the penetration geometry. Radiography was successfully performed at North Anna 3 and 4 prior to the identification of this problem and at Beaver Valley 2 and Nine Mile Point 2 subsequent to NRC inspections. This experience indicates that radiography was meaningful and more practical than UT examination of these penetration welds when backing bars are present. IE Bulletin No. 80-08

April 7, 1980 Page 2 of 2

Action to be Taken by Licensee:

For all power reactor facilities with an operating license or a construction permit:

- 1. Determine if your facility contains the flued head design for penetration connections, or other designs with containment boundary butt weld(s) between the penetration sleeve and process piping as illustrated in Figure NE 1120-1, Winter 1975 Addenda to the 1974 and later editions of the ASME B&PV Code.
- 2. If an affirmative answer is reached for Item 1, determine the following:
 - a. Applicability of the ASME Code including year and addenda and/or Regulatory Guide 1.19,
 - b. Type of nondestructive examinations performed during construction,
 - c. Type of weld joint (including pipe material and size) and whether or not backing bars were used,
 - d. Results of construction nondestructive examinations, i.e., if repairs were required, this should be identified including extent of repairs and description of defects encountered during repair, if known.
- 3. For those facilities committed during construction to perform volumetric examination of such penetrations through SAR commitments which have not performed radiography, justify not performing radiography or submit plans and schedules for performing radiographic examinations.

Within 90 days of the date of this Bulletin, facilities with an operating license or a construction permit shall submit the information requested in Items 1, 2, and 3 of this Bulletin.

Reports shall be submitted to the Regional Director with a copy to the Director, Division of Reactor Construction Inspection, Washington, D. C. 20555.

Approved by GAO, B180225 (R0072); clearance expires 7-31-80. Approval was given under a blanket clearance specifically for identified generic problems.

IE Bulletin No. 80-08 April 07, 1980

RECENTLY ISSUED IE BULLETINS

Bulletin No.	Subject	Date Issued	Issued To
80-08	Examination of Containment Liner Penetration Welds	4/7/80	All power reactors facilities with a CP or OL
80-07	BWR Jet Pump Assembly	4/4/80	GE BWR facilities with a CP and GE BWR-3 and BWR-4 facilities with an OL.
79-03A	Longitudinal Weld Defects In ASME SA-312 Type 304 Stainless Steel Pipe	4/4/80	All power reactor facilities with an OL or CP
80-06	Engineered Safety Feature (ESF) Reset Controls	3/13/80	All power reactor facilities with an OL
80-05	Vacuum Condition Resulting In Damage To Chemical Volume Control System (CVCS) Holdup Tanks	3/10/80	All PWR power reactor facilities holding OLs and to those with a CP
79-01B	Environmental Qualification of Class IE Equipment	2/29/80	All power reactor facilities with an OL
80-04	Analysis of a PWR Main Steam Line Break With Continued Feedwater Addition	2/8/80	All PWR reactor facilities holding OLs and to those nearing licensing
80-03	Loss of Charcoal From Standard Type II, 2 Inch, Tray Adsorber Cells	2/6/80	All holders of Power Reactor OLs and CPs
80-02	Inadequate Quality Assurance for Nuclear	1/21/80	All BWR licenses with a CP or OL
80-01	Operability of ADS Valve Pneumatic Supply	1/11/80	All BWR power reactor facilities with and OL
79-01B	Environmental Qualification of Class IE Equipment	1/14/80	All power reactor facilities with an OL
79-28	Possible Malfunction of Namco Model EA 180 Limit Switches at Elevated Temperatures	12/7/79	All power reactor facilities with an OL or a CP

Enclosure