FEBRUARY 2 3 1979

Dockets Nos.: 50-269

50 - 270

and (50-287)

Mr. William O. Parker. Jr. Vice President - Steam Production

Duke Power Company:

P. O. Box 2178

422 South Church Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Parker:

RE: OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS NOS. 1, 2 AND 3

By letter dated September 26, 1977, we informed you of a limited beron dilution incident involving the contents of a spray additive tank at an operating PWR facility. In light of this you were asked to review potential boron dilution incidents at your facility to insure that a similar or other unreviewed method of boron dilution was not more limiting than had been previously analyzed and found acceptable.

DISTRIBUTOON: Docket File (3) NRC PDR (3) L PDR XXX ORB#4 Rdq

NRR Rda

RIngram CNelson **MFairtile** Atty, OELD I&E (5) **RVollmer**

RReid

TERA .

JRBUchanan

ACRS (16)

BGrimes

TJCarter Gray File

Your response of December 20, 1977, indicates that you have neviewed potential boron dilution incidents at your facility and have determined that the FSAR analysis of this event still represents the most limiting case. Based on your response no further action regarding this generic issue is: necessary.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Robert W. Reid, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #4 Division of Operating Reactors

cc: See next page

7903260176



	OFFICE >>	ORB#4:DOR	ORB#4:DOR	C=0RB#4±D0R			
٠.		MFairtile	CNelson	RReid		,	
•	DATE≱	2/23/79	2/ /79	2/ /79	, .		
	54167		1	1			



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

February 23, 1979

Dockets Nos.:

50-269

50-270

and

50-287

Mr. William O. Parker, Jr. Vice President - Steam Production Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Parker:

RE: OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS NOS. 1, 2 AND 3

By letter dated September 26, 1977, we informed you of a limited boron dilution incident involving the contents of a spray additive tank at an operating PWR facility. In light of this you were asked to review potential boron dilution incidents at your facility to insure that a similar or other unreviewed method of boron dilution was not more limiting than had been previously analyzed and found acceptable.

Your response of December 20, 1977, indicates that you have reviewed potential boron dilution incidents at your facility and have determined that the FSAR analysis of this event still represents the most limiting case. Based on your response no further action regarding this generic issue is necessary.

Sincerely.

morton B. Fairtile for

Robert W. Reid, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #4 Division of Operating Reactors

cc: See next page Duke Power Company

cc: Mr. William L. Porter Duke Power Company Post Office Box 2178 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esquire DeBevoise & Liberman 700 Shoreham Building 806 15th Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20005

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II Office of Inspection and Enforcement ATTN: Mr. Francis Jape 101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. Robert B. Borsum
Babcock & Wilcox
Nuclear Power Generation Division
Suite 420, 7735 Old Georgetown Road
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Manager, LIS NUS Corporation 2536 Countryside Boulevard Clearwater, Florida 33515

Oconee Public Library 201 South Spring Street Walhalla, South Carolina 29691