



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 23, 1996

MEMORANDUM TO: Ashok C. Thadani, Associate Director
for Technical Review

~~Roy P. Zimmerman~~, Associate Director
for Projects

Thomas T. Martin, Director
Division of Reactor Program Management

FROM: William T. Russell, Director *W. Russell*
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS TO NRR DPV REVIEW PANEL ON THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF BULLETIN 80-04 AT OCONEE

In a memorandum dated July 25, 1996, a differing professional view (DPV) was expressed regarding the implementation of Bulletin 80-04 at Oconee. The ad hoc NRR DPV Review Panel reviewed the DPV. The result of their review is enclosed.

The review identified the following recommendations:

- (1) The staff re-review the Oconee response to Bulletin 80-04 to ensure that the licensee's corrective action is appropriate and consistent with what was accepted on other plants. (DSSA due: 1/97)
- (2) The staff re-review the closeouts of other plants for Bulletin 80-04 to ensure that the licensees' corrective actions are appropriate. The review should also evaluate the overall safety implications of isolating auxiliary feedwater. (DSSA due: 4/97)
- (3) The staff review the possible generic implications of the Oconee and other licensee containment code analysis error(s). (DRPM/DSSA due: 11/97)
- (4) Staff guidance and training be provided for the following process lessons learned;

Implementing modifications prior to submitting technical specification amendments is not an acceptable practice. (ADP due: 6/97)

Identifying possible generic implications such as the mistake in the input assumptions of the codes used to support containment analysis. (DRPM due: 10/97)

Appropriately documenting the basis for regulatory decisions and technical reviews. (ADP/ADT due: 6/97)

9706120354 970610
PDR ADOCK 05000269
G PDR

The appropriate process for reviewing revised responses to multiplant actions. (ADP due: 10/97)

- (5) The results of this review should be forwarded to the working group which is currently reviewing 10 CFR 50.59 issues, to ensure that the lessons learned are appropriately incorporated in the 50.59 Action Plan. (ADT due: 10/96)

Provide your response to me by the above listed completion dates.

Enclosure: As stated