

Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Spring City, Tennessee 37381

May 10, 2016

10 CFR 50.73

ATTN: Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

> Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1 Facility Operating License No. NPF-90 NRC Docket No. 50-390

Subject: Licensee Event Report 390/2016-003-00, Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement Not Met During Emergency Core Cooling System Venting

This submittal provides Licensee Event Report (LER) 390/2016-003-00. This LER provides details concerning a failure to meet Surveillance Requirement 3.5.2.3 during ECCS Venting. This report is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

Please direct any questions concerning this matter to Gordon Arent, WBN Licensing Director, at (423) 365-2004.

Respectfully,

Paul Simmons Site Vice President Watts Bar Nuclear Plant

Enclosure cc: See Page 2 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 May 10, 2016

cc (Enclosure):

NRC Regional Administrator - Region II NRC Senior Resident Inspector - Watts Bar Nuclear Plant

	0.011									2)//		0450 044				50	10/04/0040
. NRC F (11-2015)	. NRC FORM 300 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO								APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 10/31/2018								
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See Page 2 for required number of digits/characters for each block)							Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Privacy and Information Collections Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by intermet e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.										
1. FACI	LITY N	AME							2. DO	2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE							
Watt	Watts Bar Nuclear Plant						0500	05000390 1 OF 6									
4. TITLI	E																
Tech	Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement Not Met During Emergency Core Cooling System Venting																
5. E	VENT	DATE	6	5. LER N	UMBER		7. F	REPORT D	ATE		8.	OTHER	FACIL	ITIES INV	OLVE	D	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV NUMBER NO.			rev No.	MONTH	ONTH DAY YEAR				N/A				DOCKET NUMBER	
03	11	2016	2016	- 003	3 -	00	05	10	2016			N/A				1	N/A
9. OPE	RATIN	G MODE	11.	THIS R	EPORTIS	SUB	NITTED P	URSUAN	т то тн	IE	REQUIREMENT	S OF 1) CFR	§: (Check	all th	nat a	pply)
			20).2201(b)			20.2	203(a)(3)(i)		50.73(a)	(2)(ii)(A)		50).73(a)(2)(viii)(A)
			20.2201(d)				20,2203(a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)				50).73(a)(2)(viii)(B)
	1		20,2203(a)(1)				20 2203(a)(4)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)				50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)			
			20.2203(a)(2)(i)				50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A))	50.73(a)(2)(x)			
10. PO	VER LE	EVEL	20.2203(a)(2)(ii)			50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)		A)	50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)				73.71(a)(4)				
		:	20.2203(a)(2)(iii)			50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)			,	7:	3.71(a)(5)		
			20.2203(a)(2)(iv)			50.46(a)(3)(ii)				🔲 50.73(a)	(2)(v)(C))	73.77(a)(1)				
	100		20.2203(a)(2)(v)				50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)				73.77(a)(2)(i)			
			20.2203(a)(2)(vi)				50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)) 50.73(a)(2)(vii)				7:	3.77(a)(2)(ii)
							50.7	/3(a)(2)(i)((C)			Speci	y in Abst	tract below or i	n NRC I	Form :	366A
						12. L	ICENSEE		TFOR	TH	IS LER						
	CONTAC mas P	⊤ . Morgar	ı										FELEPHO	оле NUMBEF 423-3	65-1	de Are 557	ea Code)
			13. CON	IPLETE	ONE LIN	E FOR	EACH C	OMPONE	NT FAIL	.UF	RE DESCRIBED	IN THIS	S REPO	ORT			
CAUS	E	SYSTEM	COMF	PONENT	MANU FACTUR	I- RER	REPORTA TO EPI	BLE X	CAUSE		SYSTEM	COMPO	NENT	MANU- FACTUR	R	RE	PORTABLE
14. SUP	PLEME	NTAL RE	PORT EX	PECTE)			6449			15. EXP	ECTED		MONTH	DA	Y	YEAR
	ES (If y	es, comple	te 15. EX.	PECTEL	SUBMIS	SION	DATE)	NO 🛛			SUBN		1				
ABSTRA	CT (Lim	nit to 1400 sj	oaces, i.e.,	approxim	ately 15 sin	gle-spa	ced typew	ritten lines)									
On N	larch	11, 2016	, Watts	Bar Nu	Iclear P	lant (WBN) L	Jnit 1 co	nclude	d	that a conditio	on prol	nibited	d by Tec	hnica	al	
Spec	rman	on (TS) l ces of TS	_imiting S.Survei	Condit	ion tor (Require	Opera	tion (LC	CO) 3.5.2 5 2 3 D	2, ECC	;S na	 Operating, dequacies with 	had oo ith gas	curre	d during	rece	ent	
meth	odolo	gies for a	Safety I	njectio	n (SI) ar	nd Re	sidual F	leat Ren	noval (R	HR) system d	lischar	ge pip	oing, the	abilit	ty to	meet
TS S	R 3.5	.2.3 coul	d not be		nstrated	l, whi	ch is re	quired in	accor	da	ance with TVA	A's res	onse	e to NRC	Gen	neric	: Letter
Syste	ems."	This con	dition e	xisted	from Ma	rch 2	012 to I	Decemb	er 201	5.	In a subsequ	ent an	alysis	, WBN d	eterr	mine	ed that
the v	vorst	ase gas	accum	ulation	in SI an	d RH	R disch	arge pip	ing wo	ul	d not have af	fected	the a	bility of t	he S	l an	d RHR
syste take	ems tro n withi	om perio	orming the	neir sat mes V	ety tunc VBN was	สเons s in ค	. Howey conditio	ver, Deca on prohil	ause th bited by	ıe v ⁻	required action	ons of ecificat	ions.	JU 3.5.2	were	e no	N
TVA	TVA is reporting this issue pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).																

							Paç	je 2 of 6		
NRC FORM (11-2015)	366A		AR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 318 Estimated burden per response to complessons learned are incorporated into comments regarding burden estimate th F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commit Infocollects.Resource@mc.cgov, and to NEOR_1002_(3150-0104). Office of M	50-0104 by with this may the licensing the FOIA, Pi ssion, Washing the Desk Offic accement and	EXPIRE andatory collection request: & g process and fed back to rivacy and Information Colle gton, DC 20555-0001, or by er, Office of Information and B sudget Washington, DC 2000	S: 10/31/2018 30 hours. Reported b industry. Send ctions Branch (T-5 v internet e-mail to Regulatory Affairs, 0503. If a means		
⁷⁷ ****	• *	CONTINU	ATION S	HEEI	used to impose an information collection NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and collection.	n does not dis nd a person is	play a currently valid OMB of s not required to respond	control number, the to, the information		
1. FACILITY	NAM	E		2. DOC	KET NUMBER		3. LER NUMBER	र		
						YEAR		REV		
Watts Bar	Nuc	lear Plant		05000390		2016	- 003	- 00		
NARRATIVE										
I.	PLA	ANT OPERATING	CONDITION	NS BEFORE THE	EVENT					
	Wa	tts Bar Nuclear Pla	nt (WBN) U	nit 1 was in Mode	e 1 at 100 percent rated	thermal	power (RTP).			
II.	DESCRIPTION OF EVENT									
	Α.	Event								
		NRC Generic Lette principal concerns systems are full of	er GL-2008 of the GL v water, inclu	-01, was issued to vas the Licensing uding an assessn	o address gas accumula Basis for plants to verif nent of gas accumulatio	ation in t y emerg n to esta	he ECCS. One c ency core coolin blish operability.	of the Ig		
		releases in order to allow for evaluation of accumulated gas. WBN's response to GL 2008-01 was to install a number of additional high point vents in the affected systems and revise applicable procedures to provide for timing of gas releases in order to allow for evaluation of accumulated gas. WBN's response to GL 2008-01 did not include a specific methodology for quantifying gas accumulation in Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) discharge piping. However, WBN stated that any gas releases subsequent to purging of the vent pipe would indicate a condition requiring further evaluation in the Corrective Action Program. Technical Specification (TS) Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) Section 3.5.2 requires two ECCS trains to be operable, and TS Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.5.2.3 requires verification that the ECCS is full of water at a frequency of 31 days.								
		During review of the surveillance performed on December 9, 2015, it was discovered that WBN's surveillance requirement implementing procedures did not contain an adequate methodology for quantifying gas accumulation in Safety Injection (SI) [EIIS:BQ] and Residual Heat Removal (RHR) [EIIS:BP] discharge piping. In addition, there was no site-specific acceptance criteria for accumulated gas to fulfill the TS requirements of verifying the ECCS piping is full of water. Subsequent analysis demonstrated that the ECCS was capable of performing its safety function, even with the maximum possible amount of gas intrusion.								
	В.	Inoperable Structu	ires, Compo	onents, or Systen	s was met from March 2	e Event	Jecember 2015.			
		No moperable suu	ictures, con	iponents, or syste		event.				
	C.	Dates and Approx	imate Time	s of Occurrences						
		Date	Event							
		06/03/2011	WBN resp	onse to GL 2008	-01 closed out by NRC					
		01/31/2012	WBN rece	vives Non-Cited V	violation (NCV) related to	o GL 200	08-01 response			
		March 2012- December 2015	Surveillan accumulat	ce Instructions to tion in ECCS disc	satisfy TS 3.5.2 did not harge piping	adequa	tely quantify gas			
		12/09/2015	Surveillan invalid)	ce Instruction SR	1-SI-63-10.1-A perform	ned (late	er determined			

						Pag	e 3 of	6		
NRC FORM 366A (11-2015)	U.S. NUCLE	AR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	IN APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 10/31/201 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Report						
	b the licensing o the FOIA, P ssion, Washin the Desk Offic anagement and on does not dis nd a person i	sensing process and fed back to industry. Send DIA, Privacy and Information Collections Branch (T-5 Vashington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to k Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, ent and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means not display a currently valid OMB control number, the rison is not required to respond to, the information								
1. FACILITY NAM	E		2. DOC	KET NUMBER		3. LER NUMBER	ł			
Watts Bar Nuc	clear Plant		05000390		year 2016	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER - 003	REV NO. - 0(, 0		
NARRATIVE										
	Date	Event								
	02/17/2016	Missed Su	irveillance declar	ed						
	January 2016 - March 2016Revisions made to Surveillance Instructions for ECCS venting to perform a quantification of accumulated gas and clarify Acceptance Criteria.									
D.	Manufacturer and	Model Num	ber of Compone	nts that Failed						
	There were no fail	ed compon	ents associated v	vith this event.						
E.	Other Systems or	Secondary	Functions Affecte							
F	I nere were no systems or secondary functions affected by this event.									
Г.	welling of discovery of each component or System Failure of Procedural Error									
	There were no failed components associated with this event. There was however a failure to m SR 3.5.2.3 due to inadequate methodologies in surveillance requirement implementing procedu This was discovered during the review of Condition Report (CR) 1127959 which was initiated during the surveillance performed on December 9, 2015.							>		
G.	Failure Mode and	Effect of Ea	ach Failed Compo	onent						
	There were no component failures associated with this event.									
H.	Operator Actions									
	There was no actu	ual event re	quiring operator a	actions.						
Ι.	Automatically and	Manually Ir	nitiated Safety Sy	stem Responses						
	There were no automatic or manual system responses associated with this event.									
III. CA	AUSE OF THE EVENT									
Α.	The cause of each	n componer	nt or system failur	e or personnel error, if l	known.					
	There were no co	mponent or	system failures a	as a result of this event.						
В.	The cause(s) and	circumstan	ces for each hum	an performance related	root cau	ise.				
	An Event and Cau December 2015. I Engineering orgar as was required b	isal Factors t was detern nizations of y WBN's re	Analysis was pe mined that there the need to quan sponse to GL 200	rformed for the time per was a lack of understan tify gas accumulation as 08-01.	iod betw ding in b s a part o	een March 2012 oth the Operatio of meeting TS SF	and ns and ₹ 3.5.2.	.3,		

					Pag	je 4	of 6		
NRC FORM 366A	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 315	0-0104	EXPIRE	S: 1	0/31/2018		
LIC	ENSEE EVENT REF	PORT (LER) SHEET	Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Privacy and Information Collections Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs. NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information						
1. FACILITY NAME		2. DOC	KET NUMBER	3. LER NUMBER					
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant		05000390		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REV NO.		
					- 003	-	00		
NARRATIVE									
IV. ANALYS	SIS OF THE EVENT								

From March 2012 through September 2015, ECCS venting inside containment was accomplished by venting water into a bottle with entrained gas, then venting out the top through a totalizing meter used to measure the vented air. After the bottle was over-pressurized and cracked in July 2015, a new test rig was designed that used a series of break-down orifices and a stop watch to quantify the gas released. This test rig, utilized in November 2015 following the refueling and maintenance outages in September and November, was not successful in quantifying entrained gas, however; the venting was performed until there was a solid stream of water. In addition, the resulting times required to achieve a solid stream of water to verify the system was water solid were considered excessive and non-representative due to the significant amount of entrained gas. As a result, a new test rig was developed and utilized in December 2015. This new test rig replaced the plastic bottle with a steel bottle capable of withstanding higher pressures but did not have a means to directly verify a solid stream of water for satisfying the surveillance requirement. Subsequent to this surveillance, it was discovered that the flow meter used in the December 9, 2015. surveillance was not calibrated and was not included in the Measurement and Test Equipment program. These facts rendered the test invalid and as a result, a missed surveillance was declared. WBN's investigation determined that from the time period of March 2012 to December 2015, WBN Unit 1 surveillance requirement implementing procedures did not include an adequate methodology for quantifying gas accumulation in ECCS discharge piping, and there was no site-specific acceptance criteria for accumulated gas to fulfill the TS requirements of verifying the ECCS piping is full of water.

An analysis was performed for the time period between March 2012 and the missed surveillance in December 2015. The analysis demonstrated that the ECCS was capable of performing its safety function, even with the maximum possible amount of gas intrusion. A water hammer analysis was also performed to simulate a water hammer event postulated to occur after an SI signal, and concluded that the piping and components would have been able to perform their safety functions. In addition, a Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) concluded that since the ECCS safety function could have been performed during the time periods that the TS LCO 3.5.2 was determined to be not met, there is no increase to Core Damage Frequency.

Based on the above, there were no actual consequences as a result of the missed surveillances. WBN surveillance requirement implementing procedures have been revised to meet TS SR 3.5.2.3 requirements by utilizing ultrasonic detection and an engineering evaluation to determine any void volume is within acceptable limits.

V. ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

Analysis performed for the time period between March 2012 and the missed surveillance in December 2015, demonstrated that the ECCS was capable of performing its safety function, even with the maximum possible amount of gas intrusion. In addition, a PRA concluded there is no increase to Core Damage Frequency.

A. Availability of systems or components that could have performed the same function as the components and systems that failed during the event.

There were no failed components or systems. An analysis demonstrated that the ECCS was capable of performing its safety function, even with the maximum possible amount of gas intrusion.

						Pag	je 5 of 6				
NRC FORM (11-2015)	366A	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 315 Estimated burden per response to com	i0-0104 bly with this ma	EXPIRE andatory collection request: 8	S: 10/31/2018 30 hours. Reported				
		LICENSEE EVENT REP CONTINUATION S	ORT (LER) HEET	lessons learned are incorporated into comments regarding burden estimate to F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commis Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Ma used to impose an information collectio NRC may not conduct or sponsor, ar collection.	by the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send o the FOIA, Privacy and Information Collections Branch (T-5 ssion, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, anagement and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means on does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the nd a person is not required to respond to, the information						
1. FACILITY	NAM	E	2. DOC	KET NUMBER	3. LER NUMBER						
Watts Bar	Nuc	clear Plant	05000390		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.				
					2016	- 003	- 00				
NARRATIVE	_										
	В.	For events that occurred when needed to shutdown the react the release of radioactive mat	n the reactor was for and maintain s rerial, or mitigate f	shut down, availability safe shutdown condition the consequences of an	of syster s, remov accider	ns or componen /e residual heat, it	ts control				
	Not applicable.										
	C. For failure that rendered a train of a safety system inoperable, an estimate of the elapsed tim the discovery of the failure until the train was returned to service										
		There was no loss of operabil	ity. The ECCS wa	as capable of performing	g its safe	ety function.					
VI.	со	RRECTIVE ACTIONS									
	This event was entered into the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) Corrective Action Program a tracked under CR 1127959.										
	Α.	Immediate Corrective Actions	Ite Corrective Actions								
WBN surveillance requirement implementing procedures were revised requirements utilizing ultrasonic detection and an engineering evaluation is within acceptable limits.						TS SR 3.5.2.3 termine the void	volume				
	В.	Corrective Actions to Prevent	Recurrence								
		An Event and Causal Factors December 2015. It was detern accumulation as a part of mee A Performance Analysis/Train organizations. The analysis de Corrective actions also includ measurements at the high poi that venting activities meet the and 4) maintenance on check the source of the elevated gas maintenance is scheduled to b	Analysis was permined that there were the that there were the that there were the that the the the termined that the ter	erformed for the time per was a lack of understand 2.3, as was required by V sis was performed for E ere is a need for training of a Temporary Modifica , 2) quantification of void verify the pipes are full i 3-634 and 1-CKV-63-63 to the Cold Leg Injection re next Unit 1 refueling of	riod betw ding of th WBN's re ngineeri for both tion to ta size in n accord 5 which n lines. T putage.	veen March 2012 ne need to quant esponse to GL 2 ng and Operation n organizations. ake Ultrasonic (U each location, 3) lance with GL 20 were determined The check valve	2 and ify gas 008-01. ns IT) ensure 008-01, d to be				
VII.	AD	DITIONAL INFORMATION									
	Α.	Previous similar events at the	e same plant								
		In NRC Inspection Report (IF Green non-cited violation (Ne and Drawings," for the licens in emergency core cooling sy 10.1-A, "ECCS Discharge Pi "ECCS Discharge Pipes Ven gases inside ECCS to be ver on system operability. TVA e	R) 05000390/201 CV) of 10 CFR Pa ee's failure to est ystems. Specifica pes Venting – Tra tting – Train A Ou nted without bein entered this issue	1005 issued January 31 art 50, Appendix B, Crite tablish adequate proced ally, the operations surve ain A Inside Containmer itside Containment," Re g quantified and evaluat in the corrective action	, 2012, f erion V, ' lures to i eillance t eillance t nt," Rev v 1, coul ed for pe program	the NRC identifie (Instructions, Pro- dentify accumula est procedures, 1 and 1-SI-63-10 d allow accumul ptential adverse as PER 478095	ed a bocedures, ated gas 1-SI-63- 0.2-A, ated impacts 5. The				

						Paç	je 6 of 6
NRC FORM	366A	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 315	0-0104	EXPIRE	S: 10/31/2018
(11-2015)	a supervision of the supervision	LICENSEE EVENT REP CONTINUATION S	PORT (LER) GHEET	Estimated burden per response to comp lessons learned are incorporated into comments regarding burden estimate to F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commis Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to 1 NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Ma used to impose an information collectio NRC may not conduct or sponsor, ar collection.	bly with this main the licensing the FOIA, P ssion, Washing the Desk Offic anagement and n does not dis nd a person i	andatory collection request: 6 3 process and fed back to rivacy and Information Colleg 3 gton, DC 20555-0001, or by der, Office of Information and 5 Budget, Washington, DC 2 splay a currently valid OMB of s not required to respond	30 hours. Reported b industry. Send ctions Branch (T-5 / internet e-mail to l Regulatory Affairs, 20503. If a means control number, the to, the information
1. FACILITY	NAME		2. DOC	KET NUMBER		3. LER NUMBER	2
Watts Ba	ır Nuc	lear Plant	05000390		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	
					2016	- 003	- 00
NARRATIVE	E						
	В.	include steps to clarify when Valve on tubing, and specific changes to the process of veretrospect, these changes we have inadequate instructions was no site-specific acceptar Additional Information	stopwatch is to be ed use of ultrason enting were imple ere determined to s for quantifying g nce criteria for ac	ie started and stopped a nic testing to be preferred mented, and the Accept be ineffective. The pro- las accumulation in ECC cumulated gas.	ind direc d methor ance Cr cedure r S disch	tions for use of d of use. No oth iteria was not rev evisions were fo arge piping, and	rest er vised. In und to there
	<u> </u>	None.					
	C.	Safety System Functional Fa	ailure Considerati	on			
		This condition did not result i	in a safety systen	n functional failure.			
	D.	Scrams with Complications (Consideration				
		There was no scram associa	ated with this repo	ort.			
VIII.	CON	MMITMENTS					
	Non	IC.					