ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Duke Power Company Oconee Unit 1

Docket No. 50-269 License No. DPR-38

During the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection conducted February 18 - March 17, 1989, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. The violation involved inadequate procedures for testing a High Pressure Injection pump motor. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions." 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1988), the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.4.1 requires that the station be operated and maintained in accordance with approved procedures. Administrative Policy Manual (APM) Section 3.2, Testing, Section 3.2.3.3, Performance of Testing, states in part, that testing associated with QA Condition 1 systems and components shall be performed in accordance with written procedures using Temporary Test Procedures.

Contrary to the above, on January 16, 1989, APM 3.2.3.3 was not followed in that a test was performed on the 1B High Pressure Injection Pump motor without using an approved Temporary Test procedure resulting in placing the plant in an unanalyzed electrical power configuration.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I) and applies to Unit 1 only.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Duke Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, Oconee, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include [for each violation]: (1) admission or denial of the violation, (2) the reason for the violation if admitted, (3) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved, (4) the corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations, and (5) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time. If an adequate reply is not received

within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Alan R. Herot, Chief

Reactor Projects Branch 3

Division of Reactor Projects

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia this A day of March 1989 april Word