Facility: 2	Byron Date of Exam: 3/14/2016 Scenario Numbers: //	Z/3 Operation			163
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		а	Initials b*	c#
The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.			Ryp	p	2
The scenarios consist mostly of related events.			M	h	Z
3. Each e	event description consists of				
 the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 				bL	弘
The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.			VN	カー	72
 Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives. 				m	32
 If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given. 			Q#	pr	R
7. The simulator modeling is not altered.			170	BL	3Z
8. The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.				BL	3
 Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301. 				BL	δZ
10. All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).				p	8
11. The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)				the	δZ
 Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios). 			N	pr	Œ
13. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.			VI	BL	5
Targ	get Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes		:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	
1. Ma	lfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	11/12	340	m	١,
2. Ab	normal events (2-4)	41414	010	m	ن ا
3. Ma	njor transients (1–2)	21211	(KAY)	pr	d
4. EC	Ps entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	11111	VXV	m	02
5. EC	P contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	01010	231	m	3
6. EC	P based Critical tasks (2–3)	21312	1778	m	2
NOTE:	 * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. 				

Facility	Date of Exam: 3/14/2016 Scenario Numbers: 4	5 / Operatin	ng Test I	No.:20	11.30
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials		
			a	b*	c#
 The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events. 			(21)	Ar	R
2. Th	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.			pr	又
3. Each event description consists of					
 the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 			740	de	a
4. TI	4. The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.			m	Z
 Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives. 			Q40	BL	Œ
 If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given. 			Call	Be	2
7. Th	ne simulator modeling is not altered.		1/2/11	BL	2
de	deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.				Z
9. Ev	scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.				又
 All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios). 			270	KL	L
11. The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)			RAV	BL	Z
12. Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).			22P	BL	8
13. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.			177	Bu	R
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes	77.	HP 4	
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1111	and	BL	2
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4141	(PAP	h	JE
3.	Major transients (1–2)	1 1 1 1	1248	52	B
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1–2)	1 1 1 1	120	BL	2
5.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1111	24	bu	8
6.	EOP based Critical tasks (2–3)	2121	929	m	B
NOTE:	 * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. 				