



U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STANDARD REVIEW PLAN

NUREG-0800

- Formatted: Font: 12 pt
- Formatted: No widow/orphan control
- Formatted: Font: 12 pt

3.9.1 SPECIAL TOPICS FOR MECHANICAL COMPONENTS

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

REVIEW RESPONSIBILITIES

Primary - Organization responsible for mechanical engineering reviews

Secondary - None

I. AREAS OF REVIEW

- Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: I, II, III, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.75"
- Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

This Standard Review Plan (SRP) section addresses information in the safety analysis report (SAR) on methods of analysis for seismic Category I components and supports, including both those designated as American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (BPV Code), Section III Class 1, 2, 3, or core support and those not covered by the Code. Certain aspects of dynamic system analysis methods are addressed in SRP Section 3.9.2, "Dynamic Testing and Analysis of Systems, Structures, and Components," as well as this SRP section. Also reviewed is information on design transients for ASME BPV Code Class 1 components, component supports, reactor core support structures and reactor vessel internal components.

The specific areas of review are as follow:

1. Transients used in the design and fatigue analyses of all ASME Code Class 1 components, component supports, reactor core support structures and reactor vessel internal components.

Draft Revision 4 – August 2015
Revision 4 – December 2016

USNRC STANDARD REVIEW PLAN

This Standard Review Plan (SRP.) NUREG-0800, has been prepared to establish criteria that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff responsible for the review of applications to construct and operate nuclear power plants intends to use in evaluating whether an applicant/licensee meets the NRC regulations. The SRP is not a substitute for the NRC regulations, and compliance with it is not required. However, an applicant is required to identify differences between the design features, analytical techniques, and procedural measures proposed for its facility and the SRP acceptance criteria and evaluate how the proposed alternatives to the SRP acceptance criteria provide an acceptable method of complying with the NRC regulations.

The SRP sections are numbered in accordance with corresponding sections in Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.70, "Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants (LWR Edition)." Not all sections of RG 1.70 have a corresponding review plan section. The SRP sections applicable to a combined license application for a new light-water reactor (LWR) are based on RG 1.206, "Combined License Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (LWR Edition)." These documents are made available to the public as part of the NRC policy to inform the nuclear industry and the general public of regulatory procedures and policies. Individual sections of NUREG-0800 will be revised periodically, as appropriate, to accommodate comments and to reflect new information and experience. Comments may be submitted electronically by email to NRO_SRP@nrc.gov.

Requests for single copies of SRP sections (which may be reproduced) should be made to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Reproduction and Distribution Services Section, by fax to (301) 415-2289; or by email to DISTRIBUTION@nrc.gov. Electronic copies of this section are available through the NRC's public Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr0800/>, or in the NRC Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>, under ADAMS Accession No. [ML14227A637](#)ML16088A068.

2. Computer programs to be used in analyses of seismic Category I, ASME BPV Code and non-Code components listed in this SRP section.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

4.3. Experimental stress analyses to be used in lieu of theoretical stress analyses.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

2.4. The elastic-plastic stress analysis methods to be performed in the design of any components.

3.5. The environmental conditions to which all safety-related components will be exposed over the life of the plant.

4.6. Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria (ITAAC). For design certification (DC) and combined license (COL) reviews, the staff reviews the applicant's proposed ITAAC associated with the structures, systems, and components (SSCs) related to this SRP section in accordance with SRP Section 14.3, "Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria." The staff recognizes that the review of ITAAC cannot be completed until after the rest of this portion of the application has been reviewed against acceptance criteria contained in this SRP section. Furthermore, the staff reviews the ITAAC to ensure that all SSCs in this area of review are identified and addressed as appropriate in accordance with SRP Section 14.3.

5. COL Action Items and Certification Requirements and Restrictions. For a DC application, the review will also address COL action items and requirements and restrictions (e.g., interface requirements and site parameters).

7. For a COL application referencing a DC, a COL applicant must address COL action items (referred to as COL license information in certain DCs) included in the referenced DC. Additionally, a COL applicant must address requirements and restrictions (e.g., interface requirements and site parameters) included in the referenced DC.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Review Interfaces

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

Other SRP sections interface with this section as follows:

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

1. The review of the acceptability of the listed transients and the number of cycles and events expected over the service lifetime of the plant is performed under SRP Section 15.0-, "Introduction - Transient and Accident Analyses."

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.75"

2. The review of programs for ensuring bolting and threaded fastener adequacy and integrity are performed under SRP Section 3.13-, "Threaded Fasteners - ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3."

3. The review of seismic cyclic ground input loading is performed under SRP Sections 3.7.2, "Seismic System Analysis." and 3.7.3, "Seismic Subsystem Analysis." where the methods for determining the seismic cyclic loading to be used for fatigue analysis of appropriate components are provided.

Formatted: Tab stops: 2.5", Left + Not at 1.75"

4. The review of the consideration given to minimize degradation of materials due to corrosion based upon the environmental conditions to which equipment will be exposed is performed under SRP Section 6.1.1-, "Engineered Safety Features Materials."

5. The design of ASME BPV Code Class 1, 2, and 3 components, component supports, and core support structures is reviewed under SRP Section 3.9.3-, "ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 Components, and Component Supports, and Core Support Structures." The design of reactor vessel internal components is reviewed under SRP Sections 3.9.4-, "Control Rod Drive Systems." and 3.9.5-, "Reactor Pressure Vessel Internals."

The specific acceptance criteria and review procedures are contained in the referenced SRP sections.

II. ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

Requirements

Acceptance criteria are based on meeting the relevant requirements of the following Commission regulations:

1. Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," Appendix A, "General Design Criterion" (GDC) 1, "Quality Standards and Reports," as to the requirement that SSCs be designed, fabricated, erected, and, tested to quality standards commensurate with the importance of the safety functions to be performed.
2. GDC 2, "Design Bases for Protection against Natural Phenomena," as to the requirement that SSCs be designed to withstand seismic events without loss of capability to perform their safety functions.
3. GDC 14, "Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary," as to the requirement that the reactor coolant pressure boundary (RCPB) be designed, fabricated, erected and tested so as to have an extremely low probability of abnormal leakage, of rapidly propagating failure, and of gross rupture.
4. GDC 15, "Reactor Coolant System Design," as to the requirement that the reactor coolant system and associated auxiliary, control and protection systems be designed with sufficient margin to assure that the design conditions of the reactor coolant pressure boundary are not exceeded during any condition of normal operation, including anticipated operational occurrences.
5. 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants," Section III, "Design Control," as it relates to quality of design control using the quality assurance criteria provided.

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt, Keep with next, Keep lines together

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: I, II, III, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.75", Keep with next, Keep lines together

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.75"

Formatted: Tab stops: 2.5", Left + Not at 1.75"

6. 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix S, "Earthquake Engineering Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," as it relates to the suitability of the plant design bases for mechanical components established in consideration of site seismic characteristics.
7. 10 CFR 52.47(b)(1), which requires that a DC application contain the proposed ITAAC that are necessary and sufficient to provide reasonable assurance that, if the inspections, tests, and analyses are performed and the acceptance criteria met, a facility that incorporates the DC has been constructed and will be operated in conformity with the DC, the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act (AEA), and the NRC's regulations;
8. 10 CFR 52.80(a), which requires that a COL application contain the proposed inspections, tests, and analyses, including those applicable to emergency planning, that the licensee shall perform, and the acceptance criteria that are necessary and sufficient to provide reasonable assurance that, if the inspections, tests, and analyses are performed and the acceptance criteria met, the facility has been constructed and will operate in conformity with the combined license, the provisions of the AEA, and the NRC's regulations.

SRP Acceptance Criteria

Specific SRP acceptance criteria acceptable to meet the relevant requirements of the NRC's regulations identified above are as follows for the review described in this SRP section. The SRP is not a substitute for the NRC's regulations, and compliance with it is not required. However, an applicant is required to identify differences between the design features, analytical techniques, and procedural measures proposed for its facility and the SRP acceptance criteria and evaluate how the proposed alternatives to the SRP acceptance criteria provide acceptable methods of compliance with the NRC regulations.

1. To meet the requirements of GDCs 1, 2, 14, 15, and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix S the applicant should provide a complete list of transients to be used in the design and fatigue analysis of all Code Class 1 components, component supports, reactor core support structures, and reactor vessel internal components within the reactor coolant pressure boundary. The number of events for each transient and the number of load and stress cycles per event and for events in combination should be included. All transients, such as startup and shutdown operations, power level changes, emergency and recovery conditions (including, for new applications, natural convection cooldown), switching operations (i.e., startup or shutdown of one or more coolant loops), control system or other system malfunctions, component malfunctions, transients from single operator errors, inservice hydrostatic tests, seismic events as determined from the criteria specified in Appendix S to 10 CFR Part 50, and design-basis events contained in the Code-required "Design Specifications" for the components of the reactor coolant pressure boundary, should be specified.

The section of the applicant's SAR on transients will be acceptable if the transient conditions selected for equipment fatigue evaluation are based upon a conservative estimate of the magnitude and frequency of the temperature and pressure conditions caused by those transients. To a large extent the selection of these specific transient conditions is based upon engineering judgment and experience. Some guidance on the selection of these transients and combinations can be found in SRP Section 3.9.3.

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: Tab stops: 2.5", Left + Not at 1.75"

Transients and consequent loads and load combinations with appropriate specified design and service limits should provide a complete basis for design of the reactor coolant pressure boundary for all conditions and events expected over the service lifetime of the plant.

The staff should consider the number of transients appropriate for the design life of the plant. Also, environmental conditions to which equipment safety-related or risk-significant equipment will be exposed (e.g., chemistry of the coolant water) should be considered to minimize the degradation of materials due to corrosion.

2. To meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B and GDC 1, a list of computer programs used in dynamic and static analyses to determine the structural and functional integrity of seismic Category I components, ASME BPV Code and non-Code components should be provided. For each program, as a minimum, the following information should be provided to demonstrate its applicability and validity:

A. The author, program source, dated version, and facility.

B. A description and the extent and limitation of its application.

C. The computer program solutions to a series of test problems demonstrated to be compatible with solutions obtained from any one of sources (i) through (iv) within the acceptable margin using benchmark problems acceptable to the staff (e.g., NUREG/CR-1677, "Piping Benchmark Problems." Volumes I and II):

(i) Hand calculations

(ii) Analytical results published in relevant engineering literature

(iii) Acceptable experimental tests

(iv) A similar computer program previously accepted by NRC or acceptable to the staff (e.g., commercial computer program)

A summary comparison of the solution obtained from sources (i) through (iv) should be provided in either graphical or numerical form. In addition, the complete computer printout of the input and the solution should be submitted for every benchmark problem. These solutions may be referenced, and need not be resubmitted, in subsequent license applications, provided the information submitted under Items A and B remains unchanged.

3. To meet the requirements of GDCs 1, 14, and 15, if experimental stress analysis methods are used in lieu of analytical methods for any seismic Category I components, ASME BPV Code or non-Code components and supports, the section of the SAR addressing the experimental stress analysis methods is acceptable if the information meets the provisions of Appendix II to ASME BPV Code, Section III, Division 1 and, as in the case of analytical methods, if the information is sufficiently detailed to show the design meeting the provisions of the Code-required "Design Specifications."

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: A, B, C, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1.25"

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 1", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 3 + Numbering Style: i, ii, iii, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1.38" + Indent at: 1.88"

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style

Formatted: Tab stops: 2.5", Left + Not at 1.75"

4. To meet the requirements of GDCs 1, 14, and 15 when Service Level D limits are specified by the applicant for ASME BPV Code Class 1 components, component supports, core support structure components, and reactor vessel internal components, and other non-Code items, the methods of analysis to calculate the stresses and deformations should conform to the methods outlined in Appendix F to ASME Code, Section III, Division 1, subject to the conditions addressed in Subsection III.4 of this SRP section.

Technical Rationale

The technical rationale for application of these criteria to reviews performed under this SRP section is discussed in the following paragraphs:

1. GDC 1 requires in part, that SSCs important to safety be designed, fabricated, erected, and tested to quality standards commensurate with the importance of the safety functions to be performed. Regulations in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, set forth, in part, provisions to assure that appropriate standards are specified and included in design documents (design methods and computer programs for the design and analysis of seismic Category I Code Class 1, 2, 3, and core support structures and non-Code structures) and that deviation from such standards are controlled.

Special topics for mechanical components encompass items related to design transients like components, component supports, reactor core supports, and reactor vessel internals designated as Class 1, 2, and 3 under ASME Code, Section III, and those not covered by the Code. The applicability and validity of these criteria are demonstrated by requirements that the design methods and computer programs in design and analysis be within current state-of-the-art limits and design control measures acceptable to the staff.

The requirements of GDC 1 provide reasonable assurance that the regulatory requirements for design methodology and quality assurance are satisfied so that SSCs important to safety are capable of performing their intended functions.

2. GDC 2 requires, in part, that SSCs important to safety be designed to withstand the effects of natural phenomena, such as earthquakes, tornadoes, hurricanes, floods, tsunami, and seiches without loss of capability to perform their safety functions. The related requirements in Appendix S to 10 CFR Part 50 specify that applicants include seismic events in the design basis and in their postulated design transients.

Pursuant to GDC 2, the reviewer evaluates whether mechanical components are designed to withstand the loads generated by natural phenomena. The reviewer also verifies whether the applicant has provided a list of postulated design transients with consideration of seismic events.

The requirements of GDC 2 provide reasonable assurance that SSCs important to safety have the capability to withstand the effects of natural phenomena and to perform their intended functions.

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.75"

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.75"

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

Formatted: Tab stops: 2.5", Left + Not at 1.75"

3. GDC 14 requires that the reactor coolant pressure boundary be designed, fabricated, erected, and tested so as to have an extremely low probability of abnormal leakage, rapidly propagating failure, and of gross rupture.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.75"

3.4. GDC 15 requires that the reactor coolant system and its auxiliary, control, and protection systems be designed with sufficient margin to assure that the design conditions of the reactor coolant pressure boundary are not exceeded during any condition of normal operation, including anticipated operational occurrences.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.75"

5. GDC 14 and GDC 15 apply to this review because SSCs important to safety are exposed to postulated transients anticipated during the design life of the plant. If SSCs are to perform their design functions there must be adequate assurance that mechanical components will remain functional under all postulated combinations of normal operating conditions, anticipated operational occurrences, postulated pipe breaks, and seismic events.

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Formatted: Normal, Space After: 11 pt, No bullets or numbering, Keep with next, Keep lines together

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

6. Compliance with the requirements of GDC 14 and GDC 15 provides reasonable assurance that the design transients and consequent loads and load combinations with the appropriate specific design and service limits for ASME Code Class 1 components, component supports, reactor core support structures, and reactor vessel internal components form a complete basis for the design of the reactor coolant pressure boundary for all anticipated conditions and extremely low-probability events expected during the service life of the plant.

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Formatted: Normal, Space After: 11 pt, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

III. REVIEW PROCEDURES

The reviewer will select material from the procedures described below, as may be appropriate for a particular case.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Keep with next, Keep lines together

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt, Keep with next, Keep lines together

These review procedures are based on the identified SRP acceptance criteria. For deviations from these acceptance criteria, the staff should review the applicant's evaluation of how the proposed alternatives provide an acceptable method of complying with the relevant NRC requirements identified in Subsection II.

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

1. In accordance with 10 CFR 52.47(a)(8), 10 CFR 52.47(21), and 10 CFR 52.47(22), and 10 CFR 52.79(a)(17) and 10 CFR 52.79(20), for new reactor license applications submitted under 10 CFR Part 52, "Licenses, Certifications, and Approval for Nuclear Power Plants," the applicant is required to (1) address the proposed technical resolution of unresolved safety issues and medium and high-priority generic safety issues which are identified in the version of NUREG-0933, "Resolution of Generic Safety Issues," current on the date up to 6 months before the docket date of the application and which are technically relevant to the design; (2) demonstrate how the operating experience insights have been incorporated into the plant design; and, (3) provide information necessary to demonstrate compliance with any technically relevant portions of the Three

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style

Formatted: Tab stops: 2.5", Left + Not at 1.75"

Mile Island requirements set forth in 10 CFR 50.34(f), except paragraphs 10 CFR 50.34 (f)(1)(xii), 10 CFR 50.34 (f)(2)(ix), and 10 CFR 50.34 (f)(3)(v). These cross-cutting review areas should be addressed by the reviewer for each technical subsection and relevant conclusions documented in the corresponding safety evaluation report (SER) section.

2. The list of transients, the number of events estimated for each transient presented in the applicant's SAR, and the method for determining this number are compared to the same information on similar and previously licensed applications and to the acceptance criteria outlined in Subsection II of this SRP section. Any deviations from previous accepted practice are noted and the applicant should justify them. For Code Class 1 and core support components and supports, the reviewer verifies whether for each transient loading condition or combination an acceptable Code service limit is specified (i.e., Design, Level A, Level B, Level C, or Level D as specified in ASME Code, Section III, Division 1).

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

2.3. The information on computer programs presented in the applicant's SAR is reviewed as follows:

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

A. The list of programs is evaluated to determine whether the applicant adequately describes each program with respect to the type of analysis performed and the specific components to which the program is applied.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: A, B, C, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1.25"

B. The submitted computer test problem solutions recommended in Subsection II.2.C of this SRP section are reviewed and compared to the test solutions. Satisfactory agreement of computer and test solutions, usually within a ± 5 percent error band, verifies the quality and adequacy of the computer programs for the functions for which they were designed.

4. If the applicant elects to use experimental stress analysis techniques in lieu of theoretical stress analyses, sufficient information should be presented in the SAR to demonstrate that the requirements of Appendix II to ASME Code, Section III, Division 1, applicable to the conditions in the "Design Specifications" have been met.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

5. If the applicant employs an elastic or an elastic-plastic method of analysis to evaluate the design of safety-related Code or non-Code items for which Service Level D limits have been specified (NB-3225 and Appendix F to ASME Code, Section III, Division 1) the review considers the following points:

A. The applicant should demonstrate that the stress-strain relationship for component materials to be used in the analysis is valid. The ultimate strength values at service temperature should be justified.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: A, B, C, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1.25"

B. The analytical procedures to be used in the analysis are reviewed to determine the validity of the analysis. Any computer program used should meet the applicable requirements of Subsection II.2.C of this SRP section.

Formatted: Tab stops: 2.5", Left + Not at 1.75"

C. If elastic system analysis is used, its application may require detailed review and justification if applied to the analysis of systems which contain active components with close tolerances or systems in which the sequence of load application could significantly affect the actual stress distribution.

D. If elastic, elastic-plastic or limit analysis methods are used for components with elastic or elastic-plastic system analyses, the bases for these procedures are reviewed. The applicant should provide assurance that the calculated item or item support deformations and displacements do not violate the corresponding limits and assumptions on which the methods for the system analysis are based.

6. For review of a DC application, the reviewer should follow the above procedures to verify that the design, including requirements and restrictions (e.g., interface requirements and site parameters), set forth in the final safety evaluation report (FSAR) meets the acceptance criteria. DCs have referred to the FSAR as the design control document (DCD). The reviewer should also consider the appropriateness of identified COL action items. The reviewer may identify additional COL action items; however, to ensure these COL action items are addressed during a COL application, they should be added to the DC FSAR.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

For review of a COL application, the scope of the review is dependent on whether the COL applicant references a DC, an early site permit or other NRC approvals (e.g., manufacturing license, site suitability report or topical report).

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

For review of both DC and COL applications, SRP Section 14.3 should be followed for the review of ITAAC. The review of ITAAC cannot be completed until after the completion of this section.

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

IV. EVALUATION FINDINGS

The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided sufficient information and that the review and calculations (if applicable) support conclusions of the following type to be included in the staff's SER. The reviewer also states the bases for those conclusions.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: I, II, III, ... + Start at: 3 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

1. The applicant has met the relevant requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, and GDC 1 by submitting information that demonstrates the applicability and validity of the design methods and computer programs for the design and analysis of seismic Category I Code Class 1, 2, 3, and core support structures, and non-Code structures within the present state-of-the-art limits and by acceptable design control measures to ensure the quality of the computer programs.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style

Formatted: Tab stops: 2.5", Left + Not at 1.75"

2. The applicant has met the relevant requirements of GDC 2 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix S, by including in design transients seismic events as part of the design basis for withstanding the effects of natural phenomena.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style

3. The applicant has met the relevant requirements of GDC 14 and GDC 15 by demonstrating that the design transients and consequent loads and load combinations with appropriately specified design and service limits for Code Class 1 components, component supports, reactor core support structures, and reactor vessel internal components provide a complete basis for design of the reactor coolant pressure boundary for all conditions and events expected over the service lifetime of the plant.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style

For DC and COL reviews, the findings will also summarize the staff's evaluation of requirements and restrictions (e.g., interface requirements and site parameters) and COL action or information items relevant to this SRP section.

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

In addition, to the extent that the review is not discussed in other SER sections, the findings will summarize the staff's evaluation of the ITAAC, including design acceptance criteria, as applicable.

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

V. IMPLEMENTATION

The staff will use this SRP section in performing safety evaluations of DC applications and license applications submitted by applicants pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50 or 10 CFR Part 52. Except when the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for complying with specified portions of the Commission's regulations, the staff will use the method described herein to evaluate conformance with Commission regulations.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: I, II, III, ... + Start at: 3 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

The provisions of this SRP section apply to reviews of applications docketed 6 months or more after the date of issuance of this SRP section, unless superseded by a later revision.

Formatted: Space After: 11 pt

VI. REFERENCES

1. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, BPV Code, Section III, Division I, "Nuclear Power Plant Components," New York, NY.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Hanging: 0.5", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: I, II, III, ... + Start at: 3 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

2. U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations*, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization," Part 50, Chapter 1, Title 10, "Energy," Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," General Design Criterion 1, "Quality Standards and Records."

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Tab stops: 2.5", Left + Not at 1.75"

3. U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations*, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization," Part 50, Chapter 1, Title 10, "Energy," Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," General Design Criterion 2, "Design Bases for Protection against National Phenomena."

Formatted: Font: Italic

4. U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations*, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization," Part 50, Chapter 1, Title 10, "Energy," Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," General Design Criterion 14, "Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary."

Formatted: Font: Italic

5. U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations*, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization," Part 50, Chapter 1, Title 10, "Energy," Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," General Design Criterion 15, "Reactor Coolant System Design."

Formatted: Font: Italic

6. U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations*, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization," Part 50, Chapter 1, Title 10, "Energy," Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants."

Formatted: Font: Italic

7. U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations*, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization," Part 50, Chapter 1, Title 10, "Energy," Appendix S, "Earthquake Engineering Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."

Formatted: Font: Italic

8. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "ASME Code Class 1, 2, 3 Components, Component Supports, and Core Support Structures," SRP Section 3.9.3, Revision 3, ADAMS Accession No. ML14043A231.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.5", Don't keep with next

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Space After: 0 pt, No widow/orphan control, Don't keep with next

Formatted: Tab stops: 2.5", Left + Not at 1.75"

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT STATEMENT

The information collections contained in the Standard Review Plan are covered by the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50 and 10 CFR Part 52, and were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150-0011 and 3150-0151.

PUBLIC PROTECTION NOTIFICATION

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Formatted: Centered

Draft Revision 4 — August 2015
Revision 4 - December 2016

Formatted: Centered, Border: Bottom: (No border)

SRP Section 3.9.1
Description of Changes

Section 3.9.1, "Special Topics for Mechanical Components"

In addition to the changes itemized below, editorial changes were made throughout for clarity, consistency, and applicability. Changes incorporated into Revision 4 include:

I. AREAS OF REVIEW

- Review interfaces with SRP Section 3.7.2, 3.9.3, 3.9.4, and 3.9.5 were added.

II. ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

- SRP Acceptance Criteria section was updated to address the review of risk-significant SSCs.

III. REVIEW PROCEDURES

- Procedures addressing the requirements of 10 CFR 52.47(a), 52.79(a), and 50.34(f) were added for clarity and to address operating experiences requirements.

IV. REFERENCES

- References were updated in concert with changes referenced above.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0.5", Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 11 pt, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.5" + Indent at: 0.75"

Formatted: Tab stops: 2.5", Left + Not at 1.75"