B. Assess w Section D T. C. Assess w Section D C. To the explain of the Company of the Com	Task Description If the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N. The there the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with an of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled. The there the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics. The there the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate. The there is a system of the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of collutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major in the control of the system of the syst	a 1991 1991 1991 1991	Initials b* Control C	C#
1.	the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N. The there the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with an of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled. The the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics. The there the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate. The there is a system of the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of colutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major	999 PF1 PF1 PF1	8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	C# F F F D
W R I D. Assess w Section D T C. Assess w d. Assess w d. Assess w d. Assess w d. Assess w 2. a. Using For normal ex transients I D. Assess w mix of app without co least one applicants O C. To the ex quantitati 3. a. Verify tha (1) the o amo A (2) task (3) no ta (4) the r (5) the r T H R O U (2) at le (3) no no U (2) at le (3) no no U (2) at le (3) no no U (4) the no U (5) the u (6) the u (7) the u (7) the u (8) the u (9) the	hether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with .1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled. The ether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics. The ether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate. The ether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate. The ether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate. The ether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	PF1 PF1	888	4 4 4 4
Section D T C. Assess will Assess will C. To the experiment of the control of the	.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled. mether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics. mether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate. m ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of olutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major	P71	8 X 8	440
E C. Assess W. d. Assess W. d. Assess W. a. Using Formormal extransients b. Assess W. mix of applicants C. To the exquantitation 3. a. Verify that (1) the composition of the following the fol	mether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate. m ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of olutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major	PFI	885 CR	4
d. Assess with a Using Formormal event transients I b. Assess with mix of applicants O R c. To the exquantitatis 3. a. Verify that (1) the composition of the following the following the following the following transients W A (2) task (3) no task (4) the result of the following transients T H R (5) the result of the following transients Verify that (1) the following transients Verify that (2) at leter (3) no result of the following transients U (2) at leter (3) no result of the following transients I without creating transients of the following tra	m ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of olutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major	·	884	
normal ever transients I M D Assess we mix of apply without or least one applicants C C To the exequantitati 3. a. Verify that (1) the control of the interest of the inter	olutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major			7
M b. Assess w mix of applicants of applicants of applicants or applicant		PFT	1884 1884	۶
R C. To the ex quantitati 3. a. Verify tha (1) the camo A (2) task L (3) no tak K (4) the raca (5) the raca the factor of the f	hether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and blicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule impromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the s' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	PPI	88	F
(1) the d amo A (2) task L (3) no ta K (4) the r (5) the r the f H R O (1) the f U (2) at le G (3) no r	tent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and ve criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	PPI	88	F
R b. Verify tha O (1) the t U (2) at le G (3) no n	the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: butline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed ing the safety functions as specified on the form repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on form.	pri	*	F
H c. Determin	the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: asks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form ast one task is new or significantly modified nore than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	ppl	84	F
	e if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of s and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Pel	R	F
4. a. Assess w	hether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the te exam sections.	PFI	8	F
G E b. Assess w	hether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	PFI	B	4
N E c. Ensure th	at K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	PTI	5	F
	duplication and overlap among exam sections.	pp1	Γ×	F
L e. Check the	entire exam for balance of coverage.	PP1	B	F
f. Assess w	hether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	pt	Ω_{\leftarrow}	F
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (* c. NRC Chief Examine d. NRC Supervisor			8/2 8/2 10/1	ate 8/15 6/15 1/15

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of _il/3c/2x15 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of ______. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. PAUL ISHAM	EXAM AUTHOR	for some the	10/14/14		
2. Mike Alexander	UZ Exem Arther	in f	1/27/15		
3. Duray to LeMa	42 Eva Astron	21/4	414/15 4124115		
4. Gerald Bebiga	42 Exam Author	Gerlla Bolin		····	
5. MAN GROW	ul ops	ALS	5/12/15		
6. Dearch Stein	RP Instructor		5-114/5		
7. HOD BRUNS	0795	man 1	6/23/15		
8. Ennavioura cho	sim Support	dolar)	_7/7/15		
9. Bill Spear	UZ DV3 VALIDATOR	100	814/15		
10. POT FOR	UZOPS VALIDATOR	- Partit	314115		
11. J TITUS	UZ OFS VALIGATOR	- sins	814115		
12. DAVE BOTTORF	OPS VALICATOR	- An Pass	8-1071		
13. KEN CHERCHIO	OPS VALIDATOR	Ven Chenku	8-10-15		
14. I Ames horringin	OPS VALIPATOR	m	8/10/15		
15. KOVIN MECTON	Sim graphed		2/10/19		
NOTES:					

ES-201 Examination Security Agreement Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of ______. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1	Robor Reaumont	520	T	8/18/15		
2	Ben Berner Dauglas J. Roile	RO RO	The fair	<u>8-18-15</u> 2/18/6		
4	Michael Storms	520	Maria	8/18/15		
5						
9						
10 11.						
13						
14 15.					7	
	TES:					

ES-201 Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of \$\frac{11}{30}\$ for \$\frac{120}{30}\$ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

during the week(s) of instruct, evaluate, or below and authorized	f provide perf	From the domination or fee	ate that I entered in	to this secur	ity agreement unt	til the completion	n of examination	administ	ration, I	did not
PRINTED			RESPONSIBILITY		GNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATU	JRE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Albert Ne	elen_	Sim	Support	all	it flever	1 8/13/1	5			
2										
4										
6						,				
7										
9.										
11										
12										
13 14										
15 NOTES:										

Facili	ty: Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Date of Examination: December 2015 Operating Test Nu	ımber: i	_C2 14	-1
			Initials	5
	1. General Criteria	а	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	pn	*	F
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	291	₩1	F
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	PP1	W	F
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	pp1	щ	F
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	PF1	щ	F
	2. Walk-Through Criteria			
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: initial conditions initialing cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee operationally important specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable	pp	8441	F
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	PM	M	F
	3. Simulator Criteria	T	-	
	associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	PPI	144	F
a. b. c.	Printed Name / Signature Author Paul F. Isham Jr. / Facility Reviewer(*) Mark Greer / NRC Chief Examiner (#) Brun Fuller Square 11/1.	3/15 	ate	
NOT	E: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.			

Facilty: Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Date of Exam: December 2015 Scenario Numbers: 2/3/4 Operating Test No.: LC2 14-1									
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials					
			а	b*	c#				
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	may be out	m	m	F				
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.		PM	p/4	F				
3.	Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable)		PM	M	F				
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		PFI	M	4				
5.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	n to obtain complete	PM	IM/	F				
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicate Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time Cues are given.		pm	W	F				
7.	PM	M	F						
8.	nulator performance ure that functional	PM	M	F					
9.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	scenario. All other	P M	M	F				
10.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form Es (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	S-301-6	PM	mh	F				
11.	The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in e rating factors, (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES 303-1 and		PM	m	F				
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	and events specified	Pít	m/	F				
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each cre	ew position.	PM	₩	F				
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes							
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	3/2/1	PM	M	F				
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4/2/2	PM	M	F				
3.	Major transients (1-2)	2/2/1	Pin	Wh	F				
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1–2)	2/2/2	PM	Mh	F				
5.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1/1/1	pm	WH	F				
6.	Critical tasks (2–3)	2/2/2	M	M	F				
NO.		i.E.							

Facility: N	Vine Mile	e Point Unit 2 Date of Exam: December 2015 Operating Test No.: LC2 14-1																				
Α	E								5	Scenai	rios											
P P	l V	1 (Backu	p)		2			3			4			5		Т	١	M			
L	N T		REW			CREV			CREW			CREW			REV		O T A		1			
C A N	T	S R	A T	ВО	S R	A T	В О	S R	A T	B O P	S R	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T	В О Р	L	l	M U M(*)		U	
Т	P E	0	С	Р	0	С	Р	0	С	Р	0		P		С			R	ļ	U		
	RX	15 YOK 014315348	TORN	VIII.		2	31876		10		W.	********* ********			17. A.		1	1	1	0		
RO 1	NOR			123			2000 (1990) 2000 (1990)	TANKS.		1			1		35		2	1	10.17	1		
&	I/C					3, 7, 9,				3, 5, 8			5, 6, 8				9	4	4	2		
RO 3	MAJ			多數		6, 8		8.00	Mix	6, 7	ATI		7	1370) 13770)			5	2	2	1		
	TS		STATE.				Year							100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100				0	2	2		
	RX	CAN	a la company						2					A 200			1	1	1	0		
RO 2	NOR			¥35		ANDERS	1	4.36							400		1	1	1	11		
&	I/C						4, 5, 7, 9		4, 5, 8								7	4	4	2		
RO 4	MAJ	42%		1			6, 8		6, 7					7.3			4	2	2	************************************		
II.	TS			NEZ				(1) [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]										0	2	2		
1	RX								TA			2		茅潭		9.7	1	1	3505 1	0		
	NOR		1.44				1		\$.W	1			19.5				2	1	1	1		
RO 5	I/C						4, 5, 7, 9			3, 5, 8		3, 8					9	4	4	2		
	MAJ					A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	6, 8	- 47 000 (4. 100 - 47 4		6, 7		7		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			5	2	2	1		
	TS	HATTAN HATTA	Y		14.433								想數		726-50 2000 2000 2000 2000			0	2	2		

Instructions:

- 1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO additionally serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant
 per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component
 malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- 3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.
- 4. For licensees that use the ATC operator primarily for monitoring plant parameters, the chief examiner may place SRO-I applicants in either the ATC or BOP position to best evaluate the SRO-I in manipulating plant controls.

ES-301

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5

Facility: N	line Mile	Point U	nit 2			Date o	f Exan	n: Nov	ember	2015	0	peratin	g Test	No.:	LC2	14-1				
Α	E								S	cenar	ios									
P P	V E		1			2			3			4			5		Т		М	
L	N T		REW			CREW			CREW			CREW			REV		O T		 N 	
C A N T	T Y P	S R O	A T C	В О Р	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	A L		M U M(*)	
	E																	R		U
	RX		Ted.A.		2	12.8	Visits.	2		. (A) W. (A)	17461	2					3	1	1	0
ISRO 1	NOR				1	1.3		1									2	1	1	1
& ISRO 2	I/C				3, 4, 5, 7, 9,			3, 4, 5, 8				3, 8					11	4	4	2
	MAJ	1700	EX.	Water Water	6, 8	. 196,0 . 1		6, 7	Service Service Service			7					5	2	2	1
	TS	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			3, 4		#45	3, 4				MAN Y		188 D.C.	-# W F1		4	0	2	2
	RX	1.475 m	17/04		2			J. A.	2		2	Jing (S)	沙 姆州		1.W		3	1	1	0
	NOR	1 N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1			177			1				N.S.		2	1	1	1
ISRO 3	I/C				3, 4, 5, 7, 9,				4, 5, 8		3, 5, 6, 8						12	4	4	2
	MAJ	10000	443		6, 8	24	18434	Bar	6, 7	Wax.	7		2 300				5	2	2	1
	TS		333	7600 PS	3, 4	11.5	A Will	1 X 3 A			4, 5	AND I	1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m	123			4	0	2	2
	RX	10,500,00	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Asid.	2	V-1913	2		\$780G	THE STATE OF			250	1457.0		2	10	1	0
ISRO 4	NOR		1486		Taran Taran		30.59	1	14.44			40	1		10/301 10/301	ja les	2	1	1	1
	I/C		1-42-17 10131,73			3, 7, 9,		3, 4, 5, 8					5, 6, 8	Ville Ville			10	4	4	2
	MAJ					6, 8	N. P.	6, 7	Trake				7				5	2	2	1
	TS							3, 4								1148 1480	2	0	2	2

Facility: Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Date of Examination: December 2015 Operating Test No.: LC2 14-1														
			·			APPLIC	CANTS	 S		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
) 1 &) 3) 2 &) 4			RC) 5			
Competencies		SCEN	IARIO			SCEN	IARIO			SCEN	IARIO	0		
	1	2 ATC	3	4 BOP		2 BOP	3 ATC	4	11 3	2 BOP	3 BOP	4 ATC		
Interpret/Diagnos e Events and Conditions		3, 6, 7, 8, 9	3, 5, 6, 7, 8	5, 6, 7, 8		4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	4, 5, 6, 7, 8			4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	3, 5, 6, 7, 8	3, 7, 8		
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)		2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9	1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8	1, 5, 6, 7, 8		1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 8			1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8	2, 3, 7, 8		
Operate Control Boards (2)		2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9	1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8	1, 5, 6, 7, 8		1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8			1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8	2, 3, 7, 8		
Communicate and Interact		All	All	All		All	ΑII			All	All	All		
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)														
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)														

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: Nine Mile F	Facility: Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Date of Examination: December 2015 Operating Test No.: LC2 14-1											
					,	APPLIC	CANTS	;				
		ISR 8 ISR	×			ISR	O 3			ISR	O 4	
Competencies		SCEN	IARIO			SCEN	IARIO			SCEN	IARIO	
	1	2 SRO	3 SRO	4 ATC	1	2 sro	3 ATC	4 SRO	1	2 ATC	3 SRO	4
Interpret/Diagnos e Events and Conditions		3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	3, 7, 8		3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	4, 5, 6, 7, 8	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8		3, 6, 7, 8, 9	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	5, 6, 7, 8
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)		All	All	2, 3, 7, 8		All	2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 8	All		2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9	All	1, 5, 6, 7, 8
Operate Control Boards (2)				2, 3, 7, 8	(水) (A) (A) (A) (A) (D) (A)		2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8			2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9		1, 5, 6, 7, 8
Communicate and Interact		All	All	Ali		All	All	Ali		All	All	All
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)		All	All			All		All			Ali	
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)		3, 4	3, 4			3, 4		4, 5			3, 4	

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: N	E	xam Le	/el: RO	/SRO				
							Initial	
		Item Description				а	b*	c*
1.	Questions and answers are tec	hnically accurate and app	licable to the fa	acility.		PM	M	F
2.		renced for all questions. jectives are referenced as	available.			١٩٩	m	F
3.	SRO questions are appropriate	in accordance with Section	on D.2.d of ES-	401		PM	M	F
4.	The sampling process was rand were repeated from the last 2 f					PH	M	F
5.	Question duplication from the li as indicated below (check the i _x_ the audit exam was syste the audit exam was compl the examinations were de _x_ the licensee certifies that _ other (explain)	tem that applies) and appermatically and randomly de eted before the license ex veloped independently; or	ears appropriat eveloped; or am was started	te:		PM	ių	F
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more		Bank	Modified	New			G
	from the bank, at least 10 perc new or modified); enter the act question distribution(s) at right.	40 / 16	pr	M				
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of exam are written at the compre		44% / 36% Memory	3% / 0%	53% / 64% C/A			
	the SRO exam may exceed 60 selected K/As support the high the actual RO / SRO question	percent if the randomly er cognitive levels; enter	32 / 5 43% / 20%	% <u> </u>	43 / 20 57% / 80%	PPM	M	1
8.	References/handouts provided or aid in the elimination of distr		5			pp	M	F
9.	Question content conforms with examination outline and is app deviations are justified.				d	pm	m	F
10.	Question psychometric quality	and format meet the guide	elines in ES Ap	pendix B.		PP1	M	F
11.	The exam contains the require the total is correct and agrees			ems;		pp1	M	F
a. Author b. Facility c. NRC C		11/1 11/1	ate 13/15 13/15 13/15 13/15					
	* The facility reviewer's initials# Independent NRC reviewer							

Fac	cility: Nine Mile Point 2 Date of Exam: 12/8/15 Exam Level:	RO 🖹	SRO	X
	Item Description		Initials	
		а	b	С
	Clean answer sheets copied before grading	PFI	ne	BF
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	N/A	N/A	28F
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	PFI	щ	ßF
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80 \pm 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, \pm 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	PFI	щ	BF
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	N/A	N/A
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	PFI	М	BF
	Printed Name/Signature		Date	
a.	Grader Paul F. Isham / Paul F. Isham	1	2/9/19	<u> </u>
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) Mark Greer		12/1/15	_
C.	NRC Chief Examiner (*) BRIAN Fuller		2/22/19	<u> </u>
d.	NRC Supervisor (*) Donald Jackson (*)		12/22/1	<u>5</u>
(*)	The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examination NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.	ons grad	ed by th	e