

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2016 5:56 PM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** Comment on ANPR-26, 50, 52, 73, and 140 - Regulatory Improvements for Decommissioning  
**Attachments:** NRC-2015-0070-DRAFT-0077.pdf

### **DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** ANPR-26, 50, 52, 73, and 140

**FRN#:** 80FR72358

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0070

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 3/17/16

**TITLE:** Regulatory Improvements for Decommissioning Power Reactors

**COMMENT#:** 083

<b>As of:</b> 3/17/16 3:59 PM <b>Received:</b> March 16, 2016 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k0-8oja-dpga <b>Comments Due:</b> March 18, 2016 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
--

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0070

Regulatory Improvements for Power Reactors Transitioning to Decommissioning

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0070-0007

Regulatory Improvements for Decommissioning Power Reactors; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0070-DRAFT-0077

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-32599

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Ann Rosenkranz

## General Comment

Dear Sirs/ Mmes at NRC;

Please be advised that I would like to sign on to the comments in full submitted by Mary Lampert of PILGRIM WATCH COMMENT NRC -2015-0070: PROPOSED REGULATORY IMPROVEMENTS FOR DECOMMISSIONING POWER REACTORS submitted March1, 2016.

I live on Martha's Vineyard, MA and am so tired of the threat that this aging, failing nuclear power station poses to our region and to my home and life on Martha's Vineyard. The possibility of an accident or terrorist attack at this power plant, now degraded by your agency into the 4th Cornerstone, increases every day, what with the ravages of climate change causing warming, rising seas and increasing frequency of severe storms. The past safety violations you have cited at this plant have not and likely will not be addressed, especially in light of the fact that Entergy has now announced their plans to close the plant by 2019 due to financial considerations. Power outages in the switchyard necessitating the many repeated scrams during severe storms as well as negligent attention to safety violations for fire detection in the control room, etc., etc. are unacceptable to the people of this region (although they don't seem to be unacceptable to you, the NRC. Exactly how many chances will you keep extending to Entergy to do the right thing and accept that they do nothing or address a violation only halfway?) This leaves our region imperiled with each passing day! We on the Cape and Islands have no evacuation plan and would be sitting ducks for toxic radiation fallout as we sit waiting to be relocated in case of an accident. I DO NOT WANT TO BE A RADIATION REFUGEE as are the residents near the Daiichi reactors at Fukushima with their identical flawed GE design. Improvements to the decommissioning process need to provide more stringent safety considerations for the population at large for as long as any of the spent fuel assemblies remain in wet pool tanks before transfer to dry cask storage -location plans for which are too perilously close to the rising ocean to be considered safe!

Thank you for your attention to my input. I would hope to see you addressing the concerns expressed in these public comments as vigorously as you have previously protected the welfare of the nuclear industry.