

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 15, 2016 10:58 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** Comment on ANPR-26, 50, 52, 73, and 140 - Regulatory Improvements for Decommissioning  
**Attachments:** NRC-2015-0070-DRAFT-0059.pdf

### **DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** ANPR-26, 50, 52, 73, and 140

**FRN#:** 80FR72358

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0070

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 3/14/16

**TITLE:** Regulatory Improvements for Decommissioning Power Reactors

**COMMENT#:** 059

<b>As of:</b> 3/14/16 11:29 AM
<b>Received:</b> March 12, 2016
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1k0-8ogk-5flq
<b>Comments Due:</b> March 18, 2016
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0070

Regulatory Improvements for Power Reactors Transitioning to Decommissioning

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0070-0007

Regulatory Improvements for Decommissioning Power Reactors; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0070-DRAFT-0059

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-32599

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Mary-Jane Ferrier

**Address:**

96 School Street #2

South Portland, ME, 04106

**Email:** mjferrier@twc.com

---

## General Comment

I am particularly concerned about the decommissioning of the nuclear plant in Plymouth, MA, which is adjacent to a vacation and retreat house owned by the Society of the Sacred Heart, of which I am a member. In the process of decommissioning the following are of of critical importance:

- 1) decommissioning funds must be used exclusively for radiologic cleanup. not operating expenses or lobbying;
- 2) the parent corporation must not be allowed to escape responsibility for shortfalls in the decommissioning fund;
- 3) Emergency Planning Zones should not be diminished, nor insurance coverage reduced, until all the spent fuel rods have been removed from the highly vulnerable spent fuel pools and put into safer dry cask storage.

Thank you,