

FROM:

Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare
Washington, D. C. 20201
Roger O. Egeberg, M.D.

DATE OF DOCUMENT:

8-28-70

DATE RECEIVED:

8-31-70

NO.:

2728

LTR.

MEMO:

REPORT:

OTHER:

ORIG:

CC:

OTHER:

1

1

ACTION NECESSARY NO ACTION NECESSARY CONCURRENCE COMMENT

DATE ANSWERED:

BY:

CLASSIF:

POST OFFICE

U

REG. NO.:

FILE CODE:

50-269

50-287

50-270

(ENVIRO. FILE)

DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)

Ltr ask our 7-22-70 ltr & trans the following:

ENCLOSURES:

Public Health Review... comments on the 'Enviro. Statement' in Duke Power on Oconee Station Units 1, 2, & 3.

REMARKS:

Orig filed with 50-269

REFERRED TO

DATE

RECEIVED BY

DATE

Long

9-1-70

W/4 cys for ACTION (W/ ORIG FOR MATS) (Orig to be DISTRIBUTION: returned to OIG)

Regulatory File (3) (1 ea docket)

AEC PDR (3) (1 ea docket)

OCC (Room P-506A)

Compliance (2)

Henderson

Felton

Boyd

DeYoung

E. Price SLR

H. Dube

DiRunno

P. Howe (2)

Morris/Schroeder

DO NOT REMOVE

ACKNOWLEDGED

2728

U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

MAIL CONTROL FORM FORM AEC-3265

(8-60)

50-969-270-187




DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20201

AUG 28 1970

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY



Mr. Harold L. Price
Director of Regulation
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D.C. 20545

Dear Mr. Price:

Thank you for your letter of July 22, 1970, to Mr. Roger Strelow transmitting the "Environmental Statement" for the Oconee Nuclear Station. We have considered this statement in our review of the facility which is required by the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. In response to your request for comments, we are pleased to provide the enclosed report by our Bureau of Radiological Health which states our position on the proposed operation of the plant based on an evaluation of the public health and environmental aspects of the facility.


The Bureau's review is based primarily on information contained in the Final Safety Analysis Report. It is recognized that other design information may become available before an operating permit is granted, but we believe our environmental evaluation is substantially completed at this time, unless, of course, major changes occur.

When the other agency comments are compiled, we would be most happy to receive them. If this Department can assist you further in this matter, we would be happy to do so.

Sincerely yours,

Roger O. Egeberg, M.D.
Assistant Secretary
for Health and Scientific Affairs

Enclosure



2728

d