

NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

TO: Mr Rusche

FROM: Duke Power Company  
Charlotte, NC  
W O Parker

FILE NUMBER  
Enviro  
DATE OF DOCUMENT 12-2-76  
DATE RECEIVED 12-7-76

LETTER  
 ORIGINAL  
 COPY

NOTORIZED  
 UNCLASSIFIED

PROP INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED  
one signed

DESCRIPTION

Ltr re their 5-13-76 submittal....trans the following:

PLANT NAME: Oconee 1-3

ENCLOSURE

Supporting & addl info re their 5-13-76 request for Appendix B tech specs revisions with regard to increase in upper limit for chemistry effluent ph.....

**DO NOT REMOVE**

**ACKNOWLEDGED**

SAFETY		FOR ACTION/INFORMATION		ENVIRO	12-10-76	ehf
ASSIGNED AD:		ASSIGNED AD:				
BRANCH CHIEF:	Schwenger (5)	BRANCH CHIEF:				
PROJECT MANAGER:	Zech	PROJECT MANAGER:				
LIC. ASST. :	Sheppard	LIC. ASST. :				

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REG FILE	SYSTEMS SAFETY	PLANT SYSTEMS	SITE SAFETY &
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NRC PDR	HEINEMAN	TEDESCO	ENVIRO ANALYSIS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I & E (2)	SCHROEDER	BENAROYA	DENTON & MULLER
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OELD		LAINAS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GOSSICK & STAFF	ENGINEERING	IPPOLITO	ENVIRO TECH.
MIPC	MACARRY	KIRKWOOD	ERNST
CASE	KNIGHT		BALLARD
HANAUER	SIHWEIL	OPERATING REACTORS	SPANGLER
HARLESS	PAWLICKI	STELLO	
			SITE TECH.
PROJECT MANAGEMENT	REACTOR SAFETY	OPERATING TECH.	GAMMILL
BOYD	ROSS	EISENHUT	STEPP
P. COLLINS	NOVAK	SHAO	HULMAN
HOUSTON	ROSZTOCZY	BAER	
PETERSON	CHECK	BUTLER	SITE ANALYSIS
MELTZ		GRIMES	VOLLMER
HELTEMES	AT & I		BUNCH
SKOVHOLT	SALTZMAN		J. COLLINS
	RUTBERG		KREGER

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LPDR: Waltham, SC	NAT. LAB:	BROOKHAVEN NAT. LAB.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TIC:	REG V. IE	ULRIKSON (ORNL)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSIC:	LA PDR	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASLB:	CONSULTANTS:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACRS 16 CYS HOLDING/SENT	As CAT B 12-10-76	

CONTROL NUMBER

12351  
MAY

## DUKE POWER COMPANY

POWER BUILDING

422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28242

WILLIAM O. PARKER, JR.  
VICE PRESIDENT  
STEAM PRODUCTION


TELEPHONE: AREA 704  
373-4083

December 2, 1976

Mr. Benard C. Rusche, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #1

Reference: Oconee Nuclear Station  
Docket Nos. 50-269, -270, -287



Dear Mr. Rusche:

My letter of May 13, 1976 proposed an amendment to the Oconee Nuclear Station Appendix B Technical Specifications to increase the pH upper limit for chemistry effluents from the station from 8.5 to 9.0. Your letter of August 2, 1976 requested additional information which we subsequently provided in a letter of September 29, 1976. This letter specifically discussed the use of a conservative theoretical model to predict the resulting alkalinity values in the Keowee River for normal and worst case chemical effluent release concentrations. Results indicated that under worst case conditions, release of chemical effluents from the station with a pH to 9.0 would have insufficient alkalinity to affect anything other than a small localized area near the release point. Also, it was noted that under worst case conditions, the alkalinity values in the Oconee effluent discharge stream prior to dilution, are comparable to alkalinities of typical Piedmont South Carolina streams as reported in the "U. S. Geological Survey Water-Date Report SC-75-1."


For the above reasons, it was concluded that an increase in the pH effluent release limit to 9.0 would result in no additional effects on aquatic species populations in the Keowee River. To provide additional information to support this conclusion, the theoretical model used to calculate alkalinity concentrations in the Keowee River at various distances from the waste water treatment system discharge point is included as an attachment. This model is derived from the paper, "The Interrelationship Between Eddy Viscosity, Mixing Length, Entrainment and Width Growth Models in Turbulent Flows," by B. L. Sill and J. A. Schetz, Aerospace Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State

Mr. Benard C. Rusche  
Page 2  
December 2, 1976

University, Blacksburg, Virginia, NSF Grant No. ENG 73-03735A0.

Table 1 is provided as a summarization of data obtained from adaptation of this model to Oconee Nuclear Station, using both normal and worst case effluent release conditions. The results of these cases were discussed in my previous letter of September 29, 1976.

Very truly yours,

  
William O. Parker, Jr.

EDB:vr  
Attachment

Derivation of the Theoretical Model Utilized to Calculate Alkalinity Concentrations in the Keowee River Resulting from Oconee Nuclear Station Chemical Effluent Releases

The initial equations are:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[ \int_{A_T} f U dA \right] = e \quad \text{mass flux/unit length} = \text{entrainment}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[ \int_{A_T} f \rho U^2 dA \right] = e U_e - T_o P_o \quad \text{momentum flux}$$

Note:  $A_T$  Flow cross-sectional area for entire control volume

$e$  Entrainment

$U_e$  External Velocity

$T_o$  Shear Stress

$P_o$  Peripheral length of the control volume

$f$  Velocity Profile Function

$$f = \frac{U - U_e}{U_o - U_e}$$

$f(0) = 1$ ,  $f(1) = 0$  and  $U_o$  Varies with streamwise direction

Solving the above equations for entrainment yields:

$$e / \rho U_0 A_T' = \frac{I_1}{2} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\bar{U}_e^2}{I_2} + \frac{2\bar{U}_e}{I_1} \right) / \left( 1 + \frac{\bar{U}_e I_1}{2I_2} \right) \right]$$

Where  $I_1 = \int_0^1 f d\bar{A}$

$$I_2 = \int_0^1 f^2 d\bar{A}$$

$$\bar{U}_e = U_e / \Delta \bar{U}_0$$

Assume symmetrical flow fields in the absence of solid boundaries and  $U_0 \gg U_e$ .

$$e / \rho \Delta U_0 A_T' = I_1 / 2$$

$$Q_{JET} = Q_{JET_0} + \int e / \rho dx$$

$$\text{Concentration} = Q_{JET_0} / Q_{JET}$$


$$\text{Concentration Fraction} = \frac{Q_{JET_0}}{Q_{JET_0} + \int e / \rho dx} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\int e / \rho dx}{Q_{JET_0}}}$$

Solution to problem

Assume: Two-Dimensional Jet


$$U_e = 0 \text{ i.e. } U_{JET} \gg U_e$$

$$\text{then } \bar{U}_e = 0 \quad \Delta U_0 = U - U_e = U_0$$



For a cosine velocity profile =  $f = \frac{U_0}{2} \left( 1 + \cos \pi \frac{y}{b} \right)$

$$I_1 = \int f d\bar{A}$$



$d\bar{A} = L dy$   
 $L = \text{depth}$

$$I_1 = \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{U_0}{2} \left( 1 + \cos \frac{y}{b} \right) \right] L dy = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{dA}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} (bL) = L \frac{db}{dx}$$

$$e = \rho U_0 L \frac{db}{dx} \left( \frac{I_1}{2} \right) = \rho U_0 L \frac{db}{dx} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

$$\frac{db}{dx} = C_j = .22 \Rightarrow b = b_0 + C_j x$$

$$e = \rho U_0 L \cdot \frac{.22}{4} = .055 \rho U_0 L$$

From conservation of momentum

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[ \rho U_0^2 (bL) \int f^2 d\bar{y} \right] = 0$$

$$\rho U_0^2 (bL) = \text{Constant}$$

$$U_0^2 b = \frac{U_0^2}{b_0} = C$$

initial

$$U_0 = \text{Centerline Velocity} = \sqrt{\frac{C}{b}} = \sqrt{\frac{C}{b_0} + C_j x}$$

$$\int e dx = .055 \rho L \int U_0 dx$$

$$= .055 \rho L \sqrt{C} \int (b_0 + C_j x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx$$

$$v = b_0 + C_j dx$$

$$dv = C_j dx$$

$$= \left[ \frac{.055 \rho L \sqrt{C}}{C_j} \right] \int v^{-\frac{1}{2}} dv$$

$$\frac{C_j}{4} = .055$$

$$= \frac{.055 L \sqrt{C}}{C_j} \left[ \frac{v^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{v_0}^v \quad v = b$$

$$= \frac{\rho L}{2} \sqrt{C} \left[ b^{\frac{1}{2}} - b_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

$$\int e dx = \left[ \frac{\rho L}{2} U_0 \sqrt{b_0} \right]_{\text{initial}} \left[ \left( \frac{b}{b_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right]$$

$$Q_{0 \text{ initial}} = U_0 b_0 L$$

$$\int e / \rho dx = \frac{1}{2} Q_{0 \text{ initial}} \left[ \left( \frac{b}{b_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right]$$

$$\text{Concentration Fraction} = \frac{1}{1 + Q} \frac{\left[ \left( \frac{b}{b_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right]}{\frac{\text{initial}}{2} Q \text{ initial}}$$

$$\text{Concentration Fraction} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{b}{b_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right]}$$

$$\text{Where } \frac{b}{b_0} = 1 + C_j \frac{x}{b_x}$$

### Sample Calculation

Assume - Initial Discharge depth ( $b_x$ ) = 2'

Distance from WWTS Discharge (x) = 200'

Alkalinity = 26.52 mg/l

$$\frac{b}{b_0} = 1 + C_j \frac{x}{b_x}$$

$$\frac{b}{b_0} = 1 + .22 \left( \frac{200}{2} \right) = 23$$

$$\text{Conc. fraction} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( 23 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right]} = .345$$

Alkalinity initially at 26.52 mg/l has been diluted to

.345 (26.52 mg/l) = 9.15 mg/l at a point 200' below discharge.



Table 1

For: Keowee River Flow = 48.0 cfs  
 Keowee River Alkalinity = 7.5 mg/l

X Distance From Discharge (Ft.)	Concentration Fraction	Case #1 Flow = 22.8 cfs Alkalinity 26.5 mg/l	Case #2 Flow = 7.7 cfs Alkalinity 13.0 mg/l
10	.817	21.7	10.6
20	.717	19.0	9.3
30	.651	17.3	8.5
40	.602	16.0	
50	.563	14.9	
70	.506	13.4	
100	.448	11.9	
150	.386	10.2	
200	.345	9.1	
250	.316	8.4	