

FROM: Fish & Wildlife Service  
 Washington, D.C. 20240  
 Willis King

TO: Mr. Harold L. Price

CLASSIF: POST OFFICE

U

REG. NO:

DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)  
 Ltr in response to Mr. DeYoung's 7-12-71 ltr...furnishing comments on Enviro Monitoring Program for Oconee Station Units 1 thru 3.....

ENCLOSURES:

REMARKS:

1 CY LOCAL PDR WALHALLA, S.C.  
 DISTRIBUTION PER FRED O. DONALDSON

DATE OF DOCUMENT: Oct. 4, 1971		DATE RECEIVED: Oct 6, 1971		NO: 313	
LTR. X		MEMO:		REPORT:	
ORIG.: 1		CC:		OTHER:	
ACTION NECESSARY <input type="checkbox"/>		CONCURRENCE <input type="checkbox"/>		DATE ANSWERED:	
NO ACTION NECESSARY <input type="checkbox"/>		COMMENT <input type="checkbox"/>		BY:	
FILE CODE: 50-269 50-270 50-287 (CONSULTANT FILE)					
REFERRED TO		DATE		RECEIVED BY.	
Schwencer w/2 cys for ACTION		10-7-71			
DISTRIBUTION:				Case (Mail Control Only)	
Reg File Cy (3)					
AEC PDR (3)					
OGC-Rm-P-506-A Compliance (2)					
Dube/Wilson					
DeYoung					
Denton(2)					
DTIR(Laughlin)				DO NOT REMOVE	
NSIC(Buchanan)				ACKNOWLEDGED	
AEC HQS LIB-1-004					
ASLB-Mr. Yore H St Warren Nyer (2) Chief Water Reactors				313	
DL					



Regulatory

File Cy.

ADDRESS ONLY THE DIRECTOR,  
BUREAU OF SPORT FISHERIES  
AND WILDLIFE

# United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE  
BUREAU OF SPORT FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

50-269  
50-270  
50-287

OCT 4 1971



Mr. Harold L. Price  
Director of Regulation  
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20545


Dear Mr. Price:

This is in response to Mr. DeYoung's letter of July 12, 1971, transmitting copies of the Pre-Operational Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring Program Report for the Duke Power Company's Oconee Nuclear Station, South Carolina, AEC Docket Nos. 50-269, 270, and 287.

The environmental monitoring program for this station for the most part is adequate, but data for benthic organisms are omitted in some tables. The list of criteria for the selection of sampling locations as mentioned on pages 6 and 7 of the report appears adequate. However, in subsequent tables, listing samples and locations (summary, 2-1 and 2-1a) no data are shown for benthic organisms. Also, the distance from the radioactive waste discharge point to the nearest downstream sampling station is not clearly indicated.

Sincerely yours,

*Willis King*  
Assistant  
Director



4313