NRC'FORM (2-76)			SO-269 270 28		
	NRC DIST	RIBUTION FOR PA	ART 50 DOCKET	MATERIAL	
TO: Mr. Edson G. Case		FROM: Duke Power Company Charlotte, North Carolina William O. Parker, Jr.		DATE OF DOCUMENT 7/29/77	
				DATE RECEIVED 8/2/77	
LETTER		DNOTORIZED	PROP	INPUT FORM	NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED
ORIGIN GCOPY	IAL	UNCLASSIFIED			SIGNED
DESCRIPTION	N			ENCLOSURE	

Concerns a previously submitted report entitled "Evaluated of Potential Turbine Flooding and the Concern Station"...

DO NOT REMOVE

(1-P)

PLANT NAME: Oconee Units 1-2-3 RJL 8/2/77

SAFETY	FOR ACTION	I/INFORMATION E	ENVIRONMENTAL
ASSIGNED AD:		ASSIGNED AD: V	. MOORE (LTR)
BRANCH CHIEF: (7)	SCHWENCER	BRANCH CHIEF:	
PROJECT MANAGER:		PROJECT MANAGER:	
LICENSING ASSISTANT:	LICENSING ASSISTA		NT:
EIGENSTING ASSESTED			
		B. HARLESS	
	INTERNAL	DISTRIBUTION	
REG FILES	SYSTEMS SAFETY	PLANT SYSTEMS	SITE SAFETY &
NRC-PDR	HEINEMAN	TEDESCO	ENVIRON ANALYSIS
T&E (2)	SCHROEDER	BENAROYA	DENTON & MULLER
OELD		LAINAS	GRUTCHFIELD
GOSSICK & STAFF	ENGINEERING	IPPOLITO	
HANAUER	KNIGHT	F. ROSA	ENVIRO TECH.
MTPC	BOSNAK		ERNST
CASE	SIHWELL	OPERATING REACTORS	BALLARD
BOYD	PAWLICKI	STELLO	YOUNGBLOOD
		EISENHUT	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT	REAGTOR SAFETY	SHAO	SITE TECH.
SKOVHOLT	ROSS	BAER	
P. COLLINS	NOVAK	BUTLER	GAMMILL (2)
HOUSTON	ROSZTOCZY	GRIMES	
MELTZ	CHECK		SITE ANALYSIS
HELTEMES		**	VOLLMER
SK	AT&I		BUNCH
	SALTZMAN		J. COLLINS
	RUTBERG		KREGER
	EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTIO	N	CONTROL NUMBER
LPDR: WALAAIIA, S TIC NAT LAB	NSIC		mise /
REG IV (J. HANCHETT)			772140227

NRC FORM 195 (2-76)

DUKE POWER COMPANY

POWER BUILDING

422 South Church Street, Charlotte, N. C. 28242

WILLIAM O. PARKER, JR.
VICE PRESIDENT
STEAM PRODUCTION

July 29, 1977

REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

Mr. Edson G. Case, Acting Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Re: Oconee Nuclear Station
Docket Nos. 50-269, -270, -287

Dear Sir:

My letter of April 21, 1977 provided a report entitled "Evaluation of Potential Turbine Building Flooding at the Oconee Nuclear Station". In this report, certain corrective actions were outlined which would mitigate the consequences of the maximum postulated flood condition. The purpose of that letter was to appraise you of our approach and schedule for resolving the issue.

Although the proposed modifications can be implemented pursuant to 10CFR50.59, and prior NRC approval is not required, members of your staff requested the opportunity to review our proposed plans prior to implementation. In as much as complete agreement has not been reached with your staff, it is considered inadvisable to proceed with these modifications at the present time. A revised schedule for implementation will be provided when resolution is achieved.

Very truly yours,

William O. Parker, Jr. /180

MST:ge

772140227

TELEPHONE: AREA 704

373-4083