

TO: Mr. Edson G. Case FROM: Duke Power Company  
 Charlotte, North Carolina  
 William O. Parker, Jr. DATE OF DOCUMENT 7/29/77  
 DATE RECEIVED 8/2/77  
 NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED 1 signed

DESCRIPTION: Concerns a previously submitted report entitled "Evaluation of Potential Turbine Flooding at the Oconee Nuclear Station"..  
 ENCLOSURE  
 (1-P)  
 PLANT NAME: Oconee Units 1-2-3  
 RJL 8/2/77

SAFETY	FOR ACTION/INFORMATION	ENVIRONMENTAL
ASSIGNED AD:		ASSIGNED AD: V. MOORE (LTR)
BRANCH CHIEF: (1) Schwencer		BRANCH CHIEF:
PROJECT MANAGER:		PROJECT MANAGER:
LICENSING ASSISTANT:		LICENSING ASSISTANT:
		B. HARLESS

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION			
REG FILES (3)	SYSTEMS SAFETY	PLANT SYSTEMS	SITE SAFETY & ENVIRON ANALYSIS
NRC PDR	HEINEMAN	TEDESCO	DENTON & MULLER
T & E (2)	SCHROEDER	BENAROYA	CRUTCHFIELD
OELD		LAINAS	
GOSSICK & STAFF	ENGINEERING	IPPOLITO	
HANAUER	KNIGHT	F. ROSA	ENVIRO TECH.
MTPC	BOSNAK		ERNST
CASE	SIHWELL	OPERATING REACTORS	BALLARD
BOYD	PAWLICKI	STELLO	YOUNGBLOOD
		EISENHUT	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT	REACTOR SAFETY	SHAO	SITE TECH.
SKOVHOLT	ROSS	BAER	GAMMILL (2)
P. COLLINS	NOVAK	BUTLER	
HOUSTON	ROSZTOCZY	GRIMES	
MELTZ	CHECK		SITE ANALYSIS
HELTEMES			VOLLMER
SK	AT&I		BUNCH
	SALTZMAN		J. COLLINS
	RUTBERG		KREGER

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION		CONTROL NUMBER
LPRD WALSH, S.S.		misc 4 772140227 B
TIC	NSIC	
NAT LAB		
REG IV (J. HANCHETT)		
16 CYS ACRS SENT CATEGORY B		

DUKE POWER COMPANY

POWER BUILDING

422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28242


WILLIAM O. PARKER, JR.  
VICE PRESIDENT  
STEAM PRODUCTION

July 29, 1977

TELEPHONE: AREA 704  
373-4083

REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

Mr. Edson G. Case, Acting Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555



Re: Oconee Nuclear Station  
Docket Nos. 50-269, -270, -287

Dear Sir:

My letter of April 21, 1977 provided a report entitled "Evaluation of Potential Turbine Building Flooding at the Oconee Nuclear Station". In this report, certain corrective actions were outlined which would mitigate the consequences of the maximum postulated flood condition. The purpose of that letter was to appraise you of our approach and schedule for resolving the issue.

Although the proposed modifications can be implemented pursuant to 10CFR50.59, and prior NRC approval is not required, members of your staff requested the opportunity to review our proposed plans prior to implementation. In as much as complete agreement has not been reached with your staff, it is considered inadvisable to proceed with these modifications at the present time. A revised schedule for implementation will be provided when resolution is achieved.

Very truly yours,

*William O. Parker Jr. / WOP*

William O. Parker, Jr.

MST:ge

772140227