

NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

FILE NUMBER

TO: B.C. Rusche

FROM: Duke Power Co.
Charlotte, N.C.
W.O. Parker, Jr.

DATE OF DOCUMENT

6-15-76

DATE RECEIVED

6-21-76

LETTER
 ORIGINAL
 COPY

NOTORIZED
 UNCLASSIFIED

PROP

INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED

1

DESCRIPTION

Ltr. re. our 2-25 and 5-7-76 ltrs....
Changes in analytical method and results..W/
Revision 2 to BAW-1425 (Cycle # 2 Reload Report)

(1 Signed Cy. Received)
(8 Pages)

PLANT NAME: Oconee # 2

ENCLOSURE

DO NOT REMOVE
ACKNOWLEDGED

SAFETY

FOR ACTION/INFORMATION

ENVIRO

SAB 6-28-76

ASSIGNED AD :

BRANCH CHIEF :

PROJECT MANAGER:

LIC. ASST. :

Schwencer *W/K*

Sheppard

ASSIGNED AD :

BRANCH CHIEF :

PROJECT MANAGER :

LIC. ASST. :

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

REG FILE

NRC PDR

I & E (2)

OELD

GOSSICK & STAFF

MIPC

CASE

HANAUER

HARLESS

SYSTEMS SAFETY

HEINEMAN

SCHROEDER

ENGINEERING

MACCARY

KNIGHT

SIHWEIL

PAWLICKI

PLANT SYSTEMS

TEDESCO

BENAROYA

LAINAS

IPPOLITO

OPERATING REACTORS

STELLO

OPERATING TECH

EISENHUT

SHAO

BAER

SCHWENCER

GRIMES

ENVIRO TECH

ERNST

BALLARD

SPANGLER

SITE TECH

GAMMILL

STAPP

HULMAN

SITE ANALYSIS

VOLLMER

BUNCH

COLLINS

KREGER

PROJECT MANAGEMENT

BOYD

P. COLLINS

HOUSTON

PETERSON

MELTZ

HELTEMES

SKOVHOLT

REACTOR SAFETY

ROSS

NOVAK

ROSZTOCZY

CHECK

AT & I

SALTZMAN

RUTBERG

SITE SAFETY & ENVIRO

ANALYSIS

DENTON & MULLER

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

CONTROL NUMBER

LPDR: Walhalla, S.C.

TIC

NSIC

ASLB

ACRS *1/6 HOLDING/SENT*

NATL LAB

REG. V-IE

IA PDR

CONSULTANTS

BROOKHAVEN NATL LAB

ULRIKSON (ORNL)

6251

Regulatory

File Cy.



DUKE POWER COMPANY

POWER BUILDING

422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28242

WILLIAM O. PARKER, JR.
VICE PRESIDENT
STEAM PRODUCTION

June 15, 1976



Mr. Benard C. Rusche, Director
Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 1

Re: Oconee Unit 2
Docket No. 50-270

Dear Mr. Rusche:

In letters dated February 25, 1976 and May 7, 1976, revisions to the Oconee Nuclear Station Technical Specifications were requested to support the operation of Oconee 2, Cycle 2. Subsequent to those submittals, an error has been identified in the Oconee 2, Cycle 2 DNBR densification penalty calculations. This error has resulted from the use of inconsistent heat flux (flux shape) and enthalpy rise calculations in evaluating the DNBR densification penalty. The revised calculations indicate that the change (reduction) in DNBR margin due to densification effects should be 5.93 percent and the change (reduction) in power peaking margin should be 3.47 percent instead of the previously reported values of 1.88 percent and 1.06 percent, respectively.

In the analysis incorporating the revised DNBR densification penalty, the penalty for an assumed open internals vent valve was deleted. Removal of the penalty for an open vent valve was addressed by the Commission's letter dated January 30, 1976 and proposed Technical Specifications submitted pursuant thereto on June 11, 1976.

The pressure-temperature limit curve based upon the internals vent valves remaining closed and incorporating the revised densification penalty is approximately 30F less restrictive (maximum allowable temperature will be 30F higher at a given pressure) than the previously submitted curve. Since the variable low pressure trip setpoint is based upon the four-pump pressure-temperature limit curve, the previously proposed variable low pressure trip setpoint also continues to be conservative.

The flux/flow trip setpoint is based upon a two-pump coastdown analysis. When the revised densification penalty is incorporated and the penalty for an assumed open vent valve is removed, a flux/flow trip setpoint of 1.08

Mr. Benard C. Rusche

Page 2

June 15, 1976


can be justified. This setpoint also includes a 1.2 percent error to account for the precision of various components in the RPS flow instrument string. The previously proposed flux/flow trip setpoint is 1.07 for Oconee 2, Cycle 2.

Therefore, the specifications previously proposed for Oconee 2, Cycle 2 are conservative with respect to setpoints which could be justified based upon the incorporation of the revised densification penalty and removal of the penalty for an assumed open internals vent valve.

Surveillance of the Oconee 2 internals vent valves will be performed during this refueling outage in accordance with the Technical Specifications requested in our June 11, 1976 submittal.

Revision 2 to Babcock and Wilcox BAW-1425, "Oconee 2, Cycle 2 Reload Report," is attached to reflect the above changes in analytical method and results.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "William O. Parker, Jr." followed by a stylized flourish.

William O. Parker, Jr

REVISION 2 TO
BAW-1425

OCONEE 2, CYCLE 2 RELOAD REPORT

used in the analysis are 1.087 and 3.10 inches, respectively. The results of the two effects are -5.93% and -3.47% change* in the minimum hot channel DNBR and peaking margin, respectively. The changes in these margins are summarized in Table 6-1, which includes comparisons of other pertinent cycle 1 and 2 data. | 2

The DNBR analysis has been based on a core configuration consisting of 177 Mark B fuel assemblies. The incorporation of two Mark C demonstration fuel assemblies in batch 4 in place of two Mark B assemblies, results in an increase in the overall margin to DNB, as discussed in reference 2.

* Change from undensified values. These are actually an improvement over cycle 1 densified conditions.

Table 6-1. Cycle 1 and 2 Maximum Design Conditions

	<u>Cycle 1¹</u>	<u>Cycle 2</u>
Design power level, MWt	2568	2568
System pressure, psia	2200	2200
Reactor coolant flow, % design flow	100.0	107.6
Vessel inlet coolant temperature, 100% power, F	554.0	555.9
Vessel outlet coolant temperature, 100% power, F	603.8	602.2
Ref. design radial-local power peaking factor	1.78	1.78
Ref. design axial flux shape	1.5 cosine	1.5 cosine
Active fuel length, in.	144 (undens.)	141.1 (dens.)
Avg heat flux, 100% power, Btu/h-ft ²	171,470	174,995
Max heat flux, 100% power, Btu/h-ft ² (for DNBR calc)	457,825	467,236
CHF correlation	W-3	B&W-2
Minimum DNBR (max design conditions, no densif penalties)	1.55 (114% power)	1.98 (112% power)
Hot channel factors		
Enthalpy rise	1.011	1.011
Heat flux	1.014	1.014
Flow area	0.98	0.98
Densification effects		
Change in DNBR margin, %	-6.08 ⁴	-5.93
Change in power peaking margin, %	-2.82 ⁴	-3.47


2

8. PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS


The Technical Specifications have been revised for cycle 2 operation. Changes were the results of the following:

1. Using the B&W-2 CHF correlation rather than W-3, as discussed in section 6.1.
2. Using a 95/95 confidence level rather than 99/95, as discussed in section 6.1.
3. Using 107.6% of design flow rather than 100%, as discussed in section 6.1.
4. Using the Final Acceptance Criteria LOCA Analysis for restricting peaks during operation, as discussed in section 7.14.
5. Revising the assumptions on which the flux flow RPS setpoint is based. This setpoint now accounts for signal noise on the basis of data accumulated from operating B&W reactors.
6. An analysis incorporating the effects of fuel rod bow on core parameters.
7. The penalty on core coolant flow due to an assumed open vent valve has been eliminated based on a vent valve surveillance program performed during each refueling shutdown.

Based on the Technical Specifications derived from the analyses presented in this report, the Final Acceptance Criteria ECCS limits will not be exceeded, nor will the thermal design criteria be violated. Figures 8-1 through 8-14 illustrate revisions to previous Technical Specification safety limits.



UNIT 2 CYCLE 2
CORE PROTECTION SAFETY LIMIT
Figure 8-1



CURVE	REACTOR COOLANT FLOW LBS/HR	POWER	PUMPS OPERATING (TYPE OF LIMIT)
1	141.3 x 10 ⁶ (100%)	112%	Four Pumps (DNBR Limit)
2	105.6 x 10 ⁶ (74.7%)	86%	Three Pumps (DNBR Limit)
3	69.3 x 10 ⁶ (49.0%)	58%	One Pump in Each Loop -(Quality Limit)

UNIT 2 - CYCLE 2
CORE PROTECTION SAFETY LIMITS
Figure 8-3