U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION									50-269 275 487	
1	NRC DISTRIBUT	10	N FOR PAR	T 50 DOC	KEŢN	IATE	RIAL		FILE NUMBER	
TO: Mr Rusche				FROM: Duke Power Company Charlotte NC			er Company e. NC		DATE OF DOCUMENT-2-76	
W					WO	) Parker			DATE RECEIVED 12-7-76	
R	LETTER DNOTO	RIZ	ED	PROP			INPUT FORM		NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED	
BORIGINAL DUNCLASSIFIED							one signed			
DESCRIPTION						ENCL	OSURE			
Ltr re their 5-13-76 submittaltrans the following:						Supporting & addl info re their 5-13-76 reques for Appendix B tech specs revisions with regar to increase in upper limit for chemistry efflu ph				
•			•			١			1	
				+ `						
1	· · ·			;						
			•							
¥	ANT NABER							<u>ه-رد</u> بر		
ĩ	Conee 1-	3					ACKNOW	LĽ	DGED	
					1		Thomas			
						DO NOT REMOVE				
	SAFETY			FOR AC	TION/II	NFOF	MATION ENVIRO	]	12-10-/6 ehf	
	ASSIGNED AD:						ASSIGNED AD:			
/	BRANCH CHIEF:		Schwe	ncer	(5)		BRANCH CHIEF:			
$\geq$	PROJECT MANAGER:	ECT MANAGER: Zech,					PROJECT MANAGER:			
	LIC. ASST. :	IC. ASST. : Sheppar			-2	LIC, ASST, :				
			-				·			
			0110 50 10	INTERI		SIRI	BUTION			
4	KEG PDP		SYSTEMS	SAFETY			PLANT SYSTEMS		SITE SAFETY &	
4	NKC PDK		HEINEMA	N			TEDESCO		ENVIRO ANALYSIS	
4	$\frac{1 \alpha E(2)}{0 \pi D}$		SCHRUEL	JER			BENAROYA		DENTON & MULLER	
4	COCCTCV & CTARE		Disting				LAINAS			
$\square$	MIDO		ENGINEE	RING			<u>IPPOLITO</u>		ENVIRO TECH.	
	CASE		MACARRY				KIRKWOOD		BATTARD	
	HANAIEP		CTULETT				OPERATING REACTOR	5	SPANCI FR	
	HARLESS	·	PAWLTCK	T			STELLO			
			-	<u></u>		-1			SITE TECH.	
	PROJECT MANAGEMENT		REACTOR	SAFETY			OPERATING TECH.		GAMMILL	
-	BOYD		ROSS			/	EISENHUT		STEPP	
-	P. COLLINS		NOVAK			7	SHAO		HULMAN	
	HOUSTON		ROSZTOC	ZY			BAER			
	PETERSON		CHECK			1.	BUTLER		SITE ANALYSIS	
	MELTZ					/	GRIMES		VOLLMER	
	HELTEMES		AT & I						BUNCH	
	SKOVHOLT		SALTZMA	N			•		J. COLLINS	
	·		RUTBERG						KREGER	
			EXTERNAL	DISTRIBU	TION				CONTROL NUMBER	
Z	LPDR: Walhalle, SC		NAT, LAB	;			BROOKHAVEN NAT. LA	I.I.	T Ann In II	
2	TIC:		REG V.IE				JLRIKSON (ORNL)			
$\square$	NSIC:		LA PDR							
	ASLB:		CONSULTA	NTS:					12331	
	ACRS 11. OVC HOT DINA!	SEN	TA. C	1 1	12	10.	- 71	1		
	nons /p Cla noebling/		1 1 2 0	HT D	LAT	- <u>-</u>	<u>/ b</u>			

**-** . .

•••

## DUKE POWER COMPANY

Power Building

DE

U.S

Legulatory Socket File

TELEPHONE: AREA 704

373-4083

422 South Church Street, Charlotte, N. C. 28242

WILLIAM O. PARKER, JR. VICE PRESIDENT STEAM PRODUCTION

December 2, 1976

Mr. Benard C. Rusche, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #1

Reference: Oconee Nuclear Station Docket Nos. 50-269, -270, -287

Dear Mr. Rusche:

My letter of May 13, 1976 proposed an amendment to the occhee Nuclear Station Appendix B Technical Specifications to increase the pH upper limit for chemistry effluents from the station from 8.5 to 9.0. Your letter of August 2, 1976 requested additional information which we subsequently provided in a letter of September 29, 1976. This letter specifically discussed the use of a conservative theoretical model to predict the resulting alkalinity values in the Keowee River for normal and worst case chemical effluent release concentrations. Results indicated that under worst case conditions, release of chemical effluents from the station with a pH to 9.0 would have insufficient alkalinity to affect anything other than a small localized area near the release point. Also, it was noted that under worst case conditions, the alkalinity values in the Oconee effluent discharge stream prior to dilution, are comparable to alkalinities of typical Piedmont South Carolina streams as reported in the "U. S. Geological Survey Water-Date Report SC-75-1."

For the above reasons, it was concluded that an increase in the pH effluent release limit to 9.0 would result in no additional effects on aquatic species populations in the Keowee River. To provide additional information to support this conclusion, the theoretical model used to calculate alkalinity concentrations in the Keowee River at various distances from the waste water treatment system discharge point is included as an attachment. This model is derived from the paper, "The Interrelationship Between Eddy Viscosity, Mixing Length, Entrainment and Width Growth Models in Turbulent Flows," by B. L. Sill and J. A. Schetz, Aerospace Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State

12351

Mr. Benard C. Rusche Page 2 December 2, 1976

University, Blacksburg, Virginia, NSF Grant No. ENG 73-03735A0.

Table 1 is provided as a summarization of data obtained from adaptation of this model to Oconee Nuclear Station, using both normal and worst case effluent release conditions. The results of these cases were discussed in my previous letter of September 29, 1976.

Very truly yours,

William O. Parker, Jr.

EDB:vr Attachment

## ATTACHMENT L

Derivation of the Theoretical Model Utilized to Calculate Alkalinity Concentrations in the Keowee River Resulting from Oconee Nuclear Station Chemical Effluent Releases

The initial equations are:

$$d_{dx} \left[ \int_{A_T} \int U dA \right] = e$$

mass flux/unit length = entrainment

$$d_{dx} \begin{bmatrix} f_{A_T} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\int \rho U^2 dA = eU_e - T_o P_o$  momentum flux

Note: A<sub>m</sub>

е

Flow cross-sectional area for entire control volume

- Entrainment
- <sup>U</sup>e External Velocity
- T Shear Stress
- P Peripheral length of the control volume
- f Velocity Profile Function

$$f = \frac{U - U}{e} u_0 - U_e$$

f(o) = 1, f(1) = 0 and  $U_0$  Varies with streamwise directtion

Solving the above equations for entrainment yields:

$$e \rho U_{0} A_{T} = \frac{I}{2} \left( \frac{\left(1 + \overline{U}_{e}^{2} / I_{1} + 2\overline{U}_{e} / I_{1}^{2}\right)}{\left(1 + \overline{U}_{e} I_{1} / 2I_{2}^{2}\right)} \right)$$

Where 
$$I_1 = \int_0^1 \int d\overline{A}$$
  
 $I_2 = \int_0^1 f^2 d\overline{A}$   
 $\overline{U}_e = \frac{U}{e} / \Delta \overline{U}_0$ 

Assume symmetrical flow fields in the absence of solid boundaries and  $U_0 >> U_e$ .

 $e/\rho\Delta U_{0}A_{T} = I_{1}/2$ 

$$Q_{\text{JET}} = Q_{\text{JET}} + \int^{e} / \rho dx$$

Concentration =  $Q_{JET_0} / Q_{JET}$ 

Concentration Fraction = 
$$\frac{Q_{\text{JET}_{0}}}{Q_{\text{JET}_{0}} + \int_{0}^{e} \rho dx} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\int_{0}^{e} \rho dx}{Q_{\text{JET}_{0}}}}$$

Solution to problem

Assume: Two-Dimensional Jet

$$U_e = 0$$
 i.e.  $U_{JET} >> U_e$ 

then 
$$\overline{U}_e = 0$$
  $\Delta U_0 = U - U_e = U_0$ 



For a cosine velocity profile =  $f = \frac{U}{2} \left( 1 + \cos \pi \frac{Y}{b} \right)$ 

 $I_{I} = \int f d\overline{A}$ 

$$Jet Spread \leftarrow \downarrow_{*}^{\dagger} d\bar{A} = Ldy$$

$$L = depth$$

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \frac{U}{2} \left[ 1 + \cos \frac{y}{b} \right] \right] Ldy = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$dA/dx = \frac{d}{dx} (bL) = L \frac{db}{dx}$$

$$e = \rho U_{0} L \frac{db}{dx} \left[ \frac{I}{2} \right] = \rho U_{0} L \frac{db}{dx} \left[ \frac{1}{4} \right]$$

$$db/dx = C_{j} = .22 \Rightarrow b = b_{0} + C_{j}x$$

$$e = \rho U_{0} L \frac{.22}{4} = .055 \rho U_{0} L$$

From conservation of momentum

$$\int \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \rho U_0^2 (bL) \int f^2 d\overline{y} \right] = 0$$

 $\rho U_0^2$  (bL) = Constant

$$U_0^2 b = U_0^2 / b = C$$
  
initial

$$J_0 = Centerline Velocity = \sqrt{\frac{c}{b}} = \sqrt{\frac{c}{b}} + C_j x$$

 $\int edx = .055 \rho L \int U dx$ 

= .055pL 
$$\sqrt{C} \int (b + C_{j}x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx$$

 $v = b + C_j dx$ 

b

$$dv = C_j dx$$

$$= \left[\frac{.055\rho L}{C_{j}}\sqrt{C}\right] f v^{-\frac{1}{2}} dv$$
$$= \frac{.055 L}{C_{j}}\sqrt{C} \left[\frac{v^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\frac{1}{2}}\right] v v_{0}$$
$$= \frac{\rho L}{2} \sqrt{C} \left[b^{\frac{1}{2}} - b^{\frac{1}{2}}_{0}\right]$$

$$\binom{C_{j}}{4} = .055$$

$$\int edx = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\rho L}{2} & \sqrt{b} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sqrt{b} \begin{bmatrix} \left( \frac{b}{b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
initial

$$Q_{\text{initial}} = U_{0} b_{0} L$$
  
$$\int \frac{d}{dx} = \frac{1}{2} Q_{\text{initial}} \left[ \begin{bmatrix} b \\ b \\ b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 1 \right]$$

Concentration Franction = 
$$\frac{1}{1 + Q} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ b \\ b \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
initial

Concentration Fraction =  $\frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b \\ b \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right)}$ 

Where 
$$\frac{b}{b} = 1 + C_j \frac{x}{b_x}$$

Sample Calculation

Assume - Initial Discharge depth  $(b_x) = 2'$ 

Distance from WWTS Discharge (x) = 200'

Alkalinity =  $26.52 \frac{\text{mg}}{1}$ 

$$\frac{b}{b} = 1 + C_j \frac{x}{b_x}$$

$$\frac{b}{b} = \frac{1 + .22}{2} \left(\frac{200}{2}\right) = 23$$

Conc. fraction = 
$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( 23 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right)} = .345$$

Alkalinity initially at 26.52  $\frac{mg}{1}$  has been diluted to

.345 (26.52  $\frac{mg}{1}$ ) = 9.15  $\frac{mg}{1}$  at a point 200 below discharge.

## Table 1

X Distance From Discharge (Ft.)	Concentration Fraction	Case #1 Flow = 22.8 cfs Alkalinity 26.5 mg/1	Case #2 Flow = 7.7 cfs Alkalinity 13.0 mg/1
10	.817	21.7	10.6
20	.717	19.0	9.3
30	.651	17.3	8.5
40	.602	16.0	
50	.563	14.9	· ·
70	.506	13.4	
100	.448	11.9	
150	.386	10.2	
200	.345	9.1	
250	.316	8.4	

For: Keowee River Flow = 48.0 cfs Keowee River Alkalinity = 7.5 mg/1

40. L.