

EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	K. Clayton	Facility:	GG	Date of Written Exam:	12/4/2015
U/I:	M. Kennard	Start of Op Test:	12/7/2015	End of Op Test:	12/11/2015
Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility			Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
6/5/2015	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	4/6/15	ML		
7/3/2015	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	4/6/15	ML		
7/3/2015	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	4/6/15	ML		
7/3/2015	Corporate Notification Letter sent	4/6/15	ML	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
9/7/2015	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	8/7/15	ML	ES-201 Att-3	
9/7/2015	Integrated exam outlines due	8-7-15	ML		
9/12/2015	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	8-7-15	ML	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
9/12/2015	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	8-11-15	ML		
10/8/2015	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	10-5-15	ML		
10/10/2015	Peer review of written exam complete	10-13-2015	ML	Document review on ES-401-9	
10/17/2015	Written Exam and operating test review completed	10-16-2015	ML	Document review on ES-401-10	
11/2/2015	Preliminary license applications due	11-2-2015	ML	NRC Forms 398/396	
11/6/2015	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	11-4-2015	ML		
10/26/2015	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	10/30/15	ML		
11/6/2015	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	10-16-2015	ML		
11/6/2015	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	10-16-2015	ML		
11/20/2015	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	11/23/2015	ML	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
11/27/2015	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	11/23/2015	ML	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
11/27/2015	Facility Licensee management queried regarding the licensee's views on the examination	11/30/2015	ML		
11/20/2015	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent Final Exam due (if significant changes made from draft)	11/23/2015	ML		
11/27/2015	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	12/7/2015	ML		
11/27/2015	Exam material to exam team	11/23/2015	ML		
12/7/2015	Administer Operating Test	12/7/2015	ML		
12/18/2015	Facility post-exam documentation due	12/16/2015	ML		
12/18/2015	NRC written exam grading completed	12/16/2015	ML	ES-403-1 to BC	
12/18/2015	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	12/16/2015	ML		
12/25/2015	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	12/16/2015	ML	Signed ES 303's to BC	
1/1/2016	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	12/17/2015	ML		
1/8/2016	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	12/17/2015	ML		
1/8/2016	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	12/17/2015	ML		
1/8/2016	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	12/3/2015	ML	print Report-21	
1/22/2016	Examination Report Issued	1/25/2015 *	ML	produced by CE * in routing	
1/29/2016	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	1/19/2016	ML	SUNSI checklist to LA	
2/5/2016	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	1/19/2016	ML	NO APPEALS	

Facility:		Date of Examination:		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N.	K	CR	M / KCP
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	K	CR	M / KCP
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	K	CR	M / KCP
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	K	CR	M / KCP
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	K	CR	M / KCP
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	K	CR	M / KCP
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	K	CR	M / KCP
3. W A L K T H R O U G H	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	K	CR	M / KCP
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	K	CR	M / KCP
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	K	CR	M / KCP
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	K	CR	M / KCP
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	K	CR	M / KCP
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	K	CR	M / KCP
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	K	CR	M / KCP
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	K	CR	M / KCP
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	K	CR	M / KCP

a. Author	<u>Gabe Kimich / Gabe Kimich</u>	Printed Name/Signature	<u>10</u>	Date	<u>7/20/15</u>
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>Chad Rogers / Chad Rogers</u>				<u>7/23/15</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>Kelly Clayton / Kelly Clayton</u>				<u>8/7/15</u>
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>Vincent Laddy / Vincent Laddy</u>				<u>8/7/15</u>

Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.
* Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.

Facility: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station		Date of Examination: 12/7/2015	Operating Test Number: LOT-2015	
1. General Criteria			Initials	
			a	b*
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	K	CR	ML / KR
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	K	CR	ML / KR
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	K	CR	ML / KR
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	K	CR	ML / KR
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	K	CR	ML / KR
2. Walk-Through Criteria			--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature – system response and other examiner cues – statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant – criteria for successful completion of the task – identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards – restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	K	CR	ML / KR
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	K	CR	ML / KR
3. Simulator Criteria			--	--
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.			K	CR / ML / KR
		Printed Name / Signature	Date	
a.	Author	Gabe Kimich <i>Gabe Kimich</i>	11/9/15	
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	Chad Rogers <i>Chad Rogers</i>	11/9/15	
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<i>Kelly Clayton</i> / <i>Michael Kennedy</i>	11/20/15	
d.	NRC Supervisor	<i>Vincent Gaddy</i> / <i>Vincent Gaddy</i>	11/23/15	
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.				

Facility: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station Date of Exam: 12/7/2015 Scenario Numbers: 1/2/3 Operating Test No.: LOT-2015		Initials		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	K	CR	ML/CR
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	K	CR	ML/CR
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	K	CR	ML/CR
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	K	CR	ML/CR
5.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	K	CR	ML/CR
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	K	CR	ML/CR
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	K	CR	ML/CR
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	K	CR	ML/CR
9.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	K	CR	ML/CR
10.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	K	CR	ML/CR
11.	The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)	K	CR	ML/CR
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	K	CR	ML/CR
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	K	CR	ML/CR
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 3 / 2	K	CR
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4 / 3 / 6	K	CR
3.	Major transients (1-2)	2 / 1 / 2	K	CR
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 2 / 1	K	CR
5.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 / 2 / 1	K	CR
6.	EOP based Critical tasks (2-3)	4 / 3 / 3	K	CR
NOTE:	* The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.			

Facility:	Date of Exam:	Exam Level:	RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SRO <input type="checkbox"/>
Item Description	Initial			
	a	b*	c*#	
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	K	CR	ML ROR	
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	K	CR	ML ROR	
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR/NRO OL program office).	K	CR	ML ROR	
5. Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate <u>X</u> The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or ___ the examinations were developed independently; or <u>X</u> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)	K	CR	ML ROR	
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right	Bank	Modified	New	
	37	3	35	
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A		
	32	43		
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	K	CR	ML ROR	
9. Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	K	CR	ML ROR	
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	K	CR	ML ROR	
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	K	CR	ML ROR	
Printed Name / Signature			Date	
a. Author	Gabe Kimich / <u>Gabe Kimich</u>		11/9/15	
b. FacilityReviewer(*)	Chad Rogers / <u>Chad Rogers</u>		11/9/15	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Kelly Chapman / <u>Kelly Chapman</u> MICHAEL KENNARD <u>MICHAEL KENNARD</u>		11/20/15	
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Vincent Gaddy / <u>Vincent Gaddy</u>		11/25/15	
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials or signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initials items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. .			

Facility:	Date of Exam:	Exam Level:	RO <input type="checkbox"/>	SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Item Description				Initial			
				a	b*	c*#	
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.				SOR	CR	ML KOR	
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.				SOR	CR	ML KOR	
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401				SOR	CR	ML KOR	
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR/NRO OL program office).				SOR	CR	ML KOR	
5. Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)				SOR	CR	ML KOR	
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right				Bank	Modified	New	
				5	1	19	
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.				Memory	C/A		
				7	18		
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.				SOR	CR	ML KOR	
9. Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.				SOR	CR	ML KOR	
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.				SOR	CR	ML KOR	
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.				SOR	CR	ML KOR	
Printed Name / Signature						Date	
a. Author	Steve Reeves / <u>Steve Reeves</u>					11/9/15	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Chad Rogers / <u>Chad Rogers</u>					11/9/15	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Kelly Clayton Haddy <u>Michael Kennel</u>					11/20/15	
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Vincent Gaddy <u>Vincent Gaddy</u>					11/23/15	
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials or signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initials items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.							

Facility: GGNS	Date of Exam: 12/14/15	Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	K	RKL	ML / KPT
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	K	RKL	ML / KPT
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	K	RKL	ML / KPT
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	N/A	N/A	ML / KPT
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	N/A	ML / KPT
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	K	RKL	ML / KPT
Printed Name/Signature	Date		
a. Grader	<u>Gabe Kimich / Gabe Kimich</u>		<u>12/15/15</u>
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>Ricky Liddell / Ricky Liddell</u> <u>Mike Kennard / Mike Kennard</u>		<u>12-15-15</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>Kelby Clayton / Kelby Clayton</u>		<u>12-17-15</u>
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy</u>		<u>12/17/15</u>
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.			

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule
From 10/01/2015 To 09/30/2016

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Date

02/2014	GG - Grand Gulf	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Grand Gulf: 0
02/2014		RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 02/2014: 0
10/2015	GG - Grand Gulf	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Grand Gulf: 0
10/2015		RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 10/2015: 0
12/2015	GG - Grand Gulf	RO - 6	SROI - 1	SROU - 1	LSRO - 0	Total for Grand Gulf: 8
12/2015		RO - 6	SROI - 1	SROU - 1	LSRO - 0	Total for 12/2015: 8
09/2016	GG - Grand Gulf					

2015 ILOT NRC Exam

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12/4/15 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _____ From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Gabe Kimich	Lead Exam Developer	<i>Gabe Kimich</i>	4/14/15	<i>Gabe Kimich</i>	12/15/15	
2. Steve Reeves	Exam Developer	<i>Steve Reeves</i>	4/14/15	<i>Steve Reeves</i>	12/15/15	
3. Robert Goldman	Simulator Software	<i>Robert Goldman</i>	4/16/15	<i>Robert Goldman</i>		
4. Leann Hurdy	Operations Representative	<i>Leann Hurdy</i>	6/24/15			
5. Chad Keegels	Facility Rep	<i>Chad Keegels</i>	7/20/15			
6. ALINE GRIFFIN	Simulator Specialist	<i>Aline Griffin</i>	7/20/15	<i>Aline Griffin</i>	12/15/15	
7. Selma Dunfee	OPERATIONS	<i>Selma Dunfee</i>	9/15/15			
8. Bonnie Walters	RO	<i>Bonnie Walters</i>	9/15/15			
9. John Capus	CS	<i>John Capus</i>	9/15/15			
10. Antonio Sanches	CS	<i>Antonio Sanches</i>	9/15/15			
11. Sean White	RO	<i>Sean White</i>	9/15/15			
12. Michael Starnes	RO	<i>Michael Starnes</i>	9/15/15			
13. Gabriel Henrique	RO	<i>Gabriel Henrique</i>	9/15/15			
14. Sean S Dixon	RO	<i>Sean S Dixon</i>	9/15/15			
15. Isen Nudalny	CLS	<i>Isen Nudalny</i>	9/15/15			

NOTES: Notified via email

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12/7/15 - 12/14/15 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

GGNS

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 12/7/15 - 12/14/15. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Frank Wenz 33250	Shift Manager	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-15-15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
2. Christina...	STA/LAS	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/15/15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
3. Kenya D. Holley	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-16-15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
4. Kelley McNeil Re	TRNS	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/21/15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
5. Todd Chamber	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/9/15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
6. Patrick Bludau	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/21/15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
7. April Harrarave	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
8. Jody Chyregai	CRS	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/21/15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
9. Dexter Smith	CRS	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
10. Ralph S. Flickinger	CRS	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
11. Reed Eldridge	CRS/STA	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
12. Keith HARR	OSQA	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/15	<i>[Signature]</i>		0
13. Matt Fralich	OPS TRAINING	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/7/15	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/11/15	0
14. John Bars...	OPS TRAINING	<i>[Signature]</i>	12-7-15	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/11/15	0
15. Jesse Tarnage	OPS	<i>[Signature]</i>	12-7-15	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/11/15	0

NOTES: ① Notified via email

GENS

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12/7/15 - 12/14/15 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 12/7/15 - 12/14/15. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Michael Rasch	Sp. Ops Inst.	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/7/15	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/14/15	
2. Robert McNamee	OPS Support	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/7/15	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/15/15	
3. Steven Angel	OPS INST.	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/7/15	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/15/15	
4. Michael Bradford	OPS SRC	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/7/15	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/15/15	
5. Joseph Brannon	Ops Trng Supt	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/8/15	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/14/15	
6. Kelly Liddell	Mktg - Ops Support	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/8/15	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/14/15	
7. Mark Goodwin	CBS (Mgmt observe for OPS)	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/9/15	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/14/15	
8. Robert F. Brinkman Jr		<i>[Signature]</i>	12/9/15	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/14/15	
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: ① Notified via email