

## **NRR-PMDAPem Resource**

---

**From:** Barillas, Martha  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 20, 2016 2:50 PM  
**To:** Mike.Glover@duke-energy.com; Connelly, Scott (Scott.Connelly@duke-energy.com)  
**Cc:** Barillas, Martha; Galvin, Dennis; Beasley, Benjamin  
**Subject:** Acceptance of Requested Licensing Action regarding Duke Energy Progress application to Revise TS to adopt 10 CFR 50, Appendix J Option B for Type B and Type C Testing and ILRT Interval and Type C Leak Rate Testing Frequency (MF7102)

SUBJECT: H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT 2 - ACCEPTANCE OF REQUESTED LICENSING ACTION REGARDING DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC. APPLICATION TO REVISE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS TO ADOPT OPTION B OF 10 CFR 50, APPENDIX J FOR TYPE B AND TYPE C TESTING AND THE PERMANENT CHANGE IN 10 CFR 50, APPENDIX J, INTEGRATED LEAK RATE TEST INTERVAL AND TYPE C LEAK RATE TESTING FREQUENCY (CAC MF7102)

Dear Mr. Glover:

By letter dated November 19, 2015 (Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML15323A085), Duke Energy Progress, Inc. (Duke Energy), the licensee, submitted a request, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.90, for H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2, to amend their Technical Specifications to revise TS 5.5.16, Containment Leak Rate Testing Program, TS 3.6.1, Containment, and TS 3.6.2, Containment Air Lock. The amendment would adopt Option B of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) 50, Appendix J, for the Type B and Type C Testing and a permanent change in 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, Integrated Leak Rate Test Interval and Type C Leak Rate Testing Frequency.

The purpose of this letter is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this LAR. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant. Consistent with 10 CFR 50.90, an amendment to the license (including the technical specifications) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations. The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment.

Given the lesser the scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

I may be contacted at (301) 415-2760 or via e-mail [Martha.Barillas@nrc.gov](mailto:Martha.Barillas@nrc.gov).

Respectfully,

Martha Barillas  
Project Manager  
Shearon Harris & H. B. Robinson

NRR/DORL/Licensing Branch II-2  
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
301-415-2760

**Hearing Identifier:** NRR\_PMDA  
**Email Number:** 2608

**Mail Envelope Properties** (9b55870b2e8841a7b148d5d17056f2fd)

**Subject:** Acceptance of Requested Licensing Action regarding Duke Energy Progress application to Revise TS to adopt 10 CFR 50, Appendix J Option B for Type B and Type C Testing and ILRT Interval and Type C Leak Rate Testing Frequency (MF7102)

**Sent Date:** 1/20/2016 2:49:53 PM

**Received Date:** 1/20/2016 2:49:56 PM

**From:** Barillas, Martha

**Created By:** Martha.Barillas@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"Barillas, Martha" <Martha.Barillas@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Galvin, Dennis" <Dennis.Galvin@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Beasley, Benjamin" <Benjamin.Beasley@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Mike.Glover@duke-energy.com" <Mike.Glover@duke-energy.com>

Tracking Status: None

"Connelly, Scott (Scott.Connelly@duke-energy.com)" <Scott.Connelly@duke-energy.com>

Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS06.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	3223	1/20/2016 2:49:56 PM

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard

**Return Notification:** No

**Reply Requested:** No

**Sensitivity:** Normal

**Expiration Date:**

**Recipients Received:**