

50-269270/289

## NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

FILE NUMBER

TO: Mr Rusche

FROM: Duke Pwr Co  
Raleigh, NC  
W O Parker Jr

DATE OF DOCUMENT

3-4-76

DATE RECEIVED 3-9-76

☒ LETTER☐ NOTORIZED

PROP

INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED

☒ ORIGINAL☒ UNCLASSIFIED☐ COPY

one signed

## DESCRIPTION

## ENCLOSURE

Ltr re our 2-17-76 ltr....& their 12-18-76  
submittal....furnishing info concerning  
core circulation to avoid boron concentration  
buildup that might adverseley affect long  
term cooling foollowing LOCA.....

PLANT NAME: Oconee 1-3

## SAFETY

## FOR ACTION/INFORMATION

ENVIRO 3-13-76 enf

ASSIGNED AD :

BRANCH CHIEF :

PROJECT MANAGER:

LIC. ASST. :

Purple (6)

Sheppard

ASSIGNED AD :

BRANCH CHIEF :

PROJECT MANAGER :

LIC. ASST. :

## INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REG FILE	SYSTEMS SAFETY	PLANT SYSTEMS	ENVIRO TECH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NRC PDR	HEINEMAN	TEDESCO	ERNST
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I & E (2)	SCHROEDER	BENAROYA	BALLARD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OELD		LAINAS	SPANGLER
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GOSSICK & STAFF	ENGINEERING	IPPOLITO	
MIPC	MACCARY		SITE TECH
CASE	KNIGHT	OPERATING REACTORS	GAMILL
HANAUER	SIHWEIL	STELLO	STEPP
HARLESS	PAWLICKI		HULMAN
		OPERATING TECH	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT	REACTOR SAFETY	EISENHUT	SITE ANALYSIS
BOYD	ROSS	SHAO	VOLLMER
P. COLLINS	NOVAK	BAER	BUNCH
HOUSTON	ROSZTOCZY	SCHWENCER	J. COLLINS
PETERSON	CHECK	GRIMES	KREGER
MELTZ			
HELTEMES	AT & I	SITE SAFETY & ENVIRO	
SKOVHOLT	SALTZMAN	ANALYSIS	
	RUTBERG	DENTON & MULLER	

## EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

## CONTROL NUMBER

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LPDR: Walhalla, SC	NATL LAB	BROOKHAVEN NATL LAB
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TIC	REG. V-IE	ULRIKSON(ORNL)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSIC	LA PDR	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASLB	CONSULTANTS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACRS 16 HOLDING SENT	TO LA Sheppard	

237.8

DUKE POWER COMPANY

POWER BUILDING

422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28242


WILLIAM O. PARKER, JR.  
VICE PRESIDENT  
STEAM PRODUCTION

TELEPHONE: AREA 704  
373-4083

REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

March 4, 1976

Mr. Benard C. Rusche  
Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555



Attention: Mr. R. A. Purple


Re: Oconee Nuclear Station  
Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270 and 50-289

Dear Sir:

In your letter of February 17, 1976, it was stated that a review of our December 18, 1976 submittal had determined that the proposed method for assuring that sufficient core circulation exists to avoid boron concentration buildup that might adversely affect long-term cooling capability following a postulated LOCA was acceptable. It was further stated, however, that the reactor operator must be provided positive indication of flow through the affected lines. If such indication could not be provided prior to Oconee 1, Cycle 3 operation, your letter requested that a pre-operational test be conducted to demonstrate sufficient flow through the lines under post-LOCA conditions.

The installation of equipment to provide the requested flow indication cannot be completed prior to Oconee 1, Cycle 3 operation. Accordingly, testing of the subject lines to demonstrate flow will be conducted prior to resuming operation. This testing has been further addressed in my letter of February 24, 1976. With regard to future installation of flow indication equipment, Duke is pursuing this matter with the intention of installing an acceptable system prior to Cycle 4 operation.

It should also be noted that while your letter of February 17, 1976 specifically addressed Oconee 1, the proposed method of assuring post-LOCA boron dilution flow is essentially the same for all Oconee units. Therefore, the Staff's concerns with regard to positive flow indication are generic to the Oconee units. In this regard, the installation of flow indication equipment cannot be completed for Oconee 2 prior to Cycle 2 operation (refer



2378


Mr. Benard C. Rusche

Page 2

March 4, 1976

to my letter of February 25, 1976 thereon). The above information concerning flow testing of the affected lines and future installation of flow indication equipment is, therefore, currently applicable to Ocone 2 also. Ocone 3 cannot be definitively addressed at this time.

Very truly yours,



William O. Parker, Jr.

DCH:mmmb