SUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MA

(TEMPORARY FORM)

1187 CONTROL NO: FILE:_

DATE REC'D **TWX RPT** OTHER DATE OF DOC **LTR** FROM: Duke Power Company Charlotte, N.C. 28201

TO: 1-29-75 XXX SENT AEC PDR ORIG **OTHER** SENT LOCAL PDR Mr. A. Giambusso 1 signed

NO CYS REC'D DOCKET NO: CLASS **UNCLASS PROP INFO** INPUT

DESCRIPTION: Ltr re our 10-9-73 ltr.... furnishing addl info re ATWS....

ENCLOSURES:

Do Not Remove

PLANT NAME: Oconee Units 1-2-3

XXX

F	OR ACTION/INFO	RMATION I	OHL 2-4-75
SCHWENCER (L)	ZIEMANN (L)	REGAN (E)	
			•
			· ·
W/ Copies م			
©PURPLE (L)			
W/Wopies	W/ Copies	W/ Copies	
	INTERNAL DISTR	IBUTION	
TECH REVIEW	DENTON	LIC ASST	A/T IND
SCHROEDER	GRIMES	R. DIGGS (L)	BRAITMAN
MACCARY	GAMMILL		SALTZMAN
KNIGHT	KASTNER	E. GOULBOURNE (L) MELTZ
PAWLICKI	BALLARD	P. KREUTZER (E)	
SHAO	SPANGLER	J. LEE (L)	PLANS
		M. MAIGRET (L)	MCDONALD
HOUSTON			CHAPMAN
NOVAK			DUBE (Ltr)
			E. COUPE
			PETERSON
			HARTFIELD (2)
			LECKER !
	PROJECT LDR		& EISENHUT
			WIGGINTON
VOLLMER	HARLESS	R. INGRAM (L)	Ş.,
			/
E	XTERNAL DISTR	IBUTION	Mis 100
	SCHWENCER (L) W/ Copies STOLZ (L) W/ Copies VASSALLO (L) W/ Copies PURPLE (L) W/ Copies PURPLE (L) W/ Copies TECH REVIEW SCHROEDER MACCARY KNIGHT PAWLICKI SHAO STELLO HOUSTON NOVAK ROSS IPPOLITO TEDESCO LONG LAINAS BENAROYA VOLLMER	SCHWENCER (L) W/ Copies STOLZ (L) W/ Copies VASSALLO (L) W/ Copies VASSALLO (L) W/ Copies V/ Copies V/ Copies W/ Copies INTERNAL DISTR TECH REVIEW SCHROEDER MACCARY KNIGHT PAWLICKI SHAO SPANGLER WSTELLO HOUSTON NOVAK ROSS IPPOLITO TEDESCO LONG LAINAS BENAROYA VOLLMER STOLZ (L) W/ Copies W/ Copi	SCHWENCER (L) ZIEMANN (L) REGAN (E) W/ Copies W/ Copies W/ Copies STOLZ (L) DICKER (E) LEAR (L) W/ Copies W/ Copies W/ Copies VASSALLO (L) KNIGHTON (E) SPELS W/ Copies W/ Copies W/ Copies FPURPLE (L) YOUNGBLOOD (E) W/ Copies W/ Copies W/ Copies INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION TECH REVIEW GRIMES R. DIGGS (L) SCHROEDER GRIMES R. DIGGS (L) KNIGHT KASTNER E. GOULBOURNE (PAWLICKI BALLARD P. KREUTZER (E) SHAO SPANGLER J. LEE (L) STELLO M. MAIGRET (L) STELLO M. MAIGRET (L) HOUSTON ENVIRO S. REED (E) NOVAK MULLER M. SERVICE (L) NOVAK MULLER M. SERVICE (L) IPPOLITO KNIGHTON M. SLATER (E) IPPOLITO KNIGHTON M. SLATER (E) TEDESCO YOUNGBLOOD H. SMITH (L) LONG REGAN S. TEETS (L) LAINAS PROJECT LDR G. WILLIAMS (E) V. WILSON (L)

₩ - LOCAL PDR Walhalla, S.C.

1-TIC (ABERNATHY) (1)(2)(10) - NATIONAL LABS

1 - W. PENNINGTON, Rm E-201 GT 1 - NSIC (BUCHANAN)

- CONSULTANTS 1 - ASLB

NEWMARK/BLUME/AGBABIAN 1 - Newton Anderson

CACRS

SENT

O

SHEPPARD · 2-4.75 1 - PDR-SAN/LA/NY

1 - BROOKHAVEN NAT LAB

1 - G. ULRIKSON, ORNL

1 - AGMED (RUTH GUSSMAN) Rm B-127 GT

1 - J. D. RUNKLES, Rm E-201 GT

P. O. Box 2178

DUKE POWER COMPANY

POWER BUILDING

422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28201

A. C. THIES SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT RODUCTION AND TRANSMISSION

January 29, 1975

Mr. Angelo Giambusso, Directo Division of Reactor Licensing U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Oconee Nuclear Station Re:

Docket Nos. 50-269, (270) -287

Dear Mr. Giambusso:

In accordance with your letter of October 9, 1973 concerning Anticipated Transient Without Scram (ATWS), and in compliance with the regulatory position set forth in WASH-1270 (Section 11C, Appendix A), the following analysis of ATWS consequences is provided for Oconee Nuclear Station.

The Babcock and Wilcox Company has submitted Topical Report BAW-10099, "Babcock and Wilcox Anticipated Transient Without Scram Analysis," to the Commission. This topical report provides analyses of the ATWS consequences for a generic 177 fuel assembly plant, similar to the Oconee units, for the following transients under postulated no reactor scram conditions:

- a. Pressurizer safety valve stuck open
- b. Loss of normal feedwater
- c. Loss of off-site power
- Two reactor coolant pump coastdown
- Rod withdrawal at power

The analyses indicate that, for the generic plant, core conditions are maintained within allowable cladding and fuel temperature limits for the safety valve stuck open accident, the rod withdrawal accident and the two pump coastdown accident. The generic plant analyses are representative of the Oconee units; thus, Oconee is also considered to meet those allowable parameters.

The analyses of the loss of normal feedwater accident and the loss of off-site power accident presented in the topical report show that the allowable Reactor Coolant System pressure is exceeded. Further analyses are performed which include the effects of a control rod runback from the Mr. Angelo Giambusso Page 2 January 29, 1975

normal Integrated Control System (ICS) action that occurs upon the loss of normal feedwater, and the effects of a minimum dropped control rod reactivity insertion of 0.5% $\Delta k/k$ for the loss of off-site power. The analyses performed, considering these reactivity insertions, show that the pressure transients for the generic plant are within allowable limits. The assumption of a control rod runback is considered valid due to the independence of the control and shutdown systems. A partial rod drop of only 0.5% $\Delta k/k$ (approximately five rods) is considered conservative because of the extremely remote probability of a mechanical common mode failure of all control rod drive mechanisms which would prevent the release of rods in the event of loss of off-site power.

Although the Oconee plant differs from the generic plant primarily by having a smaller initial core power, greater initial steam generator inventory and smaller pressurizer relief capacity, the results stated in the topical report are considered to be typical of the Oconee plant.

It is concluded that by considering in total the inherent plant features, the time when the plant was designed, the low probability of occurrence for the ATWS events, and the calculated transient results, that the existing plant design represents an acceptable risk to the health and safety of the public.

Very truly yours,

A. C. Thies

ACT:vr