



U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DESIGN-SPECIFIC REVIEW STANDARD for NuScale SMR DESIGN

7.0 APPENDIX C INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROLS—SIMPLICITY

Introduction

Simplicity is considered to be a cross-cutting attribute that affects the fundamental design principles. For safety instrumentation and control (I&C) systems, designers, and regulators are faced with the question of what measures should be in place to maintain design principles such as independence, diversity and defense-in-depth (D3), redundancy, and predictability and repeatability with reasonable confidence. At a generic level, it is difficult to define and control simplicity or complexity for digital safety I&C systems. When faced with several design options on how to carry out a function, from a safety perspective, the more simple design options are those that accomplish the function and address potential hazards with the most confidence and clarity. Additional guidance on hazards is contained in Appendix A, "Hazard Analysis."

This appendix offers an approach to evaluate whether simplicity¹ has been considered in the design of the digital I&C system. Although, no specific regulations, standards, or guidance address the aspect of simplicity for digital I&C systems, recent experience in reviews of light-water reactor applications has shown that complex I&C systems challenge the demonstration of conformance with safety system design criteria such as independence. In this context, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) considers simplicity as supporting all fundamental design principles for developing safety systems with high reliability. The application should contain information on the simplicity of the design sufficient to support the staff's determination of reasonable assurance of adequate protection of public health and safety from the perspective of the fundamental design principles: independence, D3, redundancy, and predictability and repeatability. The reviewer should verify that the approach described in the application addresses specific effects of simplicity such as testability or proof-of-determinism.

Without information related to the simplicity of the I&C system, the review of the fundamental design principles may take on a more segmented review approach, resulting in a less streamlined, more complicated, and more resource-intensive review effort.

Relevant Information To Support Consideration of Simplicity during Design Review

The application should supply information sufficient to demonstrate that the design of the I&C systems has considered simplicity, in the functionality of the system and in its implementation. With this information, the reviewer should confirm that simplicity attributes (e.g., single function, fixed number of inputs and outputs, fewer configuration parameters, high testability, software architecture with no branching and minimal interrupts) are considered and incorporated in the design. These attributes help contribute to simplicity and enable high efficiency in the design. This guidance applies to microprocessor-based technology as well as other forms of complex

¹ On October 14, 2008, in Volume 73 of the *Federal Register* (FR), pages 60612-60616 (73 FR 60,612-616), the Commission issued a policy statement on the regulation of advanced reactors [NRC-2008-0237].

logic such as programmable logic devices (e.g., field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs)). This design-specific review standard uses the term software to refer to such technology and complex logic.

The following areas related to the design of a plant's I&C systems should be considered to demonstrate that such systems meet the fundamental design concept of simplicity:

1. I&C system architecture
2. independence
3. redundancy
4. predictability and Repeatability
5. D3

The staff should consider whether (1) the I&C design is as simple as practical, and (2) any added complexity does not diminish the design's conformance to the fundamental design principles. For those areas that exhibit complexity, the application should supply a full description regarding any complexity added to the I&C system design, as well as a justification for why the complexity is necessary to directly support the safety function. More complex design alternatives warrant a more resource-intensive review by the staff and could potentially lengthen the review.

The review of simplicity is concurrent with the review of the fundamental design principles of redundancy, independence, D3, and predictability and repeatability discussed in Section 7.1. The reviewer should consider the following items in evaluating simplicity in an I&C system design:

1. I&C System Architecture: The I&C architecture information described in Appendix B to Chapter 7 should be carefully considered to determine if the I&C design includes unnecessary or nonessential features that are not part of the safety function. The reviewer should also consider the following:
 - A. The application should provide a top-down decomposition of the I&C system. This decomposition facilitates a logical, modular description of interactions, signal flows, helps with the definition of interfaces, and allows a more effective review.
 - B. The selected architecture should provide a demonstration of a balance between simplicity in concept and the capacity to satisfy regulatory and performance requirements. This includes predictable and repeatable behavior, independence, and redundancy.
 - C. A safety benefit should be independently verifiable and should outweigh any concerns associated with the complexity it may introduce in the design.
 - D. Digital I&C system components should be organized in a manner that promotes design simplicity.
 - E. After reviewing information related to the I&C system's architecture, the reviewer should consider whether:
 - i. A structured and modular architecture is applied.

- ii. The I&C systems, including hardware and software and the interfaces among them, are fully described and address relevant requirements.
- 2. Independence: Material from the independence section may be used by the reviewer to identify how simplicity is addressed in the design while considering Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. (IEEE) Standard (Std.) 603-1991. Specifically, the reviewer should consider the following:
 - A. whether inter-channel communications or communications between a safety and a nonsafety system exist in this design
 - B. whether simplicity is implemented to reduce or eliminate inter-divisional communication, or whether physical uni-directional communication in function processing and critical signal paths is implemented
 - C. whether the design maintains separation or segregation among I&C functions within the circuitry, as it enhances simplicity, verifiability, and testability of individual functions
 - D. whether the application proposed simple design options in the approach to address IEEE Std. 603-1991, which are supported by:
 - i. adequate separation or segregation among I&C functions
 - ii. the lack of unnecessary inter-channel communications
 - iii. the lack of unnecessary communications between a safety and a nonsafety system
- 3. Redundancy: Material from the redundancy section may be used by the reviewer to identify how the design achieves redundancy and avoids unnecessary complexity. Specifically, the reviewer may consider the following areas that could help identify unnecessary complexity:
 - A. Ancillary, more complex functions are kept independent of the primary I&C safety functions.
 - B. The design provides simple connections between redundant trains.
 - C. The proposed design does not use unnecessary inter-channel communications.
 - D. There are no unnecessary communications between a safety and a nonsafety system.
 - E. Through the review of redundancy, the reviewer may:
 - i. consider whether simplicity is factored into the design, particularly for the primary I&C functions
 - ii. consider whether complex functions are kept independent of the primary I&C safety functions

4. Predictability and Repeatability: The reviewer may use material from the predictability and repeatability section to identify how simplicity is addressed to demonstrate deterministic behavior. Specifically, the reviewer may consider the following:
 - A. whether simple algorithms are considered in the design of system modules
 - a. In general, simplicity should not be sacrificed to achieve performance that is not required.
 - B. whether I&C systems are designed using a finite state machine approach with all states well-defined
 - C. whether nonsafety features are segregated from the main safety signal path
 - D. whether there are interrupt functions that could interfere with the performance of the safety function
 - E. whether early detection of failures is facilitated by the self-diagnostic functions
5. D3: Simplicity of a software structure is promoted through simple logic, cyclical execution, static resource usage, and avoidance of external interrupts. Material from the D3 section may be used by the reviewer to identify how simplicity is addressed to demonstrate diversity. Specifically, the reviewer may consider the following:
 - A. how potential common-cause failures are addressed and how simplicity is considered to address failures
 - B. if basic software and application software are separated, and if software is implemented in a high level programming language
 - C. if basic software performs only the minimal necessary functions, such as initialization, periodic execution of required functions, and error handling
 - D. if application software is described in a graphically symbolized manner, so that functions can be easily understood, verified, and validated
 - E. if the design is proposing dynamic allocation of memory
6. Once a system is baselined, new or additional features should not introduce unnecessary complexity to the I&C design and the following should be carefully considered:
 - A. features added that could introduce interrupts to the critical safety system performance
 - B. features added to cope with particular types of hazards that could negatively impact other safety design features

- i. An example includes the introduction of cyber security protective features within the safety system as these could impact matters such as safety time response if not carefully integrated.
- C. provisions for troubleshooting and maintenance, including built-in self-test features, and external testing of circuit boards if necessary
 - i. Consider accessibility of test points, need for special test equipment, and coverage of built-in self-testing and diagnostics.