

Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants

Draft Report for Comment

|

Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants

Draft Report for Comment

Manuscript Completed: October 2015
Date Published: December 2015

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

|

COMMENTS ON DRAFT REPORT

1
2 Any interested party may submit comments on this report for consideration by the U.S. Nuclear
3 Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff. Comments may be accompanied by additional relevant
4 information or supporting data. Please specify the report number **NUREG-2191, Volume 2**, in
5 your comments, and send them by the end of the comment period specified in the
6 Federal Register notice announcing the availability of this report.

7 **Addresses:** You may submit comments by any one of the following methods. Please include
8 Docket ID **NRC-2015-0251** in the subject line of your comments. Comments submitted in
9 writing or in electronic form will be posted on the NRC website and on the Federal rulemaking
10 website <http://www.regulations.gov>.

11 **Federal Rulemaking Website:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for documents
12 filed under Docket ID **NRC-2015-0251**. Address questions about NRC dockets to
13 Carol Gallagher at 301-415-3463 or by e-mail at Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.

14 **Mail comments to:** Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch
15 (RADB), Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mail Stop:

16 OWFN-12-H08, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

17 For any questions about the material in this report, please contact: Bennett Brady,
18 Senior Project Manager, 301-415-2981 or by e-mail at Bennett.Brady@nrc.gov.

19 Please be aware that any comments that you submit to the NRC will be considered a public
20 record and entered into the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System
21 (ADAMS). Do not provide information you would not want to be publicly available.

|

ABSTRACT

1
2 ~~The~~ The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has defined subsequent license
3 renewal to be the period of extended operation from 60 years to 80 years following initial
4 licensing. The Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications
5 (SRP-SLR) for Nuclear Power Plants (~~SRP-LR~~) provides guidance to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
6 Commission (NRC) staff reviewers in the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. These
7 reviewers perform safety reviews of applications to renew nuclear power plant licenses in
8 accordance with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 54. The (10 CFR) Part 54
9 “Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants.” The NRC
10 regulations in 10 CFR 54.29 establish the standards for issuance of a renewed license. For
11 nuclear power plants that have received a renewed license, the regulations in 10 CFR 54.31(d)
12 state that “a renewed license may be subsequently renewed in accordance with all applicable
13 requirements.” The NRC has stated that the requirements for subsequent renewal “include the
14 provisions of part 54 (unless the Commission subsequently adopts special provisions applicable
15 only to subsequent renewals).” Statement of Consideration, “Nuclear Power Plant License
16 Renewal,” 56 FR 64,943, 64,964-65 (Dec. 13, 1991). To date, the NRC has not adopted special
17 provisions that apply only to subsequent renewal, so that the requirements in 10 CFR Part 54
18 continues to govern subsequent license renewal.

19 The principal purposes of the SRP-~~LR~~-SLR are to ensure the quality and uniformity of NRC staff
20 reviews and to present a well-defined base from which to evaluate applicant programs and
21 activities for the subsequent period of extended operation, following the first 20-year period of
22 extended operation (i.e., the initial license renewal period). The SRP-~~LR~~-SLR also is intended to
23 make regulatory information widely available to enhance communication with interested
24 members of the public and the nuclear power industry and to improve public and industry
25 understanding of the ~~staff~~ NRC staff’s review process. The safety review is based primarily on
26 the information provided by the applicant in a subsequent license renewal application. Each of
27 the individual SRP-~~LR~~-SLR sections addresses (a) ~~i~~ who performs the review, (b) ~~ii~~ the matters
28 that are reviewed, (c) ~~areas of review~~, (iii) the basis for review, (d) ~~iv~~ the way the method of review
29 is accomplished, and (e) ~~v~~ the conclusions that are drawn from the review.

|

1	2.2.2 Acceptance Criteria	2.2-2
2	2.2.2.1 <i>Systems and Structures Within the Scope of</i>	
3	<i>Subsequent License Renewal</i>	2.2-2
4	2.2.3 Review Procedures	2.2-2
5	2.2.3.1 <i>Systems and Structures Within the Scope of</i>	
6	<i>Subsequent License Renewal</i>	2.2-3
7	2.2.4 Evaluation Findings	2.2-4
8	2.2.5 Implementation	2.2-4
9	2.2.6 References	2.2-4
10	2.3 Scoping And Screening Results: Mechanical Systems	2.3-1
11	2.3.1 Areas of Review	2.3-1
12	2.3.2 Acceptance Criteria	2.3-2
13	2.3.2.1 <i>Components Within the Scope of Subsequent</i>	
14	<i>License Renewal</i>	2.3-2
15	2.3.2.2 <i>Components Subject to an Aging</i>	
16	<i>Management Review</i>	2.3-3
17	2.3.3 Review Procedures	2.3-3
18	2.3.3.1 <i>Components Within the Scope of Subsequent</i>	
19	<i>License Renewal</i>	2.3-3
20	2.3.3.2 <i>Components Subject to an Aging</i>	
21	<i>Management Review</i>	2.3-4
22	2.3.4 Evaluation Findings	2.3-5
23	2.3.5 Implementation	2.3-5
24	2.3.6 References	2.3-5
25	2.4 Scoping and Screening Results: Structures	2.4-1
26	2.4.1 Areas of Review	2.4-1
27	2.4.2 Acceptance Criteria	2.4-2
28	2.4.2.1 <i>Structural Components Subject to an Aging</i>	
29	<i>Management Review</i>	2.4-2
30	2.4.3 Review Procedures	2.4-3
31	2.4.3.1 <i>Structural Components Within the Scope of</i>	
32	<i>Subsequent License Renewal</i>	2.4-3
33	2.4.3.2 <i>Structural Components Subject to an Aging</i>	
34	<i>Management Review</i>	2.4-3
35	2.4.4 Evaluation Findings	2.4-5
36	2.4.5 Implementation	2.4-5
37	2.4.6 References	2.4-5
38	2.5 Scoping and Screening Results: Electrical And Instrumentation	
39	and Controls Systems	2.5-1
40	2.5.1 Areas of Review	2.5-1
41	2.5.2. Acceptance Criteria	2.5-2
42	2.5.2.1 <i>Components Within the Scope of Subsequent</i>	
43	<i>License Renewal</i>	2.5-2
44	2.5.2.1.1 <i>Components Within the Scope of SBO</i>	
45	<i>(10 CFR 50.63)</i>	2.5-3
46	2.5.2.2 <i>Components Subject to an Aging</i>	
47	<i>Management Review</i>	2.5-3
48	2.5.3 Review Procedures	2.5-3
49	2.5.3.1 <i>Components Within the Scope of Subsequent</i>	
50	<i>License Renewal</i>	2.5-4

1	2.5.3.2	<i>Components Subject to an Aging</i>	
2		<i>Management Review</i>	2.5-5
3	2.5.4	Evaluation Findings	2.5-6
4	2.5.5	Implementation	2.5-6
5	2.5.6	References	2.5-6
6	3	AGING MANAGEMENT REVIEW	3.0-1
7	3.0	Integrated Plant Assessments and Aging Management Reviews	3.0-1
8	3.0.1	Background on the Types of Reviews	3.0-1
9	3.0.2	Applications With Approved Extended Power Uprates	3.0-5
10	3.0.3	Aging Management Programs that Rely on Implementation	
11		of Nuclear Regulatory Commission-Approved Technical or	
12		Topical Reports	3.0-6
13	3.1	Aging Management Of Reactor Vessel, Internals, And Reactor	
14		Coolant System	3.1-1
15	3.1.1	Areas of Review	3.1-1
16	3.1.2	Acceptance Criteria	3.1-2
17	<i>3.1.2.1</i>	<i>Aging Management Review Results Consistent With</i>	
18		<i>the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent</i>	
19		<i>License Renewal Report</i>	3.1-2
20	<i>3.1.2.2</i>	<i>Aging Management Review Results for Which Further</i>	
21		<i>Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic Aging</i>	
22		<i>Lessons Learned for Subsequent License</i>	
23		<i>Renewal Report</i>	3.1-2
24	<i>3.1.2.2.1</i>	<i>Cumulative Fatigue Damage</i>	3.1-2
25	<i>3.1.2.2.2</i>	<i>Loss of Material Due to General, Pitting,</i>	
26		<i>and Crevice Corrosion</i>	3.1-2
27	<i>3.1.2.2.3</i>	<i>Loss of Fracture Toughness Due to</i>	
28		<i>Neutron Irradiation Embrittlement</i>	3.1-3
29	<i>3.1.2.2.4</i>	<i>Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion</i>	
30		<i>Cracking and Intergranular Stress</i>	
31		<i>Corrosion Cracking</i>	3.1-4
32	<i>3.1.2.2.5</i>	<i>Crack Growth Due to Cyclic Loading</i>	3.1-5
33	<i>3.1.2.2.6</i>	<i>Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking</i>	3.1-5
34	<i>3.1.2.2.7</i>	<i>Cracking Due to Cyclic Loading</i>	3.1-5
35	<i>3.1.2.2.8</i>	<i>Loss of Material Due to Erosion</i>	3.1-6
36	<i>3.1.2.2.9</i>	<i>Aging Management of Pressurized Water</i>	
37		<i>Reactor Vessel Internals (Applicable to</i>	
38		<i>Subsequent License Renewal</i>	
39		<i>Periods Only)</i>	3.1-6
40	<i>3.1.2.2.10</i>	<i>Loss of Material Due to Wear</i>	3.1-7
41	<i>3.1.2.2.11</i>	<i>Cracking Due to Primary Water Stress</i>	
42		<i>Corrosion Cracking</i>	3.1-7
43	<i>3.1.2.2.12</i>	<i>Cracking Due to Irradiation-Assisted Stress</i>	
44		<i>Corrosion Cracking</i>	3.1-8
45	<i>3.1.2.2.13</i>	<i>Loss of Fracture Toughness Due to Neutron</i>	
46		<i>Irradiation or Thermal Aging Embrittlement</i>	3.1-9
47	<i>3.1.2.2.14</i>	<i>Loss of Preload Due to Thermal or</i>	
48		<i>Irradiation Enhanced Stress Relaxation</i>	3.1-9
49	<i>3.1.2.2.15</i>	<i>Loss of Material Due to Boric</i>	
50		<i>Acid Corrosion</i>	3.1-10

1	<u>3.1.2.2.16 Cracking Due to Cyclic Loading.....</u>	<u>3.1-11</u>
2	<u>3.1.2.2.17 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion</u>	
3	<u>Cracking or Intergranular Stress</u>	
4	<u>Corrosion Cracking.....</u>	<u>3.1-11</u>
5	<u>3.1.2.2.18 Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice</u>	
6	<u>Pitting Corrosion and Microbiologically-</u>	
7	<u>Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to</u>	
8	<u>Stress Corrosion Cracking.....</u>	<u>3.1-12</u>
9	<u>3.1.2.2.19 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice</u>	
10	<u>Corrosion and Microbiologically-Induced</u>	
11	<u>Corrosion in Components Exposed to Treated</u>	
12	<u>Water, Treated Borated Water, or Sodium</u>	
13	<u>Pentaborate Solution.....</u>	<u>3.1-12</u>
14	<u>3.1.2.2.20 Quality Assurance for Aging Management</u>	
15	<u>of Nonsafety-Related Components.....</u>	<u>3.1-13</u>
16	<u>3.1.2.2.21 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience.....</u>	<u>3.1-13</u>
17	<u>3.1.2.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent</u>	
18	<u>With or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons</u>	
19	<u>Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report.....</u>	<u>3.1-13</u>
20	<u>3.1.2.4 Aging Management Programs.....</u>	<u>3.1-13</u>
21	<u>3.1.2.5 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement.....</u>	<u>3.1-14</u>
22	<u>3.1.3 Review Procedures.....</u>	<u>3.1-14</u>
23	<u>3.1.3.1 Aging Management Review Results Consistent With</u>	
24	<u>the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent</u>	
25	<u>License Renewal Report.....</u>	<u>3.1-14</u>
26	<u>3.1.3.2 Aging Management Review Results for Which</u>	
27	<u>Further Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic</u>	
28	<u>Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License</u>	
29	<u>Renewal Report.....</u>	<u>3.1-15</u>
30	<u>3.1.3.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage.....</u>	<u>3.1-15</u>
31	<u>3.1.3.2.2 Loss of Material Due to General, Pitting,</u>	
32	<u>and Crevice Corrosion.....</u>	<u>3.1-15</u>
33	<u>3.1.3.2.3 Loss of Fracture Toughness Due to</u>	
34	<u>Neutron Irradiation Embrittlement.....</u>	<u>3.1-16</u>
35	<u>3.1.3.2.4 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion</u>	
36	<u>Cracking and Intergranular Stress</u>	
37	<u>Corrosion Cracking.....</u>	<u>3.1-17</u>
38	<u>3.1.3.2.5 Crack Growth Due to Cyclic Loading.....</u>	<u>3.1-17</u>
39	<u>3.1.3.2.6 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking...3.1-17</u>	
40	<u>3.1.3.2.7 Cracking Due to Cyclic Loading.....</u>	<u>3.1-17</u>
41	<u>3.1.3.2.8 Loss of Material Due to Erosion.....</u>	<u>3.1-18</u>
42	<u>3.1.3.2.9 Aging Management of PWR Reactor</u>	
43	<u>Vessel Internals (Applicable to Subsequent</u>	
44	<u>License Renewal Periods Only).....</u>	<u>3.1-18</u>
45	<u>3.1.3.2.10 Loss of Material Due to Wear.....</u>	<u>3.1-19</u>
46	<u>3.1.3.2.11 Cracking Due to Primary Water Stress</u>	
47	<u>Corrosion Cracking.....</u>	<u>3.1-19</u>
48	<u>3.1.3.2.12 Cracking Due to Irradiation-Assisted</u>	
49	<u>Stress Corrosion Cracking.....</u>	<u>3.1-20</u>

1		<u>3.1.3.2.13 Loss of Fracture Toughness Due to</u>	
2		<u>Neutron Irradiation or Thermal</u>	
3		<u>Aging Embrittlement</u>	<u>3.1-20</u>
4		<u>3.1.3.2.14 Loss of Preload Due to Thermal or</u>	
5		<u>Irradiation Enhanced Stress Relaxation</u>	<u>3.1-21</u>
6		<u>3.1.3.2.15 Loss of Material Due to Boric</u>	
7		<u>Acid Corrosion</u>	<u>3.1-22</u>
8		<u>3.1.3.2.16 Cracking Due to Cyclic Loading</u>	<u>3.1-22</u>
9		<u>3.1.3.2.17 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>	
10		<u>or Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>	<u>3.1-22</u>
11		<u>3.1.3.2.18 Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice</u>	
12		<u>or Pitting Corrosion and Microbiologically</u>	
13		<u>-Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to</u>	
14		<u>Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>	<u>3.1-23</u>
15		<u>3.1.3.2.19 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice</u>	
16		<u>Corrosion and Microbiologically-Induced</u>	
17		<u>Corrosion in Components Exposed to</u>	
18		<u>Treated Water, Treated Borated Water,</u>	
19		<u>or Sodium Pentaborate Solution</u>	<u>3.1-23</u>
20		<u>3.1.3.2.20 Quality Assurance for Aging Management</u>	
21		<u>of Nonsafety-Related Components</u>	<u>3.1-24</u>
22		<u>3.1.3.2.21 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience</u>	<u>3.1-24</u>
23		<u>3.1.3.3 Aging Managing Review Results Not Consistent With</u>	
24		<u>or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons</u>	
25		<u>Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.1-24</u>
26		<u>3.1.3.4 Aging Management Programs</u>	<u>3.1-24</u>
27		<u>3.1.3.5 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</u>	<u>3.1-25</u>
28		3.1.4 Evaluation Findings	3.1-25
29		3.1.5 Implementation	3.1-25
30		3.1.6 References	3.1-26
31	3.2	Aging Management of Engineered Safety Features	3.2-1
32		3.2.1 Areas of Review	3.2-1
33		3.2.2 Acceptance Criteria	3.2-2
34		<u>3.2.2.1 AMR Results Consistent With the Generic Aging</u>	
35		<u>Lessons Learned for Subsequent License</u>	
36		<u>Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.2-2</u>
37		<u>3.2.2.2 Aging Management Review Results for Which Further</u>	
38		<u>Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic Aging</u>	
39		<u>Lessons Learned for Subsequent License</u>	
40		<u>Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.2-2</u>
41		<u>3.2.2.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage</u>	<u>3.2-2</u>
42		<u>3.2.2.2.2 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and</u>	
43		<u>Crevice Corrosion</u>	<u>3.2-2</u>
44		<u>3.2.2.2.3 Loss of Material Due to Erosion</u>	<u>3.2-4</u>
45		<u>3.2.2.2.4 Loss of Material Due to General Corrosion</u>	
46		<u>and Flow Blockage Due to Fouling</u>	<u>3.2-4</u>
47		<u>3.2.2.2.5 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>	<u>3.2-4</u>
48		<u>3.2.2.2.6 Quality Assurance for Aging Management of</u>	
49		<u>Nonsafety-Related Components</u>	<u>3.2-5</u>
50		<u>3.2.2.2.7 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience</u>	<u>3.2-5</u>

1	<u>3.2.2.2.8 Loss of Material Due to Recurring</u>	
2	<u>Internal Corrosion</u>	<u>3.2-5</u>
3	<u>3.2.2.2.9 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>	
4	<u>and Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking ...</u>	<u>3.2-6</u>
5	<u>3.2.2.2.10 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>	
6	<u>in Aluminum Alloys</u>	<u>3.2-7</u>
7	<u>3.2.2.2.11 Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice</u>	
8	<u>or Pitting Corrosion and Microbiologically-</u>	
9	<u>Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to Stress</u>	
10	<u>Corrosion Cracking</u>	<u>3.2-8</u>
11	<u>3.2.2.2.12 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice</u>	
12	<u>Corrosion and Microbiologically-Induced</u>	
13	<u>Corrosion in Components Exposed to</u>	
14	<u>Treated Water, Treated Borated Water, or</u>	
15	<u>Sodium Pentaborate Solution</u>	<u>3.2-9</u>
16	<u>3.2.2.2.13 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice</u>	
17	<u>Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys</u>	<u>3.2-9</u>
18	<u>3.2.2.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent</u>	
19	<u>With or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons</u>	
20	<u>Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.2-10</u>
21	<u>3.2.2.4 Aging Management Programs.....</u>	<u>3.2-10</u>
22	<u>3.2.2.5 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</u>	<u>3.2-11</u>
23	<u>3.2.3 Review Procedures</u>	<u>3.2-11</u>
24	<u>3.2.3.1 Aging Management Review Results Consistent With</u>	
25	<u>the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent</u>	
26	<u>License Renewal Report.....</u>	<u>3.2-11</u>
27	<u>3.2.3.2 Aging Management Review Results for Which Further</u>	
28	<u>Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic Aging</u>	
29	<u>Lessons Learned for Subsequent License</u>	
30	<u>Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.2-12</u>
31	<u>3.2.3.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage.....</u>	<u>3.2-12</u>
32	<u>3.2.3.2.2 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and</u>	
33	<u>Crevice Corrosion.....</u>	<u>3.2-12</u>
34	<u>3.2.3.2.3 Loss of Material Due to Erosion</u>	<u>3.2-12</u>
35	<u>3.2.3.2.4 Loss of Material Due to General Corrosion</u>	
36	<u>and Flow Blockage Due to Fouling.....</u>	<u>3.2-12</u>
37	<u>3.2.3.2.5 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking...3.2-13</u>	
38	<u>3.2.3.2.6 Quality Assurance for Aging Management</u>	
39	<u>of Nonsafety-Related Components</u>	<u>3.2-13</u>
40	<u>3.2.3.2.7 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience</u>	<u>3.2-13</u>
41	<u>3.2.3.2.8 Loss of Material Due to Recurring</u>	
42	<u>Internal Corrosion</u>	<u>3.2-13</u>
43	<u>3.2.3.2.9 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>	
44	<u>and Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking .3.2-14</u>	
45	<u>3.2.3.2.10 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>	
46	<u>In Aluminum Alloys</u>	<u>3.2-14</u>
47	<u>3.2.3.2.11 Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice</u>	
48	<u>or Pitting Corrosion and Microbiologically-</u>	
49	<u>Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to Stress</u>	
50	<u>Corrosion Cracking.....</u>	<u>3.2-16</u>

1		3.2.3.2.12 <i>Loss of Material Due to Pitting and</i>	
2		<i>Crevice Corrosion and Microbiologically-</i>	
3		<i>Induced Corrosion in Components Exposed</i>	
4		<i>to Treated Water, Treated Borated Water,</i>	
5		<i>or Sodium Pentaborate Solution</i>	3.2-16
6		3.2.3.2.13 <i>Loss of Material Due to Pitting and</i>	
7		<i>Crevice Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys</i>	3.2-17
8	3.2.3.3	<i>Aging Management Review Results</i>	
9		<i>Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the</i>	
10		<i>Generic Aging Lessons Learned for</i>	
11		<i>Subsequent License Renewal Report</i>	3.2-17
12	3.2.3.4	<i>Aging Management Programs.....</i>	3.2-17
13	3.2.3.5	<i>Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</i>	3.2-18
14	3.2.4	Evaluation Findings	3.2-18
15	3.2.5	Implementation.....	3.2-19
16	3.2.6	References.....	3.2-19
17	3.3	Aging Management of Auxiliary Systems	3.3-1
18	3.3.1	Areas of Review.....	3.3-1
19	3.3.2	Acceptance Criteria.....	3.3-2
20	3.3.2.1	<i>Aging Management Review Results Consistent With</i>	
21		<i>the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent</i>	
22		<i>License Renewal Report</i>	3.3-2
23	3.3.2.2	<i>Aging Management Review Results for Which Further</i>	
24		<i>Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic Aging</i>	
25		<i>Lessons Learned for Subsequent License</i>	
26		<i>Renewal Report</i>	3.3-2
27	3.3.2.2.1	<i>Cumulative Fatigue Damage.....</i>	3.3-3
28	3.3.2.2.2	<i>Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion</i>	
29		<i>Cracking and Cyclic Loading.....</i>	3.3-3
30	3.3.2.2.3	<i>Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking.....</i>	3.3-3
31	3.3.2.2.4	<i>Loss of Material Due to Pitting and</i>	
32		<i>Crevice Corrosion</i>	3.3-4
33	3.3.2.2.5	<i>Quality Assurance for Aging Management</i>	
34		<i>of Nonsafety-Related Components</i>	3.3-5
35	3.3.2.2.6	<i>Ongoing Review of Operating Experience</i>	3.3-5
36	3.3.2.2.7	<i>Loss of Material Due to Recurring</i>	
37		<i>Internal Corrosion</i>	3.3-5
38	3.3.2.2.8	<i>Reduction in Impact Strength.....</i>	3.3-6
39	3.3.2.2.9	<i>Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking</i>	
40		<i>and Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking ...</i>	3.3-7
41	3.3.2.2.10	<i>Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking</i>	
42		<i>in Aluminum Alloys</i>	3.3-7
43	3.3.2.2.11	<i>Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice</i>	
44		<i>or Pitting Corrosion and Microbiologically-</i>	
45		<i>Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to</i>	
46		<i>Stress Corrosion Cracking.....</i>	3.3-8
47	3.3.2.2.12	<i>Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice</i>	
48		<i>Corrosion and Microbiologically-Induced</i>	
49		<i>Corrosion in Components Exposed to</i>	
50		<i>Treated Water, Treated Borated Water, or</i>	
51		<i>Sodium Pentaborate Solution</i>	3.3-9

1		<u>3.3.2.2.13 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and</u>	
2		<u>Crevice Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys</u>	<u>3.3-10</u>
3		<u>3.3.2.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent</u>	
4		<u>With or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons</u>	
5		<u>Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.3-11</u>
6		<u>3.3.2.4 Aging Management Programs.....</u>	<u>3.3-11</u>
7		<u>3.3.2.5 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</u>	<u>3.3-11</u>
8		3.3.3 Review Procedures	3.3-12
9		<u>3.3.3.1 Aging Management Review Results Consistent With</u>	
10		<u>the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent</u>	
11		<u>License Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.3-12</u>
12		<u>3.3.3.2 Aging Management Review Results Report for Which</u>	
13		<u>Further Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic</u>	
14		<u>Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License</u>	
15		<u>Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.3-12</u>
16		<u>3.3.3.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage.....</u>	<u>3.3-12</u>
17		<u>3.3.3.2.2 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion</u>	
18		<u>Cracking and Cyclic Loading.....</u>	<u>3.3-12</u>
19		<u>3.3.3.2.3 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking...3.3-13</u>	
20		<u>3.3.3.2.4 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and</u>	
21		<u>Crevice Corrosion</u>	<u>3.3-13</u>
22		<u>3.3.3.2.5 Quality Assurance for Aging Management</u>	
23		<u>of Nonsafety-Related Components</u>	<u>3.3-13</u>
24		<u>3.3.3.2.6 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience</u>	<u>3.3-13</u>
25		<u>3.3.3.2.7 Loss of Material Due to Recurring</u>	
26		<u>Internal Corrosion</u>	<u>3.3-14</u>
27		<u>3.3.3.2.8 Reduction in Impact Strength.....</u>	<u>3.3-14</u>
28		<u>3.3.3.2.9 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion</u>	
29		<u>Cracking and Intergranular Stress</u>	
30		<u>Corrosion Cracking</u>	<u>3.3-14</u>
31		<u>3.3.3.2.10 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>	
32		<u>in Aluminum Alloys</u>	<u>3.3-15</u>
33		<u>3.3.3.2.11 Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice</u>	
34		<u>or Pitting Corrosion and Microbiologically-</u>	
35		<u>Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to</u>	
36		<u>Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>	<u>3.3-17</u>
37		<u>3.3.3.2.12 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and</u>	
38		<u>Crevice Corrosion and Microbiologically-</u>	
39		<u>Induced Corrosion in Components Exposed</u>	
40		<u>to Treated Water, Treated Borated Water,</u>	
41		<u>or Sodium Pentaborate Solution</u>	<u>3.3-17</u>
42		<u>3.3.3.2.13 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice</u>	
43		<u>Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys</u>	<u>3.3-17</u>
44		<u>3.3.3.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent</u>	
45		<u>With or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons</u>	
46		<u>Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.3-18</u>
47		<u>3.3.3.4 Aging Management Programs.....</u>	<u>3.3-18</u>
48		3.3.4 Evaluation Findings	3.3-18
49		3.3.5 Implementation.....	3.3-19
50		3.3.6 References.....	3.3-19

1	3.4	Aging Management of Steam and Power Conversion System	3.4-1
2		3.4.1 Areas of Review	3.4-1
3		3.4.2 Acceptance Criteria	3.4-2
4		3.4.2.1 Aging Management Review Results Consistent With	
5		the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent	
6		License Renewal Report	3.4-2
7		3.4.2.2 Aging Management Review Results for Which Further	
8		Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic Aging	
9		Lessons Learned for Subsequent License	
10		Renewal Report	3.4-2
11		3.4.2.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage	3.4-2
12		3.4.2.2.2 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking	3.4-3
13		3.4.2.2.3 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and	
14		Crevice Corrosion	3.4-4
15		3.4.2.2.4 Quality Assurance for Aging Management of	
16		Nonsafety-Related Components	3.4-5
17		3.4.2.2.5 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience	3.4-5
18		3.4.2.2.6 Loss of Material Due to Recurring	
19		Internal Corrosion	3.4-5
20		3.4.2.2.7 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking	
21		in Aluminum Alloys	3.4-6
22		3.4.2.2.8 Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice	
23		or Pitting Corrosion and Microbiologically-	
24		Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to Stress	
25		Corrosion Cracking	3.4-7
26		3.4.2.2.9 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice	
27		Corrosion and Microbiologically-Induced	
28		Corrosion in Components Exposed to Treated	
29		Water, Treated Borated Water, or Sodium	
30		Pentaborate Solution	3.4-8
31		3.4.2.2.10 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice	
32		Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys	3.4-9
33		3.4.2.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent	
34		With or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons	
35		Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report	3.4-10
36		3.4.2.4 Aging Management Programs	3.4-10
37		3.4.2.5 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement	3.4-10
38		3.4.3 Review Procedures	3.4-11
39		3.4.3.1 Aging Management Review Results Consistent With	
40		the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent	
41		License Renewal Report	3.4-11
42		3.4.3.2 Aging Management Review Results for Which Further	
43		Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic Aging	
44		Lessons Learned for Subsequent License	
45		Renewal Report	3.4-11
46		3.4.3.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage	3.4-11
47		3.4.3.2.2 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking	3.4-11
48		3.4.3.2.3 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and	
49		Crevice Corrosion	3.4-12
50		3.4.3.2.4 Quality Assurance for Aging Management	
51		of Nonsafety-Related Components	3.4-12

1		<u>3.4.3.2.5 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience3.4-12</u>
2		<u>3.4.3.2.6 Loss of Material Due to Recurring</u>
3		<u>Internal Corrosion3.4-13</u>
4		<u>3.4.3.2.7 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>
5		<u>in Aluminum Alloys3.4-13</u>
6		<u>3.4.3.2.8 Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice</u>
7		<u>or Pitting Corrosion and Microbiologically-</u>
8		<u>Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to</u>
9		<u>Stress Corrosion Cracking3.4-15</u>
10		<u>3.4.3.2.9 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and</u>
11		<u>Crevice Corrosion and Microbiologically-</u>
12		<u>Induced Corrosion in Components Exposed</u>
13		<u>to Treated Water, Treated Borated Water, or</u>
14		<u>Sodium Pentaborate Solution3.4-15</u>
15		<u>3.4.3.2.10 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice</u>
16		<u>Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys3.4-16</u>
17		<u>3.4.3.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent</u>
18		<u>With or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons</u>
19		<u>Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report3.4-16</u>
20		<u>3.4.3.4 Aging Management Programs.....3.4-16</u>
21		<u>3.4.3.5 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement3.4-17</u>
22		<u>3.4.4 Evaluation Findings3.4-17</u>
23		<u>3.4.5 Implementation3.4-18</u>
24		<u>3.4.6 References3.4-18</u>
25	3.5	<u>Aging Management of Containments, Structures, and</u>
26		<u>Component Supports3.5-1</u>
27		<u>3.5.1 Areas of Review3.5-1</u>
28		<u>3.5.2 Acceptance Criteria3.5-2</u>
29		<u>3.5.2.1 Aging Management Review Results Consistent With</u>
30		<u>the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent</u>
31		<u>License Renewal Report3.5-2</u>
32		<u>3.5.2.2 Aging Management Review Results for Which Further</u>
33		<u>Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic Aging</u>
34		<u>Lessons Learned for Subsequent License</u>
35		<u>Renewal Report3.5-2</u>
36		<u>3.5.2.2.1 Pressurized Water Reactor and Boiling Water</u>
37		<u>Reactor Containments3.5-3</u>
38		<u>3.5.2.2.2 Safety-Related and Other Structures and</u>
39		<u>Component Supports3.5-5</u>
40		<u>3.5.2.2.3 Quality Assurance for Aging Management</u>
41		<u>of Nonsafety-Related Components3.5-7</u>
42		<u>3.5.2.2.4 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience3.5-7</u>
43		<u>3.5.2.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent</u>
44		<u>With or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons</u>
45		<u>Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report3.5-7</u>
46		<u>3.5.2.4 Aging Management Programs.....3.5-7</u>
47		<u>3.5.2.5 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement3.5-8</u>
48		<u>3.5.3 Review Procedures3.5-8</u>
49		<u>3.5.3.1 Aging Management Review Results Consistent With</u>
50		<u>the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent</u>
51		<u>License Renewal Report3.5-8</u>

1		<u>3.5.3.2 Aging Management Review Results for Which Further</u>	
2		<u>Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic Aging</u>	
3		<u>Lessons Learned for Subsequent License</u>	
4		<u>Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.5-9</u>
5		<u>3.5.3.2.1 Pressurized Water Reactor and Boiling</u>	
6		<u>Water Reactor Containments.....</u>	<u>3.5-9</u>
7		<u>3.5.3.2.2 Safety-Related and Other Structures, and</u>	
8		<u>Component Supports.....</u>	<u>3.5-12</u>
9		<u>3.5.3.2.3 Quality Assurance for Aging Management</u>	
10		<u>of Nonsafety-Related Components</u>	<u>3.5-16</u>
11		<u>3.5.3.2.4 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience</u>	<u>3.5-16</u>
12		<u>3.5.3.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent</u>	
13		<u>With or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons</u>	
14		<u>Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.5-16</u>
15		<u>3.5.3.4 Aging Management Programs.....</u>	<u>3.5-16</u>
16		<u>3.5.3.5 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</u>	<u>3.5-17</u>
17		3.5.4 Evaluation Findings	3.5-17
18		3.5.5 Implementation.....	3.5-18
19		3.5.6 References.....	3.5-18
20	3.6	Aging Management of Electrical and Instrumentation and Controls	3.6-1
21		3.6.1 Areas of Review.....	3.6-1
22		3.6.2 Acceptance Criteria.....	3.6-1
23		<u>3.6.2.1 Aging Management Review Results Consistent With</u>	
24		<u>the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent</u>	
25		<u>License Renewal Report.....</u>	<u>3.6-2</u>
26		<u>3.6.2.2 Aging Management Review Results for Which Further</u>	
27		<u>Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic Aging</u>	
28		<u>Lessons Learned for Subsequent License</u>	
29		<u>Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.6-2</u>
30		<u>3.6.2.2.1 Electrical Equipment Subject to</u>	
31		<u>Environmental Qualification</u>	<u>3.6-2</u>
32		<u>3.6.2.2.2 Reduced Insulation Resistance Due to Loss</u>	
33		<u>of Material Due to General, Pitting, and</u>	
34		<u>Crevice Corrosion, Loosening of Bolts</u>	
35		<u>Caused by Thermal Cycling and Ohmic</u>	
36		<u>Heating, Degradation Caused</u>	
37		<u>Thermal/Thermoxidative Degradation of</u>	
38		<u>Organics and Photolysis (UV Sensitive</u>	
39		<u>Materials Only) of Organics, Moisture/Debris</u>	
40		<u>Intrusion and Ohmic Heating.....</u>	<u>3.6-2</u>
41		<u>3.6.2.2.3 Loss of Material Due to Wind-Induced</u>	
42		<u>Abrasion, Loss of Conductor Strength Due to</u>	
43		<u>Corrosion, and Increased Resistance of</u>	
44		<u>Connection Due to Oxidation or</u>	
45		<u>Loss of Preload.....</u>	<u>3.6-3</u>
46		<u>3.6.2.2.4 Quality Assurance for Aging Management</u>	
47		<u>of Nonsafety-Related Components</u>	<u>3.6-3</u>
48		<u>3.6.2.2.5 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience</u>	<u>3.6-3</u>
49		<u>3.6.2.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent</u>	
50		<u>With or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons</u>	
51		<u>Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report</u>	<u>3.6-3</u>

1		3.6.2.4	<i>Aging Management Programs</i>	3.6-3
2		3.6.2.5	<i>Final Safety Analysis Review Supplement</i>	3.6-4
3		3.6.3	Review Procedures	3.6-4
4		3.6.3.1	<i>Aging Management Review Results Consistent With</i>	
5			<i>the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent</i>	
6			<i>License Renewal Report</i>	3.6-4
7		3.6.3.2	<i>Aging Management Review Results for Which Further</i>	
8			<i>Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic Aging</i>	
9			<i>Lessons Learned for Subsequent License</i>	
10			<i>Renewal Report</i>	3.6-4
11		3.6.3.2.1	<i>Electrical Equipment Subject to</i>	
12			<i>Environmental Qualification</i>	3.6-4
13		3.6.3.2.2	<i>Reduced Insulation Resistance Due to</i>	
14			<i>Loss of Material Due to General, Pitting,</i>	
15			<i>and Crevice Corrosion, Loosening of Bolts</i>	
16			<i>Caused by Thermal Cycling and Ohmic Heating,</i>	
17			<i>Degradation Caused by Thermal/Thermooxidative</i>	
18			<i>Degradation of Organics and Photolysis</i>	
19			<i>(UV Sensitive Materials Only) of</i>	
20			<i>Organics, Moisture/Debris Intrusion and</i>	
21			<i>Ohmic Heating</i>	3.6-5
22		3.6.3.2.3	<i>Loss of Material Due to Wind-Induced</i>	
23			<i>Abrasion, Loss of Conductor Strength Due to</i>	
24			<i>Corrosion, and Increased Resistance of</i>	
25			<i>Connection Due to Oxidation or</i>	
26			<i>Loss of Preload</i>	3.6-5
27		3.6.3.2.4	<i>Quality Assurance for Aging Management</i>	
28			<i>of Nonsafety-Related Components</i>	3.6-5
29		3.6.3.2.5	<i>Ongoing Review of Operating Experience</i>	3.6-5
30		3.6.3.3	<i>Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent</i>	
31			<i>With or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons</i>	
32			<i>Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report</i>	3.6-6
33		3.6.3.4	<i>Aging Management Programs</i>	3.6-6
34		3.6.3.5	<i>Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</i>	3.6-6
35		3.6.4	Evaluation Findings	3.6-7
36		3.6.5	Implementation	3.6-7
37		3.6.6	References	3.6-7
38	4	TIME-LIMITED AGING ANALYSES		4-1.1
39		4.1	Identification of Time-Limited Aging Analyses and Exemptions	4.1-1
40		4.2	REACTOR VESSEL NEUTRON EMBRITTLEMENT ANALYSIS	4.2-1
41		4.1.1	Areas of Review	4.1-1
42		4.3	METAL FATIGUE	Acceptance
43	Criteria	4.1-1		
44		4.1.3	Review Procedures	4.1-3-4
45		4.1.4	Evaluation Findings	4.1-5
46		4.1.5	Implementation	4.1-5
47		4.1.6	References	4.1-5
48		4.2	Reactor Pressure Vessel Neutron Embrittlement Analysis	4.2-1

1	4.2.1 Areas of Review	4.2-1
2	4.2.2 Acceptance Criteria	4.2-1
3	4.2.2.1 <i>Time-Limited Aging Analysis</i>	4.2-1
4	4.2.2.1.1 <i>Neutron Fluence</i>	4.2-2
5	4.2.2.1.2 <i>Upper-Shelf Energy</i>	4.2-3
6	4.2.2.1.3 <i>Pressurized Thermal Shock (for PWRs)</i>	4.2-3
7	4.2.2.1.4 <i>Pressure-Temperature Limits</i>	4.2-4
8	4.2.2.1.5 <i>Elimination of Boiling Water Reactor</i>	
9	<i>Circumferential Weld Inspections</i>	4.2-5
10	4.2.2.1.6 <i>BWR Axial Welds</i>	4.2-6
11	4.2.2.2 <i>Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</i>	4.2-6
12	4.2.3 Review Procedures	4.2-6
13	4.2.3.1 <i>Time-Limited Aging Analysis</i>	4.2-6
14	4.2.3.1.1 <i>Neutron Fluence</i>	4.2-6
15	4.2.3.1.2 <i>Upper-Shelf Energy</i>	4.2-7
16	4.2.3.1.3 <i>Pressurized Thermal Shock (for PWRs)</i>	4.2-8
17	4.2.3.1.4 <i>Pressure-Temperature Limits</i>	4.2-11
18	4.2.3.1.5 <i>Elimination of Boiling Water Reactor</i>	
19	<i>Circumferential Weld Inspection</i>	4.2-13
20	4.2.3.1.6 <i>Boiling Water Reactor Axial Welds</i>	4.2-13
21	4.2.3.2 <i>Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</i>	4.2-13
22	4.2.4 Evaluation Findings	4.2-14
23	4.2.5 Implementation	4.2-14
24	4.2.6 References	4.2-14
25	4.3 Metal Fatigue	4.3-1
26	4.3.1 Area of Review	4.3-1
27	4.3.2 Acceptance Criteria	4.3-2
28	4.3.2.1 <i>Time-Limited Aging Analysis</i>	4.3-2
29	4.3.2.1.1 <i>Components Evaluated for Fatigue</i>	
30	<i>Parameters Other than CUF_{en}</i>	4.3-2
31	4.3.2.1.2 <i>Components Evaluated for CUF_{en}</i>	4.3-3
32	4.3.2.2 <i>Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</i>	4.3-4
33	4.3.3 Review Procedures	4.3-5
34	4.3.3.1 <i>Time-Limited Aging Analysis</i>	4.3-5
35	4.3.3.1.1 <i>Components Evaluated for Fatigue</i>	
36	<i>Parameters Other Than CUF_{en}</i>	4.3-5
37	4.3.3.1.2 <i>Components Evaluated for CUF_{en}</i>	4.3-6
38	4.3.3.2 <i>Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</i>	4.3-8
39	4.3.4 Evaluation Findings	4.3-8
40	4.3.5 Implementation	4.3-9
41	4.3.6 References	4.3-9
42	4.4 Environmental Qualification (EQ) of Electric Equipment	4.4-1
43	4.5 CONCRETE CONTAINMENT TENDON PRESTRESS ANALYSIS.....	4.5-
44	1 Areas of Review 4.6 CONTAINMENT LINER PLATE, METAL CONTAINMENTS,	
45	AND PENETRATIONS FATIGUE ANALYSIS	4.6-1
46	4.7 OTHER PLANT-SPECIFIC TIME-LIMITED AGING ANALYSES	4.7-1
47	APPENDIX A BRANCH	
48	4.4.1.1 <i>Time-Limited Aging Analysis</i>	4.4-2
49	4.4.1.1.1 <i>Division of Operating Reactors Guidelines</i>	4.4-2

1		
2		4.4.1.1.2 NUREG–0588, Category II
3		(IEEE STD 323-1971).....4.4-2
4		4.4.1.1.3 NUREG–0588, Category I
5		(IEEE STD 323-1974).....4.4-2
6		4.4.1.2 Generic Safety Issue.....4.4-3
7		4.4.1.3 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement4.4-3
8		4.4.2 Acceptance Criteria4.4-3
9		4.4.2.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis4.4-3
10		4.4.2.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i).....4.4-4
11		4.4.2.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).....4.4-4
12		4.4.2.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).....4.4-4
13		4.4.2.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement4.4-4
14		4.4.3 Review Procedures4.4-5
15		4.4.3.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis4.4-5
16		4.4.3.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i).....4.4-5
17		4.4.3.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).....4.4-5
18		4.4.3.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).....4.4-5
19		4.4.3.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement4.4-6
20		4.4.4 Evaluation of Findings4.4-6
21		4.4.5 Implementation4.4-6
22		4.4.6 References4.4-7
23		4.5 Concrete Containment Unbonded Tendon Prestress Analysis4.5-1
24		4.5.1 Areas of Review4.5-1
25		4.5.2 Acceptance Criteria4.5-1
26		4.5.2.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis4.5-1
27		4.5.2.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i).....4.5-1
28		4.5.2.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).....4.5-2
29		4.5.2.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).....4.5-2
30		4.5.2.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement4.5-2
31		4.5.3 Review Procedures4.5-3
32		4.5.3.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis4.5-3
33		4.5.3.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i).....4.5-3
34		4.5.3.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).....4.5-3
35		4.5.3.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).....4.5-3
36		4.5.3.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement4.5-4
37		4.5.4 Evaluation Findings4.5-4
38		4.5.5 Implementation4.5-5
39		4.5.6 References4.5-5
40		4.6 Containment Liner Plate, Metal Containments, and Penetrations
41		Fatigue Analysis4.6-1
42		4.6.1 Areas of Review4.6-1
43		4.6.1.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis
44		(Fatigue Parameter Evaluations).....4.6-2
45		4.6.1.1.1 Fatigue Analyses (ASME Section III,
46		MC or Class 1).....4.6-2
47		4.6.1.1.2 Fatigue Waiver Evaluations4.6-3
48		4.6.1.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement4.6-3
49		4.6.2 Acceptance Criteria4.6-3
50		4.6.2.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis4.6-3
51		4.6.2.1.1 Fatigue Parameter Evaluations.....4.6-4
		4.6.2.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement4.6-5

1	4.6.3 Review Procedures	4.6-5
2	4.6.3.1 <i>Time-Limited Aging Analysis</i>	4.6-5
3	4.6.3.1.1 <i>Fatigue Parameter Evaluations</i>	4.6-5
4	4.6.3.2 <i>Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</i>	4.6-6
5	4.6.4 Evaluation Findings	4.6-6
6	4.6.5 Implementation	4.6-7
7	4.6.6 References	4.6-7
8	4.7 Other Plant-Specific Time-Limited Aging Analyses	4.7-1
9	4.7.1 Areas of Review	4.7-1
10	4.7.1.1 <i>Time-Limited Aging Analysis</i>	4.7-2
11	4.7.1.2 <i>Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</i>	4.7-2
12	4.7.2 Acceptance Criteria	4.7-2
13	4.7.2.1 <i>Time-Limited Aging Analysis</i>	4.7-2
14	4.7.2.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i).....	4.7-2
15	4.7.2.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).....	4.7-2
16	4.7.2.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)	4.7-2
17	4.7.2.2 <i>Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</i>	4.7-3
18	4.7.3 Review Procedures	4.7-3
19	4.7.3.1 <i>Time-Limited Aging Analysis</i>	4.7-3
20	4.7.3.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i).....	4.7-3
21	4.7.3.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).....	4.7-4
22	4.7.3.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)	4.7-4
23	4.7.3.2 <i>Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement</i>	4.7-4
24	4.7.4 Evaluation Findings	4.7-5
25	4.7.5 Implementation	4.7-5
26	4.7.6 References	4.7-5
27	5 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS CHANGES	5-1
28	5.1 Review of Technical Specifications Changes and Additions	
29	Necessary to Manage the Effects of Aging During the Subsequent	
30	Period of Extended Operation	5-1
31	5.1.1 Areas of Review	5-1
32	5.1.2 Acceptance Criteria	5-1
33	5.1.3 Review Procedures	5-2
34	5.1.4 Evaluation Findings	5-3
35	5.1.5 Implementation	5-3
36	5.1.6 References	5-3
37	APPENDIX A—GENERAL NRC STAFF POSITIONS AND GUIDANCE	A-i-1-1

LIST OF TABLES

1	2	Table	Page
3	1.1-1-	Acceptance Review Checklist for <u>Subsequent</u> License Renewal Application	
4		Acceptability for Docketing.....	1.1-5
5	2.1-1-	Sample Listing of Potential Information Sources	2.1- <u>12</u>
6	2.1-2-	Specific Staff Guidance on Scoping	2.1- <u>13</u>
7	2.1-3-	Specific Staff Guidance on Screening	2.1- <u>15</u>
8	2.1-4(a)-	Typical “Passive” Structure-Intended Functions	2.1- <u>16</u>
9	2.1-4(b)-	Typical “Passive” Component-Intended Functions	2.1- <u>17</u>
10	2.1-5-	Typical Structures, Components, and Commodity Groups, and	
11		10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) Determinations for Integrated Plant Assessment.....	2.1- <u>18</u>
12	2.2-1-	Examples of System and Structure Scoping and Basis for Disposition.....	2.2-5
13	2.3-1-	Examples of Mechanical Components Scoping and Basis for Disposition.....	2.3-6
14	2.3-2-	Examples of Mechanical Components Screening and Basis for Disposition	2.3-7
15	2.3-3-	Examples of Mechanical Component-Intended Functions.....	2.3-8
16	2.4-1-	Examples of Structural Components Scoping/Screening and Basis	
17		for Disposition	2.4-6
18	2.5-1-	Examples of “Plant Spaces” Approach for Electrical and I&C Scoping and	
19		Corresponding Review Procedures.....	2.5-7
20	3.0-1-	FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>	3.0- <u>8</u>
21	3.1-1-	Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals,	
22		and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the	
23		GALL- <u>SLR</u> Report.....	3.1- <u>29</u>
24	3.1-2-	Aging Management Programs <u>and Additional Guidance Appendices</u>	
25		<u>Recommended</u> for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System.....	3.1- <u>58</u>
26	3.2-1-	Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features	
27		Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL- <u>SLR</u> Report.....	3.2- <u>20</u>
28	3.2-2-	<u>AMPs and Additional Guidance Appendices</u> Recommended for <u>Aging</u>	
29		<u>Management of</u> Engineered Safety Features	3.2- <u>40</u>
30	3.3-1-	Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated	
31		in Chapter VII of the GALL- <u>SLR</u> Report	3.3- <u>20</u>
32	3.3-2-	<u>AMPs and Additional Guidance Appendices</u> Recommended for Aging	
33		Management of Auxiliary Systems	3.3- <u>68</u>
34	3.4-1-	Summary of Aging Management Programs for Steam and Power	
35		Conversion System Evaluated in Chapter VIII of the GALL- <u>SLR</u> Report.....	3.4- <u>19</u>
36	3.4-2-	<u>AMPs and Additional Guidance Appendices</u> Recommended for Aging	
37		Management of Steam and Power Conversion System	3.4- <u>42</u>
38	3.5-1-	Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures	
39		and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the	
40		GALL- <u>SLR</u> Report.....	3.5- <u>20</u>
41	3.5-2-	<u>AMPs and Additional Guidance Appendices</u> Recommended for	
42		Containments, Structures, and Component Supports	3.5- <u>41</u>
43	3.6-1-	Summary of Aging Management Programs for the Electrical Components	
44		Evaluated in Chapter VI of the GALL- <u>SLR</u> Report.....	3.6-8
45	3.6-2-	<u>AMPs and Additional Guidance Appendices</u> Recommended for Electrical	
46		and Instrumentation and Control Systems	3.6- <u>18</u>

1	4.1-1-	Sample Process for <u>Identifying</u> Potential Time-Limited Aging Analyses	
2		<u>(TLAA)</u> and Basis for Disposition	4.1-6
3	4.1-2-	Generic Time-Limited Aging Analyses	4.1-10
4	4.2-1-	Examples of FSAR Supplement for Reactor Vessel Neutron	
5		Embrittlement <u>TLAA-EvaluationAnalyses</u>	4.2-17
6	4.3-1	<u>Examples</u> of FSAR Supplement for Metal Fatigue TLAA Evaluation	4.3-10
7	4.4-1-	Environmental Qualification Reanalysis Attributes	4.4-79
8	4.4-2-	Examples of FSAR Supplement for Environmental Qualification of Electric	
9		Equipment TLAA Evaluation	4.4-12
10	4.5-1-	Examples of FSAR Supplement for Concrete Containment Tendon	
11		Prestress TLAA Evaluation	4.5-6
12	4.6-1-	Examples of FSAR Supplement for Containment Liner Plates, Metal	
13		Containments, and Penetrations Fatigue TLAA Evaluation	4.6-8
14	<u>4.7-1</u>	<u>Examples of Potential Plant-Specific TLAA Topics</u>	<u>4.7-6</u>
15	A.1-1	– Elements of an Aging Management Program for <u>Subsequent</u>	
16		License Renewal.....	A.1-10

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS¹

Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

B. Holian	Division Director
<u>C. Miller</u>	<u>Division Director</u>
<u>J. Lubinski</u>	<u>Division Director</u>
<u>R. Cadwell</u>	<u>Deputy Division Director</u>
<u>M. Delligatti</u>	<u>Deputy Division Director</u>
M. Galloway	Deputy Division Director
<u>J. Marshall</u>	Deputy Division Director
<u>S. Weerakkody</u>	Deputy Division Director
<u>S. Bloom</u>	Branch Chief
<u>Y. Diaz- Sanabria</u>	Branch Chief
<u>M. Marshall</u>	<u>Branch Chief</u>
D. <u>Morey</u>	Branch Chief
<u>B. Pham</u>	<u>Branch Chief</u>
A. Hiser	Senior <u>Technical Advisor</u>
<u>B. Brady</u>	<u>Technical Project Manager Lead</u>
<u>W. Burton</u>	<u>Regulatory Project Manager Lead</u>
<u>A. Billoch</u>	<u>Lead Project Manager</u>
<u>H. Jones</u>	<u>Lead Project Manager</u>
<u>J. Mitchell</u>	<u>Lead Project Manager</u>
R. <u>Plasse</u>	<u>Lead Project Manager</u>
<u>B. Rogers</u>	<u>Lead Project Manager</u>
<u>E. Sayoc</u>	<u>Lead Project Manager</u>
<u>E. Gettys</u>	<u>Public Coordination</u>
<u>A. Bufford</u>	Structural Engineering
<u>D. Brittner</u>	<u>Project Manager</u>
C. Doult	Electrical Engineering

¹The titles in this List of Contributions refer to the NRC staff's role in the development of this document, not their current position.

<u>B. Fu</u>	<u>Mechanical</u> Engineering
<u>W. Gardner</u>	<u>Mechanical</u> Engineering
J. Gavula	Mechanical Engineering
<u>B. Grange</u>	<u>Project Manager</u>
<u>K. Green</u>	<u>Mechanical Engineering</u>
W. Holston	Mechanical Engineering
<u>C. Hovanec</u>	<u>Mechanical Engineering</u>
<u>R. Kalikian</u>	<u>Mechanical Engineering</u>
<u>B. Litkett</u>	<u>Project Manager</u>
J. Medoff	Mechanical Engineering
<u>S. Min</u>	Mechanical Engineering
A. Prinaris	<u>Structural</u> Engineering
<u>M. Sadollah</u>	Structural Engineering
<u>G. Thomas</u>	<u>Structural</u> Engineering
<u>M. Yoo</u>	Mechanical Engineering

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

<u>D. Alley</u>	<u>Branch Chief</u>
<u>S. Bailey</u>	Branch Chief
<u>R. Dennig</u>	Branch Chief
<u>C. Jackson</u>	Branch Chief
A. Klein	Branch Chief
<u>G. Kulesa</u>	<u>Branch Chief</u>
T. Lupold	Branch Chief
<u>S. Rosenberg</u>	Branch Chief
<u>J. Zimmerman</u>	Branch Chief

R. Hardies	Senior Level <u>Advisor</u>
K. Karwoski	Senior Level <u>Advisor</u>
<u>L. Banic</u>	<u>Project Manager</u>
G. Cheruvenki	Materials Engineering
J. Collins	<u>Materials</u> Engineering
<u>S. Cumblidge</u>	Materials Engineering
<u>A. Erickson</u>	<u>Structural</u> Engineering
<u>C. Fairbanks</u>	Materials Engineering
<u>M. Hardgrove</u>	Mechanical Engineering
<u>K. Hoffman</u>	<u>Materials</u> Engineering
A. Johnson	<u>Reactor Operations</u> Engineering
S. Jones	<u>Reactor Systems</u> Engineering
B. Lee	<u>Reactor Systems</u> Engineering
<u>B. Lehman</u>	<u>Structural Engineering</u>
R. Mathew	Electrical Engineering

I. Naeem
C. Ng
D. Nguyen
A. Obodoako
B. Parks
J. Poehler
P. Purtscher
S. Ray
S. Sheng
A. Tsirigotis
P. Verdi
O. Yee
M. Yoder

Fire Protection Engineer
Mechanical Engineering
~~Mechanical~~Electrical Engineering
Materials Engineer
Reactor Engineering
Materials Engineering
Materials Engineering
Electrical Engineering
Materials Engineering
Mechanical ~~Engineering~~Engineer
Foreign Assignee
Reactor Systems Engineering
Chemical Engineering

Region II

P. Cooper
J. Rivera-Ortiz

Sr. Reactor Inspector
Reactor Inspector

Region III

N. Feliz-Adorno
M. Holmberg
C. Tilton

Sr. Reactor Inspector
Sr. Reactor Inspector
Sr. Reactor Inspector

Region IV

S. Graves
G. Pick
M. Williams

Sr. Reactor Inspector
Sr. Reactor Inspector
Reactor Inspector

Office of New Reactors

J. Xu
A. Istar

Branch Chief
Structural Engineering

Office of Nuclear Materials and Safeguards

A. Csontos
J. Wise

Branch Chief
Materials Engineering

Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

J. Burke
S. Frankl
M. Gavrilas
J. Nakoski
W. Ott

Branch Chief
Branch Chief
Branch Chief
Branch Chief
Branch Chief

D. Rudland
M. Salley
R. Sydnor
J. Ake

T. Nicholson

R. Tregoning

A. Hull
K. Arai
M. Benson
H. Candra
E. Focht
M. Fuhrman
C. Harris
M. Hiser
M. Homiack
M. Kirk
B. Lin
S. Malik
K. Miller
W. Norris
G. Oberson
R. Perkins
I. Prokofiev
J. Philip
A. Pulvirenti
S. Rao
M. Rossi
M. Sircar
M. Srinivasan
G. Stevens
D. Stroup
J. Wallace
G. Wang

Branch Chief
Branch Chief
Branch Chief
Senior Technical Advisor—Geophysical Engineering
Senior Technical Advisor—Radionuclide Transport
Senior Technical Advisor—Materials Engineering
Team Leader
Materials Engineering
Materials Engineering
Structural Engineering
Materials Engineering
Geochemistry
Materials Engineering
Materials Engineering
Mechanical Engineering
Materials Engineering
Mechanical Engineering
Materials Engineering
Electrical Engineering
Materials Engineering
Materials Engineering
Reliability & Risk Engineering
Materials Engineering
Geotechnical Engineering
Materials Engineering
Materials Engineering
Materials Engineering
Structural Engineering
Materials Engineering
Materials Engineering
Fire Protection Engineering
Materials Engineering
Mechanical Engineering

Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses

G. Adams

L. Howard
L. Naukam
Y. Pan

Computer Scientist/Industrial Engineering
Project Manager/Nuclear Engineering
Program Support/Technical Editing
Materials Engineering

ABBREVIATIONS

ACSRACI	aluminum conductor steel reinforced <u>American Concrete Institute</u>
AFW	auxiliary feedwater
AMP <u>AMPs</u>	aging management program <u>programs</u>
AMR	aging management review
ANL	Argonne National Laboratory
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
ASME	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
ATWS	anticipated transients without scram
<u>B&PV</u>	<u>Boiler and Pressure Vessel</u>
B&W	Babcock & Wilcox
BWR	boiling water reactor
BWRVIP	Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project
CASS	cast austenitic stainless steel
CDF	core damage frequency
CE	Combustion Engineering
CFR	<i>Code of Federal Regulations</i>
CLB	current licensing basis
CRD	control rod drive
<u>CRDM</u>	<u>control rod drive mechanism</u>
CUF	cumulative usage factor
DBA	design basis accident
DBE <u>DBEs</u>	design basis event <u>events</u>
<u>DE</u>	<u>Division of Engineering</u>
DG	Draft Regulatory Guide
<u>DIRS</u>	<u>Division of Inspection and Regional Support</u>
DLR	Division of License Renewal
DOR	Division of Operating Reactors
<u>DORL</u>	<u>Division of Operating Reactor Licensing</u>
<u>DPR</u>	<u>Division of Policy and Rulemaking</u>
<u>DSS</u>	<u>Division of Safety Systems</u>
ECCS	emergency core cooling system
ECT	eddy current testing
EDG	emergency diesel generator
EFPY	effective full power year
EMA	equivalent margins analysis
EOL	end-of-life
EPDM	ethylene propylene diene monomer
EPR	ethylene propylene rubber
EPRI	Electric Power Research Institute
EPU	extended power uprate
<u>EQ</u>	<u>Environmental Qualification</u>

FAC	flow-accelerated corrosion
<u>FE</u>	<u>further evaluation</u>
<u>FMECA</u>	<u>failure modes, effects, and criticality analysis</u>
FR	<i>Federal Register</i>
<u>FRN</u>	<u>Federal Register Notice</u>
FSAR	Final Safety Analysis Report
FSER	Final Safety Evaluation Report
<u>GALL</u>	<u>Generic Aging Lessons Learned</u>
<u>GALL-SLR</u>	Generic Aging Lessons Learned <u>for Subsequent License Renewal</u>
GE	General Electric
GL	generic letter
GSI	generic safety issue
<u>HAZ</u>	<u>heat-affected zone</u>
<u>HDPE</u>	<u>high-density polyethylene</u>
HELB	high-energy line break
HPCI	high-pressure coolant injection
HPSI	high-pressure safety injection
HVAC	heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
I&C	instrumentation and control
IASCC	Irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
<u>IGA</u>	<u>intergranular attack</u>
IGSCC	intergranular stress corrosion cracking
IN	information notice
INPO	Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
IPA	integrated plant assessment
IPE	individual plant examination
IPEEE	individual plant examination of external events
<u>IR</u>	<u>insulation resistance</u>
ISI	inservice inspection
<u>ISG</u>	<u>interim staff guidance</u>
LCD	liquid-crystal display
<u>LCOLBB</u>	<u>limiting conditions of operation</u> <u>leak-before-break</u>
<u>LED-LCOs</u>	<u>light-emitting diode</u> <u>limiting conditions of operations</u>
LER	licensee event report
LOCA	loss of coolant accident
<u>LR</u>	<u>license renewal</u>
<u>LRALRAs</u>	license renewal <u>application</u> <u>applications</u>
<u>LTOPLWR</u>	<u>low-temperature overpressure protection</u> <u>light-water reactor</u>
MEB	metal enclosed bus
MIC	microbiologically- <u>influenced</u> <u>induced</u> corrosion

MEAPMRP	material/environment/aging effect/program as summarized on AMR line-items <u>Materials Reliability Program</u>
MRV	minimum required value
NDE	nondestructive examination
NDT	nil-ductility temperature
NEI	Nuclear Energy Institute
NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
NPS	nominal pipe size
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRR	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
NSAG	Nuclear Safety Analysis Center
NSR	nonsafety-related
NSSS	nuclear steam supply system
ODSCC	outside diameter stress corrosion cracking
OE	operating experience
OM	operation and maintenance
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
P&ID	pipng and <u>instrument diagrams</u>instrumentation diagram
PLLPH	predicted lower limitprecipitation-hardened
PM	Project Manager
PRA	probabilistic risk analysis
PT	penetrant testing
P-T	pressure-temperature
PTLRPTLRs	pressure-temperature limit reports
PTS	pressurized thermal shock
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
PWR	pressurized water reactor
PWSCC	primary water stress corrosion cracking
QA	quality assurance
RAI	request for additional information
RCIG	reactor core isolation cooling

RCPB	reactor coolant pressure boundary
RCS	reactor coolant system
RG	Regulatory Guide
RPV	reactor pressure vessel
RT	reference temperature
<u>RTD</u>	<u>resistance temperature detector</u>
<u>RVRVI</u>	reactor vessel <u>internal</u>
SBO	station blackout
SC	structures and components
SCC	stress corrosion cracking
<u>SEEIN</u>	<u>Significant Event Evaluation and Information Network</u>
<u>SEs</u>	<u>safety evaluations</u>
SER	safety evaluation report
SG	steam generator
S/G	standards and guides
<u>SLR</u>	<u>subsequent license renewal</u>
<u>SLRA</u>	<u>subsequent license renewal application</u>
SOC	statements of consideration
SOER	significant operating experience report
SR	safety-related
SR	silicon rubber
SRM	staff requirements memorandum
SRP	standard review plan
SRP-LRSLR	Standard Review Plan for license renewal <u>Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants</u>
SS	stainless steel
SSCSs	systems, structures, and components
SSE	safe shutdown earthquake
TC	thermocouples (nozzles)
<u>TGSCC</u>	<u>transgranular stress corrosion cracking</u>
TLAATLAAs	time-limited aging analysis
<u>TR</u>	<u>topical report</u>
<u>TS</u>	<u>Technical Specifications</u>
UFSAR	updated final safety analysis report
<u>USAR</u>	<u>updated safety analysis report</u>
USE	upper-shelf energy
USI	unresolved safety issue
UT	ultrasonic testing
UUSE	unirradiated upper-shelf energy
UV	ultraviolet

~~VHP~~ vessel head penetration (nozzles)

~~WSLR~~ within scope of license renewal

~~XPLE~~ cross-linked polyethylene

INTRODUCTION

The “Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants” (SRP-~~LR~~SLR) provides guidance to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff reviewers in the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR). These reviewers perform safety reviews of applications to renew nuclear power plant licenses in accordance with Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 54. The NRC regulations in 10 CFR 54.29 establish the standards for issuance of a renewed license. For nuclear power plants that have received a renewed license, the regulations in 10 CFR 54.31(d) state that “a renewed license may be subsequently renewed in accordance with all applicable requirements.” The NRC has stated that the requirements for subsequent renewal “include the provisions of part 54 (unless the Commission subsequently adopts special provisions applicable only to subsequent renewals).” Statement of Consideration, “Nuclear Power Plant License Renewal,” 56 FR 64,943, 64,964-65 (Dec. 13, 1991). To date, the NRC has not adopted special provisions that apply only to subsequent renewal, so that the requirements in 10 CFR Part 54 continues to govern subsequent license renewal.

The principal purposes of the SRP-~~LR~~SLR are to ensure the quality and uniformity of the NRC staff ~~reviews~~review and to present a well-defined base from which to evaluate applicant programs and activities for the subsequent period of extended operation. The SRP-~~LR~~SLR also is intended to make regulatory information widely available to enhance communication with interested members of the public and the nuclear power industry and to improve their understanding of the NRC staff review process.

The safety review is based primarily on the information provided by the applicant in a subsequent license renewal application (~~LRA~~SLRA). The NRC regulation in 10 CFR 54.4 defines what is within the scope of the license renewal rule. The NRC regulation in 10 CFR 54.21 requires ~~that each license renewal~~ application to include an integrated plant assessment (IPA), current licensing basis (CLB) changes during review of the application by the NRC, an evaluation of time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs), and a Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) supplement.

In addition to the technical information required by 10 CFR 54.21, an LRASLRA must contain general information (10 CFR 54.19), necessary technical specification changes (10 CFR 54.22), and environmental information (10 CFR 54.23). The application must be sufficiently detailed to permit the reviewers to determine (a) whether there is reasonable assurance that the activities authorized by the renewed license will continue to be conducted in accordance with the CLB and (b) whether any changes made to the plant’s CLB to comply with 10 CFR Part 54 are in accordance with the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and NRC regulations. The technical information to be supplied in the SLRA is specified in 10 CFR 54.21.

Before submitting an LRASLRA, an applicant should have analyzed the plant to ensure that actions have been or will be taken to (a) manage the effects of aging during the subsequent period of extended operation (this determination should be based on an assessment of the functionality of structures and components (SCs) that are within the scope of subsequent license renewal and that require an aging management review (AMR) and (b) evaluate TLAAs. The LRASLRA is the principal document in which the applicant provides the information needed to understand the basis upon which the applicant has made this assurance ~~can be made~~.

1 10 CFR 54.21 specifies, in general terms, the technical information to be supplied in the license
2 renewal application. NRC Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.188, "Standard Format and Content for
3 Applications to Renew Nuclear Power Plant Operating Licenses," endorses the Nuclear Energy
4 Institute (NEI) guidance in NEI 95-10, "Industry Guidelines for Implementing the Requirements
5 of 10 CFR Part 54 -- The License Renewal Rule." NEI 95-10 provides guidance on the format
6 and content of an LRA. SRP-LR sections are keyed to and numbered according to the section
7 numbers in NRC RG 1.188.

8 During the review of the initial LRAs, NRC staff and the applicants have found that most of the
9 programs to manage aging that are credited for license renewal are programs already in use by
10 the applicants. In a staff paper (SECY 99-148), "Credit for Existing Programs for License
11 Renewal," dated June 3, 1999, the staff described options and provided a recommendation for
12 crediting existing programs to improve the efficiency of the license renewal process. In a staff
13 requirements memorandum (SRM) dated August 27, 1999, the NRC approved the staff
14 recommendation and directed the staff to focus the review guidance in the SRP-LR on areas
15 where existing programs should be augmented for license renewal. Under the terms of the
16 SRM, the SRP-LR SLR references a "the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
17 License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report," which evaluates existing programs generically, to
18 document (a) the conditions under which existing programs are considered adequate to
19 manage identified aging effects without change and (b) the conditions under which existing
20 programs should be augmented for this purpose. The SRP-SLR also includes the NRC staff's
21 resolutions of License Renewal Interim Staff Guidance (LR-ISG) from 2011 through 2013 as
22 listed below. The NRC issued a draft ISG-2015-01 for public comment on June 29, 2015
23 (ADAMS No. ML15125A377). The staff is in the final process of reviewing the ISG for issuance
24 as a final document. Upon issuance, the changes to aging management program (AMP)
25 XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," will be incorporated into the GALL- SLR
26 Report (NUREG-1801) and the associated section of the SRP- SLR. Under the LR-ISG process
27 the NRC staff, industry, or stakeholders can propose a change to certain license renewal
28 guidance documents. The NRC staff evaluates the issue, develops proposed interim staff
29 guidance (ISG), and issues an ISG for public comment. The NRC reviews any comments
30 received, and, as appropriate, issues a final ISG. The ISG is then used until the NRC staff
31 incorporates it into a formal license renewal guidance document revision.

- 32 • LR-ISG-2011-01: Aging Management of Stainless Steel Structures and Components
33 in Treated Borated Water, Revision 1
- 34 • LR-ISG-2011-02: Aging Management Program for Steam Generators
- 35 • LR-ISG-2011-03: Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report Revision 2 Aging
36 Management Program (AMP) XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"
- 37 • LR-ISG-2011-04: Updated Aging Management Criteria for Reactor Vessel Internal
38 Components of Pressurized Water Reactors
- 39 • LR-ISG-2011-05: Ongoing Review of Operating Experience
- 40 • LR-ISG-2012-01: Wall Thinning Due to Erosion Mechanisms
- 41 • LR-ISG-2012-02: Aging Management of Internal Surfaces, Fire Water Systems,
42 Atmospheric Storage Tanks, and Corrosion Under Insulation

1 • LR-ISG-2013-01: Aging Management of Loss of Coating or Lining Integrity for Internal
2 Coatings/Linings on In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks

3 • LR-ISG-2015-01: Changes to Buried and Underground Piping and Tank
4 Recommendations

5 The GALL-SLR Report should be treated as an approved topical report. The NRC reviewers
6 should not re-review a matter described in the GALL-SLR Report, but should find an application
7 acceptable with respect to such a matter when the application references the GALL-SLR Report
8 and when the evaluation of the matter in the GALL-SLR Report applies to the plant. However,
9 reviewers should ensure that the material presented in the GALL-SLR Report is applicable to
10 the specific plant involved and that the applicant has identified specific programs, as described
11 and evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report, if they rely on the report for subsequent license
12 renewal- (SLR).

- 1 The SRP-~~LRSLR~~ is divided into ~~four~~five major chapters: ~~(a)~~
- 2 Chapter 1—Administrative Information; ~~(b)~~
- 3 Chapter 2—Scoping and Screening Methodology for Identifying Structures and Components
- 4 Subject to Aging Management Review and Implementation Results; ~~(c)~~
- 5 Chapter 3—Aging Management Review Results; ~~and (d)~~
- 6 Chapter 4—Time-Limited Aging Analyses.
- 7 Chapter 5—Technical Specifications Changes and Additions

8 The appendices to the SRP-~~LRSLR~~ list branch technical positions. The SRP-~~LRSLR~~ addresses
9 various site conditions and plant designs and provides complete procedures for all of the areas
10 of review pertinent to each of the SRP-~~LRSLR~~ sections. For any plant-specific application, NRC
11 reviewers may select and emphasize particular aspects of each SRP-~~LRSLR~~ section, as
12 appropriate for the application. In some cases, the major portion of the review of a plant
13 program or activity may be done~~conducted~~ on a generic basis (with the owners' group of that
14 plant type) rather than in the context of reviews of particular applications from utilities. In other
15 cases, a plant program or activity may be sufficiently similar to that of a previous plant that a
16 complete review of the program or activity is not needed. For these and similar reasons,
17 reviewers need not carry out in detail all of the review steps listed in each SRP-~~LRSLR~~ section
18 in the review of every application.

19 The individual SRP-~~LRSLR~~ sections address ~~(a) who performs~~ (i) which organization within the
20 NRC staff are to perform the review, ~~(b) the matters that are reviewed, (c) ;~~ (ii) areas of review;
21 (iii) the basis for review; ~~(d);~~ (iv) the way the method of review is accomplished; and (v) the
22 conclusions that are sought from the review. One of the objectives of the SRP-~~LRSLR~~ is to
23 assign review responsibilities to the appropriate NRR branches. Each SRP-~~LRSLR~~ section
24 identifies the branch that has the primary review responsibility for that section. In some review
25 areas, the primary branch may require support; the branches ~~that are~~ assigned these secondary
26 review responsibilities also are identified for each SRP-~~LR-SLR~~ section.

27 Each SRP-~~LRSLR~~ section is organized into the following six subsections, generally consistent
28 with NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for
29 Nuclear Power Plants" (March 2007, with individual sections subsequently revised as needed).

30 **1. Areas of Review**

31 This subsection describes the scope of review, ~~that is, what is being reviewed by the branch~~
32 ~~that has primary review responsibility. It and~~ contains a description of the systems, structures,
33 components, analyses, data, or other information that is reviewed as part of the ~~license renewal~~
34 ~~application. It also contains~~ SLRA review. This subsection identifies the branch having the
35 primary review responsibility and provides a discussion of the information needed or the review
36 expected from other branches to permit the primary review branch to complete its review.

37 **2. Acceptance Criteria**

38 This subsection contains a statement of the purpose of the review, an identification of applicable
39 NRC requirements, and the technical basis for determining the acceptability of programs and

1 activities within the area of review of the SRP-~~LRSLR~~ section. The technical bases consist of
2 specific criteria, such as NRC regulatory guides, codes and standards, and branch
3 technical positions.

4 Consistent with the approach described in NUREG-0800 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for
5 Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report, the technical bases for some sections of the
6 SRP-~~LRSLR~~ can be provided in branch technical positions or appendices as they are developed
7 and can be included in the SRP-~~LRSLR~~.

8 **3. Review Procedures**

9 This subsection discusses the ~~way the review is accomplished~~ methodology utilized by the NRC
10 ~~staff~~. It is generally a step-by-step procedure that the reviewer follows to ~~provide reasonable~~
11 ~~verification~~ verify that the applicable acceptance criteria have been met.

12 **4. Evaluation Findings**

13 This subsection presents the type of acceptable conclusion that ~~is sought~~ may be reached for
14 the particular review area (e.g., the reviewers' determination as to whether the applicant has
15 adequately identified the aging effects and the aging management programs credited with
16 managing the aging effects). For each section, a conclusion of this type is included in the safety
17 evaluation report (SER), in which the reviewers ~~publish~~ present the results of their review. The
18 SER also contains a description of the review, including which aspects of the review were
19 selected or emphasized; which matters were modified by the applicant, required additional
20 information, items that will be resolved in the future, or remain unresolved; where the applicant's
21 program deviates from the criteria provided in the SRP-~~LRSLR~~; and the bases for any
22 deviations from the SRP-~~LRSLR~~ or exemptions from the regulations.

23 **5. Implementation**

24 This subsection discusses the NRC staff's plans for using the SRP-~~LRSLR~~ section.

25 **6. References**

26 This subsection lists the references used in the review process.

27 The SRP-~~LRSLR~~ incorporates the NRC staff experience in the review of license renewal
28 applications. It may be considered a part of a continuing regulatory framework development
29 activity that documents current methods of review and provides a basis for orderly modifications
30 of the review process in the future. The SRP-~~LRSLR~~ is revised and updated periodically, as
31 needed, to incorporate experience gained during recent reviews, to clarify the content or correct
32 errors, to reflect changes in relevant regulations, and to incorporate modifications approved by
33 the NRR Director. A revision number and publication date ~~are~~ is printed in at the lower corner of
34 each page of each SRP-~~LRSLR~~ section. Because individual sections will be revised as needed,
35 the revision numbers and dates may not be the same for all sections.

|

1 **CHAPTER 1 ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**

2 **1.1 Docketing of Timely and Sufficient Renewal Application**

3 **Review Responsibilities**

4 **Primary** ~~---~~ Program responsible for subsequent license renewal projects

5 **Secondary** ~~---~~ Branches responsible for technical review, as appropriate

6 **1.1.1 Areas of Review**

7 This section addresses (a) the review of the acceptability of a subsequent license renewal
8 application (SLRA) for docketing in accordance with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations
9 (10 CFR) 2.101 and the requirements of 10 CFR Part 54 and (b) whether ~~a license renewal an~~
10 application is timely and sufficient, which allows the provisions of 10 CFR 2.109(b) to apply.
11 Application of this regulation, written to comply with the Administrative Procedures Act, means
12 that the current license will not expire until the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
13 makes a final determination on the subsequent license renewal application- (SLRA).

14 The review described in this section is not a detailed, in-depth review of the technical aspects of
15 the application. The docketing and subsequent finding of a timely and sufficient renewal
16 application does not preclude the NRC ~~reviewers staff~~ from requesting additional information as
17 the review ~~proceeds, nor progresses, and also does it predict not imply~~ the NRC's final
18 determination regarding the approval or denial of the renewal application. A plant's current
19 license will not expire upon the passing of the license's expiration date if the renewal application
20 was found to be timely and sufficient. During this time, and, until ~~the a license~~ renewal
21 ~~application determination~~ has been ~~finally determined made~~ by the NRC, the licensee must
22 continue to perform its activities in accordance with the facility's current licensing basis (CLB),
23 including all applicable license conditions, orders, rules, and regulations. However, if the NRC
24 staff approves the aging management activities provided in the renewal application before the
25 NRC makes a final determination on the SLRA, the approved applicant may conduct aging
26 management activities during the timely renewal period using the aging management programs
27 (AMPs) included in the SLRA.

28 To determine whether an application is acceptable for docketing, the following areas of the
29 ~~license renewal application~~ SLRA are reviewed.

30 **1.1.1.1 *Docketing and Sufficiency of Application***

31 The ~~license renewal application~~ SLRA is reviewed for acceptability for docketing as a sufficient
32 application in accordance with 10 CFR 2.101, 10 CFR Part 51, and 10 CFR Part 54.

33 **1.1.1.2 *Timeliness of Application***

34 The timeliness of ~~a license renewal application an~~ SLRA is reviewed in accordance with 10 CFR
35 2.109(b).

1 **1.1.2 Acceptance Criteria**

2 1.1.2.1 *Docketing ~~and~~ Sufficiency of Application*

3 The NRC staff determines acceptance for docketing and sufficiency on the basis of the required
4 contents of an application, established in 10 CFR 2.101, 10 CFR 51.53(c), 54.17, 54.19, 54.21,
5 54.22, 54.23, 54.29 and 54.4. ~~A license renewal~~ An application is sufficient if it contains the
6 reports, analyses, and other documents required in such an application.

7 1.1.2.2 *Timeliness of Application*

8 In accordance with 10 CFR 2.109(b), a license renewal application is timely if it is submitted at
9 least 5 years before the expiration of the current operating license (unless an exemption is
10 granted) and if it is determined to be sufficient.

11 **1.1.3 Review Procedures**

12 A licensee may choose to submit plant-specific reports addressing portions of the license
13 renewal rule requirements for NRC review and approval prior to submitting a renewal
14 application. An applicant may incorporate (by reference) these reports or other information
15 contained in previous applications for licenses or license amendments, statements, or
16 correspondence filed with the NRC, provided that the references are clear and specific.
17 However, the final determination of the sufficiency for docketing of a ~~sufficient~~-renewal
18 application is made only after a formal ~~license renewal application~~ SLRA has been submitted to
19 the NRC.

20 For each area of review, the NRC staff should implement the following review procedures.

21 1.1.3.1 *Docketing and Sufficiency of Application*

22 Upon receipt of a tendered application for subsequent license renewal, ~~(SLR)~~, the reviewer
23 should determine whether the applicant has ~~made a reasonable effort to provide provided~~ the
24 required administrative, technical, and environmental information ~~(Ref. 1)~~. The reviewer should
25 use the review checklist provided in Table ~~1.1.4-1~~ to determine whether the application is
26 reasonably complete and conforms to the requirements outlined in 10 CFR Part 54.

27 Items I.1 through I.10 in the checklist address administrative information. For the purpose of
28 this review, the reviewer checks the “Yes” column if the required information is included in the
29 application. Item II in the checklist addresses timeliness of the application.

30 Items ~~II.1 through II.3~~, III, IV, and IVV in the checklist address scoping, technical information, the
31 Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) supplement, and technical specification changes,
32 respectively. Chapters 2, 3, and 4 of the Standard Review Plan for ~~license renewal~~ Review of
33 Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-~~LR~~SLR) provide
34 information regarding the technical review. Although the purpose of the docketing and
35 sufficiency review is not to determine the technical adequacy of the application, the reviewer
36 should determine whether the applicant has provided reasonably complete information in the
37 application to address the renewal rule requirements. The reviewer may request assistance

1 from appropriate technical review branches to determine whether the application provides
2 sufficient information to address the items in the checklist so that the NRC staff can begin their
3 technical review. The reviewer should check the “Yes” column for a checklist item if the
4 applicant has provided reasonably complete information in the application to address the
5 checklist item.

6 Item VI of the checklist addresses environmental information. The environmental review NRC
7 staff should review the supplement to the environmental report prepared by the applicant in
8 accordance with the guidelines in NUREG--1555, “Standard Review Plans for Environmental
9 Reviews for Nuclear Power Plants,” Supplement 1, “Operating License Renewal” (Ref. 2-1).
10 The reviewer checks the “Yes” column if the renewal application contains environmental
11 information consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 51.

12 The application should address each item in the checklist in order to be considered reasonably
13 complete and sufficient. If the reviewer determines that an item in the checklist is not
14 applicable, the reviewer should include a brief statement that the item is not applicable and
15 provide the basis for the statement.

16 If information in the application for a checklist item is either not provided or not reasonably
17 complete and no justification is provided, the reviewer should check the “No” column for that
18 checklist item. Except for Item VII as discussed in Subsection 1.1.3.2, checking any “No,”
19 column indicates that the application is not acceptable for docketing as a sufficient renewal
20 application unless the applicant modifies the application to provide the missing or
21 incomplete information.

22 If the reviewer concludes, and management concurs, that the application is not acceptable for
23 docketing as a sufficient application, the letter (typically preceded by a management call
24 between the NRC staff and the applicant) to the applicant should clearly state that (a) the
25 application is not sufficient and is not acceptable for docketing and (b) the current license will
26 expire at its expiration date. The letter also should include a description of the deficiencies
27 found in the application and offer an opportunity for the applicant to supplement its application
28 to provide the missing or incomplete information. The reviewer should review the
29 supplemented application, if submitted, to determine whether it is acceptable for docketing as a
30 sufficient application.

31 If the reviewer is able to answer “Yes” to the applicable items in the checklist, the application is
32 acceptable for docketing as a sufficient renewal application. The applicant should be notified by
33 letter that the application is accepted for docketing. Normally, the letter should be issued within
34 30 days of receipt of a renewal application. A notice of acceptance for docketing of the
35 application and notice of opportunity for a hearing regarding renewal of the license is published
36 in the *Federal Register*. (FR).

37 When the application is acceptable for docketing as a sufficient application, the NRC staff
38 begins its technical review. For license renewal applications SLRAs, the NRC maintains the
39 docket number of the current operating license for administrative convenience.

40 1.1.3.2 *Timeliness of Application*

41 If a sufficient application is submitted at least 5 years before the expiration of the current
42 operating license, the reviewer checks the “Yes” column for Item VII in the checklist. If the
43 supplemented application, as discussed in Subsection 1.1.3.1, is submitted at least 5 years

1 before the expiration of the current operating license, the reviewer checks the “Yes” column for
2 Item ~~V~~VII in the checklist.

3 If the reviewer checks the “No” column in Item ~~V~~VII in the checklist, indicating that a sufficient
4 renewal application has not been submitted at least 5 years before the expiration of the current
5 operating license, the letter (typically preceded by a management call between the NRC staff
6 and the applicant) to the applicant should clearly state that (a) the application is not timely, (b)
7 ii the provisions in 10 CFR 2.109(b) have not been satisfied, and (c) iii the current license will
8 expire on the expiration date. However, if the application is otherwise determined to be
9 acceptable for docketing, the technical review can begin.

10 **1.1.4 Evaluation Findings**

11 The reviewer determines whether sufficient and adequate information has been provided to
12 satisfy the provisions outlined here in Section 1.1.3.1 “Docketing and Sufficiency of Application”
13 above. Depending on the results of this review, one of the following conclusions is included in
14 the NRC staff’s letter to the applicant:

- 15 • On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff has determined that the
16 applicant has submitted sufficient information that is acceptable for docketing, in
17 accordance with 10 CFR 54.19, 54.21, 54.22, 54.23, 54.4, and 51.53(c). However, the
18 NRC staff’s determination does not preclude the request for additional information as the
19 review proceeds.
- 20 • On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff has determined that
21 the application is *not acceptable* for docketing as a timely and/or sufficient
22 renewal application.

23 **1.1.5 Implementation**

24 Except for cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for
25 complying with specified portions of NRC regulations, NRC staff members follow the methods
26 described herein ~~are used by NRC staff members~~ in their evaluation of conformance with NRC
27 regulations.

28 **1.1.6 References**

29 ~~1. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.188, “Standard Format and Content for Applications to Renew~~
30 ~~Nuclear Power Plant Operating Licenses,” U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, January~~
31 ~~2005.~~

32 1. NUREG-1555, “Standard Review Plans for Environmental Reviews for Nuclear
33 Power Plants,” Supplement 1, “Operating License Renewal,” Washington, DC:
34 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 1999.

**Table 1.1-1. Acceptance Review Checklist for Subsequent License Renewal
Application Acceptability for Docketing**

	Yes	No
I. General Information		
1. Application identifies specific unit(s) applying for <u>subsequent</u> license renewal	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Filing of renewal application 10 CFR 54.17(a) is in accordance with:		
A. 10 CFR Part 2, Subpart A; 10 CFR 2.101	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. 10 CFR 50.4		
a. Application is addressed to the Document Control Desk as specified in 10 CFR 50.4(a)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Signed original application and 13 copies are provided to the Document Control Desk. One copy is provided to the appropriate Regional office [10 CFR 50.4(b)(3)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Form of the application meets the requirements of 10 CFR 50.4(c)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. 10 CFR 50.30		
a. Application is filed in accordance with 10 CFR 50.4 [10 CFR 50.30(a)(1)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Application is submitted under oath or affirmation [10 CFR 50.30(b)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Applicant is eligible to apply for a license and is not a foreign--owned or foreign-controlled entity [10 CFR 54.17(b)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Application is not submitted earlier than 20 years before expiration of current license [10 CFR 54.17(c)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Application states whether it contains applications for other kinds of licenses [10 CFR 54.17(d)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Information incorporated by reference in the application is contained in other documents previously filed with the Commission, and the references are clear and specific [10 CFR 54.17(e)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Restricted data or other defense information, if any, is separated from unclassified information in accordance with 10 CFR 50.33(j) [10 CFR 54.17(f)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. If the application contains restricted data, written agreement on the control of accessibility to such information is provided [10 CFR 54.17(g)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Information specified in 10 CFR 50.33(a) through (e), (h), and (i) is provided or referenced [10 CFR 54.19(a)]:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
A. Name of applicant	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. Address of applicant	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. Business description	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
D. Citizenship and ownership details	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E. License information	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
F. Construction or alteration dates	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
G. Regulatory agencies and local publications	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Conforming changes, as needed, to the standard indemnity agreement have been submitted (10 CFR 140.92, Appendix B) to account for the proposed change in the expiration date [10 CFR 54.19(b)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Table 1.1-1. Acceptance Review Checklist for Subsequent License Renewal
Acceptability for Docketing (Continued)**

II. Technical Information

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
1. An integrated plant assessment [10 CFR 54.21(a)] is provided, and consists of:		
A. For those SSCs within the scope of license renewal [10 CFR 54.4], identification and listing of those structures and components <u>SCs</u> that are subject to an aging management review (AMR) in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) and (ii)		
a. Description of the boundary of the system or structure considered (if applicant initially scoped at the system or structure level). Within this boundary, identification of structures and components <u>SCs</u> subject to an AMR. For commodity groups, description of basis for the grouping	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Lists of structures and components <u>SCs</u> subject to an AMR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. Description and justification of methods used to identify structures and components <u>SCs</u> subject to an AMR [10 CFR 54.21(a)(2)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. Demonstration that the effects of aging will be adequately managed for each structure and component identified, so that their intended function(s) will be maintained consistent with the current licensing basis for the period of extended operation [10 CFR 54.21(a)(3)]		
a. Description of the intended function(s) of the structures and components <u>SCs</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Identification of applicable aging effects based on materials, environment, operating experience, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Identification and description of aging management programs <u>AMP</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Demonstration of aging management provided	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. An evaluation of time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs) is provided, and consists of:		
A. Listing <u>and description</u> of plant-specific TLAAs in accordance with the six criteria specified in 10 CFR 54.3 [10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>B. An evaluation of each identified TLAA using one of the three approaches specified in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) to (iii)</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. All plant-specific exemptions granted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12 and in effect that are based on a TLAA are listed, and evaluations justifying the continuation of these exemptions for the period of extended operation are provided [10 CFR 54.21(c)(2)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- A. Listing of plant-specific exemptions that are based on TLAAs as defined in 10 CFR 54.3 [10 CFR 54.21(c)(2)]
- B. An evaluation of each identified exemption justifying the continuation of these exemptions for the period of extended operation [10 CFR 54.21(c)(2)]

**Table 1.1-1. Acceptance Review Checklist for Subsequent License Renewal
Acceptability for Docketing (Continued)**

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
III. An FSAR supplement [10 CFR 54.21(d)] is provided and contains the following information:		
1. Summary description of the aging management programs <u>AMPs</u> and activities for managing the effects of aging	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Summary description of the evaluation of TLAAs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
IV. Technical Specification Changes		
Any technical specification changes necessary to manage the aging effects during the period of extended operation and their justifications are included in the application [10 CFR 54.22]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
V. Environmental Information		
Application includes a supplement to the environmental report that is in accordance with the requirements of Subpart A of 10 CFR Part 51 [10 CFR 54.23]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
VI. Timeliness Provision		
The application is sufficient and submitted at least 5 years before expiration of current license [10 CFR 2.109(b)]. If not, application can be accepted for docketing, but the timely renewal provision in 10 CFR 2.109(b) does not apply	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
VII. Conclusions Regarding Acceptance of Application for Docketing		
The application is reasonably complete and meets the Acceptance Review Checklist criteria I through V and is recommended for docketing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

|

|

1 ~~CHAPTER-2~~ **SCOPING AND SCREENING METHODOLOGY FOR**
2 **IDENTIFYING STRUCTURES AND COMPONENTS SUBJECT TO**
3 **AGING MANAGEMENT REVIEW AND IMPLEMENTATION RESULTS**

4 **2.1 Scoping and Screening Methodology**

5 **Review Responsibilities**

6 **Primary** ~~—~~ Assigned branch

7 **Secondary** ~~—~~ None

8 **2.1.1 Areas of Review**

9 This section addresses the scoping and screening methodology for subsequent license renewal-
10 (SLR). As required by Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21(a)(2), the
11 applicant, in its integrated plant assessment (IPA), is to describe and justify methods used to
12 identify systems, structures, and components (SSCs) subject to an aging management review
13 (AMR). The SSCs subject to AMR are those that perform an intended function, as described on
14 10 CFR 54.4, and meet two criteria:

- 15 1. They perform such functions without moving parts or without a change in configuration
16 or properties, as set forth in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) ~~{denoted as “passive” components~~
17 and structures in this standard review plan (SRP)}, and
- 18 2. They are not subject to replacement based on a qualified life or specified time period, as
19 set forth in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(ii) ~~{denoted as “long-lived” structures and components}-~~
20 (SCs)}.

21 The identification of the SSCs within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR is called “scoping.” For
22 those SSCs within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR, the identification of “passive,” “long-lived”
23 ~~structures and components~~SCs that are subject to an AMR is called “screening.”

24 To verify that the applicant has properly implemented its methodology, the U.S. Nuclear
25 Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff reviews the implementation results separately, following the
26 guidance in Sections 2.2 through 2.5.

27 The following areas relating to the applicant’s scoping and screening methodology
28 are reviewed.

29 **2.1.1.1 Scoping**

30 The methodology used by the applicant to implement the scoping requirements of 10 CFR 54.4,
31 “Scope,” is reviewed.

32 **2.1.1.2 Screening**

33 The methodology used by the applicant to implement the screening requirements of
34 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1) is reviewed.

1 **2.1.2 Acceptance Criteria**

2 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review are based on the following regulations:

- 3 • 10 CFR 54.4(a) as it relates to the identification of plant SSCs within the scope of
4 the rule;
- 5 • 10 CFR 54.4(b) as it relates to the identification of the intended functions of plant SSCs
6 determined to be within the scope of the rule; ~~and~~
- 7 • 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1) and (a)(2) as they relate to the methods utilized by the applicant to
8 identify plant ~~structures and components~~ SSCs subject to an AMR.

9 Specific criteria necessary to determine whether the applicant has met the relevant
10 requirements of 10 CFR 54.4(a), 54.4(b), 54.21(a)(1), and 54.21(a)(2) are as follows.

11 **2.1.2.1 Scoping**

12 The scoping methodology used by the applicant should be consistent with the process
13 described in Section 3.0, "Identify the SSCs within the Scope of License Renewal and Their
14 Intended Functions," of Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 95-10, "Industry Guideline for
15 Implementing the Requirements of 10 CFR Part 54~~---~~The License Renewal Rule" (Ref. 1), or
16 the justification provided by the applicant for any exceptions should provide a reasonable basis
17 for the exception.

18 **2.1.2.2 Screening**

19 The screening methodology used by the applicant should be consistent with the process
20 described in Section 4.1, "Identification of Structures and Components Subject to an Aging
21 Management Review and Intended Functions," of NEI 95-10 (Ref. 1), as referenced by
22 Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.188.

23 **2.1.3 Review Procedures**

24 Preparation for the review of the scoping and screening methodology employed by the applicant
25 should include review of the following sources of information:

- 26 • ~~Review of~~ The NRC's safety evaluation report (SER) that was issued along with the
27 operating license for the facility.
- 28 • The SER that was issued on the facility's license renewal. This review is conducted for
29 the purpose of familiarization with the principal design criteria for the facility and its
30 current licensing basis (CLB), as defined in 10 CFR 54.3(a).
- 31 • ~~Review of~~ Chapters 1 through 12 of the updated final safety analysis report (UFSAR)
32 and the facility's technical specifications for the purposes of familiarization with the
33 facility design and the nomenclature that is applied to SSCs within the facility (including
34 the bases for such nomenclature). During this review, the SSCs should be identified that
35 are relied upon to remain functional during and after design basis events (DBEs), as
36 defined in 10 CFR 50.49(b)(1)(ii), for which the facility was designed, to ensure that the
37 functions described in 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1) are successfully accomplished. This review

1 should also yield information regarding seismic Category I SSCs as defined in
2 ~~Regulatory Guide~~RG 1.29, “Seismic Design Classification” (Ref. 2). For a newer plant,
3 this information is typically contained in Section 3.2.1, “Seismic Classification,” of the
4 UFSAR consistent with the Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) (Ref. 3).

- 5 • ~~Review of~~ Chapter 15 (or equivalent) of the UFSAR to identify the anticipated
6 operational occurrences and postulated accidents that are explicitly evaluated in the
7 accident analyses for the facility. During this review, the SSCs that are relied upon to
8 remain functional during and following design basis events (as defined in 10 CFR
9 50.49(b)(1)) to ensure the functions described in 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1) should be
10 identified.

- 11 • The set of ~~design basis events~~DBEs as defined in the rule is not limited to Chapter 15
12 (or equivalent) of the UFSAR. Examples of ~~design basis events~~DBEs that may not be
13 described in this chapter include external events, such as floods, storms, earthquakes,
14 tornadoes, or hurricanes, and internal events, such as a high-energy line break.
15 Information regarding ~~design basis events~~DBEs as defined in 10 CFR 50.49(b)(1) may
16 be found in any chapter of the facility UFSAR, the Commission’s regulations, NRC
17 orders, exemptions, or license conditions within the CLB. These sources should also be
18 reviewed to identify ~~systems, structures, and components~~SSCs that are relied upon to
19 remain functional during and following ~~design basis events (DBEs~~ as defined in 10 CFR
20 50.49(b)(1)) to ensure the functions described in 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1).

- 21 • ~~Review of~~The facility’s Probabilistic Risk Analysis (PRA) Summary Report that was
22 prepared by the licensee in response to Generic Letter (GL) 88-20, “Individual Plant
23 Examination for Severe Accident Vulnerabilities—10 CFR 50.54(f),” dated November
24 23, 1988 (Ref. 4). This review should yield additional information regarding the impact of
25 the individual plant examination (IPE) on the CLB for the facility. While the ~~license~~
26 ~~renewal (LR)~~ Rule is “deterministic,” the NRC in the statements of consideration (SOC)
27 accompanying the Rule also states that “In license renewal, probabilistic methods may
28 be most useful, on a plant-specific basis, in helping to assess the relative importance of
29 structures and components that are subject to an ~~aging management review~~AMR by
30 helping to draw attention to specific vulnerabilities (e.g., results of an IPE or IPEEE)” (60
31 FR 22468). For example, the reviewer should focus on IPE information pertaining to
32 plant changes or modifications that are initiated by the licensee in accordance with the
33 requirements of 10 CFR 50.59 or 10 CFR 50.90.

- 34 • ~~Review of~~The results of the facility’s ~~Individual Plant Examination of External Events~~
35 ~~(IPEEE)~~ study conducted as a follow-up to the IPE performed as a result of GL 88-20 to
36 identify any changes or modifications made to the facility in accordance with the
37 requirements of 10 CFR 50.59 or 10 CFR 50.90.

- 38 • ~~Review of~~The applicant’s docketed correspondence related to the following regulations:
 - 39 (a) 10 CFR 50.48, “Fire Protection,”
 - 40 (b) 10 CFR 50.49, “Environmental Qualification of Electric Equipment Important to
41 Safety for Nuclear Power Plants,”
 - 42 (c) 10 CFR 50.61, “Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection Against
43 Pressurized Thermal Shock Events” or 10 CFR 50.61a, “Alternate fracture

1 toughness requirements for protection against pressurized thermal shock
2 events,” in accordance with the applicant’s CLB [applicable only to pressurized
3 water reactor (PWR) plants].

4 (d) 10 CFR 50.62, “Requirements for Reduction of Risk from Anticipated Transients
5 without Scram Events for Light-Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants,” ~~and~~

6 (e) 10 CFR 50.63, “Loss of All Alternating Current Power” (applicable to PWR
7 plants).

8 Other NRC staff members ~~are~~ may be reviewing the applicant’s scoping and screening results
9 separately following the guidance in Sections 2.2 through 2.5. The reviewer should keep these
10 other NRC staff members informed of findings that may affect their review of the applicant’s
11 scoping and screening results. The reviewer should coordinate this sharing of information
12 through the subsequent license renewal (SLR) project manager.

13 2.1.3.1 *Scoping*

14 Once the information delineated above has been gathered, the ~~reviewer~~ NRC staff reviews the
15 applicant’s methodology to determine whether its depth and breadth are sufficiently
16 comprehensive to identify the SSCs within the scope of ~~license renewal~~ SLR, and the ~~structures~~
17 ~~and components~~ SCs requiring an AMR. Because “[t]he CLB represents the evolving set of
18 requirements and commitments for a specific plant that are modified as necessary over the life
19 of a plant to ensure continuation of an adequate level of safety” (60 FR 22465, May 8, 1995),
20 the regulations, orders, license conditions, exemptions, and ~~technical specifications~~ TSSs defining
21 functional requirements for facility SSCs that make up an applicant’s CLB should be considered
22 as the initial input into the scoping process. DBEs are defined in 10 CFR 50.49 ~~defines DBEs~~
23 as conditions of normal operation, including anticipated operational occurrences, ~~and design~~
24 basis accidents (DBAs). DBAs, external events, and natural phenomena for which the plant
25 must be designed to ensure (1) the integrity of the reactor pressure boundary, (2) the capability
26 to shut down the reactor and maintain it in safe shutdown condition, or (3) the capability to
27 prevent or mitigate the consequences of accidents that could result in potential offsite
28 exposures comparable to those referred to in 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1), 50.67(b)(2), or 100.11, as
29 applicable. Therefore, to determine the safety-related (~~SR~~) SSCs that are within the scope of
30 the rule under 10 CFR 54.4 (a)(1), the applicant must identify those SSCs that are relied upon to
31 remain functional during and following these DBEs, consistent with the CLB of the facility. Most
32 licensees have developed lists or databases that identify ~~systems, structures, and~~
33 ~~components~~ SSCs relied on for compliance with other regulations in a manner consistent with
34 the CLB of their facilities. Consistent with the licensing process and regulatory criteria used to
35 develop such lists or databases, licensees should build upon these information sources to
36 satisfy 10 CFR Part 54 requirements.

37 With respect to technical specifications, the NRC ~~states~~ has stated (60 FR 22467):

38 The Commission believes that there is sufficient experience with its policy on technical
39 specifications to apply that policy generically in revising the license renewal rule
40 consistent with the Commission’s desire to credit existing regulatory programs.
41 Therefore, the Commission concludes that the technical specification limiting conditions
42 for operation scoping category is unwarranted and has deleted the requirement that
43 identifies systems, structures, and components with operability requirements in technical
44 specifications as being within the scope of the license renewal review.

1 Therefore, the applicant need not consider its technical specifications and applicable limiting
2 conditions of operation when scoping for ~~license renewal~~SLR. This is not to say that the events
3 and functions addressed within the applicant's technical specifications can be excluded in
4 determining the SSCs within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR solely on the basis of such an
5 event's inclusion in the technical specifications. Rather, those SSCs governed by an applicant's
6 technical specifications that are relied upon to remain functional during a DBE, as identified
7 within the applicant's UFSAR, applicable NRC regulations, license conditions, NRC orders, and
8 exemptions, need to be included within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR.

9 For licensee commitments, such as licensee responses to NRC Bulletins, GLs, or enforcement
10 actions, and those documented in NRC staff safety evaluations or licensee event reports, and
11 which make up the remainder of an applicant's CLB, many of the associated SSCs need not be
12 considered under ~~license renewal~~SLR. Generic communications, safety evaluations, and other
13 similar documents found on the docket are not regulatory requirements, and commitments
14 made by a licensee to address any associated safety concerns are not typically considered to
15 be design requirements. However, any generic communication, safety evaluation, or licensee
16 commitment that specifically identifies or describes a function associated with a ~~system,~~
17 ~~structure, or component~~SSC necessary to fulfill the requirement of a particular regulation, order,
18 license condition, and/or exemption may need to be considered when scoping for ~~license~~
19 ~~renewal~~SLR. For example, NRC Bulletin 88-11, "Pressurizer Surge Line Thermal
20 Stratification," states:

21 The licensing basis according to 10 CFR 50.55a for all PWRs requires that the
22 licensee meet the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and
23 Pressure Vessel Code Sections III and XI and to reconcile the pipe stresses and
24 fatigue evaluation when any significant differences are observed between
25 measured data and the analytical results for the hypothesized conditions. ~~Staff~~
26 ~~Staff's~~ evaluation indicates that the thermal stratification phenomenon could
27 occur in all PWR surge lines and may invalidate the analyses supporting the
28 integrity of the surge line. The staff's concerns include unexpected bending and
29 thermal striping (rapid oscillation of the thermal boundary interface along the
30 piping inside surface) as they affect the overall integrity of the surge line for its
31 design life (e.g., the increase of fatigue).

32 Therefore, this bulletin specifically describes conditions that may affect compliance with the
33 requirements associated with 10 CFR 50.55a and functions specifically related to this regulation
34 that must be considered in the scoping process for ~~license renewal~~SLR.

35 An applicant may take an approach in scoping and screening that combines similar components
36 from various systems. For example, containment isolation valves from various systems may be
37 identified as a single system for purposes of ~~license renewal~~SLR.

38 NRC staff from branches responsible for systems may be requested to assist in reviewing the
39 plant design basis and intended function(s), as necessary.

40 The reviewer should verify that the applicant's scoping methods document the actual
41 information sources used (for example, those identified in Table 2.1-1).

42 Table 2.1-2 contains specific NRC staff guidance on certain subjects of scoping.

43 2.1.3.1.1 Safety-Related

1 The applicant's methodology is reviewed to ensure that the ~~SR~~safety-related SSCs are
2 identified to satisfactorily accomplish any of the intended functions identified in 10 CFR
3 54.4(a)(1). The reviewer must ascertain how, and to what extent, the applicant incorporated the
4 information in the CLB for the facility in its methodology. Specifically, the reviewer should
5 review the application, as well as all other relevant sources of information outlined above, to
6 identify the set of plant-specific conditions of normal operation, DBAs, external events, and
7 natural phenomena for which the plant must be designed to ensure the following functions:

- 8 • The integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary;
- 9 • The capability to shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition; or
- 10 • The capability to prevent or mitigate the consequences of accidents that could result in
11 potential offsite exposure comparable to the guidelines in 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1),
12 50.67(b)(2), or 100.11, as applicable.

13 2.1.3.1.2 *Nonsafety-Related*

14 The applicant's methodology is reviewed to ensure that nonsafety-related (~~NSR~~) SSCs whose
15 failure could prevent satisfactory accomplishment of any of the functions identified in
16 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1) are identified as being within the scope of license renewal.

17 The scoping criterion under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2), in general, is intended to identify those
18 ~~NSR~~nonsafety-related SSCs that support ~~SR~~safety-related functions. More specifically, this
19 scoping criterion requires an applicant to identify all ~~NSR~~nonsafety-related SSCs whose failure
20 could prevent satisfactory accomplishment of any of the functions identified under 10 CFR
21 54.4(a)(1). Section III.c(iii) of the SOC (60 FR 22467) clarifies the NRC's intent for this
22 requirement in the following statement:

23 The inclusion of nonsafety-related systems, structures, and components whose
24 failure could prevent other systems, structures, and components from
25 accomplishing a safety function is intended to provide protection against safety
26 function failure in cases where the ~~safety-~~related structure or component is not
27 itself impaired by age-related degradation but is vulnerable to failure from the
28 failure of another structure or component that may be so impaired.

29 In addition, Section III.c(iii) of the SOC provides the following guidance to assist an applicant
30 in determining the extent to which failures must be considered when applying this
31 scoping criterion:

32 Consideration of hypothetical failures that could result from system
33 interdependencies that are not part of the current licensing bases and that have
34 not been previously experienced is not required. [...] However, for some license
35 renewal applicants, the Commission cannot exclude the possibility that
36 hypothetical failures that are part of the CLB may require consideration of
37 second-, third-, or fourth-level support systems.

38 Therefore, to satisfy the scoping criterion under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2), the applicant must identify
39 those ~~NSR~~nonsafety-related SSCs (including certain second-, third-, or fourth-level support
40 systems) whose failures are considered in the CLB and could prevent the satisfactory
41 accomplishment of ~~an SR~~a safety-related function identified under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1). In order

1 to identify such systems, the applicant should consider those failures identified in (1) the
2 documentation that makes up its CLB, (2) plant-specific operating experience, and (3)
3 industrywide operating experience that is specifically applicable to its facility. The applicant
4 need not consider hypothetical failures that are not part of the CLB, have not been previously
5 experienced, or are not applicable to its facility.

6 In part, 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2) requires that the applicant consider all ~~NSR~~nonsafety-related SSCs
7 whose failure could prevent satisfactory accomplishment of any of the functions identified in
8 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1)(i), 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1)(ii), or 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1)(iii) to be within the scope of
9 license renewal. By letters dated December 3, 2001 and March 15, 2002, the NRC issued a
10 staff position to NEI ~~which that~~ provided NRC staff guidance for determining what SSCs meet
11 the 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2) criterion. The December 3, 2001 letter, "License Renewal Issue:
12 Scoping of Seismic II/I Piping Systems," provided specific examples of operating experience
13 ~~which that~~ identified pipe failure events [summarized in Information Notice (IN) 2001-09, "Main
14 Feedwater System Degradation in Safety--Related ASME Code Class 2 Piping Inside the
15 Containment of a Pressurized Water Reactor"] and the approaches the NRC considers
16 acceptable to determine which piping systems should be included in scope based on the 10
17 CFR 54.4(a)(2) criterion.

18 The March 15, 2002 letter, "License Renewal Issue: Guidance on the Identification and
19 Treatment of Structures, Systems, and Components Which Meet 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2)," further
20 described the NRC staff's recommendations for the evaluation of ~~non-piping~~nonpiping SSCs to
21 determine which additional ~~NSR~~nonsafety-related SSCs are within ~~the scope of SLR~~. The
22 position states that the applicants should not consider hypothetical failures, but rather should
23 base their evaluation on the plant's CLB, engineering judgment and analyses, and relevant
24 operating experience. The paper further describes operating experience as all documented
25 plant-specific and industrywide experience that can be used to determine the plausibility of a
26 failure. Documentation would include NRC generic communications and event reports, plant-
27 specific condition reports, industry reports, such as significant operating experience
28 ~~reports~~report (SOERs), and engineering evaluations.

29 For example, the safety classification of a pipe at certain locations, such as valves, may change
30 throughout its length in the plant. In these instances, the applicant should identify the ~~SR~~safety-
31 related portion of the pipe as being within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR under 10 CFR
32 54.4(a)(1). However, the entire pipe run, including associated piping anchors, may have been
33 analyzed as part of the CLB to establish that it could withstand DBE loads. If this is the case, a
34 failure in the pipe run or in the associated piping anchors could render the ~~SR~~safety-related
35 portion of the piping unable to perform its intended function under CLB design conditions.
36 Therefore, the reviewer must verify that the applicant's methodology would include (1) the
37 remaining ~~NSR~~nonsafety-related piping up to its anchors and (2) the associated piping anchors
38 as being within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2).

39 In order to comply, in part, with the requirements of 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2), all applicants must
40 include in scope all ~~NSR~~nonsafety-related piping attached directly to ~~SR~~safety-related piping
41 (within the scope of SLR) up to a defined anchor point consistent with the plant CLB. This
42 anchor point may be served by a true anchor ~~{[a device or structure which that ensures forces~~
43 ~~and moments are restrained in three (3) orthogonal directions]}~~ or an equivalent anchor, such as
44 a large piece of plant equipment (e.g., a heat exchanger,) determined by an evaluation of the
45 plant-specific piping design (i.e., design documentation, such as piping stress analysis for the
46 facility). Applicants should be able to define an equivalent anchor consistent with their CLB
47 (e.g., described in the UFSAR or other CLB documentation), which is being credited for

1 the 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2) evaluation, and be able to describe the SCs that are part of the
2 nonsafety-related piping segment boundary up to and including the anchor point or equivalent
3 anchor point within the scope of SLR.

4 ~~Applicants should be able to define an equivalent anchor consistent with their CLB (e.g.,~~
5 ~~described in the UFSAR or other CLB documentation), which is being credited for the 10 CFR~~
6 ~~54.4(a)(2) evaluation, and be able to describe the structures and components that are part of~~
7 ~~the NSR piping segment boundary up to and including the anchor point or equivalent anchor~~
8 ~~point within scope of the rule.~~

9 There may be isolated cases where an equivalent anchor point for a particular piping segment is
10 not clearly described within the existing CLB information. In those instances the applicant may
11 use a combination of restraints or supports such that the ~~NSR~~nonsafety-related piping and
12 associated ~~structures and components~~SCs attached to ~~SR~~safety-related piping is included in
13 scope up to a boundary point ~~which~~that encompasses at least two (2) supports in each of three
14 (3) orthogonal directions.

15 It is important to note that the scoping criterion under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2) specifically applies to
16 those functions “identified in paragraphs (a)(1)(i), (ii), and (iii)” of 10 CFR 54.4 and does not
17 apply to functions identified in 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3), as discussed below.

18 2.1.3.1.3 “Regulated Events”

19 The applicant’s methodology is reviewed to ensure that SSCs relied on in safety analyses or
20 plant evaluations to perform functions that demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the
21 fire protection, environmental qualification, pressurized thermal shock (PTS) (applicable only to
22 PWRs), anticipated transients without scram (ATWS), and station blackout (SBO) regulations
23 are identified. The reviewer should review the applicant’s docketed correspondence associated
24 with compliance of the facility with these regulations.

25 The scoping criteria in 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3) require an applicant to consider ~~“all~~All systems,
26 ~~structures, systems,~~ and components relied on in safety analyses or plant evaluations to
27 perform a function that demonstrates compliance with the ~~[specified] Commission~~Commission’s
28 regulations. . .” In addition, Section III.c(iii) (60 FR 22467) of the SOC states that the NRC
29 intended to limit the potential for unnecessary expansion of the review for SSCs that meet the
30 scoping criteria under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3) and provides additional guidance that qualifies what is
31 meant by “those SSCs relied on in safety analyses or plant evaluations to perform a function
32 that demonstrates compliance with the Commission regulations” in the following statement:

33 [T]he Commission intends ~~that this [referring to 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3)] scoping~~nonsafety-
34 related category ~~include all SSC[§ 54.4(a)(2)] to apply to systems, structures, and~~
35 ~~components~~ whose ~~failure would prevent the accomplishment of an intended~~
36 ~~relied upon to demonstrate compliance with these Commission[] regulations of a safety-~~
37 related system, structure, and component. An applicant for license renewal should rely
38 on the plant’s ~~current licensing bases~~CLB, actual plant-specific experience,
39 ~~industrywide~~industry-wide operating experience, as appropriate, and existing
40 engineering evaluations to determine those ~~SSC~~nonsafety-related systems, structures,
41 and components that are the initial focus of the license renewal review.

42 Therefore, all SSCs that are relied upon in the plant’s CLB (as defined in 10 CFR 54.3),
43 plant-specific experience, industrywide experience (as appropriate), and safety analyses or

1 plant evaluations to perform a function that demonstrates compliance with NRC regulations
2 identified under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3) are required to be included within the scope of the rule. For
3 example, if ~~an NSRa nonsafety-related~~ diesel generator is required for safe shutdown under the
4 fire protection plan, the diesel generator and all SSCs specifically relied upon for that generator
5 to comply with NRC regulations shall be included within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR under
6 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3). Such SSCs may include, but should not be limited to, the cooling water
7 system or systems relied upon for operability, the diesel support pedestal, and any applicable
8 power supply cable specifically relied upon for safe shutdown in the event of a fire.

9 In addition, the last sentence of the second paragraph in Section III.c(iii) of the SOC
10 provides the following guidance for limiting the application of the scoping criterion under
11 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3) as it applies to the use of hypothetical failures: Consideration of hypothetical
12 failures that could result from system interdependencies, that are not part of the current
13 licensing bases and that have not been previously experienced is not required. (60 FR 22467)

14 The SOC does not provide any additional guidance relating to the use of hypothetical failures
15 or the need to consider second-, third-, or fourth-level support systems for scoping ~~under~~
16 ~~40~~under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3). Therefore, in the absence of any guidance, an applicant need not
17 consider hypothetical failures or second-, third-, or fourth-level support systems in determining
18 the SSCs within the scope of the rule under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3). For example, if ~~an NSRa~~
19 ~~nonsafety-related~~ diesel generator is relied upon only to remain functional to demonstrate
20 compliance with the NRC SBO regulation, the applicant need not consider the following SSCs:
21 (1) an alternate/backup cooling water system, (2) ~~non-seismically~~nonseismically-qualified
22 building walls, or (3) an overhead segment of ~~non-seismically~~nonseismically-qualified piping (in
23 a Seismic III/I configuration). This guidance is not intended to exclude any support system
24 (whether identified by an applicant's CLB, or as indicated from actual plant-specific experience,
25 industrywide experience [as applicable], safety analyses, or plant evaluations) that is specifically
26 relied upon for compliance with the applicable NRC regulation. For example, if analysis of ~~an~~
27 ~~NSRa nonsafety-related~~ diesel generator (relied upon to demonstrate compliance with an
28 applicable NRC regulation) specifically relies upon a second cooling system to cool the diesel
29 generator jacket water cooling system for the generator to be operable, then both cooling
30 systems must be included within the scope of the rule under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3).

31 The applicant is required to identify the SSCs whose functions are relied upon to demonstrate
32 compliance with the regulations identified in 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3) (that is, whose functions were
33 credited in the analysis or evaluation). Mere mention of an SSC in the analysis or evaluation
34 does not necessarily constitute support of an intended function as required by the regulation.

35 For environmental qualification, the reviewer verifies that the applicant has indicated that the
36 environmental qualification equipment is the equipment already identified by the licensee under
37 10 CFR 50.49(b), that is, equipment relied upon in safety analyses or plant evaluations to
38 demonstrate compliance with NRC regulations for environmental qualification (10 CFR 50.49).

39 ~~The PTS regulation is applicable only to PWRs. If the renewal application is for a PWR and the~~
40 ~~applicant relies on a Regulatory Guide 1.154 (Ref. 5) analysis to satisfy 10 CFR 50.61, as~~
41 ~~described in the plant's CLB, the reviewer verifies that the applicant's methodology would~~
42 ~~include SSCs relied on in that analysis.~~

43 For SBO, the reviewer verifies that the applicant's methodology would include those SSCs
44 relied upon during the "coping duration" and "recovery" phase of an SBO event. In addition,
45 because 10 CFR 50.63(c)(1)(ii) and its associated guidance in Regulatory GuideRG 1.155

1 include procedures to recover from an SBO that include offsite and onsite power, the offsite
2 power system that is used to connect the plant to the offsite power source should also be
3 included within the scope of the rule. However, the ~~staff's~~NRC staff's review is based on the
4 plant-specific ~~current licensing basis~~CLB, regulatory requirements, and offsite power design
5 configurations.

6 2.1.3.2 Screening

7 Once the SSCs within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR have been identified, the next step is
8 determining which ~~structures and components~~SCs are subject to an AMR (i.e., “screening”)
9 ~~(Ref. 1).~~ Table 2.1-3 contains specific NRC staff guidance on certain subjects of screening.

10 2.1.3.2.1 “Passive”

11 The reviewer reviews the applicant’s methodology to ensure that “passive” ~~structures and~~
12 ~~components~~SCs are identified as those that perform their intended functions without moving
13 parts or a change in configuration or properties in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i). The
14 description of “passive” may also be interpreted to include ~~structures and components~~SCs that
15 do not display “a change in state.” 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) provides specific examples of
16 ~~structures and components~~SCs that do or do not meet the criterion. The reviewer verifies that
17 the applicant’s screening methodology includes consideration of the intended functions of
18 ~~structures and components~~SCs consistent with the plant’s CLB, as typified in Tables 2.1-4(a)
19 and (b), respectively ~~(Ref. 1).~~

20 The license renewal rule focuses on “passive” ~~structures and components~~SCs because
21 ~~structures and components~~SCs that have passive functions generally do not have performance
22 and condition characteristics that are as readily observable as those that perform active
23 functions. “Passive” ~~structures and components~~SCs, for the purpose of the license renewal
24 rule, are those that perform an intended function, as described in 10 CFR 54.4, without moving
25 parts or without a change in configuration or properties ~~(Ref. 2).~~ The description of “passive”
26 may also be interpreted to include ~~structures and components~~SCs that do not display “a change
27 of state.”

28 Table 2.1-5 provides a list of typical ~~structures and components~~SCs identifying whether they
29 meet

30 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i). 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) explicitly excludes instrumentation, such as
31 pressure transmitters, pressure indicators, and water level indicators, from an AMR. The
32 applicant does not have to identify pressure-retaining boundaries of this instrumentation
33 because 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) excludes this instrumentation without exception, unlike pumps
34 and valves. Further, instrumentation is sensitive equipment and degradation of its pressure
35 retaining boundary would be readily determinable by surveillance and testing. If an applicant
36 determines that certain ~~structures and components~~SCs listed in Table 2.1-5 as meeting 10 CFR
37 54.21(a)(1)(i) do not meet that requirement for its plant, the reviewer reviews the applicant’s
38 basis for that determination.

39 2.1.3.2.2 “Long-Lived”

40 The applicant’s methodology is reviewed to ensure that “long-lived” ~~structures and~~
41 ~~components~~SCs are identified as those that are not subject to periodic replacement based on a

1 qualified life or specified time period. Passive ~~structures and components~~SCs that are not
2 replaced on the basis of a qualified life or specified time period require an AMR.

3 Replacement programs may be based on vendor recommendations, plant experience, or any
4 means that establishes a specific replacement frequency under a controlled program.
5 Section f(i)(b) of the SOC provides the following guidance for identifying “long-lived” ~~structures~~
6 ~~and components~~SCs:

7 In sum, a structure or component that is not replaced either (i) on a specified
8 interval based upon the qualified life of the structure or component or
9 (ii) periodically in accordance with a specified time period is deemed by
10 § 54.21(a)(1)(ii) of this rule to be “long-lived,” and therefore subject to the
11 § 54.21(a)(3) aging management review [60 FR 22478].

12 A qualified life does not necessarily have to be based on calendar time. A qualified life
13 based on run time or cycles are examples of qualified life references that are not based on
14 calendar time ~~(Ref. 3).~~

15 ~~Structures and components~~SCs that are replaced on the basis of performance or condition are
16 not generically excluded from an AMR. Rather, performance or condition monitoring may be
17 evaluated later in the IPA as programs to ensure functionality during the period of extended
18 operation. On this topic, Section f(i)(b) of the SOC provides the following guidance:

19 It is important to note, however, that the Commission has decided not to
20 generically exclude passive structures and components that are replaced based
21 on performance or condition from an aging management review. Absent the
22 specific nature of the performance or condition replacement criteria and the fact
23 that the Commission has determined that the components with “passive”
24 functions are not as readily ~~moniterable~~monitorable as components with active
25 functions, such generic exclusion is not appropriate. However, the Commission
26 does not intend to preclude a license renewal applicant from providing site-
27 specific justification in a license renewal application that a replacement program
28 on the basis of performance or condition for a passive structure or component
29 provides reasonable assurance that the intended function of the passive
30 structure or component will be maintained in the period of extended operation.
31 [60 FR 22478]

32 **2.1.4 Evaluation Findings**

33 When the review of the information in the ~~license renewal~~SLR application is complete, and the
34 reviewer has determined that it is satisfactory and in accordance with the acceptance criteria in
35 Subsection 2.1.2, a statement of the following type should be included in the NRC staff’s safety
36 evaluation report:

37 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that
38 there is reasonable assurance that the applicant’s methodology for identifying the
39 systems, structures, and components within the scope of subsequent license
40 renewal and the ~~structures and components~~SCs requiring an aging management
41 reviewAMR is consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 54.4 and 10 CFR
42 54.21(a)(1).

1 **2.1.5 Implementation**

2 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for
3 complying with specified portions of NRC regulations, the method described herein will be used
4 by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations ~~(Ref. 6-12 as~~
5 ~~examples).~~

6 **2.1.6 References**

- 7 1. NEI. NEI 95-10, "Industry Guideline for Implementing the Requirements of
8 10 CFR Part 54 ~~—~~ "The License Renewal Rule," Revision 6. Washington, DC:
9 Nuclear Energy Institute, ~~Revision 6~~.
- 10 2. NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.29, ~~Rev. 3~~, "Seismic Design Classification," Revision 3.
11 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 2007.
- 12 3. NRC. NUREG ~~—~~0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports
13 for Nuclear Power Plants," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 2007.
- 14 4. NRC. Generic Letter (GL) 88-20, "Individual Plant Examination for Severe Accident
15 Vulnerabilities-10 CFR 50.54(f), ~~dated~~," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
16 Commission. November ~~23~~, 1988.

- ~~2.~~
- ~~3. Regulatory Guide 1.154, "Format and Content of Plant-Specific Pressurized Thermal Shock Safety Analysis Reports for Pressurized Water Reactors," January 1987.~~
- ~~4. NUREG-1723, "Safety Evaluation Report Related to the License Renewal of Oconee Nuclear Stations, Units 1, 2, and 3," March 2000.~~
- ~~5. Letter to Douglas J. Walters, Nuclear Energy Institute, from Christopher I. Grimes, NRC, dated August 5, 1999.~~
- ~~6. Summary of December 8, 1999, Meeting with the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) on License Renewal Issue (LR) 98-12, "Consumables," Project No. 690, January 21, 2000.~~
- ~~7. Letter to William R. McCollum, Jr., Duke Energy Corporation, from Christopher I. Grimes, NRC, dated October 8, 1999.~~
- ~~8. Letter to Alan Nelson, Nuclear Energy Institute, and David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists, from Christopher I. Grimes, NRC, "License Renewal Issue: Scoping of Seismic II/I Piping Systems," dated December 3, 2001.~~
- ~~9. Letter to Alan Nelson, Nuclear Energy Institute, and David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists, from Christopher I. Grimes, NRC, "License Renewal Issue: Guidance on the Identification and Treatment of Structures, Systems, and Components Which Meet 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2)," dated March 15, 2002.~~
- ~~10. Letter to Alan Nelson, Nuclear Energy Institute, and David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists, from Christopher I. Grimes, NRC, "Staff Guidance on Scoping of Equipment Relied on to Meet the Requirements of the Station Blackout (SBO) Rule (10 CFR 50.63) for License Renewal (10 CFR 54.4(a)(3))," dated April 1, 2002.~~

Table 2.1-1. Sample Listing of Potential Information Sources
Verified databases (databases that are subject to administrative controls to assure and maintain the integrity of the stored data or information)
Master equipment lists (including NSSS vendor listings)
Q-lists
Updated Final Safety Analysis Reports
Piping and instrument diagrams
NRC Orders, exemptions, or license conditions for the facility
Design-basis documents
General arrangement or structural outline drawings
Probabilistic risk assessment summary report
Maintenance rule compliance documentation
Design-basis event evaluations (including plant-specific 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation procedures)
Emergency operating procedures
Docketed correspondence
System interaction commitments
Technical specifications
Environmental qualification program documents
Regulatory compliance reports (including Safety Evaluation Reports)
Severe Accident Management Guidelines

Table 2.1-2. Specific Staff Guidance on Scoping	
Issue	Guidance
Commodity groups	The applicant may also group like structures and components SCs into commodity groups. Examples of commodity groups are pipe supports and cable trays. The basis for grouping structures and components SCs can be determined by such characteristics as similar function, similar design, <u>and</u> similar materials of construction, similar aging management practices, or similar environments. If the applicant uses commodity groups, the reviewer verifies that the applicant has described the basis for the groups.
Complex assemblies	<p>Some structures and componentsSCs, when combined, are considered a complex assembly (for example, diesel generator starting air skids or heating, ventilating, and air conditioning refrigerant units). For purposes of performing an AMR, it is important to clearly establish the boundaries of review. An applicant should establish the boundaries for such assemblies by identifying each structure and component that make up the complex assembly and determining whether or not each structure and component is subject to an AMR (Ref. 1).</p> <p>NEI 95-10, Revision 0, Appendix C, Example 5 (Ref. 401), illustrates how the evaluation boundary for a control room chiller complex assembly might be determined. The control room chillers were purchased as skid-mounted equipment. These chillers are part of the control room chilled water system. There are two (2) control room chillers. Each is a 100% <u>percent</u> capacity refrigeration unit. The functions of the control room chillers are to provide a reliable source of chilled water at a maximum temperature of 44°F, 7 °C [44 °F], to provide a pressure boundary for the control room chilled water system, to provide a pressure boundary for the service water system, and to provide a pressure boundary for the refrigerant. All of these functions are considered intended functions. Typically, control room chillers are considered as one functional unit; however, for purposes of evaluating the effects of aging, it is necessary to consider the individual components. Therefore, the boundary of each control room chiller is established as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. At the inlet and outlet flanges of the service water system connections on the control room chiller condenser. Connected piping is part of the service water system. 2. At the inlet and outlet flanges of the control room chilled water system piping connections on the control room chiller evaporator. Connected piping is part of the control room chilled water system. 3. For electrical power supplies, the boundary is the output terminals on the circuit breakers supplying power to the skid. This includes the cables from the circuit breaker to the skid and applies for 480 VAC and 120 VAC. 4. The interface for instrument air supplies is at the instrument air tubing connection to the pressure control regulators, temperature controllers and transmitters, and solenoid valves located on the skid. The tubing

Table 2.1-2. Specific Staff Guidance on Scoping	
Issue	Guidance
	<p>from the instrument air header to the device on the skid is part of the instrument air system.</p> <p>5. The interface with the annunciator system is at the external connection of the contacts of the device on the skid (limit switch, pressure switch, level switch, etc.) that indicates the alarm condition. The cables are part of the annunciator system.</p> <p>Based on the boundary established, the following components would be subject to an aging management review:AMR: condenser, evaporator, economizer, chiller refrigerant piping, refrigerant expansion orifice, foundations and bolting, electrical cabinets, cables, conduit, trays and supports, valves</p>
Hypothetical failures	<p>For 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2), an applicant should consider those failures identified in (1) the documentation that makes up its CLB, (2) plant-specific operating experience, and (3) industrywide operating experience that is specifically applicable to its facility. The applicant need not consider hypothetical failures that are not part of CLB and that have not been previously experienced.</p> <p>For example, an applicant should consider including (1) the portion of a fire protection system identified in the UFSAR that supplies water to the refueling floor that is relied upon in a DBA analysis as an alternate source of cooling water that can be used to mitigate the consequences from the loss of spent fuel pool cooling, (2) a nonsafety-related, non-seismically-qualified building whose intended function as described in the plant's CLB is to protect a tank that is relied upon as an alternate source of cooling water needed to mitigate the consequences of a DBE, and (3) a segment of nonsafety-related piping identified as a Seismic II/I component in the applicant's CLB (Ref. 7).</p>
Cascading	<p>For 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3), an applicant need not consider hypothetical failures or second-, third, or fourth-level support systems. For example, if a nonsafety-related diesel generator is only relied upon to remain functional to demonstrate compliance with the NRC's SBO regulations, an applicant may not need to consider (1) an alternate/backup cooling water system, (2) the diesel generator non-seismically-qualified building walls, or (3) an overhead segment of non-seismically-qualified piping (in a Seismic II/I configuration). An applicant may not exclude any support system (identified by its CLB, actual plant-specific experience, industrywide experience, as applicable, or existing engineering evaluations) that is specifically relied upon for compliance with, or operation within, applicable NRC regulation. For example, if the analysis of a nonsafety-related diesel generator (relied upon to demonstrate compliance with an applicable NRC regulation) specifically relies upon a second cooling system to cool the diesel generator jacket water cooling system for the diesel to be operable, then both cooling systems must be included within the scope of the rule (Ref. 7).</p>

Table 2.1-2. Specific Staff Guidance on Scoping	
Issue	Guidance
Table 2.1-3. Specific Staff Guidance on Screening	
Issue	Guidance
<u>Consumables</u>	<u>Consumables may be divided into the following four categories for the purpose of license renewal: (a) packing, gaskets, component seals, and O-rings; (b) structural sealants; (c) oil, grease, and component filters; and (d) system filters, fire extinguishers, fire hoses, and air packs. The consumables in both categories (a) and (b) are considered as subcomponents and are not explicitly called out in the scoping and screening procedures. Rather, they are implicitly included at the component level (e.g., if a valve is identified as being in scope, a seal in that valve would also be in scope as a subcomponent of that valve). For category (a), the applicant would generally be able to exclude these subcomponents using a clear basis. For category (b), these subcomponents may perform functions without moving parts or a change in configuration, and they are not typically replaced. The applicant's structural AMP should address these items with respect to an AMR program on a plant-specific basis. The consumables in category (c) are usually short-lived and periodically replaced, and can normally be excluded from an AMR on that basis. Likewise, the consumables that fall within category (d) are typically replaced based on performance or condition monitoring that identifies whether these components are at the end of their qualified lives and may be excluded, on a plant-specific basis, from AMR under 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(ii). The applicant should identify the standards that are relied on for the replacement as part of the methodology description (for example, NFPA standards for fire protection equipment).</u>
<u>Heat exchanger intended functions</u>	<u>Both the pressure boundary and heat transfer functions for heat exchangers should be considered because heat transfer may be a primary safety function of these components. There may be a unique aging effect associated with different materials in the heat exchanger parts that are associated with the heat transfer function and not the pressure boundary function. Normally the programs that effectively manage aging effects of the pressure boundary function can, in conjunction with the procedures for monitoring heat exchanger performance, effectively manage aging effects applicable to the heat transfer function.</u>
<u>Multiple functions</u>	<u>SCs may have multiple functions. The intended functions as delineated in 10 CFR 54.4(b) are to be reviewed for SLR. For example, a flow orifice that is credited in a plant's accident analysis to limit flow would have two intended functions. One intended function is pressure boundary. The other intended function is to limit flow. The reviewer verifies that the applicant has considered multiple functions in identifying structure- and component-intended functions.</u>

Table 2.1-3 Specific Staff Guidance on Screening

Issue	Guidance
Consumables	<p>Consumables may be divided into the following four categories for the purpose of license renewal: (a) packing, gaskets, component seals, and O-rings; (b) structural sealants; (c) oil, grease, and component filters; and (d) system filters, fire extinguishers, fire hoses, and air packs. The consumables in both categories (a) and (b) are considered as subcomponents and are not explicitly called out in the scoping and screening procedures. Rather, they are implicitly included at the component level (e.g., if a valve is identified as being in scope, a seal in that valve would also be in scope as a subcomponent of that valve). For category (a), the applicant would generally be able to exclude these subcomponents using a clear basis, such as the example of ASME Section III not being relied on for pressure boundary. For category (b), these subcomponents may perform functions without moving parts or a change in configuration, and they are not typically replaced. The applicant's structural AMP should address these items with respect to an AMR program on a plant-specific basis. The consumables in category (c) are usually short-lived and periodically replaced, and can normally be excluded from an AMR on that basis. Likewise, the consumables that fall within category (d) are typically replaced based on performance or condition monitoring that identifies whether these components are at the end of their qualified lives and may be excluded, on a plant-specific basis, from AMR under 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(ii). The applicant should identify the standards that are relied on for the replacement as part of the methodology description (for example, NFPA standards for fire protection equipment) (Ref. 8). <u>Table 2.1-4(a). Typical "Passive" Structure-Intended Functions</u></p>
Heat exchanger intended functions	<p>Both the pressure boundary and heat transfer functions for heat exchangers should be considered because heat transfer may be a primary safety function of these components. There may be a unique aging effect associated with different materials in the heat exchanger parts that are associated with the heat transfer function and not the pressure boundary function. Normally the programs that effectively manage aging effects of the pressure boundary function can, in conjunction with the procedures for monitoring heat exchanger performance, effectively manage aging effects applicable to the heat transfer function (Ref. 9).</p>
Multiple functions	<p>Structures and components may have multiple functions. The intended functions as delineated in 10 CFR 54.4(b) are to be reviewed for license renewal. For example, a flow orifice that is credited in a plant's accident</p>

Table 2.1-3 Specific Staff Guidance on Screening

Issue	Guidance
	analysis to limit flow would have two intended functions. One intended function is pressure boundary. The other intended function is to limit flow. The reviewer verifies that the applicant has considered multiple functions in identifying structure and component intended functions.

Table 2.1-4(a) Typical "Passive" Structure-Intended Functions

Structures	
Intended Function	Description
Direct Flow	Provide spray shield or curbs for directing flow (e.g., safety injection flow to containment sump)
Expansion/Separation	Provide for thermal expansion and/or seismic separation
Fire Barrier	Provide rated fire barrier to confine or retard a fire from spreading to or from adjacent areas of the plant
Flood Barrier	Provide flood protection barrier (internal and external flooding event)
Gaseous Release Path	Provide path for release of filtered and unfiltered gaseous discharge
Heat Sink	Provide heat sink during station blackout or design-basis accidents
HELB Shielding	Provide shielding against high-energy line breaks (HELB)
Missile Barrier	Provide missile barrier (internally or externally generated)
Pipe Whip Restraint	Provide pipe whip restraint
Pressure Relief	Provide over-pressure protection
Shelter, Protection	Provide shelter/protection to safety-related components
Shielding	Provide shielding against radiation
Shutdown Cooling Water	Provide source of cooling water for plant shutdown
Structural Pressure Barrier	Provide pressure boundary or essentially leak-tight barrier to protect public health and safety in the event of any postulated design-basis events.

Table 2.1-4(b)). Typical "Passive" Component-Intended Functions	
Components	
Intended Function	Description
Absorb Neutrons	Absorb neutrons
Electrical Continuity	Provide electrical connections to specified sections of an electrical circuit to deliver voltage, current, or signals
Insulate (electrical)	Insulate and support an electrical conductor
Filter	Provide filtration
Heat Transfer	Provide heat transfer
Leakage Boundary (Spatial)	Nonsafety-related component that maintains mechanical and structural integrity to prevent spatial interactions that could cause failure of safety-related SSCs
Pressure Boundary	Provide pressure-retaining boundary so that sufficient flow at adequate pressure is delivered, or provide fission product barrier for containment pressure boundary, or provide containment isolation for fission product retention
Spray	Convert fluid into spray
Structural Integrity (Attached)	Nonsafety-related component that maintains mechanical and structural integrity to provide structural support to attached safety-related piping and components
Structural Support	Provide structural and/or functional support to safety-related and/or nonsafety-related components
Throttle	Provide flow restriction

Table 2.1-5. Typical Structures, Components, and Commodity Groups, and 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) Determinations for Integrated Plant Assessment

Item	Category	Structure, Component, or Commodity Grouping	Structure, Component, or Commodity Group Meets 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) (Yes/No)
1	Structures	Category I Structures	Yes
2	Structures	Primary Containment Structure	Yes
3	Structures	Intake Structures	Yes
4	Structures	Intake Canal	Yes
5	Structures	Other Non-Category I Structures within the Scope of License Renewal SLR	Yes
6	Structures	Equipment Supports and Foundations	Yes
7	Structures	Structural Bellows	Yes
8	Structures	Controlled Leakage Doors	Yes
9	Structures	Penetration Seals	Yes
10	Structures	Compressible Joints and Seals	Yes
11	Structures	Fuel Pool and Sump Liners	Yes
12	Structures	Concrete Curbs	Yes
13	Structures	Offgas Stack and Flue	Yes
14	Structures	Fire Barriers	Yes
15	Structures	Pipe Whip Restraints and Jet Impingement Shields	Yes
16	Structures	Electrical and Instrumentation and Control Penetration Assemblies	Yes
17	Structures	Instrumentation Racks, Frames, Panels, and Enclosures	Yes
18	Structures	Electrical Panels, Racks, Cabinets, and Other Enclosures	Yes
19	Structures	Cable Trays and Supports	Yes
20	Structures	Conduit	Yes
21	Structures	TubeTrack®	Yes
22	Structures	Reactor Vessel Internals	Yes
23	Structures	ASME Class 1 Hangers and Supports	Yes
24	Structures	Non-ASME Class 1 Hangers and Supports	Yes
25	Structures	Snubbers	No

Table 2.1-5. Typical Structures, Components, and Commodity Groups, and 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) Determinations for Integrated Plant Assessment

Item	Category	Structure, Component, or Commodity Grouping	Structure, Component, or Commodity Group Meets 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) (Yes/No)
26	Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Components (Note: the components of the RCPB are defined by each plant's CLB and site-specific documentation)	ASME Class 1 Piping	Yes
27	Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Components	Reactor Vessel	Yes
28	Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Components	Reactor Coolant Pumps	Yes (Casing)
29	Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Components	Control Rod Drives	No
30	Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Components	Control Rod Drive Housing	Yes
31	Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Components	Steam Generators	Yes
32	Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Components	Pressurizers	Yes
33	Non-Class I Piping Components	Underground Piping	Yes
34	Non-Class I Piping Components	Piping in Low Temperature Demineralized Water Service	Yes
35	Non-Class I Piping Components	Piping in High Temperature Single Phase Service	Yes

Table 2.1-5. Typical Structures, Components, and Commodity Groups, and 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) Determinations for Integrated Plant Assessment

Item	Category	Structure, Component, or Commodity Grouping	Structure, Component, or Commodity Group Meets 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) (Yes/No)
36	Non-Class I Piping Components	Piping in Multiple Phase Service	Yes
37	Non-Class I Piping Components	Service Water Piping	Yes
38	Non-Class I Piping Components	Low Temperature Gas Transport Piping	Yes
39	Non-Class I Piping Components	Stainless Steel Tubing	Yes
40	Non-Class I Piping Components	Instrument Tubing	Yes
41	Non-Class I Piping Components	Expansion Joints	Yes
42	Non-Class I Piping Components	Ductwork	Yes
43	Non-Class I Piping Components	Sprinkler Heads	Yes
44	Non-Class I Piping Components	Miscellaneous Appurtenances (Includes fittings, couplings, reducers, elbows, thermowells, flanges, fasteners, welded attachments, etc.)	Yes
45	Pumps	ECCS Pumps	Yes (Casing)
46	Pumps	Service Water and Fire Pumps	Yes (Casing)
47	Pumps	Lube Oil and Closed Cooling Water Pumps	Yes (Casing)
48	Pumps	Condensate Pumps	Yes (Casing)
49	Pumps	Borated Water Pumps	Yes (Casing)
50	Pumps	Emergency Service Water Pumps	Yes (Casing)
51	Pumps	Submersible Pumps	Yes (Casing)
52	Turbines	Turbine Pump Drives (excluding pumps)	Yes (Casing)
53	Turbines	Gas Turbines	Yes (Casing)
54	Turbines	Controls (Actuator and Overspeed Trip)	No
55	Engines	Fire Pump Diesel Engines	No
56	Emergency Diesel Generators	Emergency Diesel Generators	No
57	Heat Exchangers	Condensers	Yes
58	Heat Exchangers	<u>Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC)</u> Coolers (including housings)	Yes

Table 2.1-5. Typical Structures, Components, and Commodity Groups, and 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) Determinations for Integrated Plant Assessment

Item	Category	Structure, Component, or Commodity Grouping	Structure, Component, or Commodity Group Meets 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) (Yes/No)
59	Heat Exchangers	Primary Water System Heat Exchangers	Yes
60	Heat Exchangers	Treated Water System Heat Exchangers	Yes
61	Heat Exchangers	Closed Cooling Water System Heat Exchangers	Yes
62	Heat Exchangers	Lubricating Oil System Heat Exchangers	Yes
63	Heat Exchangers	Raw Water System Heat Exchangers	Yes
64	Heat Exchangers	Containment Atmospheric System Heat Exchangers	Yes
65	Miscellaneous Process Components	Gland Seal Blower	No
66	Miscellaneous Process Components	Recombiners	The applicant shall identify the intended function and apply the IPA process to determine if the grouping is active or passive.
67	Miscellaneous Process Components	Flexible Connectors	Yes
68	Miscellaneous Process Components	Strainers	Yes
69	Miscellaneous Process Components	Rupture Disks	Yes
70	Miscellaneous Process Components	Steam Traps	Yes
71	Miscellaneous Process Components	Restricting Orifices	Yes
72	Miscellaneous Process Components	Air Compressor	No

Table 2.1-5. Typical Structures, Components, and Commodity Groups, and 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) Determinations for Integrated Plant Assessment

Item	Category	Structure, Component, or Commodity Grouping	Structure, Component, or Commodity Group Meets 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) (Yes/No)
73	Electrical and I&C instrumentation and control	Alarm Unit (e.g., fire detection devices)	No
74	Electrical and I&C	Analyzers (e.g., gas analyzers, conductivity analyzers)	No
75	Electrical and I&C	Annunciators (e.g., lights, buzzers, alarms)	No
76	Electrical and I&C	Batteries	No
77	Electrical and I&C	Cables and Connections, Bus, electrical portions of Electrical and I&C Penetration Assemblies, includes fuse holders outside of cabinets of active electrical SCs (e.g., electrical penetration assembly cables and connections, connectors, electrical splices, fuse holders, terminal blocks, power cables, control cables, instrument cables, insulated cables, communication cables, uninsulated ground conductors, transmission conductors, isolated-phase bus, nonsegregated non-segregated-phase bus, segregated-phase bus, switchyard bus)	Yes
78	Electrical and I&C	Chargers, Converters, Inverters (e.g., converters-voltage/current, converters-voltage/pneumatic, battery chargers/inverters, motor-generator sets)	No
79	Electrical and I&C	Circuit Breakers (e.g., air circuit breakers, molded case circuit breakers, oil-filled circuit breakers)	No

Table 2.1-5. Typical Structures, Components, and Commodity Groups, and 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) Determinations for Integrated Plant Assessment

Item	Category	Structure, Component, or Commodity Grouping	Structure, Component, or Commodity Group Meets 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) (Yes/No)
80	Electrical and I&C	Communication Equipment (e.g., telephones, video or audio recording or playback equipment, intercoms, computer terminals, electronic messaging, radios, transmission line traps, and other power-line carrier equipment)	No
81	Electrical and I&C	Electric Heaters	No Yes for a Pressure Boundary if applicable
82	Electrical and I&C	Heat Tracing	No
83	Electrical and I&C	Electrical Controls and Panel Internal Component Assemblies (may include internal devices such as, but not limited to, switches, breakers, indicating lights, etc.) (e.g., main control board, HVAC control board)	No
84	Electrical and I&C	Elements, RTDs, Sensors, Thermocouples, Transducers (e.g., conductivity elements, flow elements, temperature sensors, radiation sensors, watt transducers, thermocouples, RTDs, vibration probes, amp transducers, frequency transducers, power factor transducers, speed transducers, var. transducers, vibration transducers, voltage transducers)	No Yes for a pressure boundary if applicable
85	Electrical and I&C	Fuses	No

Table 2.1-5. Typical Structures, Components, and Commodity Groups, and 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) Determinations for Integrated Plant Assessment

Item	Category	Structure, Component, or Commodity Grouping	Structure, Component, or Commodity Group Meets 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) (Yes/No)
86	Electrical and I&C	Generators, Motors (e.g., emergency diesel generators, ECCS and emergency service water pump motors, small motors, motor-generator sets, steam turbine generators, combustion turbine generators, fan motors, pump motors, valve motors, air compressor motors)	No
87	Electrical and I&C	High-Voltage Insulators (e.g., porcelain switchyard insulators, transmission line insulators)	Yes
88	Electrical and I&C	Surge Arresters (e.g., switchyard surge arresters, lightning arresters, surge suppressers, surge capacitors, protective capacitors)	No
89	Electrical and I&C	Indicators (e.g., differential pressure indicators, pressure indicators, flow indicators, level indicators, speed indicators, temperature indicators, analog indicators, digital indicators, LED bar graph indicators, LCD indicators)	No
90	Electrical and I&C	Isolators (e.g., transformer isolators, optical isolators, isolation relays, isolating transfer diodes)	No
91	Electrical and I&C	Light Bulbs (e.g., indicating lights, emergency lighting, incandescent light bulbs, fluorescent light bulbs)	No

Table 2.1-5. Typical Structures, Components, and Commodity Groups, and 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) Determinations for Integrated Plant Assessment

Item	Category	Structure, Component, or Commodity Grouping	Structure, Component, or Commodity Group Meets 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) (Yes/No)
92	Electrical and I&C	Loop Controllers (e.g., differential pressure indicating controllers, flow indicating controllers, temperature controllers, controllers, speed controllers, programmable logic controller, single loop digital controller, process controllers, manual loader, selector station, hand/auto station, auto/manual station)	No
93	Electrical and I&C	Meters (e.g., ammeters, volt meters, frequency meters, var meters, watt meters, power factor meters, watt-hour meters)	No
94	Electrical and I&C	Power Supplies	No
95	Electrical and I&C	Radiation Monitors (e.g., area radiation monitors, process radiation monitors)	No
96	Electrical and I&C	Recorders (e.g., chart recorders, digital recorders, events recorders)	No
97	Electrical and I&C	Regulators (e.g., voltage regulators)	No
98	Electrical and I&C	Relays (e.g., protective relays, control/logic relays, auxiliary relays)	No
99	Electrical and I&C	Signal Conditioners	No
100	Electrical and I&C	Solenoid Operators	No
101	Electrical and I&C	Solid-State Devices (e.g., transistors, circuit boards, computers)	No

Table 2.1-5. Typical Structures, Components, and Commodity Groups, and 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) Determinations for Integrated Plant Assessment

Item	Category	Structure, Component, or Commodity Grouping	Structure, Component, or Commodity Group Meets 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) (Yes/No)
102	Electrical and I&C	Switches (e.g., differential pressure indicating switches, differential pressure switches, pressure indicator switches, pressure switches, flow switches, conductivity switches, level-indicating switches, temperature-indicating switches, temperature switches, moisture switches, position switches, vibration switches, level switches, control switches, automatic transfer switches, manual transfer switches, manual disconnect switches, current switches, limit switches, knife switches)	No
103	Electrical and I&C	Switchgear, Load Centers, Motor Control Centers, Distribution Panel Internal Component Assemblies (may include internal devices such as, but not limited to, switches, breakers, indicating lights, etc.) (e.g., 4.16 kV switchgear, 480V load centers, 480V motor control centers, 250 VDC motor control centers, 6.9 kV switchgear units, 240/125V power distribution panels)	No
104	Electrical and I&C	Transformers (e.g., instrument transformers, load center transformers, small distribution transformers, large power transformers, isolation transformers, coupling capacitor voltage transformers)	No

Table 2.1-5. Typical Structures, Components, and Commodity Groups, and 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) Determinations for Integrated Plant Assessment

Item	Category	Structure, Component, or Commodity Grouping	Structure, Component, or Commodity Group Meets 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) (Yes/No)
105	Electrical and I&C	Transmitters (e.g., differential pressure transmitters, pressure transmitters, flow transmitters, level transmitters, radiation transmitters, static pressure transmitters)	No
106	Valves	Hydraulic-Operated Valves	Yes (Bodies)
107	Valves	Explosive Valves	Yes (Bodies)
108	Valves	Manual Valves	Yes (Bodies)
109	Valves	Small Valves	Yes (Bodies)
110	Valves	Motor-Operated Valves	Yes (Bodies)
111	Valves	Air-Operated Valves	Yes (Bodies)
112	Valves	Main Steam Isolation Valves	Yes (Bodies)
113	Valves	Small Relief Valves	Yes (Bodies)
114	Valves	Check Valves	Yes (Bodies)
115	Valves	Safety Relief Valves	Yes (Bodies)
116	Valves	Dampers, louvers, and gravity dampers	Yes (Housings)
117	Tanks	Air Accumulators	Yes
118	Tanks	Discharge Accumulators (Dampers)	Yes
119	Tanks	Boron Acid Storage Tanks	Yes
120	Tanks	Above Ground Oil Tanks	Yes
121	Tanks	Underground Oil Tanks	Yes
122	Tanks	Demineralized Water Tanks	Yes
123	Tanks	Neutron Shield Tank	Yes
124	Fans	Ventilation Fans (includes intake fans, exhaust fans, and purge fans)	Yes (Housings)
125	Fans	Other Fans	Yes (Housings)
126	Miscellaneous	Emergency Lighting	No
127	Miscellaneous	Hose Stations	Yes

1 **2.2 Plant-Level Scoping Results**

2 **Review Responsibilities**

3 **Primary** ~~—~~ Assigned branch(~~ess~~)

4 **Secondary** ~~—~~ None

5 **2.2.1 Areas of Review**

6 This section addresses the plant-level scoping results for subsequent license renewal- (SLR).
7 Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21(a)(1) requires the applicant to
8 identify and list structures and components (SCs) subject to an aging management review
9 (AMR). These are “passive,” “long-lived” ~~structures and components~~SCs that are within the
10 scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR. In addition, 10 CFR 54.21(a)(2) requires the applicant to
11 describe and justify the methods used to identify these ~~structures and components~~The SCs.
12 The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff reviews the applicant’s methodology
13 separately, following the guidance in Section 2.1.

14 The applicant should provide a list of all the plant systems and structures, identifying those that
15 are within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR. If the list exists elsewhere, such as in the updated
16 final safety analysis report (UFSAR), it is acceptable to merely identify the reference. The
17 license renewal rule does not require the identification of all plant systems and structures within
18 the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR. However, providing such a list may make the review more
19 efficient.

20 On the basis of the design basis events (DBEs) considered in the plant’s current licensing basis
21 (CLB) and other CLB information relating to nonsafety-related systems and structures and
22 certain regulated events, the applicant would identify those plant-level systems and structures
23 within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR, as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(a). This is “scoping” of the
24 plant- level systems and structures for ~~license renewal~~SLR. To verify that the applicant has
25 properly implemented its methodology, the NRC staff focuses its review on the implementation
26 results to confirm that there is no omission of plant-level systems and structures within the scope
27 of ~~license renewal~~SLR.

28 Examples of plant systems are the reactor coolant, containment spray, standby gas treatment
29 [boiling water reactor (BWR),], emergency core cooling, open and closed cycle cooling
30 water, compressed air, chemical and volume control (PWR), standby liquid control (BWR),
31 main steam, feedwater, condensate, steam generator blowdown (PWR), and auxiliary
32 feedwater (AFW) systems (PWR).

33 Examples of plant structures are the primary containment, secondary containment (BWR),
34 control room, auxiliary building, fuel storage building, radwaste building, and ultimate heat sink
35 cooling tower.

36 Examples of components are the reactor vessel, reactor vessel internals, steam generator
37 (PWR), and light and heavy load-handling cranes. Some applicants may have categorized such
38 components as plant “systems” for their convenience.

39 After plant-level scoping, the applicant should identify the portions of the system or structure
40 that perform an intended function, as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(b). Then the applicant should

1 identify those ~~structures and components~~SCs that are “passive” and “long-lived,” in accordance
2 with 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) and (ii). These “passive,” “long-lived” ~~structures and~~
3 ~~components~~SCs are those that are subject to an AMR. The NRC staff reviews these results
4 separately following the guidance in Sections 2.3 through 2.5.

5 The applicant has the flexibility to determine the set of systems and structures it considers as
6 within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR, provided that this set includes the systems and
7 structures that the NRC has determined are within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR.
8 Therefore, the reviewer need not review all systems and structures that the applicant has
9 identified to be within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR because the applicant has the option to
10 include more systems and components than those defined to be within the scope of ~~license~~
11 ~~renewal~~SLR by 10 CFR 54.4.

12 The following areas relating to the methodology implementation results for the plant-level
13 systems and structures are reviewed.

14 2.2.1.1 *Systems and Structures Within the Scope of Subsequent License Renewal*

15 The reviewer verifies the applicant’s identification of plant-level systems and structures that are
16 within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR.

17 2.2.2 **Acceptance Criteria**

18 The acceptance criteria for the area of review define methods for determining whether the
19 applicant has identified the systems and structures within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR in
20 accordance with NRC regulations in 10 CFR 54.4. For the applicant’s implementation of its
21 methodology to be acceptable, the NRC staff should have reasonable assurance that there has
22 been no omission of plant-level systems and structures within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR.

23 2.2.2.1 *Systems and Structures Within the Scope of Subsequent License Renewal*

24 Systems and structures are within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR as delineated in 10 CFR
25 54.4(a) if they are

- 26 • Safety-related systems and structures that are relied upon to remain functional during
27 and following DBEs [as defined in 10 CFR 50.49(b)(1)] to ensure the following functions:
 - 28 – The integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary,
 - 29 – The capability to shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown
30 condition, or
 - 31 – The capability to prevent or mitigate the consequences of accidents that could
32 result in potential offsite exposure comparable to the guidelines in
33 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1), 50.67(b)(2), or 100.11, as applicable.
- 34 • All nonsafety-related systems and structures whose failure could prevent satisfactory
35 accomplishment of any of the functions identified in 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1) above.
- 36 • All systems and structures relied on in safety analyses or plant evaluations to perform a
37 function that demonstrates compliance with NRC regulations for fire protection

1 (10 CFR 50.48), environmental qualification (10 CFR 50.49), PTS (10 CFR 50.61),
2 ATWS (10 CFR 50.62), and SBO (10 CFR 50.63).

3 **2.2.3 Review Procedures**

4 The reviewer verifies the applicant's scoping and screening results. If the reviewer requests
5 additional information from the applicant regarding why a certain system or structure was not
6 identified by the applicant as being within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR for the applicant's
7 plant, the reviewer should provide a focused question, clearly explaining what information is
8 needed, explaining why it is needed, and how it will allow the NRC staff to make its safety
9 finding. In addition, other NRC staff members review the applicant's scoping and screening
10 methodology separately following the guidance in Section 2.1. The reviewer should keep these
11 other NRC staff members informed of findings that may affect their review of the applicant's
12 methodology. The reviewer should coordinate this sharing of information through the ~~license~~
13 ~~renewal~~SLR project manager.

14 For the area of review, the following review procedures are to be followed.

15 2.2.3.1 *Systems and Structures Within the Scope of Subsequent License Renewal*

16 The reviewer determines whether the applicant has properly identified the plant-level systems
17 and structures within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR by reviewing selected systems and
18 structures that the applicant did not identify as being within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR to
19 verify that they do not have any intended functions.

20 The reviewer should use the plant UFSAR, orders, applicable regulations, exemptions, and
21 license conditions to determine the design basis for the ~~structures, systems, and components~~
22 ~~(SSCs)~~ (if components are identified as "systems" by the applicant). The design basis
23 determines the intended function(s) of an SSC. Such functions determine whether the SSC is
24 within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR under 10 CFR 54.4.

25 This section addresses scoping at a system or structure level. Thus, if any portion of a system
26 or structure performs an intended function as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(b), the system or structure
27 is within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR. The review of individual portions of systems and
28 structures that are within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR are addressed separately in
29 Sections 2.3 through 2.5.

30 The applicant should submit a list of all plant-level systems and structures, identifying those that
31 are within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR (54.4) and subject to ~~aging management review~~
32 ~~(AMR [54.21(a)(1)-]).~~ The reviewer should sample selected systems and structures that the
33 applicant did not identify as within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR to determine if they perform
34 any intended functions. The following are examples:

- 35 • The applicant does not identify the radiation monitoring system as being within the scope
36 of ~~license renewal~~SLR. The reviewer may review the UFSAR to verify that this
37 particular system does not perform any intended functions at the applicant's plant.
- 38 • The applicant does not identify the polar crane as being within the scope of ~~license~~
39 ~~renewal~~SLR. The reviewer may review the UFSAR to verify that this particular structure
40 is not "Seismic II over I," denoting a structure that is not seismic Category I interacting

1 with a Seismic Category I structure as described in Position C.2 of Regulatory Guide
2 (RG) 1.29, “Seismic Design Classification” (Ref. 1).

- 3 • The applicant does not identify the fire protection pump house as within the scope of
4 license renewal.SLR. The reviewer may review the plant’s commitments to the fire
5 protection regulation (10 CFR 50.48) to verify that this particular structure does not
6 perform any intended functions at the plant.

- 7 • The applicant uses the “spaces” approach for scoping electrical equipment and elects to
8 include all electrical equipment onsite to be within the scope of license renewalSLR
9 except for the 525 kV switchyard and the 230 kV transmission lines. The reviewer may
10 review the UFSAR and commitments to the SBO regulation (10 CFR 50.63) to verify that
11 the 525 kV switchyard and the 230 kV transmission lines do not perform any intended
12 functions at the applicant’s plant.

13 ~~Table 2.2-1 contains additional examples based on lessons learned from the review of the initial~~
14 ~~license renewal applications, including a discussion of the plant-specific determination of~~
15 ~~whether a system or structure is within the scope of license renewal.~~

16 The applicant may choose to group similar components and structures together in commodity
17 groups for separate analyses. If only a portion of a system or structure has an intended function
18 and is addressed separately in a specific commodity group, it is acceptable for an applicant to
19 identify that system or structure as not being within the scope of license renewal.SLR.
20 However, for completeness, the applicant should include some reference indicating that the
21 portion of the system or structure with an intended function that is evaluated with the commodity
22 group.

23 Section 2.1 contains additional guidance on the following:

- 24 • Commodity groups
- 25 • Complex assemblies
- 26 • Hypothetical failure
- 27 • Cascading

28 If the reviewer has reviewed systems and structures in sufficient detail and does not identify any
29 omissions of systems and structures from those within the scope of license renewal, theSLR,
30 the NRC staff would have reasonable assurance that the applicant has identified the systems
31 and structures within the scope of SLR.

32 If the reviewer determines that the applicant has satisfied the criteria described in this review
33 section, the NRC staff would have reasonable assurance that the applicant has identified the
34 systems and structures within the scope of license renewal.SLR.

35 ~~If the reviewer determines that the applicant has satisfied the criteria described in this review~~
36 ~~section, the staff would have reasonable assurance that the applicant has identified the systems~~
37 ~~and structures within the scope of license renewal.~~

38 **2.2.4 Evaluation Findings**

1 If the reviewer determines that the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the
2 provisions of the SRP-~~LRS~~SLR, then the NRC staff's evaluation supports conclusions of the
3 following type, to be included in the ~~safety evaluation report~~SER:

4 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that
5 there is reasonable assurance that the applicant has appropriately identified the
6 systems and structures within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR in accordance
7 with 10 CFR 54.4.

8 **2.2.5 Implementation**

9 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for
10 complying with specific portions of NRC regulations, the method described herein will be used
11 by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.

12 **2.2.6 References**

- 13 1. NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.29, ~~Rev. 3,~~ "Seismic Design Classifications," Revision 3.
14 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ~~;~~ March 2007.

Table 2.2-1. Examples of System and Structure Scoping and Basis for Disposition

Example	Disposition
Recirculation cooling water system	One function of the recirculation cooling water system is to remove decay heat from the stored fuel in the spent fuel pool via the spent fuel pool cooling system. However, the spent fuel pool cooling system at the subject facility is not safety-related, and, following a seismic event, the safety-related spent fuel pool structure and spent fuel pool makeup water supplies ensure the adequate removal of decay heat to prevent potential offsite exposures comparable to those described in 10 CFR Part 100. Therefore, the recirculation cooling water system is not within the scope of license renewal SLR based on the spent fuel decay heat removal function.
SBO diesel generator building	The plant's UFSAR indicates that certain structural components of the SBO diesel generator building for the plant are designed to preclude seismic failure and subsequent impact of the structure on the adjacent safety-related emergency diesel generator building. In addition, the UFSAR indicates that certain equipment attached to the roof of the building has been anchored to resist tornado wind loads. Thus, the SBO diesel generator building is within the scope of license renewal SLR.

1

1 **2.3 Scoping And Screening Results: Mechanical Systems**

2 **Review Responsibilities**

3 **Primary** ~~---~~ Assigned branch(**ess**)

4 **Secondary** ~~---~~ None

5 **2.3.1 Areas of Review**

6 This section addresses the mechanical systems scoping and screening results for subsequent
7 license renewal: (SLR). Typical mechanical systems consist of the following:

- 8 • Reactor coolant system (such as reactor vessel and internals, components forming part
9 of coolant pressure boundary, coolant piping system and connected lines, and
10 steam generators).
- 11 • Engineered safety features (such as containment spray and isolation systems, standby
12 gas treatment system, emergency core cooling system, and fan cooler system).
- 13 • Auxiliary systems (such as new and spent fuel storage, spent fuel cooling and cleanup
14 systems, suppression pool cleanup system, load handling system, open and closed
15 cycle cooling water systems, ultimate heat sink, compressed air system, chemical and
16 volume control system, standby liquid control system, coolant storage/refueling water
17 systems, ventilation systems, diesel generator system, and fire protection system).
- 18 • Steam and power conversion system (such as turbines, main and extraction steam,
19 feedwater, condensate, steam generator blowdown, and auxiliary feedwater).

20 Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21(a)(1) requires an applicant to
21 identify and list structures and components (SCs) subject to an aging management review
22 (AMR). These are “passive,” “long-lived” ~~structures and components~~ SCs that are within the
23 scope of ~~license renewal (WSLR)~~ SLR. In addition, 10 CFR 54.21(a)(2) requires an applicant to
24 describe and justify the methods used to identify these ~~structures and components~~ The SCs.
25 The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff reviews the applicant’s methodology
26 separately following the guidance in Section 2.1. To verify that the applicant has properly
27 implemented its methodology, the NRC staff focuses its review on the implementation results.
28 Such a focus allows the NRC staff to confirm that there is no omission of mechanical system
29 components that are subject to an AMR by the applicant. If the review identifies no omission,
30 the NRC staff has the basis to find that there is reasonable assurance that the applicant has
31 identified the mechanical system components that are subject to an AMR.

32 An applicant should list all plant-level systems and structures. On the basis of the design basis
33 events (DBEs) considered in the plant’s current licensing basis (CLB) and other CLB information
34 relating to nonsafety-related systems and structures and certain regulated events, the applicant
35 should identify those plant-level systems and structures ~~WSLR~~ within the scope of SLR, as
36 defined in 10 CFR 54.4(a). This is “scoping” of the plant-level systems and structures for
37 ~~license renewal~~ SLR. The NRC staff reviews the applicant’s plant-l-level “scoping” results
38 separately following the guidance in Section 2.2.

1 For a mechanical system that is within the scope of ~~license renewal~~SLR, the applicant should
2 identify the portions of the system that perform an intended function, as defined in
3 10 CFR 54.4(b). The applicant may identify these particular portions of the system in marked-
4 up piping and instrument diagrams (P&IDs) or in other media. This is “scoping” of mechanical
5 components in a system to identify those that are ~~WSLR~~within the scope of SLR for a system.

6 For those identified mechanical components that are ~~WSLR~~within the scope of SLR, the
7 applicant must identify those that are “passive” and “long-lived,” as required by 10 CFR
8 54.21(a)(1)(i) and (ii). These “passive,” “long-lived” mechanical components are those that are
9 subject to an AMR. This is “screening” of mechanical components in a system to identify those
10 that are “passive” and “long-lived.”

11 The applicant has the flexibility to determine the set of ~~structures and components~~SCs for which
12 an AMR is performed, provided that this set includes the ~~structures and components~~SCs for
13 which the NRC has determined that an AMR is required. This is based on the Statements of
14 Consideration (~~SOC~~) for the license renewal rule
15 (60 FR 22478). Therefore, the reviewer need not review all components that the applicant has
16 identified as subject to an AMR because the applicant has the option to include more
17 components than those required to be subject to an AMR pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1).

18 **2.3.2 Acceptance Criteria**

19 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review define methods for determining whether the
20 applicant has met the requirements of NRC regulations in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1). For the
21 applicant’s implementation of its methodology to be acceptable, the NRC staff should have
22 reasonable assurance that there has been no omission of mechanical system components that
23 are subject to an AMR.

24 **2.3.2.1 Components Within the Scope of Subsequent License Renewal**

25 Mechanical components are ~~WSLR~~within the scope of SLR as delineated in 10 CFR 54.4(a) if
26 they are

- 27 • Safety-related ~~systems,~~ structures, ~~systems, or~~and components (SSCs) that are relied
28 upon to remain functional during and following DBEs [as defined in 10 CFR 50.49(b)(1)]
29 to ensure the following functions:
 - 30 — The integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary;
 - 31 — The capability to shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown
32 condition; or
 - 33 — The capability to prevent or mitigate the consequences of accidents that could
34 result in potential offsite exposure comparable to the guidelines in
35 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1), 10 CFR 50.67(b)(2), or 10 CFR 100.11, as applicable.
- 36 • All nonsafety-related SSCs whose failure could prevent satisfactory accomplishment of
37 any of the functions identified in 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii).
- 38 • All SSCs relied on in safety analyses or plant evaluations to perform a function that
39 demonstrates compliance with NRC regulations for fire protection (10 CFR 50.48),

1 environmental qualification (10 CFR 50.49), pressurized thermal shock (PTS)
2 (10 CFR 50.61), ATWS~~anticipated transients without scram~~ (10 CFR 50.62), and
3 SBO~~station blackout~~ (10 CFR 50.63).

4 2.3.2.2 *Components Subject to an Aging Management Review*

5 Mechanical components are subject to an AMR if they are WSLRwithin the scope of SLR and
6 perform an intended function as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(b) without moving parts or a change in
7 configuration or properties (“passive”), and are not subject to replacement based on a qualified
8 life or specified time period (“long-lived”) [10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) and (ii)].

9 2.3.3 **Review Procedures**

10 The reviewer verifies the applicant’s scoping and screening results. If the reviewer requests
11 additional information from the applicant regarding why a certain component was not identified
12 by the applicant as being WSLRwithin the scope of SLR or subject to an AMR for the applicant’s
13 plant, the reviewer should provide a focused question that clearly explains what information is
14 needed, why the information is needed, and how the information will allow the NRC staff to
15 make its safety finding. In addition, other NRC staff members review the applicant’s scoping
16 and screening methodology separately, following the guidance in Section 2.1. The reviewer
17 should keep these other NRC staff members informed of findings that may affect their review of
18 the applicant’s methodology. The reviewer should coordinate this sharing of information
19 through the ~~license renewal~~SLR project manager.

20 For each area of review, the following review procedures are to be followed.

21 2.3.3.1 *Components Within the Scope of Subsequent License Renewal*

22 In this step, the NRC staff determines whether the applicant has properly identified the
23 components that are WSLRwithin the scope of SLR. The Rule requires applicants to identify
24 components that are WSLRwithin the scope of SLR and subject to an AMR. In the past, LRAs
25 have included a table of components that are WSLRwithin the scope of license renewal; that
26 information need not be submitted with ~~future LRAs~~SLRAs. Although a list of WSLRwithin the
27 scope of SLR components will be available at plant sites for inspection, the reviewer should
28 determine through sampling of P&IDs, and review of the updated final safety analysis report
29 (UFSAR) and other plant documents, what portion of the components are within the scope of
30 SLR. The reviewer should check to see if any components exist that the NRC staff believes are
31 within the scope of SLR but are not identified by the applicant as being subject to an AMR (and
32 request that the applicant provide justification for omitting those components that are “passive”
33 and “long-lived”).

34 The reviewer should use the UFSAR, orders, applicable regulations, exemptions, and license
35 conditions to determine the design basis for the SSCs. The design basis specifies the intended
36 function(s) of the system(s). That intended function is used to determine the components within
37 that system that are relied upon for the system to perform its intended functions.

38 The reviewer should focus the review on those components that are not identified as being
39 WSLRwithin the scope of SLR, especially the ~~license renewal~~SLR boundary points and major
40 flow paths. The reviewer should verify that the components do not have intended functions.
41 Portions of the system identified as being WSLRwithin the scope of SLR by the applicant do not

1 have to be reviewed because the applicant has the option to include more components within
2 the scope than the rule requires.

3 Further, the reviewer should select system functions described in the UFSAR that are required
4 by 10 CFR 54.4 to verify that components having intended functions were not omitted from the
5 scope of the rule.

6 For example, if a reviewer verifies that a portion of a system does not perform an intended
7 function, is not identified as being subject to an AMR by the applicant, and is isolated from the
8 portion of the system that is identified as being subject to an AMR by a boundary valve, the
9 reviewer should verify that the boundary valve is subject to an AMR, or that the valve is not
10 necessary for the within-scope portion of the system to perform its intended function. Likewise,
11 the reviewer should identify, to the extent practical, the system functions of the piping runs and
12 components that are identified as not being WSLR within the scope of SLR to ensure they do not
13 have intended functions that meet the requirements of 10 CFR 54.4.

14 Section 2.1 contains additional guidance on the following:

- 15 • Commodity groups
- 16 • Complex assemblies
- 17 • Hypothetical failure
- 18 • Cascading

19 If the reviewer has reviewed components in sufficient detail and does not identify any omissions
20 of components WSLR within the scope of SLR, the reviewer would have reasonable assurance
21 that the applicant has identified the components WSLR within the scope of SLR for the
22 mechanical systems.

23 Table 2.3-1 provides examples of mechanical components scoping lessons learned from the
24 review of the initial ~~license renewal~~SLR applications and the basis for their disposition.

25 2.3.3.2 *Components Subject to an Aging Management Review*

26 In this step, the reviewer determines whether the applicant has properly identified the
27 components subject to an AMR from among those ~~which~~that are WSLR within the scope of SLR
28 renewal (i.e., those identified in Subsection 2.3.3.1). The reviewer should review selected
29 components that the applicant has identified as WSLR within the scope of SLR but as not subject
30 to an AMR. The reviewer should verify that the applicant has not omitted, from an AMR,
31 components that perform intended functions without moving parts or without a change in
32 configuration or properties and that are not subject to replacement on the basis of a qualified life
33 or specified time period.

34 Starting with the boundary verified in Subsection 2.3.3.1, the reviewer should sample
35 components that are WSLR within the scope of SLR for that system, but were not identified by
36 the applicant as subject to an AMR. Only components that are “passive” and “long-lived” are
37 subject to an AMR. Table 2.1-5 is provided for the reviewer to assist in identifying whether
38 certain components are “passive.” The applicant should justify omitting a component from an
39 AMR that is WSLR within the scope of SLR at their facility and is listed as “passive” on Table
40 2.1-5. Although Table 2.1-5 is extensive, it may not be all-inclusive. Thus, the reviewer should
41 use other available information sources, such as prior application reviews, to determine whether
42 a component may be subject to an AMR.

1 For example, an applicant has marked a boundary of a certain system that is ~~W~~SLR~~, within the~~
2 scope of SLR. The marked-up diagram shows that there are pipes, valves, and air compressors
3 within this boundary. The applicant has identified piping and valve bodies as subject to an
4 AMR. Because Table 2.1-5 indicates that air compressors are not subject to an AMR, the
5 reviewer should find the applicant's determination acceptable.

1 Section 2.1 contains additional guidance on screening the following:

- 2 • Consumables
- 3 • Heat exchanger-intended functions
- 4 • Multiple functions

5 If the reviewer does not identify any omissions of components from those that are subject to an
6 AMR, the NRC staff would then have reasonable assurance that the applicant has identified the
7 components subject to an AMR for the mechanical systems.

8 Table 2.3-2 provides examples of mechanical components screening developed from lessons
9 learned during the review of the initial ~~license renewal~~SLR applications and bases for their
10 disposition.

11 If the applicant determines that a component is subject to an AMR, the applicant should also
12 identify the component's intended function, as defined in 10 CFR 54.4. Such functions must be
13 maintained by any necessary AMRs. Table 2.3-3 provides examples of mechanical
14 component--intended functions.

15 **2.3.4 Evaluation Findings**

16 If the reviewer determines that the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the
17 provisions of the Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal
18 Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-LR,SLR), then the NRC staff's evaluation
19 ~~supports~~would support conclusions of the following type, to be included in the ~~safety evaluation~~
20 ~~report~~SER:

21 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that
22 there is reasonable assurance that the applicant has appropriately identified the
23 mechanical system components within the scope of subsequent license renewal,
24 as required by 10 CFR 54.4, and that the applicant has adequately identified the
25 system components subject to an ~~aging management review~~AMR in accordance
26 with the requirements stated in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1).

27 **2.3.5 Implementation**

28 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for
29 complying with specific portions of NRC regulations, the method described herein will be used
30 by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.

31 **2.3.6 References**

32 None

|

7

Table 2.3-1. Examples of Mechanical Components Scoping and Basis for Disposition	
Example	Disposition
Piping segment that provides structural support	The safety-related/nonsafety-related boundary along a pipe run may occur at a valve location. The nonsafety-related piping segment between this valve and the next seismic anchor provides structural support in a seismic event. This piping segment is WSLR <u>within the scope of SLR</u> .
Containment heating and ventilation system ductwork downstream of the fusible links providing cooling to the steam generator compartment and reactor vessel annulus	This nonsafety-related ductwork provides cooling to support the applicant's environmental qualification program. However, the failure of the cavity cooling system ductwork will not prevent the satisfactory completion of any critical safety function during and following a design basis event <u>DBE</u> . Thus, this ductwork is not WSLR <u>within the scope of SLR</u> .
Standpipe installed inside the fuel oil storage tank	The standpipe as described in the applicant's CLB ensures that there is sufficient fuel oil reserve for the emergency diesel generator to operate for the number of days specified in the plant technical specifications following DBEs. Therefore, this standpipe is WSLR <u>within the scope of SLR</u> .
Insulation on boron injection tank	The temperature is high enough that insulation is not necessary to prevent boron precipitation. The plant technical specifications require periodic verification of the tank temperature. Thus, the insulation is not relied on to ensure the function of the emergency system and is not WSLR <u>within the scope of SLR</u> .
Pressurizer spray head	The spray head is not credited for the mitigation of any accidents addressed in the UFSAR accident analyses for many plants. The function of the pressurizer spray is to reduce reactor coolant system pressure during normal operating conditions. However, some plants rely on this component for pressure control to achieve cold shutdown during certain fire events. Failure of the spray head should be evaluated in terms of any possible damage to surrounding safety grade components, in addition to the need for spray. Therefore, this component should be evaluated on a plant- <u>specific</u> basis.

Table 2.3-2. Examples of Mechanical Components Screening and Basis for Disposition	
Example	Disposition
Diesel engine jacket water heat exchanger and portions of the diesel fuel oil system and starting air system supplied by a vendor on a diesel generator skid	These are “passive,” “long-lived” components having intended functions. They are subject to an AMR for license renewal SLR even though the diesel generator is considered “active.”
Fuel assemblies	The fuel assemblies are replaced at regular intervals based on the fuel cycle of the plant. They are not subject to an AMR.
Valve internals (such as disk and seat)	10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) excludes valves, other than the valve body, from AMR. The statements of consideration of the license renewal rule provide the basis for excluding structures and components SCs that perform their intended functions with moving parts or with a change in configuration or properties. Although the valve body is subject to an AMR, valve internals are not.

Table 2.3-3. Examples of Mechanical Component-Intended Functions	
Component	Intended Function^aFunction[*]
Piping	Pressure boundary
Valve body	Pressure boundary
Pump casing	Pressure boundary
Orifice	Pressure boundary flow restriction
Heat exchanger	Pressure boundary heat transfer
Reactor vessel internals	Structural support of fuel assemblies, control rods, and incore instrumentation, to maintain core configuration and flow distribution
^a _* The component-intended functions are those that support the system-intended functions. For example, a heat exchanger in the spent fuel cooling system has a pressure boundary-intended function, but may not have a heat transfer function. Similarly, not all orifices have flow restriction as an intended function.	

1 2.4 Scoping and Screening Results: Structures

2 Review Responsibilities

3 Primary ~~—~~ Assigned branch(~~ess~~)

4 Secondary ~~—~~ None

5 2.4.1 Areas of Review

6 This section addresses the scoping and screening results of structures and structural
7 components for subsequent license renewal- (SLR). Typical structures include the following:

- 8 • The primary containment structure;
- 9 • Building structures (such as the intake structure, diesel generator building, auxiliary
10 building, and turbine building);
- 11 • Component supports (such as cable trays, pipe hangers, elastomer vibration isolators,
12 equipment frames and stanchions, and HVAC heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
13 (HVAC) ducting supports);
- 14 • ~~Nonsafety~~ Non-safety-related structures whose failure could prevent safety-related
15 systems, structures, ~~systems~~, and components (SSCs) from performing their intended
16 functions (~~that is, e.g.,~~ seismic Category II structures over Category I structures).

17 Typical structural components include the following: (i) liner plates, (ii) walls, (iii) floors,
18 (iv) roofs, (v) foundations, (vi) doors, (vii) beams, (viii) columns, and (ix) frames.

19 Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21(a)(1) requires an applicant to
20 identify and list structures and components (SCs) subject to an aging management review
21 (AMR). These are “passive,” “long-lived” ~~structures and components~~ SCs that are within the
22 scope of ~~license renewal (WSLR)-SLR~~. In addition, 10 CFR 54.21(a)(2) requires an applicant to
23 describe and justify the methods used to identify these ~~structures and components. The~~ SCs.
24 The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff reviews the applicant’s methodology
25 separately following the guidance in Section 2.1. To verify that the applicant has properly
26 implemented its methodology, the NRC staff focuses its review on the implementation results.
27 Such a focus allows the NRC staff to confirm that there is no omission of structures that are
28 subject to an AMR by the applicant. If the review identifies no omission, the NRC staff has the
29 basis to find that there is reasonable assurance that the applicant has identified the ~~structural~~
30 ~~components~~ SCs that are subject to an AMR.

31 An applicant should list all plant-level systems and structures. On the basis of the design basis
32 events (DBEs) considered in the plant’s current licensing basis (CLB) and other CLB information
33 relating to nonsafety-related systems and structures and certain regulated events, the applicant
34 should identify those plant-level systems and structures ~~WSLR~~ within the scope of SLR, as
35 defined in 10 CFR 54.4(a). This is “scoping” of the plant-level systems and structures for
36 ~~license renewal~~ SLR. The NRC staff reviews the applicant’s plant-level “scoping” results
37 separately following the guidance in Section 2.2.

1 For structures that are ~~WSLR~~within the scope of SLR, an applicant must identify the structural
2 componentsSCs that are “passive” and “long-lived” in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i)
3 and (ii). These “passive,” “long-lived” ~~structural components are those that~~SCs are subject to
4 an AMR (“screening”). The applicant’s methodology implementation results for identifying
5 ~~structural components~~SCs subject to an AMR is the area of review.

6 The applicant has the flexibility to determine the set of ~~structures and components~~SCs for
7 which an AMR is performed, provided that this set includes the ~~structures and components~~SCs
8 for which the NRC has determined that an AMR is required. This flexibility is described in the
9 statements of consideration for the License Renewal Rule (60 FR 22478). Therefore, the
10 reviewer should not focus the review on structural components that the applicant has already
11 identified as subject to an AMR because it is an applicant’s option to include more structural
12 componentsSCs than those subject to an AMR, pursuant to
13 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1). Rather, the reviewer should focus on those ~~structural components~~SCs that
14 are not included by the applicant as subject to an AMR to ensure that they do not perform an
15 intended function as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(b) or are not “passive” and “long-lived.”

16 **2.4.2 Acceptance Criteria**

17 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review define methods for determining whether the
18 applicant has met the requirements of NRC regulations in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1). For the
19 applicant’s implementation of its methodology to be acceptable, the NRC staff should have
20 reasonable assurance that there has been no omission of ~~structural components~~SCs that are
21 subject to an AMR.

22 *2.4.2.1 Structural Components Subject to an Aging Management Review*

23 Structural components are ~~WSLR~~within the scope of SLR as delineated in 10 CFR 54.4(a) if
24 they are

- 25 • Safety-related ~~SSCs~~systems, structures, and components that are relied upon to remain
26 functional during and following DBEs [as defined in 10 CFR 50.49(b)(1)] to ensure the
27 following functions:
 - 28 — The integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary;
 - 29 — The capability to shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown
30 condition; or
 - 31 — The capability to prevent or mitigate the consequences of accidents that
32 could result in potential offsite exposure comparable to the guidelines in
33 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1), 10 CFR 50.67(b)(2), or 10 CFR 100.11, as applicable.
- 34 • All nonsafety-related ~~SSCs~~systems, structures and components whose failure could
35 prevent satisfactory accomplishment of any of the functions identified in 10 CFR
36 54.4(a)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii).
- 37 • All SSCsAll systems, structures, and components relied on in safety analyses or plant
38 evaluations to perform a function that demonstrates compliance with NRC regulations for
39 fire protection (10 CFR 50.48), environmental qualification (10 CFR 50.49), pressurized
40 thermal shock (PTS)

1 (10 CFR 50.61), anticipated transients without scram (ATWS) (10 CFR 50.62), and
2 station blackout (SBO) (10 CFR 50.63).

3 Structural components are subject to an AMR if they are WSLRwithin the scope of SLR and
4 perform an intended function as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(b) without moving parts or a change in
5 configuration or properties (“passive”), and are not subject to replacement based on a qualified
6 life or specified time period (“long-lived”) [10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) and (ii)].

7 **2.4.3 Review Procedures**

8 The reviewer verifies the applicant’s scoping and screening results. If the reviewer requests
9 additional information from the applicant regarding why a certain structure was not identified by
10 the applicant as being WSLRwithin the scope of SLR or subject to an AMR for the applicant’s
11 plant, the reviewer should provide a focused question that clearly explains what information is
12 needed, why the information is needed, and how the information will allow the NRC staff to
13 make its safety finding. In addition, other NRC staff members review the applicant’s scoping
14 and screening methodology separately following the guidance in Section 2.1. The reviewer
15 should keep these other NRC staff members informed of findings that may affect their review of
16 the applicant’s methodology. The reviewer should coordinate this sharing of information
17 through the ~~license renewal~~SLR project manager.

18 For each area of review, the following review procedures are to be followed:

19 2.4.3.1 *Structural Components Within the Scope of Subsequent License Renewal*

20 In this step, the NRC staff determines which structures and structural components are
21 WSLRwithin the scope of subsequent license renewal. The Rule requires applicants to identify
22 structures that are subject to an AMR, but not structures that are WSLRwithin the scope of
23 subsequent license renewal. Whereas, in the past, LRAs have included a table of structures
24 that are WSLRwithin the scope of license renewal, that information need not be submitted with
25 future ~~LRAs~~SLRAs. Although that information will be available at plant sites for inspection, the
26 reviewer should determine through sampling of P&IDspiping and instrumentation diagram piping
27 and instrumentation diagram and through review of the updated final safety analysis report
28 (UFSAR) and other plant documents what portion of the components are within the scope of
29 SLR. The reviewer should check to see if any structures exist that the NRC staff believes are
30 within the scope of SLR but are not identified by the applicant as being subject to an AMR (and
31 request that the applicant provide justification for omitting those structures that are “passive”
32 and “long-lived”).

33 2.4.3.2 *Structural Components Subject to an Aging Management Review*

34 In general, structural components are “passive” and “long-lived.” Thus, they are subject to an
35 AMR if they are WSLRwithin the scope of SLR. For each of the plant-level structures
36 WSLRwithin the scope of SLR, an applicant should identify those structural components that
37 have intended functions. For example, the applicant may identify that its auxiliary building is
38 WSLRwithin the scope of SLR. For this auxiliary building, the applicant may identify the
39 structural components of beams, concrete walls, blowout panels, etc., that are subject to an
40 AMR. The applicant should justify omitting a component from an AMR that is WSLRwithin the
41 scope of SLR at its facility and is listed as “passive” on Table 2.1-5. Although Table 2.1-5 is
42 extensive, it may not be all-inclusive. Thus, the reviewer should use other available information,

1 such as prior application reviews, to determine whether a component may be subject to an
2 AMR.

3 As set forth below, the reviewer should focus on individual structures not subject to an AMR,
4 one at a time, to confirm that the structural components that have intended functions have been
5 identified by the applicant. In a few instances, only portions of a particular building are
6 WSLR within the scope of SLR. For example, a portion of a particular turbine building provides
7 shelter for some safety-related equipment, which is an intended function, and the remainder of
8 this particular building does not have any intended functions. In this case, the reviewer should
9 verify that the applicant has identified the relevant particular portion of the turbine building as
10 being WSLR within the scope of SLR and subject to an AMR.

11 The reviewer should use the UFSAR, orders, applicable regulations, exemptions, and license
12 conditions to determine the design basis for the SSCs. The design basis specifies the intended
13 function(s) of the system(s). That intended function is used to determine the components within
14 that system that are relied upon for the system to perform its intended functions.

15 The reviewer should focus the review on those structural components that have not been
16 identified as being WSLR within the scope of SLR. For example, for a building WSLR within the
17 scope of SLR, if an applicant did not identify the building roof as subject to an AMR, the
18 reviewer should verify that the roof has no intended functions, such as a “Seismic Category II
19 structures over Category I structures” concern in accordance with the plant’s CLB. The
20 reviewer need not verify all structural components that have been identified as subject to an
21 AMR by the applicant because the applicant has the option to include more structural
22 components than the rule requires.

23 Further, the reviewer should select functions described in the UFSAR to verify that structural
24 components having intended functions were not omitted from the scope of the review. For
25 example, if the UFSAR indicates that a dike within the fire pump house prevents a fuel oil fire
26 from spreading to the electrically driven fire pump, the reviewer should verify that this dike has
27 been identified as being WSLR within the scope of SLR. Similarly, if a nonsafety-related
28 structure or component is included in the ~~plant’s~~ plant’s CLB as a part of the safe shutdown path
29 resulting from the resolution of ~~the~~ the unresolved safety issue, (USI) A-46 (Ref. 1), the reviewer
30 should verify that the structure or component has been included WSLR within the scope of SLR.

31 The applicant should also identify the intended functions of structural components. Table 2.1-4
32 provides typical “passive” structural component-intended functions.

33 The NRC staff has developed additional scoping/screening guidance (Ref. 2). For example,
34 some structural components may be grouped together as a commodity, such as pipe hangers,
35 and some structural components are considered consumable materials, such as sealants.
36 Additional guidance on these and others other components are contained in Section 2.1 for the
37 following:

- 38 • Commodity groups
- 39 • Hypothetical failure
- 40 • Cascading
- 41 • Consumables
- 42 • Multiple functions

1 If the reviewer does not identify any omissions of components from those that are subject to an
2 AMR, the NRC staff would have reasonable assurance that the applicant has identified the
3 components subject to an AMR for the structural systems.

4 Table 2.4-1 provides examples of structural components scoping/screening lessons learned
5 from the review of initial ~~license renewal~~SLR applications and the basis for disposition.

6 If the applicant determines that a structural component may be subject to an AMR, the applicant
7 should also identify the component's intended functions, as defined in 10 CFR 54.4. Such
8 functions must be maintained by any necessary AMPsaging management programs.

9 If the reviewer determines that the applicant has satisfied the criteria described in this review
10 section, the NRC staff would have reasonable assurance that the applicant has identified the
11 components that are WSLRwithin the scope of SLR and subject to an AMR.

12 **2.4.4 Evaluation Findings**

13 If the reviewer determines that the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the
14 provisions of the SRP-LR, Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal
15 Applications for Nuclear Power Plants, then the NRC staff's evaluation ~~supports~~would support
16 conclusions of the following type, to be included in the safety evaluation report:

17 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that
18 there is reasonable assurance that the applicant has appropriately identified the
19 structural components subject to an ~~aging management review~~AMR in
20 accordance with the requirements stated in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1).

21 **2.4.5 Implementation**

22 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for
23 complying with specific portions of NRC regulations, the method described herein will be used
24 by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.

25 **2.4.6 References**

- 26 2. NRC. NUREG--1211, "Regulatory Analysis for Resolution of Unresolved Safety Issue
27 A--46, Seismic Qualification of Equipment in Operating Plants," Washington, DC:
28 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, February 1987.
- 29 3. NRC. NUREG--0933, "Resolution of Generic Safety Issues," Supplement 32,
30 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, August 2008

4.

Table 2.4-1. Examples of Structural Components Scoping/Screening and Basis for Disposition	
Example	Disposition
Roof of turbine building	An applicant indicates that degradation or loss of its turbine building roof will not result in the loss of any intended functions. The turbine building contains safety--related SSCs in the basement, which would remain sheltered and protected by several reinforced concrete floors if the turbine building roof were to degrade. Because this roof does not perform an intended function, it is not <u>WSLR within the scope of subsequent license renewal.</u>
Post-tensioned containment tendon gallery	The intended function of the post-tensioning system is to impose compressive forces on the concrete containment structure to resist the internal pressure resulting from a DBA with no loss of structural integrity. Although the tendon gallery is not relied on to maintain containment integrity during DBEs, operating experience indicates that water infiltration and high humidity in the tendon gallery can contribute to a significant aging effect on the vertical tendon anchorages that could potentially result in loss of the ability of the post-tensioning system to perform its intended function. However, containment inspections provide reasonable assurance that the tendon anchorages, including those in the gallery, will continue to perform their intended functions. Because the tendon gallery itself does not perform an intended function, it is not <u>WSLR within the scope of subsequent license renewal.</u>
Water-stops	Ground water leakage into the auxiliary building could occur as a result of degradation to the water-stops. This leakage may cause flooding of equipment <u>WSLR within the scope of subsequent license renewal.</u> (The plant's UFSAR discusses the effects of flooding.) The water-stops perform their functions without moving parts or a change in configuration, and they are not typically replaced. Thus, the water-stops are subject to an AMR. However, they need not be called out explicitly in the scoping/screening results if they are included as parts of structural components that are subject to an AMR.

1 **2.5 Scoping and Screening Results: ~~ELECTRICAL AND INSTRUMENTATION~~**
2 **~~AND- Electrical And Instrumentation and~~**
3 **Controls Systems**

4 **Review Responsibilities**

5 **Primary** ~~___~~ Assigned branch(~~ess~~)

6 **Secondary** ~~___~~ None

7 **2.5.1 Areas of Review**

8 This review plan section addresses the electrical and instrumentation and ~~controls~~control (I&C)
9 scoping and screening results for subsequent license renewal- (~~SLR~~). Typical electrical and
10 I&C components that are subject to an aging management review (AMR) for ~~license~~
11 ~~renewal~~SLR include electrical cables and connections.

12 Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21(a)(1) requires an applicant to
13 identify and list structures and components (~~SCs~~) subject to an AMR. These are “passive,”
14 “long-lived” ~~structures and components~~SCs that are within the scope of ~~license renewal~~
15 ~~(WSLR)-SLR~~. In addition, 10 CFR 54.21(a)(2) requires an applicant to describe and justify the
16 methods used to identify these ~~structures and components~~. ~~The~~SCs. The U.S. Nuclear
17 Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff reviews the applicant’s methodology separately following
18 the guidance in Section 2.1. To verify that the applicant has properly implemented its
19 methodology, the NRC staff focuses its review on the implementation results. Such a focus
20 allows the NRC staff to confirm that there is no omission of electrical and I&C components that
21 are subject to an AMR by the applicant. If the review identifies no omission, the NRC staff has
22 the basis to find that there is reasonable assurance that the applicant has identified the
23 electrical and I&C components that are subject to an AMR.

24 An applicant should list all plant-level systems and structures. On the basis of the design basis
25 events (DBEs) considered in the plant’s current licensing basis (CLB) and other CLB information
26 relating to nonsafety-related systems and structures and certain regulated events, the applicant
27 would identify those plant-level systems and structures that are ~~WSLR~~within the scope of SLR,
28 as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(a). This is “scoping” of the plant-level systems and structures for
29 ~~license renewal~~.SLR. The NRC staff reviews the applicant’s plant-level “scoping” results
30 separately following the guidance in Section 2.2.

31 For an electrical and I&C system that is ~~WSLR~~within the scope of SLR, an applicant may not
32 identify the specific electrical and I&C components that are subject to an AMR. For example, an
33 applicant may not “tag” each specific length of cable that is “passive” and “long-lived,” and
34 performs an intended function as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(b). Instead, an applicant may use the
35 so-called “plant spaces” approach (Ref. 1), which is explained below. The “plant spaces”
36 approach provides efficiencies in the AMR of electrical equipment located within the same plant
37 space environment.

38 Under the “plant spaces” approach, an applicant would identify all “passive,” “long-lived”
39 electrical equipment within a specified plant space as subject to an AMR, regardless of whether
40 these components perform any intended functions. For example, an applicant could identify all
41 “passive,” “long-lived” electrical equipment located within the turbine building (“plant space”) as
42 subject to an AMR for ~~license renewal~~.SLR. In the subsequent AMR, the applicant would

1 evaluate the environment of the turbine building to determine the appropriate aging
2 management activities for this equipment. The applicant has options to further refine this
3 encompassing scope on an as-needed basis. For this example, if the applicant identified
4 elevated temperatures in a particular area within the turbine building, the applicant may elect to
5 further refine the scope in this particular area by (1) identifying electrical equipment that is not
6 subject to an AMR and (2) excluding this equipment from the AMR. In this case, the excluded
7 electrical equipment would be reported in the application as not being subject to an AMR.

8 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) provides many examples of electrical and I&C components that are not
9 considered to be “passive” and are not subject to an AMR for ~~license renewal~~ SLR. Therefore,
10 the applicant is expected to identify only a few electrical and I&C components, such as electrical
11 penetrations, cables, and connections that are “passive” and subject to an AMR. However, the
12 time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) evaluation requirements in 10 CFR 54.21(c) apply to
13 environmental qualification of electrical equipment, which is not limited to “passive” components.

14 An applicant has the flexibility to determine the set of electrical and I&C components for which
15 an AMR is performed, provided that this set includes the electrical and I&C components for
16 which the NRC has determined an AMR is required. This is based on the statements of
17 consideration for the License Renewal Rule (60 FR 22478). Therefore, the reviewer need not
18 review all components that the applicant has identified as subject to an AMR because
19 the applicant has the option to include more components than those required by
20 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1).

21 **2.5.2. Acceptance Criteria**

22 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review define methods for determining whether the
23 applicant has met the requirements of NRC regulations in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1). For the
24 applicant’s implementation of its methodology to be acceptable, the NRC staff should have
25 reasonable assurance that there has been no omission of electrical and I&C system
26 components that are subject to an AMR.

27 2.5.2.1 Components Within the Scope of Subsequent License Renewal

28 Electrical and I&C components are ~~WSLR~~ within the scope of SLR as delineated in
29 10 CFR 54.4(a) if they are

- 30 • Safety-related systems, structures, and components (SSCs) that are relied upon to
31 remain functional during and following DBEs ~~{as defined in 10 CFR 50.49(b)(1)}~~ to
32 ensure the following functions:

33 The integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary;

34 The capability to shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown
35 condition; or

36 The capability to prevent or mitigate the consequences of accidents that could
37 result in potential offsite exposure comparable to the guidelines in
38 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1), 10 CFR 50.67(b)(2) or 10 CFR 100.11, as applicable.

- 39 • All nonsafety-related SSCs whose failure could prevent satisfactory accomplishment of
40 any of the functions identified in 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1)(i), (ii) or (iii).

- 1 • All SSCs relied on in safety analyses or plant evaluations to perform a function that
2 demonstrates compliance with NRC regulations for fire protection (10 CFR 50.48),
3 environmental qualification (10 CFR 50.49), pressurized thermal shock (PTS)
4 (10 CFR 50.61), anticipated transients without scram (ATWS) (10 CFR 50.62), and
5 station blackout (SBO) (10 CFR 50.63).

6 2.5.2.1.1 *Components Within the Scope of SBO (10 CFR 50.63)*

7 Both the offsite and onsite power systems are relied upon to meet the requirements of the SBO
8 Rule. This includes the following:

- 9 • The onsite power system meeting the requirements under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1)
10 (safety-related systems)
- 11 • Equipment that is required to cope with an SBO (e.g., alternate ac power sources)
12 meeting the requirements under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3)
- 13 • The plant system portion of the offsite power system that is used to connect the plant to
14 the offsite power source meeting the requirements under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3). The
15 electrical distribution equipment out to the first circuit breaker with the offsite distribution
16 system (i.e., equipment in the switchyard). This path typically includes the circuit
17 breakers that connect to the offsite system power transformers (startup transformers),
18 the transformers themselves, the intervening overhead or underground circuits between
19 circuit breaker and transformer and transformer and onsite electrical distribution system,
20 and the associated control circuits and structures. However, the NRC staff's review is
21 based on the plant-specific current licensing basis CLB, regulatory requirements, and
22 offsite power design configurations.

23 2.5.2.2 *Components Subject to an Aging Management Review*

24 Electrical and I&C components are subject to an AMR if they are W/SLR within the scope of SLR
25 and perform an intended function as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(b) without moving parts or without
26 a change in configuration or properties ("passive"), and are not subject to replacement based on
27 a qualified life or specified time period ("long-lived") [10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(i) and (ii)].

28 **2.5.3 Review Procedures**

29 The reviewer verifies the applicant's scoping and screening results. If the reviewer requests
30 additional information from the applicant regarding why a certain component was not identified
31 by the applicant as being W/SLR within the scope of SLR or subject to an AMR for the applicant's
32 plant, the reviewer should provide a focused question that clearly explains what information is
33 needed, why the information is needed, and how the information will allow the NRC staff to
34 make its safety finding. In addition, other NRC staff members review the applicant's scoping
35 and screening methodology separately following the guidance in Section 2.1. The reviewer
36 should keep these other NRC staff members informed of findings that may affect their review of
37 the applicant's methodology. The reviewer should coordinate this sharing of information
38 through the license renewal SLR project manager.

39 The reviewer should verify that an applicant has identified in the license renewal SLR application
40 the electrical and I&C components that are subject to an AMR for its plant. The review
41 procedures are presented below and assume that the applicant has performed "scoping" and

1 “screening” of electrical and I&C system components in that sequence. However, the applicant
2 may elect to perform “screening” before “scoping,” which is acceptable because, regardless of
3 the sequence, the end result should encompass the electrical and I&C components that are
4 subject to an AMR.

5 ~~The scope~~ Some of ~~10 CFR 50.49 electric~~ the electrical equipment ~~to be included within 10 CFR~~
6 ~~54.4(a)(3) is that “long-lived” (is in the scope of 10 CFR 50.49 that have a~~ qualified life of 40
7 years or greater) ~~equipment are also within the scope of SLR under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3). They~~
8 ~~have~~ already ~~been~~ identified by ~~licensees~~ licenses under 10 CFR 50.49(b), ~~which specifies~~
9 ~~certain electric equipment important to safety.)~~ Licensees may rely upon their listing of
10 environmental qualification (EQ) equipment, as required by 10 CFR 50.49(d), for the purposes
11 of identifying electrical equipment satisfying 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3) ~~with respect to equipment that is~~
12 ~~also~~ within the scope of 10 CFR 50.49 (60 FR 22466). However, the license renewal rule has a
13 requirement (~~{10 CFR 54.21(c)}~~) on the evaluation of TLAAs, including environmental
14 qualification EQ (10 CFR 50.49). Environmental qualification EQ equipment is not limited to
15 “passive” equipment. The applicant may identify environmental qualification EQ equipment
16 separately for TLAA evaluation and not include such equipment as subject to an AMR under
17 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1). The environmental qualification EQ equipment identified for TLAA
18 evaluation would include the “passive” environmental qualification EQ equipment subject to an
19 AMR. The TLAA evaluation would ensure that the environmental qualification EQ equipment
20 would be functional for the period of extended operation. The NRC staff reviews the applicant’s
21 environmental qualification EQ TLAA evaluation separately following the guidance in Section
22 4.4.

23 For each area of review, the following review procedures are to be followed.

24 2.5.3.1 *Components Within the Scope of Subsequent License Renewal*

25 In this step, the NRC staff determines whether the applicant has properly identified the
26 components that are ~~WSLR~~ within the scope of SLR. The Rule requires that the
27 ~~LRAs~~ subsequent license renewal application (SLRA) identify and list components that are
28 ~~WSLR~~ within the scope of SLR and are subject to an AMR. Whereas, in the past, LRAs SLRAs
29 have included a table of components that are ~~WSLR~~ within the scope of license renewal,
30 generally that information need not be submitted with future LRAs SLRAs. Although that
31 information will be available at plant sites for inspection, the reviewer must determine, through
32 sampling of one-line diagrams and through review of the updated final safety analysis report
33 (UFSAR) and other plant documents, what portion of the components are ~~WSLR~~ within the
34 scope of SLR. The reviewer must check to see if any components exist that the NRC staff
35 believes are within the scope but are not identified by the applicant as being subject to AMR
36 (any request that the applicant provide justification for omitting those components that are
37 “passive” and “long-lived”).

38 The reviewer should use the UFSAR, orders, applicable regulations, exemptions, and license
39 conditions to determine the design basis for the SSCs. The design basis specifies the intended
40 function(s) of the system(s). That intended function is used to determine the components within
41 that system that are required for the system to perform its intended functions.

42 The applicant may use the “plant spaces” approach in scoping electrical and I&C components
43 for ~~license renewal~~ SLR. In the “plant spaces” approach, an applicant may indicate that all
44 electrical and I&C components located within a particular plant area (“plant space”), such as the
45 containment and auxiliary building, are ~~WSLR~~ within the scope of SLR. The applicant may also

1 indicate that all electrical and I&C components located within another plant area (“plant space”),
2 such as the warehouse, are not WSLR within the scope of SLR. Table 2.5-1 contains examples
3 of this “plant spaces” approach and the corresponding review procedures.

4 The applicant would use the “plant spaces” approach for the subsequent AMR of the electrical
5 and I&C components. The applicant would evaluate the environment of the “plant spaces” to
6 determine the appropriate aging management activities for equipment located there. The
7 applicant has the option to further refine this encompassing scope on an as-needed basis. For
8 example, if the applicant identified elevated temperatures in a particular area within a building
9 (“plant space”), the applicant may elect to identify only those “passive,” “long-lived” electrical
10 and I&C components that perform an intended function in this particular area as subject to an
11 AMR. This approach of limiting the “plant spaces” is consistent with the “plant spaces”
12 approach. In this case, the reviewer verifies that the applicant has specifically identified the
13 electrical and I&C components that may be WSLR within the scope of SLR in these limited “plant
14 spaces.” The reviewer should verify that the electrical and I&C components that the applicant
15 has elected to further exclude do not indeed have any intended functions as defined in
16 10 CFR 54.4(b).

17 Section 2.1 contains additional guidance on scoping the following:

- 18 • Commodity groups
- 19 • Complex assemblies
- 20 • Scoping events
- 21 • Hypothetical failure
- 22 • Cascading

23 If the reviewer does not identify any omissions of components from those that are WSLR within
24 the scope of SLR, the NRC staff would have reasonable assurance that the applicant has
25 identified the components WSLR within the scope of SLR for the electrical and I&C systems.

26 2.5.3.2 Component Components Subject to an Aging Management Review

27 In this step, the reviewer determines whether the applicant has properly identified the
28 components subject to an AMR from among those which are WSLR within the scope of SLR
29 (i.e., those identified in Subsection 2.5.3.1). The reviewer should review selected components
30 that the applicant has identified as being WSLR within the scope of SLR to verify that the
31 applicant has identified these components as being subject to an AMR if they perform intended
32 functions without moving parts or without a change in configuration or properties and are not
33 subject to replacement on the basis of a qualified life or specified time period. The description
34 of “passive” may also be interpreted to include structures and components that do not display “a
35 change in state.”

36 Only components that are “passive” and “long-lived” are subject to an AMR. Table 2.1-5 lists
37 many typical components and structures, and their associated intended functions, and identifies
38 whether they are “passive.” The reviewer should use Table 2.1-5 in identifying whether certain
39 components are “passive.” The reviewer should verify that electrical and I&C components
40 identified as “passive” in Table 2.1-5 have been included by the applicant as being subject to an
41 AMR. Although Table 2.1-5 is extensive, it may not be all-inclusive. Thus, the reviewer should
42 use other available information sources, such as prior application reviews, to determine whether
43 a component may be subject to an AMR.

1 Section 2.1 contains additional guidance on screening the following:

- 2 • Consumables
- 3 • Multiple intended functions

4 If the reviewer does not identify any omissions of components from those that are subject to an
5 AMR, the NRC staff would have reasonable assurance that the applicant has identified the
6 components subject to an AMR for the electrical and I&C systems.

7 **2.5.4 Evaluation Findings**

8 If the reviewer determines that the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the
9 provisions of the SRP-LR Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal
10 Applications for Nuclear Power Plants, then the NRC staff's evaluation ~~supports~~would support
11 conclusions of the following type, to be included in the safety evaluation report:.

12 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that there is
13 reasonable assurance that the applicant has appropriately identified the electrical and
14 instrumentation and controls system components subject to an ~~aging management review~~AMR
15 in accordance with the requirements stated in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1).

16 **2.5.5 Implementation**

17 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for
18 complying with specific portions of NRC regulations, the method described herein will be used
19 by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.

20 **2.5.6 References**

- 21 1. SNL. SAND96-0344, "Aging Management Guideline for Commercial Nuclear Power
22 Plants-Electrical Cable and Terminations,". Albuquerque, New Mexico: Sandia
23 National Laboratories, September 1996, page 6-11.

Table 2.5-1: Examples of “Plant Spaces” Approach for Electrical and I&C Scoping and Corresponding Review Procedures

Example	Review Procedures
An applicant indicates that all electrical and I&C components on site are WSLR <u>within the scope of SLR</u> .	This is acceptable, and a staff review is not necessary because all electrical and I&C components are included without exception and would include those required by the rule.
An applicant indicates that all electrical and I&C components located in seven specific buildings (containment, auxiliary building, turbine building, etc.) are WSLR <u>within the scope of SLR</u> .	The reviewer should review electrical systems and components in areas outside of these seven buildings (“plant spaces”). The reviewer should verify that the applicant has included any direct-buried cables in trenches between these buildings as WSLR <u>within the scope of SLR</u> if they perform an intended function. The reviewer should also select buildings other than the seven indicated (for example, the radwaste facility) to verify that they do not contain any electrical and I&C components that perform any intended functions.
An applicant indicates that all electrical and I&C components located onsite, except for the 525 kV switchyard, 230 kV transmission lines, radwaste facility, and 44 kV substation, are WSLR <u>within the scope of SLR</u> .	The reviewer should select the specifically excluded “plant spaces” (that is, the 525 kV switchyard, 230 kV transmission lines, radwaste facility, and 44 kV substation) to verify that they do not contain any electrical and I&C components that perform any intended functions.

1

3 AGING MANAGEMENT REVIEW

3.0 Integrated Plant Assessments and Aging Management Reviews

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Project Manager (PM) responsible for the safety review of the subsequent license renewal application (LRA/SLRA) is responsible for assigning to appropriate NRC Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) divisions the review or audit of aging management reviews (AMRs) of systems, structures, and components (SSCs) or aging management programs (AMPs) identified in the applicant's LRA/SLRA. The PM documents to which organization each AMR or AMP is assigned. The assigned AMRs and AMPs are reviewed per the criteria described in Sections 3.1 through 3.6 of this Standard Review Plan (SRP-LR, NUREG-1800) for Review of license renewal applications Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-SLR), for review of SLRAs, as directed by the scope of each of these sections. Chapter 4 of this standard review plan provides guidelines for using time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs) as the basis for evaluating and managing aging effects by analysis. Specifically, Section 4.1 of this standard review plan (SRP) provides the NRC staff's guidance for identifying TLAAs in accordance with the requirements in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21(c)(1) and the definitions of TLAAs in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAs are reviewed in accordance with the acceptance criteria and guidance described in Sections 4.2 through 4.7 of this SRP.

Review of the AMPs requires assessment of ten10 program elements as defined in this SRP-LR-SLR. The NRC division assigned the AMP reviews the ten10 program elements to verify their technical adequacy. For three of the ten10 program elements (corrective actions, confirmation process, and administrative controls), the NRC division responsible for review of the quality assurance (QA) aspects of the application verifies that the applicant has documented a commitment in the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) Supplement to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B program to address the associated program elements for each AMP. If the applicant chooses alternate means of addressing these three program elements (i.e., use of a process other than the applicant's 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B program), the NRC division assigned to review the AMP requests should request that the division responsible for quality assurance QA review the applicant's proposal on a case-specific basis. Table 3.0-1 is a supplement to the FSAR and contains a list of programs that are applicable to each SRP-LR section and sub-section. It also contains the programs that are used to manage the aging effects associated with various systems.

Table 3.01 is a supplement to the FSAR and contains a list of programs that are applicable to each SRP-SLR and subsection. It also contains the programs that are applicable to managing the aging effects associated with various systems.

3.0.1 Background on the Types of Reviews

10-CFR-Section-54.21(a)(3) to 10 CFR Part 54 requires that the LRA application to demonstrate, for systems, structures, and components (SSCs) within the scope of license renewal and subject to an AMR pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1), that the effects of aging are adequately managed so that the intended function(s) are maintained consistent with the current licensing basis (CLB) for the subsequent period of extended operation. This AMR consists of identifying the material, environment, aging effects, and the AMP(s) credited for managing the aging effects.

Sections 3.1 through 3.6 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR describe how the AMRs and AMPs are reviewed. ~~One method that~~ In this SRP-SLR, Subsection 3.X.2 (where X denotes number 1–6) presents the acceptance criteria describing methods to determine whether the applicant ~~may~~ has met the requirements of the NRC's regulations in 10 CFR 54.21. Subsection 3.X.3 presents the review procedures to be followed.

The AMR line items in the 3.X-1 tables provide a generic list of AMRs for groups of components that may be included in the reactor coolant system, emergency safety feature systems, auxiliary systems, steam and power conversion systems, structures and structural components, and electrical systems of an applicant's pressurized water reactor (PWR) or boiling water reactor (BWR) plant design. The AMR items are provided in a column-based format that accomplishes the following objectives:

- New, Modified, Deleted Item: The description of this column identifies whether the AMR line item is new, was modified, or deleted. The NRC will publish the technical bases for these new, modified, and deleted AMR items in a NUREG containing the disposition of public comments and the technical bases for changes in the guidance documents when the final subsequent license renewal (SLR) guidance documents are published.
- "ID" column: The description for this column provides an identification number for the AMR item of a given commodity grouping of components that have common materials of fabrication, environmental conditions, and aging effects.
- "Type" column: The description for this column identifies whether the specific AMR item in the table is applicable to BWR or PWR plant designs.
- "Component" column: The description for this column identifies the specific components that are within the scope of the commodity grouping in the AMR item and the materials of fabrication and environmental conditions that are applicable to the components in the AMR item.
- "Aging Effect/Mechanism" column: The description for this column identifies the aging effects and mechanisms that are applicable to the material-environmental combinations for the components in the commodity grouping of the AMR item.
- "Aging Management Program/TLAA" column: The description for this column provides AMPs or TLAA's that may be used to manage the aging effects that apply to the components in the commodity grouping of the AMR item and to demonstrate compliance with the aging management requirement in 10 CFR54.21(a)(3).
- "Further Evaluation" column: The description for this column identifies whether the AMP or TLAA recommended in the "Aging Management Program/TLAA" column of the AMR item requires additional evaluation (further evaluation) by an applicant adopting the AMR item. This column also references specific subsection(s) in SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2 that applies to the evaluation of the components in the commodity grouping of the AMR item.
- "GALL-SLR Item" column: The description for this column identifies the component-specific AMR items in the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report that derives from the commodity-group-based item in the SRP-SLR AMR table.

The GALL-SLR Report is a technical basis document to the SRP-SLR and provides generic AMR and AMP guidance that may be used as part of the bases for developing an SLRA. As such, the GALL-SLR Report contains an acceptable method that may be used to assist an applicant in: (a) developing the integrated plant assessment (IPA) for an SLRA, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(a); (b) identifying those components and structures that are required to be within the scope of an AMR, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1); and (c) managing those aging effects that are applicable to these SSCs, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). An applicant may propose an alternative method for performing the IPA. Therefore, the use to conduct of the GALL-SLR Report is not required; however, its AMRs is to satisfy the NUREG-1801 (GALL Report) use should facilitate both preparation of a SLRA by an applicant and timely, uniform review by the NRC staff. If the GALL-SLR report is used for the development of an SLRA, the GALL-SLR Report should be treated as an NRC-approved topical report.

The GALL-SLR Report contains an AMR evaluation of a large number of SCs that may be in the scope of a typical SLRA and may need to be the subject of an AMR in accordance with requirements in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1). The AMR results documented in the GALL-SLR Report indicate that many existing, typical generic AMPs are adequate to manage aging effects for particular structures or components without change. The GALL-SLR Report also contains recommendations on specific areas for which generic existing programs should be augmented for SLRAs and documents the technical basis for each such determination. In addition, the GALL-SLR Report identifies certain SCs that may or may not be subject to particular aging effects, and for which industry groups are developing generic AMPs or investigating whether aging management is warranted. The ultimate generic resolution of such an issue may need NRC review and approval for plant-specific implementation, as indicated in a plant-specific FSAR supplement, and reflected in the safety evaluation report (SER) associated with a particular SLRA.

The GALL-SLR Report does not address scoping of SSCs for subsequent license renewal (SLR). The determination of SSCs that need to be within the scope of SLR is plant-specific aspect of the application and is required to be performed in accordance with the requirements in 10 CFR 54.4. Consistent with the scoping guidelines in Chapter 2 of this SRP-SLR Report, the scoping results for an SLRA are dependent on the plant design and CLB. Therefore, the inclusion of a certain structure or component in a given AMR line item of the GALL-SLR Report does not mean that this particular structure or component is within the scope of SLR for all plants.

Conversely, the omission of a certain structure or component in the GALL-SLR Report does not mean that a particular structure or component in the plant design is not within the scope of the SLRA or does not need to be the subject of an AMR in accordance with the requirements in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1). The AMR line items in the SRP-SLR and GALL-SLR Report may not provide a comprehensive list of all structures or components that need to be within the subject of an AMR or a comprehensive list of all potential aging effects that may be applicable to those structures or components as being the subject of an AMR. Therefore, as has been the practice for initial license renewal applications (LRAs), plant-specific AMRs should be performed if additional aging effects (not referenced in the SRP-SLR and GALL-SLR reports) are applicable to the design of a specific structure or component subject to an AMR.

As indicated in the bulleted list above, the specific AMR line items in Chapters II–VIII of the GALL-SLR Report derive from and are identified in the AMR line items of the 3.X-2 tables of the SRP-SLR. The AMR line items in GALL-SLR Report are formatted in a manner that is analogous (but not identical) to the format of the AMR line items in the SRP-SLR. In addition,

as indicated above, the “Further Evaluation” column in the AMR line items of the 3.X-1 tables of this report and the AMR tables (Chapters II through VIII of the GALL-SLR Report) establish whether the aging management bases in those AMR line items need to be the subject of further assessment by the applicant (i.e., the subject of “further evaluations”). The “further evaluation” topics and the acceptance criteria for satisfying these “further evaluations” are described in the 3.X.2.2 subsections of this report. The related review procedures for these “further evaluation” topics are provided in the 3.X.3.2 subsections of this report.

Therefore, for SCs in the plant design that are required to be scoped into the SLRA and subject to an AMR, the applicant may use the AMR line items in the 3.X-1 tables of the SRP-SLR, and the AMR line items in the GALL-SLR Report referenced in these SRP-SLR tables, as a basis for comparison to the design of the SCs in the plant design. If this method is used for development of the AMR, the applicant may adopt those AMR items in the SRP-SLR and GALL-SLR Reports that are applicable to the design of the SCs in the plant in order to aid the applicant in identifying those aging effects that are applicable to the structures or components. For those AMR items in the SLRA that are designated as being consistent with the SRP-SLR and GALL-SLR Reports and are the subject of “further evaluation” aging management topics, the AMR should include the applicant’s bases on how those “further evaluation” criteria have been addressed and met, as applicable to the licensing basis and design basis for the plant’s design.

As part of the development of the SLRA, the applicant should assess the AMPs in the GALL SLR Report. The applicant may choose to use methodology other than an AMP that is consistent with the GALL SLR Report to demonstrate compliance with 10 CFR 54.24(AMP, or may choose a)(3).

The GALL Report is a technical basis document to the SRP-LR, which provides the staff with guidance in reviewing a license renewal application. plant-specific AMP. An applicant may reference the GALL-SLR Report in a license renewal application an SLRA to demonstrate that the designate which programs at the applicant’s facility will be used to manage the effects of aging for specific structures or components, and how those programs correspond to those the AMPs reviewed and approved in the GALL-SLR Report. The GALL Report (NUREG-1801) should be treated as an approved topical report. However, If an applicant takes does take credit for a program in the GALL-SLR Report, it is incumbent on the applicant to ensure that the conditions and operating experience at the plant is bounded by the conditions and operating experience for which the GALL-SLR Report program was evaluated. If these bounding conditions are not met it is incumbent on the applicant to address the additional effects of aging and augment the aging management program AMP(s) in the GALL-SLR Report in the SLRA, as appropriate.

The staff will verify that the applicant’s programs are consistent with those described in the GALL report and/or with plant conditions and operating experience during the performance of an aging management program audit and review. The focus of the balance of the staff review of a license renewal application is on those programs that an applicant has enhanced to be consistent with the GALL Report, those programs for which the applicant has taken an exception to the program described in the GALL Report, and plant-specific programs not described in the GALL Report.

If an applicant takes credit for a program in the GALL Report, it is incumbent on the applicant to ensure that the plant program contains all the elements of the referenced GALL Report program. In addition, the conditions at the plant must be bounded by the conditions for which the GALL Report program was evaluated. The above verifications must be documented onsite

~~in an auditable form. The applicant should include a certification in the license renewal application that the verifications have been completed and are documented onsite in an auditable form.~~

~~The GALL Report contains one acceptable way to manage aging effects for license renewal. An applicant may propose alternatives for staff review in its plant-specific license renewal application. Use of the GALL Report is not required, but its use should facilitate both preparation of a license renewal application by an applicant and timely, uniform review by the NRC staff.~~

~~In addition, the GALL Report does not address scoping of structures and components for license renewal. Scoping is plant-specific, and the results depend on the plant design and current licensing basis. The inclusion of a certain structure or component in the GALL Report does not mean that this particular structure or component is within the scope of license renewal for all plants. Conversely, the omission of a certain structure or component in the GALL Report does not mean that this particular structure or component is not within the scope of license renewal for any plants.~~

~~The GALL Report contains an evaluation of a large number of structures and components that may be in the scope of a typical LRA. The evaluation results documented in the GALL Report indicate that many existing, typical generic aging management programs are adequate to manage aging effects for particular structures or components for license renewal without change. The GALL Report also contains recommendations on specific areas for which generic existing programs should be augmented for license renewal and documents the technical basis for each such determination. In addition, the GALL Report identifies certain SSCs that may or may not be subject to particular aging effects, and for which industry groups are developing generic aging management programs or investigating whether aging management is warranted. To the extent that the ultimate generic resolution of such an issue will need NRC review and approval for plant-specific implementation, as indicated in a plant-specific FSAR supplement, and reflected in the SER associated with a particular LR application, an amendment pursuant to 10 CFR 50.90 will be necessary.~~

~~In this SRP-LR, subsection 3.X.2 (where X denotes number 1-6) presents the acceptance criteria describing methods to determine whether the applicant has met the requirements of NRC's regulations in 10 CFR 54.21. Subsection 3.X.3 presents the review procedures to be followed. Some rows (items) in the AMR tables (in Chapters II through VIII of the GALL Report) establish the need to perform "further evaluations." This can be clearly seen in Tables 3.X-1, and the last two columns (for 2010 and 2005, respectively) denoted the Rev2 and Rev1 AMR Line Item references. The acceptance criteria for satisfying these "further evaluations" are found in Subsections 3.X.2.2. The related review procedures are provided in subsections 3.X.3.2.~~

~~In Regulatory Guide 1.188, "Standard Format and Content for Applications to Renew Nuclear Power Plant Operating Licenses," the NRC has endorsed an acceptable methodology for applicants to structure license renewal applications. Using the guidance described in the aforementioned Regulatory Guide, the applicant documents in the LRA whether its AMR item is consistent or not consistent with the GALL Report.~~

~~A portion of the AMR includes the assessment of the AMPs in the GALL Report. The applicant may choose to use an AMP that is consistent with the GALL Report AMP, or may choose a plant-specific AMP.~~

~~If a GALL-~~If a GALL-SLR Report AMP is selected to manage aging, the applicant may take one or more exceptions to specific GALL-SLR Report AMP program elements. However, any deviation or exception to the GALL-SLR Report AMP should be described and justified.

~~Exceptions~~ Exceptions are portions of the GALL-SLR Report AMP that the applicant does not intend to implement.

In some cases, an applicant may choose an existing plant program that does not currently meet all the program elements defined in the GALL-SLR Report AMP. If this is the situation, the applicant makes a commitment to augment the existing program to satisfy the GALL-SLR Report AMP elements prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. ~~This commitment is an AMP “enhancement.”~~

Enhancements are revisions or additions to existing ~~aging management programs-AMPs~~ that the applicant commits to implement prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. Enhancements include, but are not limited to, those activities needed to ensure consistency with the GALL-SLR Report recommendations. Enhancements may expand, but not reduce, the scope of an AMP.

For the programs submitted in the SLRA that the applicant claims are consistent with the GALL-SLR, the NRC staff will verify that the applicant’s programs are consistent with those described in the GALL-SLR Report and/or with plant conditions and operating experience during the performance of an AMP audit and review. The focus of the balance of the NRC staff review of a SLRA is on those programs that an applicant has enhanced to be consistent with the GALL-SLR Report, those programs for which the applicant has taken an exception to the program described in the GALL-SLR Report, and plant-specific programs not described in the GALL-SLR Report.

~~An audit and review is conducted at the applicant’s facility to evaluate AMPs that the applicant claims to be consistent with the GALL-Report. Reviews are-SLR Report. The applicant may use a plant-specific AMP or plant-specific aging management activities as the basis for aging management of a specific structure or component. If plant-specific AMPs or aging management activities are used as the basis for aging management, the NRC staff reviews the AMPs or activities in accordance with the program element criteria that are defined in the SRP-SLR Appendix A.1, Subsection A.1.2.3.~~

Reviews are also performed to address those AMRs or AMPs related to emergent issues, stated to be not consistent with the GALL-SLR Report, or based on an NRC-approved precedent (e.g., AMRs and AMPs addressed in an NRC SER of a previous ~~LRA~~-SLRA) or technical or topical report. SRP-SLR Section 3.0.3 provides additional guidance on reviewing those GALL-based or plant-specific AMPs that are based on NRC-endorsed technical or topical reports. As a result of the criteria established in 10 CFR Part 54, the guidance provided in SRP-~~LR-SLR~~, GALL-SLR Report, ~~Regulatory Guide 1.188~~, and the applicant’s exceptions and/or enhancements to a GALL-SLR Report AMP, the following types of AMRs and AMPs are audited or reviewed by the NRC staff.

AMRs

- AMR results consistent with the GALL-SLR Report
- AMR results for which further evaluation is recommended ~~by the GALL-Report~~
- AMR results not consistent with or not addressed in the GALL-SLR Report

AMPs

- Consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMPs
- Plant-specific AMPs

FSAR Supplement

- Each LRASLRA AMP will provide an FSAR Supplement which defines changes to the FSAR that will be made as a condition of a renewed license. This FSAR Supplement defines the aging management programs AMPs the applicant is crediting to satisfy 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3).
- The FSAR Supplement should also contain a commitment to implement the LRASLRA AMP enhancement prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.

3.0.2 Applications With Approved Extended Power Updates

Extended power updates (EPU EPUs) are licensing actions that some licensees have recently requested the NRC staff to approve. This can affect aging management. In an NRC staff letter to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, dated October 26, 2004 (ADAMS Accession No. ML042790085), the NRC Executive Director for Operation states that “All license renewal applications with an approved EPU will be required to perform an operating experience review and its impact on [aging] management programs AMPs for structures, and components SCs before entering the subsequent period of extended operation.” One way for an applicant with an approved EPU to satisfy this criterion is to document its commitment to performing perform an operating experience review and its impact on aging management programs AMPs for systems, structures, and components (SSCs) before entering the subsequent period of extended operation as part of its license renewal application SLRA. Such licensee commitments should be documented in the NRC staff’s SER, written in support of issuing a renewed license. The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant completes these activities no later than the committed date. EPU impact on SSCs should be part of the license renewal SLR review. If necessary, the PM assigns a responsible group to address EPU.

~~NOTE: In the Summary of Aging Management review tables, the Component field provides a description of the component, material, and environment combinations for which the GALL Report recommends a specified aging management program to manage the related aging effect/mechanism. To provide SRP-LR tables in a format most useful to the reviewer and industry, the presentation and specific language describing structure/components, materials, aging effects/mechanisms, and supplemental information supporting the aging management programs may vary somewhat between chapters. Tables 3.3-1, 3.4-1, and 3.6-1 exhibit similar language while Tables 3.1-1 and 3.5-1 exhibit language that varies somewhat. Also note that the capitalization and punctuation scheme in these Tables 3.X-1 can seem variable, the SRP-LR component column is an artifact of how material and component information has been consolidated in this report. This is not a technical difference, but one that supports the presentation of the materials in the desired table format. When in doubt, refer back to the specified “Rev2 Item,” the AMR Item(s) upon which a given row is based.~~

1 **3.0.3 Aging Management Programs that Rely on Implementation of Nuclear**
2 **Regulatory Commission-Approved Technical or Topical Reports**

3 The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Office Instruction LIC-500, Revision 5,
4 establishes the NRC's current process expectations for applying the methodology in an
5 NRC-endorsed or NRC-approved technical report or topical report (TR) to the CLB or current
6 design basis of a licensed U.S. light-water reactor facility. The LIC-500 office instruction
7 identifies that use of such reports may be subject to specific limitations or actions, which are
8 identified and issued in the NRC's safety evaluations (SEs) that are issued regarding on the TR
9 methodologies. The LIC-500 office instruction states that it is the NRC's expectation that
10 licensees or applicants applying these types of reports to their CLBs or design bases will
11 address or respond to those action items or limitations that were issued in the NRC staff's SEs
12 regarding the TR methodologies.

13 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report(GALL-SLR) aging
14 management programs (AMPs) that rely on the recommended activities in NRC-endorsed TRs
15 identify those TRs that are within the scope of the AMPs. Examples of GALL-SLR AMPs that
16 rely on NRC-approved industry reports include, but are not limited to, (1) GALL-SLR Report
17 AMP XI.M4, "BWR ID Attachment Welds," (2) GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M5, "BWR Feedwater
18 Nozzles," (3) GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M8, "BWR Penetrations," and (4) GALL-SLR
19 Report AMP XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals." Plant-specific AMPs may also be based on
20 NRC-approved TRs.

21 For AMPs that rely on one or more NRC-endorsed TRs, the use of TR methodologies that are
22 relied upon for aging management is subject to the applicant's bases for resolving any
23 limitations or action items that are placed on implementation of the applicable TR
24 methodologies. Therefore, an applicant's bases for resolving any limitations or actions items on
25 the TRs is especially relevant to the applicant's determination on whether the scope of the
26 program, or other program elements in the AMP, will need to be augmented or enhanced
27 beyond conformance with the recommended criteria, evaluations, and activities in the applicable
28 TRs. Therefore, the AMPs should include the applicant's bases for resolving any limitation or
29 action items on the applicable TR methodologies, as documented in the NRC SE regarding the
30 methodologies. If it is determined that the basis for resolving a specific TR limitation or
31 applicant action item would result in the need for augmentation of the AMP beyond the criteria,
32 evaluations, or activities recommended in the TRs, the applicant should enhance its AMPs
33 accordingly to identify the TR guidance protocols or activities that will be impacted and the
34 specific AMP program elements that will need to be enhanced or adjusted (as necessary and
35 applicable to the CLB and design basis for the facility) as a result of the applicant's basis for
36 resolving the specific limitation or action item. Consistent with the recommendations in
37 NEI 95-10, applicants may provide their bases for resolving the specific limitations or action
38 items in Appendix C of their SLRAs.

39 In addition, for AMPs that rely on these types of TRs, the recommended activities in these TRs
40 may go beyond those activities that are within the scope of applicable NRC requirements
41 (e.g., those requirements in any of the applicable Federal Acts, NRC regulations, plant
42 operating license or technical specification requirements, or NRC-issued orders).
43 Implementation of the TRs referenced in the AMPs does not relieve the applicant from
44 complying with the applicable requirements, unless applicable Code reliefs, regulatory
45 exemptions, or notices of enforcement action are requested and granted by the NRC for the
46 specific type of requirement that applies to the CLB. This is in addition to those aspects of the
47 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B program that may apply to the AMPs.

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
XI.E1	<u>Electrical Insulation Material for Electrical Cables and Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements</u>	<p>The program consists<u>provides reasonable assurance that the intended functions of electrical cable insulating material (e.g., power, control, and instrumentation) and connection insulating material that are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 are maintained consistent with the current licensing basis through the subsequent period of extended operation.</u></p> <p><u>The program is a cable and connection insulation material condition monitoring program that utilizes sampling. The component sampling methodology utilizes a population that includes a representative sample of in-scope electrical cable and connection types regardless of whether or not the component was included in a previous aging management or maintenance program. The technical basis for the sample selection is documented.</u></p> <p><u>The program applies to accessible electrical cable and connection electrical insulation material within the scope of license renewal including in-scope cables and connections installed in subject to an adverse localized environments to be environment. Accessible in-scope electrical cable and connection electrical insulation material is visually inspected at least once every 10 years and tested for cable jacket and connection insulation surface anomalies, such indicating signs of reduced electrical insulation resistance.</u></p> <p><u>Visual Inspection and testing may include thermography and one or more proven condition monitoring test methods applicable to the cable and connection insulation material. Electrical cable and connection insulation material test results are to be within the acceptance criteria, as embrittlement, discoloration, cracking, melting, swelling, or identified in the applicant's procedures. Visual inspection results show that</u></p>	First inspection for license renewal completed prior to the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation	GALL VI / SRP 3.6

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>accessible cable and connection insulation material are free from visual indications of surface contamination, abnormalities that could indicate incipient conductor insulation aging degradation from temperature, radiation, or moisture. cable or connection electrical insulation aging effects exist. When acceptance criteria are not met, a determination is made as to whether the surveillance, inspection, or tests, including frequency intervals, need to be modified.</u></p> <p><u>The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report. [The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]</u></p>		
XI.E2	<p><u>Electrical Insulation Material for Electrical Cables and Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements Used in Instrumentation Circuits</u></p>	<p><u>The program calls for the review of calibration results or findings of surveillance tests on applies to electrical cables and connections (cable system) electrical insulation material used in circuits with sensitive, high -voltage, low-level current signals, such as. Examples of these circuits include radiation monitoring and nuclear instrumentation, to provide an indication of that are subject to aging management review and subjected to adverse localized environments caused by temperature, radiation, or moisture.</u></p> <p><u>The program evaluates electrical insulation material for cable and connection subjected to an adverse localized environment. In addition to the evaluation and identification of adverse localized environments, either of two methods can</u></p>	<p>First review of calibration results or findings of surveillance test results or cable tests for license renewal completed prior to the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation</p>	GALL VI / SRP 3.6

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p>be used to identify the existence of aging effects based on acceptance criteria related to instrumentation circuit performance-cable and connection insulation material aging degradation.</p> <p>In the first method, calibration results or findings of surveillance testing programs are evaluated to identify the existence of electrical cable and connection insulation material aging degradation.</p> <p>In the second method, direct testing of the cable system is performed. By reviewing the results obtained during normal calibration or surveillance, an applicant may detect severe aging degradation prior to the loss of the cable and connection intended function. The review of calibration results or findings of surveillance tests is performed at least once every 10 years.</p> <p>The test frequency of the cable system is determined by the applicant based on engineering evaluation, but the test frequency is at least once every 10 years. In cases where cables are not included as part of calibration or surveillance program testing circuit, a proven cable test (such as insulation resistance tests, time domain reflectometry tests, or other testing judged shown to be effective in determining cable system electrical insulation condition as justified in the application)applicant's aging management program is performed. The first reviews and tests are completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.</p> <p>The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience including research</p>		

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p>and development (e.g., test frequency is based on engineering evaluation and methods, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is at least once every 10 years evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.</p> <p>[The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]</p>		
XI. E3 <u>E3A</u>	<p><u>Electrical Insulation for Inaccessible Medium Voltage Power Cables Not Subject To 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements</u></p>	<p>The program calls for <u>applies to</u> inaccessible or underground (e.g., installed in conduit <u>buried conduits, cable trenches, cable troughs, duct banks, underground vaults, or direct buried</u>) installations) medium voltage power (greater than or equal to 400 volts) cable <u>cable (operating voltage; 2.3kV to 35kV)</u> within the scope of license renewal exposed to <u>adverse localized environments due primarily to significant moisture, to be tested at least once every 6 years to provide an indication of the condition of the conductor insulation. The specific type of test to be used should be capable of detecting reduced insulation resistance.</u></p> <p><u>An adverse localized environment is based on the most limiting environment (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the cable electrical insulation. Significant moisture is considered an adverse localized environment for these in scope inaccessible cables. The cables included in this program are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49.</u></p>	<p>First tests or first inspections for <u>subsequent</u> license renewal completed prior to the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation</p>	<p>GALL VI / SRP 3.6</p>

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p>Electrical insulation subjected to an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component and therefore have an adverse effect on operability, or potentially lead to failure of the cable's insulation system due to wetting or submergence. The applicant can assess the condition of the cable insulation with reasonable confidence using one or more of the following techniques: Dielectric Loss (Dissipation Factor/Power Factor), AC Voltage Withstand, Partial Discharge, Step Voltage, Time Domain Reflectometry, Insulation Resistance and Polarization Index, Line Resonance Analysis, or other testing that is state-of-the-art at the time the tests.</p> <p>Although a condition monitoring program, periodic inspections are performed. One or more tests are used to determine the condition of the cables so they will continue to meet their intended function during the period of extended operation.</p> <p>The inspection frequency for water collection is established and performed based on plant-specific operating experience with cable wetting or submergence in manholes (i.e., the inspection is prevent inaccessible cable from being exposed to significant moisture. These inspections are performed periodically based on water accumulation over time and event driven occurrences such as heavy rain or flooding). The periodic inspection should occur at least annually. The inspection should with the first inspection for subsequent license renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. Inspections are performed after</p>		

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>event driven occurrences, such as heavy rain, thawing of ice and snow, or flooding.</u></p> <p><u>Both the periodic and event driven inspections include direct observation indication that cables are not wetted or submerged, and that cables cable/splices and cable support structures are intact, and dewatering/drainage Dewatering systems (i.e.g., sump pumps and drains) and associated alarms operate properly. In addition, operation of dewatering devices should be inspected and their operation verified prior to any known. <u>Inspections include documentation that either automatic or passive drainage systems, or manually pumping manholes and vaults is effective in preventing inaccessible cable submergence.</u></u></p> <p><u>Test frequencies are adjusted based on test results (including trending of degradation where applicable) and plant specific operating experience. The first tests for subsequent license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation with tests performed at least every 6 years thereafter. The specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test, and is to be a proven test for detecting deterioration of the cable insulation system (e.g., one or more tests may be required depending to the specific cable construction: shielded and non-shielded, and the insulation material under test).</u></p> <p><u>Tests may include combinations of situ or laboratory: electrical, physical, or predicted heavy rain chemical testing. Testing may include inspection and testing of</u></p>		

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>cable subjected to the same environment (e.g., the use of coupons – abandoned or flooding events- removed cable). A plant specific inaccessible medium voltage test matrix is developed to document inspections, test methods, and acceptance criteria applicable to the applicant's in-scope inaccessible medium voltage power cable types.</u></p> <p><u>[The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]</u></p>		
<u>XI.E3B</u>	<u>Electrical Insulation for Inaccessible Instrument and Control Cables Not Subject To 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements</u>	<p><u>The program applies to inaccessible or underground (e.g., installed in buried conduits, cable trenches, cable troughs, duct banks, underground vaults, or direct buried installations) instrument and control cable, within the scope of license renewal exposed to adverse localized environments due primarily to significant moisture.</u></p> <p><u>An adverse localized environment is based on the most limiting environment (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the cable electrical insulation. Significant moisture is considered an adverse localized environment for these in scope inaccessible cables. The cables included in this program are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49.</u></p> <p><u>Electrical insulation subjected to an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component and therefore have an adverse effect on operability, or potentially lead to failure of the cable's insulation system.</u></p>	<u>First tests or first inspections for subsequent cense renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	<u>GALL VI / SRP 3.6</u>

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>In scope inaccessible instrument and control cables submarine or other cables designed for continuous wetting or submergence are also included in this program as a onetime inspection with additional test and inspection frequencies determined by the onetime test, inspection results, and plant specific operating history.</u></p> <p><u>Although a condition monitoring program, periodic inspections are performed to prevent inaccessible cable from being exposed to significant moisture. These inspections are performed periodically based on water accumulation over time. The periodic inspection occurs at least annually with the first inspection for subsequent license renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. Inspections are performed after event driven occurrences, such as heavy rain, thawing of ice and snow, or flooding. Both the periodic and event driven inspections include direct indication that cables are not wetted or submerged, and that cable/splices and cable support structures are intact, Dewatering systems (e.g., sump pumps and drains) and associated alarms are inspected and their operation verified. Inspections include documentation that either automatic or passive drainage systems, or manually pumping manholes and vaults is effective in preventing inaccessible cable submergence.</u></p> <p><u>Test frequencies are adjusted based on test results (including trending of degradation where applicable) and plant specific operating experience. The first tests for subsequent license renewal are to be</u></p>		

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation with tests performed at least every 6 years thereafter. The specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test, and is to be a proven test for detecting deterioration of the cable insulation system (e.g., one or more tests may be required depending to the specific cable construction: shielded and non-shielded, and the insulation material under test).</u></p> <p><u>Tests may include combinations of situ or laboratory; electrical, physical, or chemical testing. Testing may include inspection and testing of cable subjected to the same environment (e.g., the use of coupons – abandoned or removed cable). For a large installed number of inaccessible instrumentation and control cables, a sample test methodology may be employed. A plant specific inaccessible instrument and control cables voltage test matrix is developed to document inspections, test methods, and acceptance criteria applicable to the applicant’s in-scope inaccessible instrument and control cable types.</u></p>		
<u>XI.E3C</u>	<u>Electrical Insulation for Inaccessible Low Voltage Power Cables Not Subject To 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements</u>	<p><u>The program applies to inaccessible or underground (e.g., installed in buried conduits, cable trenches, cable troughs, duct banks, underground vaults, or direct buried installations) low voltage power cable (operating voltage; 1000v – but less than 2kV) within the scope of license renewal exposed to adverse localized environments due primarily to significant moisture.</u></p> <p><u>An adverse localized environment is based on the most limiting environment (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the cable electrical insulation. Significant moisture is considered an adverse localized environment for</u></p>	<u>First tests or first inspections for license renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	<u>GALL VI / SRP 3.6</u>

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>these in scope inaccessible cables. The cables included in this program are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49.</u></p> <p><u>Electrical insulation subjected to an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component and therefore have an adverse effect on operability, or potentially lead to failure of the cable's insulation system. In-scope inaccessible low voltage power cable splices subjected to wetting or submergence are also included within the scope of this program. In scope inaccessible low voltage submarine or other cables designed for continuous wetting or submergence are also included in this program as a onetime inspection with additional test and inspection frequencies determined by the onetime test, inspection results, and plant specific operating history.</u></p> <p><u>Although a condition monitoring program, periodic inspections are performed to prevent inaccessible cable from being exposed to significant moisture. These inspections are performed periodically based on water accumulation over time. The periodic inspection occurs at least annually with the first inspection for subsequent license renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. Inspections are performed after event driven occurrences, such as heavy rain, thawing of ice and snow, or flooding. Both the periodic and event driven inspections include direct indication that cables are not wetted or submerged, and that cable/splices and cable support structures are intact, Dewatering systems (e.g., sump pumps and drains) and associated alarms are inspected and their operation verified. Inspections include documentation that either automatic or passive drainage systems, or manually pumping manholes</u></p>		

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>and vaults is effective in preventing inaccessible cable submergence.</u></p> <p><u>Test frequencies are adjusted based on test results (including trending of degradation where applicable) and plant specific operating experience. The first tests for subsequent license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation with tests performed at least every 6 years thereafter. The specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test, and is to be a proven test for detecting deterioration of the cable insulation system (e.g., one or more tests may be required depending to the specific cable construction: shielded and non-shielded, and the insulation material under test).</u></p> <p><u>Tests may include combinations of situ or laboratory; electrical, physical, or chemical testing. Testing may include inspection and testing of cable subjected to the same environment (e.g., the use of coupons – abandoned or removed cable). For a large installed number of inaccessible low voltage power cables, a sample test methodology may be employed. A plant specific inaccessible low voltage test matrix is developed to document inspections, test methods, and acceptance criteria applicable to the applicant's in-scope inaccessible low voltage power cable types.</u></p>		
XI.E4	Metal Enclosed Bus	<p>The program calls for<u>requires</u> the visual inspection of metal enclosed bus (MEB) internal surfaces to detect age- related degradation, including cracks, corrosion, foreign debris, excessive dust buildup, and evidence of moisture intrusion. MEB insulating material is visually inspected for signs of embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, swelling, discoloration, or surface contamination, which may indicate overheating or aging degradation. The internal bus insulating supports are visually inspected for structural integrity and</p>	<p>First inspection for <u>subsequent</u> license renewal completed prior to the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation</p>	GALL VI / SRP 3.6

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p>signs of cracks. MEB external surfaces are visually inspected for loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion.</p> <p>Accessible elastomers (e.g., gaskets, boots, and sealants) are inspected for degradation, including surface cracking, crazing, scuffing, and changes in dimensions (e.g., “ballooning” and “necking”), shrinkage, discoloration, hardening and loss of strength. A sample of accessible</p> <p>Bolted connections isare inspected for increased resistance of connection by using thermography or by measuring connection resistance using a micro-ohmmeter. These inspections are performed at least once every 10 years. <u>When thermography is employed by the applicant, the applicant demonstrates with a documented evaluation that thermography is effective in identifying MEB increased resistance of connection (e.g., infrared viewing windows installed, or demonstrated test equipment capability).</u></p> <p><u>The first inspection using thermography or measuring connection resistance is completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation and at least every 10 years thereafter.</u></p> <p>As an alternative to thermography or measuring connection resistance of accessible bolted connections covered with heat shrink tape, sleeving, insulating boots, etc., the applicant may use visual inspection of <u>the electrical</u> insulation material to detect surface anomalies, such as embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, discoloration, swelling, or surface contamination. When this-alternative visual inspection is used to check <u>MEB</u> bolted connections, the first inspection is completed prior to the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation and every 5 years thereafter.</p>		

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>Cable bus is a variation on MEB with similar in construction to an MEB, but instead of segregated or non-segregated electrical buses, cable bus is comprised of a fully enclosed metal enclosure that utilizes three-phase insulated power cables installed on insulated support blocks. Cable bus may omit the top cover or use a louvered top cover and enclosure. Both cable bus enclosures are not sealed against the intrusion of dust, industrial pollution, moisture, rain, or ice and therefore may allow debris into the internal cable bus assembly. Cable bus construction and arrangement are such that it does not readily fall under a specific GALL Report AMP (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.E4 or GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.E1). Therefore, cable bus is evaluated as a plant specific aging management program with a plant specific further evaluation.</u></p> <p><u>The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.</u></p> <p><u>[The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]</u></p>		
XI.E5	Fuse Holders	<p><u>The program consists was developed to specifically address aging management of fuse holder insulation material and fuse holder metallic clamp aging mechanisms and effects. In scope fuse holders located inside an active device (e.g., switchgear, power supplies, power inverters, control boards,</u></p>	First tests for <u>subsequent</u> license renewal completed prior to the <u>subsequent</u>	GALL VI / SRP 3.6

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
<u>GALL</u> <u>Chapter</u> <u>AMP</u>	<u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Program</u>	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable <u>GALL-</u> <u>SLR</u> Report and <u>SRP-LRSLR</u> Chapter References
		<p><u>battery chargers) and subject to fatigue caused by frequent fuse removal and replacement (e.g., surveillance, functional testing, and calibration) are also within the scope of this AMP.</u></p> <p><u>The scope of GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.E1, “Electrical Insulation for Electrical Cables and Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements,” includes cable and connection electrical insulation material but not the metallic portion of cables and connections. This AMP inspects both the fuse holder electrical insulation material and the metallic portion of the fuse holder (metallic clamps).</u></p> <p><u>The program utilizes visual inspection and testing to identify age-related degradation for both fuse holder electrical insulation material and fuse holder metallic clamps. The specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test and is to be a proven test for detecting increased resistance of connection of fuse holder metallic clamps, or other appropriate testing justified in the applicant’s aging management program.</u></p> <p><u>Fuse holders within the scope of license renewal to be visually inspected and tested at least once every 10 years to provide an indication of the condition of the metallic clamp portion of the fuse holders. Testing may include thermography, contact resistance testing, or other appropriate testing fuse holder. The first visual inspections and tests for license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.</u></p> <p><u>When acceptance criteria are not met, a determination is made as to whether the inspections, or tests, including frequency intervals, need to be modified.</u></p>	period of extended operation	

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
<u>GALL Chapter AMP</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Program</u>	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable <u>GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References</u>
		<p><u>This program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods-, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.</u></p> <p><u>[The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]</u></p>		
XI.E6	Electrical Cable Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements	<p>The program consists of a representative sample of electrical connections within the scope of license renewal, which is tested at least once prior to the period of extended operation to confirm that there are no aging effects requiring management during that period. Testing may include thermography, contact resistance testing, or other appropriate testing methods without removing the connection insulation, such as heat shrink tape, sleeving, insulating boots, etc. The one-time test provides additional confirmation to support industry operating experience that shows that electrical connections have not experienced a high degree of failures and that existing installation and maintenance practices are effective.</p> <p><u>The program provides reasonable assurance that the metallic parts of electrical cable connections that are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 and susceptible to age-related degradation resulting in increased resistance of the connection are adequately managed. External cable connections associated with in-scope cables that terminate at active or passive devices are in the scope of this AMP. Wiring connections internal to an</u></p>	First tests for <u>subsequent</u> license renewal completed prior to the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation	GALL VI / SRP 3.6

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>active assembly are considered part of the active assembly and, therefore, are not within the scope of this AMP.</u></p> <p><u>The cable connections covered under the Environmental Qualification (EQ) program are not included in the scope of this program. This AMP does not include high-voltage (>35 kilovolts) switchyard connections.</u></p> <p><u>This program is a sampling program. The following factors are considered for sampling: voltage level (medium and low voltage), circuit loading (high loading), connection type, and location (high temperature, high humidity, vibration, etc.). Twenty percent of a connector type population with a maximum sample of 25 constitutes a representative connector sample size. Otherwise a technical justification of the methodology and sample size used for selecting components under test should be included as part of the applicant's AMP documentation. The specific type of test to be performed is a proven test for detecting increased resistance of connection.</u></p> <p><u>As an alternative to thermography or measuring connection resistance measurement of the cable connection sample, connections for the accessible cable connections that are covered with heat shrink <u>electrical insulation materials such as</u> tape, sleeving, insulating boots, etc., the applicant may use <u>perform</u> visual inspection of the electrical <u>material</u> to detect surface anomalies, such as embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, discoloration, swelling, or surface contamination. When this alternative <u>aging effects for covered cable connections. The basis for performing only a periodic visual inspection is used to check cable connections, the first documented.</u></u></p>		

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>A representative sample of electrical connections within the scope of license renewal will be tested at least once every 10 years or at least once every 5 years if only visual inspection is used to provide an indication of the connection integrity. The first visual inspections and tests for license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.</u></p> <p><u>This program is informed and every 5 years thereafter enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.</u></p> <p><u>[The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]</u></p>		
<u>XI.E7</u>	<u>High Voltage Insulators New AMP</u>	<p><u>The program was developed specifically to address aging management of high voltage insulator aging mechanisms and effects. This AMP manages the age related degradation effects of within scope high voltage insulators susceptible to airborne contaminants including dust, salt, fog, cooling tower plume, industrial effluent or loss of material. The high voltage insulators within the scope of the subsequent period of extended operation are those credited for recovery of offsite power.</u></p> <p><u>This program includes visual inspections to identify insulation and metallic component degradation. High voltage insulator surfaces are visually inspected to detect reduced insulation resistance aging effects including cracks, foreign debris, and</u></p>	<u>New AMP</u>	<u>GALL VI / SRP 3.6</u>

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>excessive salt, dust, cooling tower plume and industrial effluent contamination. Metallic parts of the insulator are visually inspected to detect loss of material due to mechanical wear or corrosion.</u></p> <p><u>The high-voltage insulators within the scope of this program are to be visually inspected at least twice per year. For high voltage insulators that are coated, the visual inspection is performed at least once every 5 years.</u></p> <p><u>The first inspections for the subsequent period of extended operation are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.</u></p> <p><u>This program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.</u></p> <p><u>[The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]</u></p>		
XI.M1	ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD	<p>The program consists of periodic volumetric, surface, and/or visual examination of American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Class 1, 2, and 3 pressure-retaining components, including welds, pump casings, valve bodies, integral attachments, and pressure-retaining bolting for assessment, signs of degradation, and corrective actions. This program is in accordance with the ASME Code Section XI edition and addenda approved in accordance with</p>	<u>Existing program. SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL VII / SRP 3.3

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		provisions of 10 CFR 50.55a during the period of extended operation.		
XI.M2	Water Chemistry	This program mitigates aging effects of loss of material due to corrosion, cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) and related mechanisms, and reduction of heat transfer due to fouling in components exposed to a treated water environment. Chemistry programs are used to control water chemistry for impurities (e.g., chloride, fluoride, and sulfate) that accelerate corrosion. This program relies on monitoring and control of water chemistry to keep peak levels of various contaminants below the system-specific limits, based on Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) guidelines (a) BWRVIP-190 (EPRI 1016579, BWR Water Chemistry Guidelines – 2008 Revision) for BWRs or (b) EPRI 1014986 (PWR Primary Water Chemistry – Revision 6) and EPRI 1016555 (PWR Secondary Water Chemistry – Revision 7) for pressurized water reactors (PWRs).	<u>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4 GALL III / SRP 3.5
XI.M3	Reactor Head Closure Stud Bolting	This The program includes (a) <u>in-service inspection (ISI)</u> in conformance with the requirements of the ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWB, Table IWB-2500-1, and (b) preventive measures to mitigate cracking. The program also relies on recommendations to address reactor head stud bolting degradation as delineated in <u>NUREG-1339 and NRC Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.65, Revision 1.</u>	<u>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL IV / SRP 3.1
XI.M4	BWR Vessel ID Attachment Welds	The program includes (a) inspection and flaw evaluation in conformance with the guidelines of staff-approved BWRVIP-48-A to ensure the long-term integrity and safe operation of boiling water reactor (BWR) vessel internal components. The program is a condition monitoring program	<u>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL IV / SRP 3.1

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>that manages cracking in the reactor vessel inside diameter attachment welds. This program relies on visual examinations to detect cracking. The examination scope, frequencies, and methods are in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Table-IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-N-2, and BWRVIP-48-A, "Vessel ID Attachment Weld Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines," dated November 2004. The scope of the examinations is expanded when flaws are detected.</u></p> <p><u>Any indications are evaluated in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, or the guidance in BWRVIP 48-A. Crack growth evaluations follow the guidance in BWRVIP-14-A, "Evaluation of Crack Growth in BWR Stainless Steel RPV Internals, dated September 2008; BWRVIP-59-A, "Evaluation of Crack Growth in BWR Nickel-Base Austenitic Alloys in RPV Internals," dated May 2007; or BWRVIP-60-A, "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of Crack Growth in BWR Low Alloy Steel RPV Internals," dated June 2003; as appropriate. The acceptance criteria are in BWRVIP-48-A and ASME Code, Section XI, Subsubarticle IWB-3520. Repair and replacement activities are conducted in accordance with BWRVIP-52-A, "Shroud Support and Vessel Bracket Repair Design Criteria," dated September 2005.</u></p>		
XI.M5	BWR Feedwater Nozzle	<p><u>This program includes (a) enhancing ISI specified in the ASME Code, Section XI, with the recommendation of General Electric (GE) NE-523-A71-0594 to perform periodic ultrasonic testing inspection of critical regions of the BWR feedwater nozzle. Description for plants that do not have single sleeve interference fit feedwater spargers:</u></p> <p><u>This program is a condition monitoring program that manages the effects of cracking in the reactor vessel feedwater</u></p>	<u>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL IV / SRP 3.1

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>nozzles. This program implements the guidance in GE-NE-523-A71-0594-A, Revision 1, "Alternate BWR Feedwater Nozzle Inspection Requirements," dated May 2000. Cracking is detected through ultrasonic examinations of critical regions of the BWR feedwater nozzle, as depicted in Zones 1, 2, and 3 on ["Figure 4-1," if the nozzle is clad, or "Figure 4-2," if the nozzle is un-clad] of GE NE 523 A71-0594-A, Revision 1. The ultrasonic examination procedures, equipment, and personnel are qualified by performance demonstration in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix VIII. The examination frequency for all three zones is once every 10-year ASME Code, Section XI, in-service inspection interval. Examination results are evaluated in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWB-3130.</u></p> <p><i>Description for plants that have single sleeve interference fit feedwater spargers:</i></p> <p><u>This program is a condition monitoring program that manages the effects of cracking in the reactor vessel feedwater nozzles. This program implements the guidance in GE-NE-523-A71-0594-A, Revision 1, "Alternate BWR Feedwater Nozzle Inspection Requirements," dated May 2000. Cracking is detected through ultrasonic examinations of critical regions of the BWR feedwater nozzle, as depicted in Zones 1, 2, and 3 on ["Figure 4-1," if the nozzle is clad, or "Figure 4-2," if the nozzle is un-clad] of GE NE 523 A71-0594-A, Revision 1.</u></p> <p><u>The ultrasonic examination procedures, equipment, and personnel are qualified by performance demonstration in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix VIII. The examination frequency for Zones 1 and 2 is once every [X]</u></p>		

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>					
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program		Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<u>years, and the examination frequency for Zone 3 is once every [Y] years. Examination results are evaluated in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWB-3130.</u>			
XI.M6	BWR Control Rod Drive Return Line Nozzle	The program includes mandatory in-service inspection in accordance with ASME Code Section XI, Subsection IWB, Table IWB-2500-1 and augmented ISI examinations in accordance with the applicant's commitments to Generic Letter 80-095 to implement the recommendations in NUREG-0619.		Program should be implemented prior to period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1
XI.M7	BWR Stress Corrosion Cracking	<p>The program manages cracking due to manage intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) infor all BWR piping and piping welds made of austenitic stainless steel and nickel alloy BWR that are 4 inches or larger in nominal diameter containing reactor coolant pressure boundary piping is delineated in NUREG-0313, Rev. 2, and at a temperature above 93 °C (200 °F) during power operation, regardless of code classification.</p> <p>The program performs volumetric examinations to detect and manage IGSCC in accordance with NRC Generic Letter (GL) 88--01. Modifications to the extent and schedule of inspection in GL 88-01 are allowed in accordance with the inspection guidance in staff-approved BWRVIP-75-A. This program relies on the staff-approved positions that are described in NUREG-0313, Revision 2, and GL 88-01 and its Supplement 1. The program includes (a) preventive measures to mitigate IGSCC and (b) inspection and flaw evaluation to monitor regarding selection of IGSCC and its effects. resistant materials, solution heat treatment and stress improvement processes, water chemistry, weld overlay reinforcement, partial replacement, clamping devices, crack characterization and repair criteria, inspection methods and</p>		Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<u>personnel, inspection schedules, sample expansion, leakage detection, and reporting requirements.</u>		
XI.M8	BWR Penetrations	The program includes BWR instrumentation penetrations, control rod drive (CRD) housing and incore-monitoring housing (ICMH) penetrations, and standby liquid control nozzles/Core ΔP nozzles. The program manages cracking due to cyclic loading or stress corrosion cracking by performing inspection and flaw evaluation in conformance accordance with the guidelines of staff-approved boiling water reactor vessel and internals project documents BWRVIP-49-A, BWRVIP-47-A, BWRVIP-49-A, and BWRVIP-27-A, to ensure and the long-term integrity and safe operation of BWR vessel internal components. requirements in the ASME Code, Section XI. The examination categories include volumetric examination methods (ultrasonic testing or radiography testing), surface examination methods (liquid penetrant testing or magnetic particle testing), and visual examination methods.	Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1
XI.M9	BWR Vessel Internals	The program includes inspection inspections and flaw evaluation evaluations in conformance with the guidelines of applicable and staff-approved BWRVIP documents, and to ensure the long-term integrity and safe operation of BWR vessel internal components, that are fabricated of nickel alloy and stainless steel (including martensitic stainless steel, cast stainless steel, and associated welds). This program also consists of (1) determination of the susceptibility of cast austenitic stainless steel components, (2) accounting for the synergistic effect of thermal aging and neutron irradiation, and (3) implementing a supplemental examination program, as necessary.	Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p>This program also addresses aging degradation of X-750 alloy and precipitation-hardened (PH) martensitic stainless steel (e.g., 15-5 and 17-4 PH steel) materials and martensitic stainless steel (e.g., 403, 410, 431 steel) that are used in BWR vessel internal components. The program manages the effects of cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC), intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC), or irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking (IASCC), cracking due to cyclic loading (including flow-induced vibration), loss of material due to wear, loss of fracture toughness due to neutron or thermal embrittlement, and loss of preload due to thermal or irradiation-enhanced stress relaxation. The program performs inspections for cracking and loss of material in accordance with the guidelines of applicable staff-approved BWRVIP documents and the requirements of ASME Code, Section XI, Table IWB 2500-1. The impact of loss of fracture toughness on component integrity is indirectly managed by using visual or volumetric examination techniques to monitor for cracking in the components. This program also manages loss of preload for core plate rim holddown bolts and jet pump assembly holddown beam bolts by performing visual inspections or stress analyses to ensure adequate structural integrity.</p> <p><u>This program performs evaluations to determine whether supplemental inspections in addition to the existing BWRVIP examination guidelines are necessary to adequately manage loss of fracture toughness due to thermal or neutron embrittlement and cracking due to IASCC for the subsequent period of extended operation. If the evaluations determine that supplemental inspections are necessary for certain components based on neutron fluence, cracking susceptibility and fracture toughness, the program conducts the supplemental inspections for adequate aging management.</u></p>		

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
XI.M10	Boric Acid Corrosion	<p>The program consists of (a) visual inspection of external surfaces that are potentially exposed to borated water leakage, (b) timely discovery of leak path and removal of the boric acid residues, (c) assessment of the damage, and (d) follow-up inspection for adequacy. This program is implemented in response to NRC GL 88-05 and recent operating experience. This program relies, in part, on the response to NRC Generic Letter 88-05, "Boric Acid Corrosion of Carbon Steel Reactor Pressure Boundary Components in PWR Plants," to identify, evaluate, and correct borated water leaks that could cause corrosion damage to reactor coolant pressure boundary components. The program also includes inspections, evaluations, and corrective actions for all components subject to aging management review that may be adversely affected by some form of borated water leakage.</p> <p><u>This program includes provisions to initiate evaluations and assessments when leakage is discovered by activities not associated with the program. This program follows the guidance described in Section 7 of WCAP-15988-NP, Revision 2, "Generic Guidance for an Effective Boric Inspection Program for Pressurized Water Reactors."</u></p>	<p>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</p>	<p>GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VI / SRP 3.6 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4 GALL III / SRP 3.5</p>
XI.M11B	Cracking of Nickel-Alloy Components and Loss of Material due to Boric Acid-Induced Corrosion in Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary	<p>This program addresses cracking of nickel-alloy components and loss of material due to boric acid-induced corrosion in susceptible, safety-related components in the vicinity of nickel-alloy reactor coolant pressure boundary components. It provides (a) inspection requirements for the PWR vessel, steam generator, pressurizer components, and piping if they contain the primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC) susceptible materials designated alloys 600/82/182 and (b) inspection requirements for reactor pressure vessel upper heads. This program addresses operating experience of degradation due to primary water stress corrosion cracking</p>	<p>Program should be SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</p>	<p>GALL IV / SRP 3.1</p>

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
	Components (PWRS only)	<p><u>(PWSCC) of components or welds constructed from certain nickel alloys (e.g., Alloy 600/82/182) and exposed to pressurized water reactor primary coolant at elevated temperature. The scope of this program includes the following groups of components and materials: (a) all nickel alloy components and welds which are identified in EPRI MRP-126; (b) nickel alloy components and welds identified in ASME Code Cases N-770, N-729 and N-722, as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a; and (c) components that are susceptible to corrosion by boric acid and may be impacted by leakage of boric acid from nearby or adjacent nickel alloy components previously described. This program is used in conjunction with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" because water chemistry can affect the cracking of nickel alloys. The completeness of the plant's EPRI MRP-126 program is also verified prior to entering the subsequent period of extended operation.</u></p> <p><u>For nickel alloy components and welds addressed by the regulatory requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a, inspections are conducted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a. Unless required at a greater frequency by 10 CFR 50.55a, all susceptible nickel alloy components and welds (e.g., Alloy 600/82/182 branch connection nozzles and welds) are volumetrically inspected at an interval not to exceed 10 years if such components or welds are: (a) in contact with reactor coolant; and (b) relied upon for substantial strength of the components or welds, and are of sufficient size to create a loss of coolant accident (LOCA) through a completed failure (quillotine break) or ejection of the component. Other nickel alloy components and welds within the scope of this program are inspected in accordance with EPRI MRP-126.</u></p>		

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>This program also performs an inspection of bottom-mounted instrumentation (BMI) nozzles of reactor pressure vessels using a qualified volumetric examination method. The inspection is conducted on all BMI nozzles prior to the subsequent period of extended operation to ensure adequate management of cracking due to PWSCC. If this inspection indicates the occurrence of PWSCC, periodic volumetric inspections are performed on these nozzles and adequate inspection periodicity is established. Alternatively, plant-proposed and staff-approved mitigation methods may be used to manage the aging effect for these components.</u></p>		
XI.M12	Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS)	<p>The program consists of the determination of the susceptibility <u>potential significance of loss of fracture toughness due to thermal aging embrittlement</u> of CASS piping, piping components, and piping elements<u>components</u> in <u>both the BWR and PWR reactor coolant pressure boundaries</u> emergency core cooling system (ECCS) systems, including interfacing pipe lines to the chemical and volume control system and to the spent fuel pool; and in BWR ECCS systems, including interfacing pipe lines to the suppression chamber and to the drywell and suppression chamber spray system in regard to thermal aging embrittlement based on the casting method, molybdenum content, and ferrite percentage. For potentially susceptible piping, <u>and piping components</u> aging management is accomplished either through enhanced volumetric examination or, enhanced visual examination, or a component-specific flaw tolerance evaluation.</p>	<p><u>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u></p>	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2
XI.M16A	PWR Vessel Internals	<p>The program relies on implementation of the EPRI Report No. 1016596 (MRP-227) and EPRI Report No. 1016609 (MRP-228) to manage the aging effects on the reactor vessel internal components.</p> <p>This program is used to manage (a) various forms of cracking, including SCC, PWSCC, irradiation-assisted</p>	<p>Program should be implemented prior to period of extended operation</p>	<p>GALL IV / SRP 3.1</p>

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>					
<u>GALL Chapter AMP</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Program</u>	<u>Description of Program</u>		<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>	<u>Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References</u>
		stress corrosion cracking (IASCC), or cracking due to fatigue/cyclical loading; (b) loss of material induced by wear; (c) loss of fracture toughness due to either thermal aging or neutron irradiation embrittlement; (d) dimensional changes and potential loss of fracture toughness due to void swelling and irradiation growth; and (e) loss of preload due to thermal and irradiation-enhanced stress relaxation or creep.			
XI.M17	Flow-Accelerated Corrosion (FAC)	<p>The program consists of (a) conducting appropriate analysis and baseline inspections, (b) determining is based on the extent of thinning and replacement/repair of components, and (c) performing follow-up inspections <u>response to confirm or quantify and take long-term corrective actions. The program NRC Generic Letter 89-08, "Erosion/Corrosion-Induced Pipe Wall Thinning," and relies on implementation of EPRthe Electric Power Research Institute guidelines of NSAC in the Nuclear Safety Analysis Center 202L-R2 [(as applicable) Revision 2, 3, or 4], "Recommendations for an Effective Flow Accelerated Corrosion Program."</u></p> <p><u>The program includes the use of predictive analytical software [(as applicable) CHECWORKS™, BRT CICERO™, COMSY]. This program also manages wall thinning caused by mechanisms other than FAC, in situations where periodic monitoring is used in lieu of eliminating the cause of various erosion mechanisms.]</u></p> <p><u>This program includes (a) identifying all susceptible piping systems and components; (b) developing FAC predictive models to reflect component geometries, materials, and operating parameters; (c) performing analyses of FAC models and, with consideration of operating experience, selecting a sample of components for inspections; (d) inspecting components; (e) evaluating inspection data to determine the need for</u></p>		Existing program <u>SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 <u>GALL VII / SRP 3.3</u> GALL VIII / SRP 3.4

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<u>inspection sample expansion, repairs, or R3 replacements, and to schedule future inspections; and (f) incorporating inspection data to refine FAC models.</u>		
XI.M18	Bolting Integrity	<p>This program focuses on closure bolting for pressure-retaining components and relies on recommendations for a comprehensive bolting integrity program, as delineated in NUREG-1339, and industry recommendations, as delineated in EPRI NP-5769, with the exceptions noted in NUREG-1339 for safety-related bolting. The program also relies on industry recommendations for comprehensive bolting maintenance, as delineated in the EPRI TR-104213, <u>1015336 and 1015337</u>.</p> <p>The program generally includes periodic inspection of closure bolting for indications of loss of preload, cracking, and loss of material due to corrosion, rust, etc. The program also includes preventive measures to preclude or minimize loss of preload and cracking.</p> <p>A related aging management program (AMP) XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection (ISI) Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," includes inspections of safety-related and non-safety-related closure bolting and supplements this bolting integrity program. Other related programs, AMPs XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE"; XI.S3, "ASME Section XI Subsection IWF"; XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"; XI.S7, "RG 1.127," "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plant"; and XI.M23, "Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems," manage the inspection of safety-related and non-safety related structural bolting.</p>	<u>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4
XI.M19	Steam Generators	<p>This program consists of aging management activities for the steam generator tubes, plugs, sleeves, and secondary side components that are contained within the steam generator in accordance with the. <u>This program</u></p>	<u>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to</u>	GALL IV / SRP 3.1

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<u>is governed by plant technical specifications and includes commitments to NEI 97-06, Revision 3, and the associated EPRI guidelines. The program also includes foreign material exclusion as a means to inhibit wear degradation, and secondary side maintenance activities, such as sludge lancing, for removing deposits that may contribute to component degradation. The program performs volumetric examination on steam generator tubes in accordance with the requirements in the technical specifications to detect aging effects, if they should occur. The technical specifications require condition monitoring and operational assessments to be performed to ensure that the tube integrity will be maintained until the next inspection. Condition monitoring and operational assessments are done in accordance with the technical specification requirements and guidance in NEI 97-06, Revision 3. The program also includes inspections of steam generator components in accordance with the guidance in NEI 97-06, Revision 3.</u>	<u>the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	
XI.M20	Open-Cycle Cooling Water System	<u>ThisThe program relies, in part, on implementing the response to NRC Generic Letter 89-13, which "Service Water System Problems Affecting Safety-Related Equipment," [(if applicable) and includes nonsafety-related portions of the open-cycle cooling water system]. The program includes (a) surveillance and control of biofouling, (b) tests to verify heat transfer of heat exchangers, (c) routine inspection and maintenance program, (d) system walkdown inspection, and (e) review of maintenance, to ensure that corrosion, erosion, protective coating failure, fouling, and biofouling cannot degrade the performance of systems serviced by the open-cycle cooling water system. This program includes enhancements to the guidance in NRC GL 89-13 that address operating, and training practices and procedures. The Open-Cycle Cooling Water System program applies to components constructed of various materials,</u>	<u>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4

<u>GALL Chapter AMP</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Program</u>	<u>Description of Program</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>	<u>Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References</u>
		including steel, stainless steel, aluminum-copper alloys, polymeric materials, and concrete experience to ensure aging effects are adequately managed.		
XI.M21A	Closed Treated Water Systems	The program includes This is a mitigation program that also includes condition monitoring to verify the effectiveness of the mitigation activities. The program consists of (a) water treatment, including the use of corrosion inhibitors, to modify the chemical composition of the water such that the function of the equipment is maintained and such that the effects of corrosion are minimized; (b) chemical testing of the water to ensure that the water treatment program maintains the water chemistry within acceptable guidelines; and (c) inspections to determine the presence or extent of corrosion and/or cracking-degradation. The program uses ((as applicable) e.g., EPRI 1007820, Closed Cooling Water Chemistry Guideline, and corrosion coupon testing and microbiological testing).	Program should be implemented prior to <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4
XI.M22	Boraflex Monitoring	The program consists of (a) neutron attenuation testing ("blackness testing") to determine gap formation, (b) sampling for the presence of silica in the spent fuel pool along with boron loss, and (c) monitoring and analysis of criticality to assure that the required 5% sub-criticality margin is maintained. This program is implemented in response to NRC GL 96-04.	Existing program <u>SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL VII / SRP 3.3
XI.M23	Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load Handling Related to Refueling) Handling Systems	The program evaluates the effectiveness of the maintenance monitoring program and the effects of past and future usage on the structural reliability of activities for cranes and hoists. The number and magnitude of lifts made by the hoist or crane are also reviewed. Rails and girders are visually inspected on a routine basis for program includes <u>periodic visual inspections to detect degradation; functional tests are performed to assure their integrity, of bridge, rail, and trolley structural components and loss of preload on bolted connections. Volumetric or surface examinations confirm the</u>	Existing program <u>SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL VII / SRP 3.3

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<u>absence of cracking in high strength bolts. This program relies on the guidance in NUREG-0612, ASME B30.2, and other appropriate standards in the ASME B30 series.</u> These cranes must also comply with the maintenance rule requirements provided in 10 CFR 50.65.		
XI.M24	Compressed Air Monitoring	The program consists of monitoring moisture content and corrosion, and performance of the entire <u>recompressed air</u> system, including (a) preventive monitoring of water (moisture), and other contaminants to keep within the specified limits and (b) inspection of components for indications of loss of material due to corrosion. This program is in response to NRC GL 88-14 and INPO's Significant Operating Experience Report (SOER) 88-01. It also relies on the <u>guidance from the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) operations and maintenance standards and guides (ASME OM-Guide-S/G-2012, Division 2, Part 47 and 28) and American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/ISA-S7.0.1-1996 as guidance, and EPRI TR-10847</u> for testing and monitoring air quality and moisture. <u>Additionally, periodic visual inspections of component internal surfaces are performed for signs of loss of material due to corrosion.</u>	Existing program <u>SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL VII / SRP 3.3
XI.M25	BWR Reactor Water Cleanup System	This program includes ISI and monitoring and control of reactor coolant water chemistry. Related to the inspection guidelines for <u>the reactor water cleanup system (RWCU) inspections of RWCU piping welds that are located</u> outboard of the second <u>containment</u> isolation valve, the program includes measures delineated in <u>per the guidelines of NUREG-0313, Revision 2, and NRC GL 88-01, GL 88-01 Supplement 1, and any applicable NRC-approved alternatives to these guidelines</u> and ISI in conformance with the ASME Section XI.	Existing program <u>SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL VII, SRP 3.3
XI.M26	Fire Protection	The <u>This</u> program includes fire barrier inspections. The fire barrier inspection program requires periodic visual inspection	Existing program <u>SLR program is</u>	GALL VII / SRP 3.3

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		of fire barrier penetration seals, fire barrier walls, ceilings, and floors, <u>fire damper housings</u> , and periodic visual inspection and functional tests of fire-rated doors to ensure that their operability is maintained. The program also includes periodic inspection and testing of halon/carbon dioxide fire suppression systems.	<u>implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	
XI.M27	Fire Water System	<p>This program consists of periodic full-flow flush tests, system performance tests to prevent corrosion from biofouling components in the fire protection system, and testing or replacement of sprinklers that have been in place for 50 years. The This program is a condition monitoring program that manages aging effects associated with water-based fire protection system components. This program manages <u>loss of material, fouling, and flow blockage because of fouling by conducting periodic visual inspections, tests, and flushes performed in accordance with the 2011 Edition of NFPA 25. Testing or replacement of sprinklers that have been in place for 50 years is performed in accordance with NFPA 25. In addition to NFPA codes and standards, portions of the water-based fire protection system that are: (a) normally dry but periodically subjected to flow and (b) cannot be drained or allow water to collect are subjected to augmented testing beyond that specified in NFPA 25, including: (a) periodic system full flow tests at the design pressure and flow rate or internal visual inspections and (b) piping volumetric wall-thickness examinations.</u></p> <p>The <u>water-based fire protection</u> system is normally maintained at required operating pressure and is monitored such that loss of system pressure is immediately detected and corrective actions initiated. The program relies on the testing of piping and components in the water-based fire protection system in accordance with applicable National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) commitments. In addition, this</p>	<p>Program should be implemented prior to period of extended operation Program is implemented 5 years before the subsequent period of extended operation. <u>Inspections of wetted normally dry piping segments that cannot be drained or that allow water to collect begin 5 years before the subsequent period of extended operation. The program's remaining inspections begin during the subsequent period of extended operation</u></p>	GALL VII / SRP 3.3

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p>program can be modified to include (a) portions of the fire protection sprinkler system that are subjected to full flow tests prior to the period of extended operation, and (b) portions of the fire protection system exposed to water are internally visually inspected. Piping wall thickness measurements are conducted when visual inspections detect surface irregularities indicative of unexpected levels of degradation. When the presence of sufficient organic or inorganic material sufficient to obstruct piping or sprinklers is detected, the material is removed and the source is detected and corrected. Non-code inspections and tests follow site procedures that include inspection parameters for items such as lighting, distance offset, presence of protective coatings, and cleaning processes that ensure an adequate examination.</p>		
XI.M29	Aboveground Metallic Tanks	<p>ThisThis program is a condition monitoring program that manages aging effects associated with outdoor tanks sited on soil or concrete and indoor large-volume tanks containing water designed with internal pressures approximating atmospheric pressure that are sited on concrete or soil, including the [applicant to list the specific tanks that are in the program scope]. The program includes preventive measures to mitigate corrosion by protecting the external surfaces of steel components, per standard industry practice, with sealant or caulking <u>is used for outdoor tanks</u> at the concrete-component interface. Visual inspection during</p> <p>This program manages loss of material and cracking by conducting periodic system walkdowns should be sufficient to monitor degradation of the protective paint, coating, caulking, internal and external visual and surface examinations. Inspections of caulking or sealant. Program effectiveness is determined by measuring the thickness of the are supplemented with physical manipulation. Surface exams</p>	<p>ExistingProgram is implemented and inspections begin 10 years before the subsequent period of extended operation.</p>	<p><u>GALL V / SRP 3.2</u> <u>GALL VII / SRP 3.3</u> <u>GALL VIII / SRP 3.4</u></p>

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<u>are conducted to detect cracking when susceptible materials are used. Thickness measurements of tank bottoms are conducted to ensure that significant degradation is not occurring and that the component's intended function is maintained during the period of extended operation. The external surfaces of insulated tanks are periodically sampling-based inspected. Inspections not conducted in accordance with ASME Code Section XI requirements are conducted in accordance with plant-specific procedures including inspection parameters such as lighting, distance, offset, and surface conditions.</u>		
XI.M30	Fuel Oil Chemistry	The This program relies on a combination of surveillance and maintenance procedures. Monitoring and controlling fuel oil contamination in accordance with the guidelines of American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standards D1796, D2276, D2709, and D4057 maintains the fuel oil quality. Exposure to fuel oil contaminants, such as water and microbiological organisms, is minimized by periodic cleaning/draining of tanks and by verifying the quality of new oil before its introduction into the storage tanks.	Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL VII / SRP 3.3
XI.M31	Reactor Vessel <u>Material</u> Surveillance	This program, extending the scope requires <u>implementation of a reactor vessel material surveillance program to monitor the changes in fracture toughness to the ferritic reactor vessel beltline materials which are projected to receive a peak neutron fluence at the end of the design life of the vessel exceeding 10^{17} n/cm² (E >1MeV). The surveillance capsules must be located near the inside vessel wall in the beltline region so that the material specimens duplicate, to the greatest degree possible, the neutron spectrum, temperature history, and maximum neutron fluence experienced at the reactor vessel's inner surface. Because of the resulting lead</u>	The surveillance capsule withdrawal schedule revised before the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.4 <u>Reactor Vessel Surveillance</u>

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>factors, surveillance capsules receive equivalent neutron fluence exposures earlier than the inner surface of the reactor vessel. This allows surveillance capsules to be withdrawn prior to the inner surface receiving an equivalent neutron fluence and therefore test results may bound the corresponding operating period in the capsule withdrawal schedule.</u></p> <p><u>This surveillance program must comply with ASTM International (formerly American Society for Testing and Materials) Standard Practice E 185-82, as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H,“. Because the withdrawal schedule in Table 1 of ASTM E 185-82 is based on plant operation during the original 40-year license term, standby capsules may need to be incorporated into the Appendix H program to ensure appropriate monitoring during the subsequent period of extended operation. Surveillance capsules are designed and located to permit insertion of replacement capsules. If standby capsules will be incorporated into the Appendix H program for the subsequent period of extended operation and have been removed from the reactor vessel, these should be reinserted so that appropriate lead factors are maintained and test results will bound the corresponding operating period. This program includes removal and testing of at least one capsule during the subsequent period of extended operation, with a neutron fluence of the capsule between one and one and one quarter (1.25) the projected peak vessel neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation.</u></p>		

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>As an alternative to a plant-specific surveillance program complying with ASTM E 185-82, an integrated surveillance program (ISP) may be considered for a set of reactors that have similar design and operating features, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, and Paragraph III.C. The plant-specific implementation of the ISP is consistent with the latest version of the ISP plan that has received approval by the NRC for the subsequent period of extended operation.</u></p> <p><u>The objective of this Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance program Requirements, provides to provide sufficient material data and dosimetry to (a) monitor irradiation embrittlement to neutron fluences greater than the projected neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, and to determine the need for operating restrictions on (b) provide adequate dosimetry monitoring during the inlet temperature, neutron spectrum, and neutron flux operational period. If surveillance capsules are not withdrawn during the subsequent period of extended operation, operating restrictions provisions are made to be established to ensure perform dosimetry monitoring.</u></p> <p><u>This program is a condition monitoring program that measures the plant is operated under increase in Charpy V-notch 30 foot-pound (ft-lb) transition temperature and the conditions drop in the upper-shelf energy as a function of neutron fluence and irradiation temperature. The data from this surveillance program are used to monitor neutron irradiation embrittlement of the reactor vessel, and</u></p>		

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>are inputs to the neutron embrittlement time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs) described in Section 4.2 of the Standard Review Plan for Subsequent License Renewal (SRP-SLR). The Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance program is also used in conjunction with GALL-SLR Report AMP X.M2, "Neutron Fluence Monitoring," which the monitors neutron fluence for reactor vessel components and reactor vessel internal components.</u></p> <p><u>In accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, all surveillance capsules were exposed. All capsules in the reactor vessel that are, including those previously removed and tested from the reactor vessel, must meet the test procedures and reporting requirements of ASTM E 185-82, to the extent practicable, for the configuration of the specimens in the capsule. Any changes to the capsule withdrawal schedule, including spare capsules the conversion of standby capsules into the Appendix H program and extension of the surveillance program for the subsequent period of extended operation, must be approved by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) prior to implementation. Untested, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, Paragraph III.B.3. Standby capsules placed in storage must be (e.g., removed from the reactor vessel) are maintained for possible future insertion.</u></p>		
XI.M32	One-Time Inspection	<p><u>The program consists is a condition monitoring program consisting of a one-time inspection of selected components to verify: (a) the system-wide effectiveness of an AMP that is designed to prevent or minimize aging to the extent that it will not cause the loss of intended function during the subsequent period of extended operation; (b) the insignificance of an aging effect; and (c) that long-term loss of materials will not cause a loss of intended function for steel components</u></p>	<p><u>Program Inspections should be implemented/conducted prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u></p>	<p>GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4</p>

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>exposed to environments that do not include corrosion inhibitors as a preventive action, and where periodic wall thickness measurements on a representative sample of each environment are not conducted every 5 years up to at least 10 years prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.</u> This program provides inspections that verify that unacceptable degradation is not occurring. It also may trigger additional actions that ensure the intended functions of affected components are maintained during the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation.</p> <p>The elements of the program include (a) determination of the sample size of components to be inspected based on an assessment of materials of fabrication, environment, plausible aging effects, and operating experience, (b) identification of the inspection locations in the system or component based on the potential for the aging effect to occur, (c) determination of the examination technique, including acceptance criteria that would be effective in managing the aging effect for which the component is examined, and (d) an evaluation of the need for follow-up examinations to monitor the progression of aging if age-related degradation is found that could jeopardize an intended function before the end of the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation.</p> <p>This program cannot be <u>not</u> used for structures or components with known age-related degradation mechanisms or when the environment in the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation is not expected to be equivalent to that in the prior <u>40 years operating periods</u>. Periodic inspections should be proposed <u>are conducted</u> in these cases. <u>Inspections not conducted in accordance with ASME Code Section XI requirements are conducted in accordance</u></p>		

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<u>with plant-specific procedures including inspection parameters such as lighting, distance, offset, and surface conditions.</u>		
XI.M33	Selective Leaching	The This program is a condition monitoring program that includes a one-time <u>visual inspection for components exposed to a closed-cycle cooling water or treated water environment when plant-specific operating experience has not revealed selective leaching in these environments. Opportunistic and periodic inspections are conducted for raw water, waste water, soil, and groundwater environments, and for closed-cycle cooling water and treated water environments when plant-specific operating experience has revealed selective leaching in these environments. Visual inspections coupled with either hardness measurement or other mechanical examination techniques such as chipping or scraping are conducted. Periodic destructive testing, scraping, or chipping examinations of selected components that may be susceptible to selective leaching. This is components for physical properties (i.e., degree of dealloying, depth of dealloying, through wall thickness, and chemical composition) are conducted for components exposed to raw water, waste water, soil, and groundwater environments, or for closed-cycle cooling water and treated water environments when plant-specific operating experience has revealed selective leaching in these environments. Inspections and tests are conducted to determine whether loss of materials is occurring and whether the process material will affect the ability of the components to perform their intended function for the subsequent period of extended operation. Inspections are conducted in accordance with plant-specific procedures including inspection parameters such as lighting, distance, offset and surface conditions. When the acceptance criteria are not met such that it is determined that the affected component should</u>	SLR program should be implemented prior to <u>the subsequent</u> period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
<u>GALL Chapter AMP</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Program</u>	<u>Description of Program</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>	<u>Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References</u>
		<u>be replaced prior to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, additional inspections are performed.</u>		
XI.M35	<u>One-Time Inspection of ASME Code Class 1 Small Bore-Piping</u>	This program augments the existing ASME Code, Section XI requirements and is applicable to small-bore ASME Code Class 1 piping and systems with a nominal pipe size diameter less than 4 inches (NPS<4) and greater than or equal to NPS 1. This program provides a one-time volumetric inspection of a sample of this Class 1 piping. The <u>This</u> program includes pipes, fittings, branch connections, and all full and partial penetration (socket) welds. The program includes measures to verify that degradation is not occurring, thereby either confirming that there is no need to manage aging-related degradation or validating the effectiveness of any existing program for the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation. The one-time inspection program for ASME Code Class 1 small-bore piping includes locations that are susceptible to cracking. This program is applicable to systems that have not experienced cracking of ASME Code Class 1 small-bore piping. This program can also be used for systems that experienced cracking but have implemented design changes to effectively mitigate cracking. (Measure of effectiveness includes (1) the one-time inspection sampling is statistically significant; (2) samples will be selected as described in Element 5; and (3) no repeated failures over an extended period of time.) For systems that have experienced cracking and operating experience indicates design changes have not been implemented to effectively mitigate cracking, periodic inspection is proposed, as managed by a plant-specific AMP. Should evidence of cracking be revealed by a one-time inspection, <u>a</u> periodic inspection is also proposed, as managed by a plant-specific AMP.	<u>SLR</u> program should be implemented prior to <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1
XI.M36	External Surfaces Monitoring of	This program is based on system inspections and walkdowns. This <u>a condition monitoring program consists of that manages loss of material, cracking, changes in</u>	Existing program <u>Program is implemented 6 months</u>	GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
	Mechanical Components	<p><u>material properties (of cementitious components), hardening and loss of strength (of elastomeric components), and reduced thermal insulation resistance.</u> Periodic visual inspections, <u>not to exceed a refueling outage interval, of metallic-and, polymeric-components, such as piping, piping components, ducting, polymeric, insulation jacketing (insulation when not jacketed), and cementitious components,-and other are conducted.</u></p> <p><u>For certain materials, such as flexible polymers, physical manipulation or pressurization to detect hardening or loss of strength is used to augment the visual examinations conducted under this program. A sample of outdoor component surfaces that are insulated and a sample of indoor insulated components-The program manages aging effects through visual- exposed to condensation (due to the in-scope component being operated below the dew point), are periodically inspected every 10 years during the subsequent period of extended operation. Inspections not conducted in accordance with ASME Code Section XI requirements are conducted in accordance with plant-specific procedures including inspection of external surfaces for evidence of loss of material, cracking, and change in material properties. When appropriate for the component parameters such as lighting, distance, offset, and material, manipulation may be used to augment visual surface conditions. Acceptance criteria are such that the component will meet its intended function until the next inspection to confirm the absence of elastomer hardening and loss of strength or the end of the subsequent period of extended operation. Qualitative acceptance criteria are clear enough to reasonably ensure a singular decision is derived based on observed conditions.</u></p>	<u>before the subsequent period of extended operation and inspections begin during the subsequent period of extended operation.</u>	

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
<u>GALL Chapter AMP</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Program</u>	<u>Description of Program</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>	<u>Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References</u>
XI.M37	Flux Thimble Tube Inspection	The program inspects for the thinning of flux thimble tube walls, which provides a path for the in-core neutron flux monitoring system detectors and forms part of the reactor coolant system pressure boundary. Flux thimble tubes are subject to loss of material at certain locations in the reactor vessel where flow-induced fretting causes wear at discontinuities in the path from the reactor vessel instrument nozzle to the fuel assembly instrument guide tube. A <u>periodic</u> nondestructive examination methodology, such as eddy current testing, or other applicant-justified and US NRC-accepted inspection methods <u>are</u> used to monitor flux thimble tube wear. This program implements the recommendations of NRC Bulletin 88-09, "Thimble Tube Thinning in Westinghouse Reactors."	<u>Existing Program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL IV / SRP 3.1
XI.M38	Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components	<u>The</u> <u>This program is a condition monitoring program that manages loss of material, cracking, and hardening and loss of strength of polymeric materials. This program consists of visual inspections of the all accessible internal surfaces of metallic piping, piping components, ducting, heat exchanger components, polymeric and elastomeric components, and other components that are exposed to environments of uncontrolled indoor air, outdoor air, air with borated water leakage, condensation, moist air, diesel exhaust, and any water systems environment other than open-cycle cooling water, treated closed-cycle cooling water, and fire water that are exposed to environments of air — indoor, uncontrolled; air — outdoor; condensation—.</u> Elastomers exposed to open-cycle, closed-cycle cooling water, and fire water are managed by this program. These internal inspections are performed during the periodic system and component surveillances or during the performance of maintenance activities when the surfaces are made accessible for visual inspection. <u>The program includes</u>	<u>Existing program Program is implemented 6 months before the subsequent period of extended operation and inspections begin during the subsequent period of extended operation.</u>	GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4 GALL VI / SRP 3.6

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p>visual At a minimum, in each 10-year period during the period of extended operation a representative sample of 20 percent of the population (defined as components having the same combination of material, environment, and aging effect) or a maximum of 25 components per population is inspected. Where practical, the inspections focus on the bounding or lead components most susceptible to ensure that existing environmental aging because of time in service, and severity of operating conditions are not causing material degradation that could result in a loss of the component's intended function. Opportunistic inspections continue in each period despite meeting the sampling limit. For certain materials, such as flexible polymers, physical manipulation or pressurization (e.g., hydrotesting) to detect hardening or loss of strength is used to augment the visual examinations conducted under this program. If visual inspection of internal surfaces is not possible, then the applicant needs to provide a plant-specific program <u>is used</u>.</p> <p><u>Inspections not conducted in accordance with ASME Code Section XI requirements are conducted in accordance with plant-specific procedures including inspection parameters such as lighting, distance, offset, and surface conditions. Acceptance criteria are such that the component will meet its intended function until the next inspection or the end of the subsequent period of extended operation. Qualitative acceptance criteria are clear enough to reasonably ensure a singular decision is derived based on observed conditions.</u></p>		
XI.M39	Lubricating Oil Analysis	<p>This program ensures that the oil environment in the mechanical systems is maintained to the required quality. The <u>This</u> program ensures that oil systems are maintained free of contaminants (primarily water and particulates), thereby preserving an environment that is not conducive to loss of material or reduction of heat transfer. Testing</p>	<p><u>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u></p>	<p>GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4</p>

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		activities include sampling and analysis of lubricating oil for detrimental contaminants. The presence of water or particulates may also indicate in-leakage and corrosion product buildup.		
XI.M40	Monitoring of Neutron-Absorbing Materials other than Boraflex	This program relies on periodic inspection, testing, monitoring, and analysis of the criticality design to assure that the required 5 percent sub-criticality margin is maintained. <u>This program consists of inspecting the physical condition of the neutron-absorbing material, such as visual appearance, dimensional measurements, weight, geometric changes (e.g., formation of blisters, pits, and bulges), and boron areal density as observed from coupons or in situ.</u>	<u>SLR</u> program should be implemented prior to <u>the subsequent</u> period of extended operation	GALL VII / SRP 3.3
XI.M41	Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks	This comprehensive program is designed to manage a condition monitoring program that manages the aging effects associated with the external surfaces of buried and underground piping and tanks; such as loss of material, cracking and changes in material properties (for cementitious piping). It addresses piping and tanks composed of any material, including metallic, polymeric, concrete , and cementitious materials. The program <u>also</u> manages aging through preventive, and <u>mitigative, and actions, (i.e., coatings, backfill quality, and cathodic protection)</u> <u>The number of inspections is based on the effectiveness of the preventive and mitigative actions. Annual cathodic protection surveys are conducted. Where the acceptance criteria for the effectiveness of the cathodic protection is other than -850 mV instant off, actual loss of material rates are measured from in-situ coupons.</u> <u>Inspections are conducted by qualified individuals. Adverse inspection activities. It manages all applicable aging effects, such as loss of material, cracking, and changes in material properties results result in additional inspections. If a</u>	Inspections to SLR <u>program should be completed</u> implemented before the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation	GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<u>reduction in the number of inspections recommended in GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, Table XI.M41-1, is claimed based on a lack of soil corrosivity as determined by soil testing, soil testing is conducted once in each 10-year period starting 10 years prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.</u>		
<u>XI.M42</u>	<u>Internal Coatings/Linings for In Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks</u>	<p><u>This program is a condition monitoring program that manages degradation of coatings/linings that can lead to loss of material of base materials and downstream effects such as reduction in flow, reduction in pressure or reduction in heat transfer when coatings/linings become debris.</u></p> <p><u>This program manages these aging effects by conducting periodic visual inspections of all coatings/linings applied to the internal surfaces of in-scope components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, raw water, treated water, treated borated water, waste water, lubricating oil or fuel oil where loss of coating or lining integrity could impact the component's or downstream component's current licensing basis intended function(s). For tanks and heat exchangers, all accessible surfaces are inspected. Piping inspections are sampling-based. The training and qualification of individuals involved in coating/lining inspections of noncementitious coatings/linings are conducted in accordance with ASTM International Standards endorsed in RG 1.54 including guidance from the staff associated with a particular standard. For cementitious coatings, training and qualifications are based on an appropriate combination of education and experience related to inspecting concrete surfaces. Peeling and delamination is not acceptable. Blisters are evaluated by a coatings specialist with the blisters being surrounded by sound material and with the size and frequency not increasing. Minor cracks in cementitious coatings are acceptable provided there is no evidence of debonding. All</u></p>	<u>Program is implemented no later than six months before the subsequent period of extended operation and inspections begin no later than the last refueling outage before the subsequent period of extended operation.</u>	<u>GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4</u>

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
<u>GALL Chapter AMP</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Program</u>	<u>Description of Program</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>	<u>Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References</u>
		<u>other degraded conditions are evaluated by a coatings specialist. For coated/lined surfaces determined to not meet the acceptance criteria, physical testing is performed where physically possible (i.e., sufficient room to conduct testing) in conjunction with repair or replacement of the coating/lining.</u>		
XI.S1	ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE Inservice Inspection (IWE)	<u>The This program is in accordance with ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE program consists of, consistent with 10 CFR 50.55a "Codes and standards," with supplemental recommendations. The AMP includes periodic visual, surface, and volumetric inspection of examinations, and leak rate testing, where applicable, of metallic pressure-retaining components of steel <u>containments</u> and concrete containments for signs of degradation, assessment of damage, <u>irregularities including liner plate bulges, and for coated areas distress of the underlying metal shell or liner,</u> and corrective actions. The <u>Acceptability of inaccessible areas of steel containment shell or concrete containment steel liner is evaluated when conditions found in accessible areas, indicate the presence of, or could result in, flaws or degradation in inaccessible areas.</u> This program also includes aging management for the potential loss of material due to corrosion in the inaccessible areas of the BWR Mark I steel containment, and surface examination for the detection of cracking of structural bolting. This program is in accordance with ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE, 2004 edition. In addition, the program includes supplemental surface or enhanced examinations to detect cracking for specific components [identify components], and supplemental volumetric examinations by sampling locations susceptible to loss of thickness due to corrosion of containment shell or liner that is inaccessible from one side. Inspection results are compared with prior recorded results in acceptance of components for continued service.</u>	<u>Existing program, SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL II / SRP 3.5

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
XI.S2	ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL Inservice Inspection (IWL)	The ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL This program consists of (a) periodic visual inspection of concrete surfaces for reinforced and prestressed <u>pre-stressed</u> concrete containments, (b) periodic visual inspection and sample tendon testing of unbonded <u>un-bonded</u> post-tensioning systems for prestressed <u>pre-stressed</u> concrete containments for signs of degradation, assessment of damage, and corrective actions, and testing of the tendon corrosion protection medium and free water. Measured tendon lift-off forces are compared to predicted tendon forces calculated in accordance with RG 1.35.4. This program is in accordance with ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL, 2004 edition. <u>The Subsection IWL requirements are supplemented to include quantitative acceptance criteria for concrete surfaces based on the "Evaluation Criteria" provided in Chapter 5 of ACI 349.3R</u>	Existing program <u>SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL II / SRP 3.5
XI.S3	ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF Inservice inspection (IWF)	This program consists of periodic visual examination of <u>pipng and</u> component supports and high-strength structural bolting for signs of degradation, evaluation, and corrective actions. This program is in accordance with <u>recommends additional inspections beyond the inspections required by the 10 CFR Part 50.55a ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF, 2004 edition.</u> <u>program. This includes inspections of an additional 5 percent of supports outside of the existing IWF sample population. For high-strength bolting in sizes greater than 1 inch nominal diameter, volumetric examination comparable to that of ASME Code Section XI, Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-G-1 should be performed to detect cracking in addition to the VT-3 examination.</u> <u>If a component support does not exceed the acceptance standards of IWF-3400 but is electively repaired to as-new condition, the sample is increased or modified to include</u>	Existing program <u>SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL II / SRP 3.5 GALL III / SRP 3.5

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<u>another support that is representative of the remaining population of supports that were not repaired.</u>		
XI.S4	10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J	This program consists of monitoring leakage rates through <u>the containment system, its shell or liner, associated welds, penetrations, isolation valves, fittings, and other access openings to detect degradation of the containment pressure boundary. Corrective actions are taken if leakage rates exceed acceptance criteria. This program is implemented in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix J, RG 1.163 and/or NEI 94-01, Rev. 0.</u>	<u>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL II / SRP 3.5
XI.S5	Masonry Walls	The <u>This</u> program consists of inspections, based on IE Bulletin 80-11 and plant-specific monitoring proposed by IN 87-67, for managing <u>shrinkage, separation, gaps, loss of material and cracking of masonry walls such that the evaluation basis is not invalidated and intended functions are maintained.</u>	Existing program SLR <u> program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL III / SRP 3.5
XI.S6	Structures Monitoring	The <u>This</u> program consists of periodic <u>visual</u> inspection and monitoring the condition of <u>concrete and steel structures and structure, structural components, component supports, and structural commodities</u> to ensure that aging degradation <u>leading to loss of intended functions (such as those described in ACI 349.3R, ACI 201.1R, SEI/ASCE 11, and other documents)</u> will be detected and that , the extent of degradation can be determined. This program is implemented in accordance, evaluated, and corrective actions taken prior to loss of intended functions. <u>Inspections also include seismic joint fillers, elastomeric materials; and steel edge supports and steel bracings associated with NUMARC 93-01, Rev. 2 and RG 1.160, Rev. 2. masonry walls, and periodic evaluation of groundwater chemistry and opportunistic inspections for the condition of below grade concrete, and of protective coatings for substrate materials. Quantitative results (measurements) and qualitative data from periodic</u>	Existing program SLR <u> program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL II / SRP 3.5 GALL III / SRP 3.5 GALL VI / SRP 3.6

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
<u>GALL Chapter AMP</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Program</u>	<u>Description of Program</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>	<u>Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References</u>
		<u>inspections are trended with photographs and surveys for the type, severity, extent, and progression of degradation. The acceptance criteria are derived from applicable consensus codes and standards. For concrete structures, the program includes personnel qualifications and quantitative acceptance criteria of ACI 349.3R.</u>		
XI.S7	<u>R.G. 1.127, Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants</u>	<u>TheThis program consists of inspection and surveillance programs for dams, slopes, canals, intake structure, and other of raw-water -control structures associated with emergency cooling water systems or flood protection based on RG 1.127, Rev. 1. The program also includes structural steel and structural bolting associated with water-control structures, steel or wood piles and sheeting required for the stability of embankments and channel slopes, and miscellaneous steel, such as sluice gates and trash racks. In general, parameters monitored should be in accordance with Section C.2 of R.G. 1.127 and quantitative measurements should be recorded for all applicable parameters monitored or inspected. Inspections should occur at least once every 5 years. Structures exposed to aggressive water require additional plant-specific investigation.</u>	<u>Existing programSLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL III / SRP 3.5
XI.S8	<u>Protective Coating Monitoring and Maintenance</u>	<u>ThisThis program ensures that a monitoring and maintenance program implemented in accordance with RG 1.54 is adequate for the subsequent period of extended operation. The program consists of guidance for selection, application, inspection, and maintenance of protective coatings. This program is implemented in accordance with RG 1.54, Rev. 1 or latest revision. Maintenance of Service Level I coatings applied to carbon steel and concrete surfaces inside containment (e.g., steel liner, steel containment shell, structural steel, supports, penetrations, and concrete walls and floors) serve to prevent or minimize loss of material due to corrosion of carbon steel components and aids in</u>	<u>Existing programSLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	GALL III / SRP 3.5

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<u>decontamination. Degraded coatings in the containment are assessed periodically to ensure post-accident operability of the ECCS.</u>		
<u>X.M1</u>	<u>Cyclic Load Monitoring</u>	<u>The aging management program monitors and tracks the number of occurrences and severity of each of the thermal and pressure transients and requires corrective actions to ensure that applicable fatigue analyses remain within their allowable limits, including those in applicable CUF analyses, CUFen analyses, maximum allowable stress range reduction analyses for ANSI B31.1 and ASME Code Class 2 and 3 components, ASME III fatigue waiver analyses, and cycle-based flaw growth, flaw tolerance, or fracture mechanics analyses. The program manages cracking induced by fatigue or cyclic loading occurrences in plant structures and components by monitoring one or more relevant fatigue parameters, which include the CUF, the CUFen, transient cycle limits, and the predicted flaw size. The program has two aspects, one to verify the continued acceptability of existing analyses through cycle counting and the other to provide periodically updated evaluations of the fatigue analyses to demonstrate that they continue to meet the appropriate limits. Plant technical specification requirements may apply to these activities.</u>		
<u>X.M2</u>	<u>Neutron Fluence Monitoring</u>	<u>This program monitors and tracks increasing neutron fluence exposures (integrated, time-dependent neutron flux exposures) to reactor pressure vessel and reactor internal components to ensure that applicable reactor pressure vessel neutron irradiation embrittlement analyses (i.e., TLAAs) and radiation-induced aging effect assessment for reactor internal components will remain within their applicable limits.</u> <u>This program has two aspects, one to verify the continued acceptability of existing analyses through neutron fluence monitoring and the other to provide periodically updated</u>		

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>evaluations of the analyses involving neutron fluence inputs to demonstrate that they continue to meet the appropriate limits defined in the current licensing basis (CLB).</u></p> <p><u>Monitoring is performed in accordance with neutron flux determination methods and neutron fluence projection methods that are defined for the CLB in NRC-approved reports. For fluence monitoring activities that apply to components located in the beltline region of the reactor pressure vessel(s), the monitoring methods are performed in a manner that is consistent with the monitoring methodology guidelines in Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.190, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence," March 2001. Additional justifications may be necessary for neutron fluence monitoring methods that are applied to reactor pressure vessel component locations outside of the beltline region of the vessels or to reactor internal components.</u></p> <p><u>This program's results are compared to the neutron fluence parameter inputs used in the neutron embrittlement analyses for reactor pressure vessel components. This includes but is not limited to the neutron fluence inputs for the reactor pressure vessel upper shelf energy analyses (or equivalent margin analyses, as applicable to the CLB), pressure-temperature analyses, and low temperature overpressure protection (LTOP, PWRs only) that are required to be performed in accordance in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G requirements, and for PWRs, those safety analyses that are performed to demonstrate adequate protection of the reactor pressure vessels against the consequences of pressurized thermal shock (PTS) events, as required by 10 CFR 50.61 or 10 CFR 50.61a and applicable to the CLB. Comparisons to the neutron fluence inputs for other analyses (as applicable to the CLB) may include those for mean RT_{NDT} and probability</u></p>		

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>of failure analyses for BWR reactor pressure vessel circumferential and axial shell welds, BWR core reflood design analyses, and aging effect assessments for PWR and BWR reactor internals that are induced by neutron irradiation exposure mechanisms.</u></p> <p><u>Reactor vessel surveillance capsule dosimetry data obtained in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H requirements and through implementation of the applicant's Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program (Refer to GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M31) may provide inputs to and have impacts on the neutron fluence monitoring results that are tracked by this program. In addition, regulatory requirements in the plant technical specifications or in specific regulations of 10 CFR Part 50 may apply, including those in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G; 10 CFR 50.55a; and for PWRs, the PTS requirements in 10 CFR 50.61 or 10 CFR 50.61a, as applicable for the CLB.</u></p>		
<u>X.S1</u>	<u>Concrete Containment Tendon Prestress</u>	<p><u>The prestressing unbonded tendons are used to impart compressive forces in the prestressed concrete containments to resist the internal pressure inside the containment that would be generated in the event of a LOCA. The prestressing forces generated by the unbonded tendons diminish over time due to losses in prestressing forces in the tendons and in the surrounding concrete. The prestressing force analysis and evaluation has been completed and determined to remain within allowable limits to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, and the trend lines of the measured prestressing forces will stay above the minimum required prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the end of this period.</u></p>		
<u>X.E1</u>	<u>Environmental Qualification</u>	<p><u>This program implements the environmental qualification (EQ) requirements in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, Criterion 4, and 10 CFR 50.49. 10 CFR 50.49 specifically requires that</u></p>		

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
	<u>(EQ) of Electric Components</u>	<p><u>an EQ program be established to demonstrate that certain electrical equipment located in harsh plant environments will perform their safety function in those harsh environments after the effects of in-service aging. 10 CFR 50.49 requires that the effects of significant aging mechanisms be addressed as part of environmental qualification.</u></p> <p><u>As required by 10 CFR 50.49, EQ equipment not qualified for the current license term is refurbished, replaced, or have their qualification extended prior to reaching the designated life aging limits established in the evaluation. Aging evaluations for EQ equipment that specify a qualification of at least 60 years are time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs) for subsequent license renewal.</u></p> <p><u>Reanalysis of an aging evaluation to extend the qualification of equipment qualified under the program requirements of 10 CFR 50.49(e) is performed as part of an EQ program. Important attributes for the reanalysis of an aging evaluation include analytical methods, data collection and reduction methods, underlying assumptions, acceptance criteria, and corrective actions (if acceptance criteria are not met). The analytical models used in the reanalysis of an aging evaluation are the same as those previously applied during the prior evaluation. The identification of excess conservatism in electrical equipment service conditions (for example, temperature, radiation, and cycles) used in the prior aging evaluation is the chief method used for a reanalysis. A reanalysis demonstrates that adequate margin is maintained consistent with the original analysis in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59 requiring certain margins and accounting for the unquantified uncertainties established in the EQ aging evaluation of the equipment. Reanalysis of an aging evaluation is used to extend the environmental qualification of</u></p>		

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<p><u>the component. If the qualification cannot be extended by reanalysis, the equipment is refurbished, replaced, or requalified prior to exceeding the current qualified life.</u></p> <p><u>When the reanalysis assessed margins, conservatisms, or assumptions do not support reanalysis (e.g., extending qualified life) of an EQ component, the use of on-going qualification techniques including condition monitoring or condition based methodologies may be implemented. Ongoing qualification is an alternative means to provide reasonable assurance that an equipment environmental qualification is maintained for the subsequent period of extended operation. On-going qualification of electric equipment important to safety subject to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 involves the inspection, observation, measurement, or trending of one or more indicators, which can be correlated to the condition or functional performance of the EQ equipment.</u></p> <p><u>This program is implemented in accordance 10 CFR 50.49 and 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). Along with GALL-SLR Report AMP X.E1 the environmental qualification program demonstrates the acceptability of the TLAA analysis under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1) and is considered an aging management programs (AMP) for the subsequent period of extended operation.</u></p> <p><u>This program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.</u></p>		

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		<u>[The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]</u>		
<u>SRP-SLR Appendix A</u>	<u>Plant-Specific AMP</u>	<u>The [fill in name of program] Program is a [prevention, mitigation, condition monitoring, performance monitoring] program that manages aging effects associated with [list component type or system as applicable that are in the scope of the program]. Preventive or mitigative actions include [fill in key actions when applicable]. The program manages [list the AERM] by conducting [periodic, one-time] [describe inspection methods and tests] of [all components or a representative sample of components] within the scope of the program. [When applicable, Periodic inspections are conducted every XX years commencing prior to or during the subsequent period of extended operation] [Describe how inspection and test implementing procedures are controlled (e.g., non-code inspections and tests follow site procedures that include inspection parameters for items such as lighting, distance offset, presence of protective coatings, and cleaning processes that ensure an adequate examination)]. Qualitative acceptance criteria are clear enough to reasonably ensure a singular decision is derived based on observed conditions. When the acceptance criteria are not met such that it is determined that the affected component should be replaced prior to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, additional inspections are performed.</u>	<u>Program should be implemented prior to subsequent period of extended operation</u>	<u>GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4 GALL II-III / SRP 3.5 GALL VI / SRP 3.6</u>
<u>GALL-SLR Appendix A</u>	<u>Quality Assurance</u>	<u>The 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B quality assurance program provides <u>the basis</u> for corrective actions, the confirmation process, and administrative controls for AMPs for license renewal. The scope of this existing program is expanded to include nonsafety<u>non-safety</u>-related structures and</u>	<u>Existing program SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	<u>GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4 GALL III / SRP 3.5 GALL VI / SRP 3.6</u>

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems <u>for SLR</u>				
GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
		components that are subject to an AMP for license renewal <u>aging management programs.</u>		
SRPGALL-SLR Appendix AB	Plant-Specific AMP Operating Experience	<p>The program should contain information associated with the bases for determining that aging effects will be managed during the period of extended operation. This program captures the operating experience from plant-specific and industry sources and is systematically reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the quality assurance program, which meets the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, and the operating experience program, which meets the requirements of NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements," Item I.C.5, "Procedures for Feedback of Operating Experience to Plant Staff."</p> <p><u>This program interfaces with and relies on active participation in the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations' (INPO) operating experience program, as endorsed by the NRC. In accordance with these programs, all incoming operating experience items are screened to determine whether they may involve age-related degradation or aging management impacts. Items so identified are further evaluated and the AMPs are either enhanced or new AMPs are developed, as appropriate, when it is determined through these evaluations that the effects of aging may not be adequately managed. Training on age-related degradation and aging management is provided to those personnel responsible for implementing the AMPs and who may submit, screen, assign, evaluate, or otherwise process plant-specific and industry operating experience. Plant-specific operating experience associated with aging management and age-related degradation is reported to the industry in accordance with guidelines established in the operating experience program.</u></p>	<u>Program should be implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation</u>	<p><u>GALL II-III / SRP 3.5</u> <u>GALL IV / SRP 3.1</u> <u>GALL V / SRP 3.2</u> <u>GALL VI / SRP 3.6</u> <u>GALL VII / SRP 3.3</u> <u>GALL VIII / SRP 3.4</u></p> <p><u>GALL II-III / SRP 3.5</u></p> <p><u>GALL VI / SRP 3.6</u></p>
*An applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in the license renewal application to any future aging management activities to be completed before the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation.				

Table 3.0-1. FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems for SLR

GALL Chapter AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-LRSLR Chapter References
The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.				

1

1 **3.1 Aging Management Of Reactor Vessel, Internals, And Reactor**
2 **Coolant System**

3 **Review Responsibilities**

4 **Primary**—~~Branch~~—The Branch's assigned responsibility by Project Manager (PM) as described
5 in this Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for
6 Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-LRSLR) Section 3.0 ~~of this SRP-LR~~.

7 **3.1.1 Areas of Review**

8 This section addresses the aging management review (AMR) and the associated aging
9 management program (AMP) of the reactor vessel, internals, and reactor coolant system. For a
10 recent vintage plant, the information related to the reactor vessel, internals, and reactor coolant
11 system is contained in Chapter 5, "Reactor Coolant System and Connected Systems," of the
12 plant's final safety analysis report (FSAR), consistent with the "Standard Review plan (SRP) for
13 the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants" (NUREG--0800). For older
14 plants, the location of applicable information is plant-specific because an older plant's FSAR
15 may have predated NUREG--0800.

16 The reactor vessel, internals, and reactor coolant system includes the reactor vessel and
17 internals. For boiling water reactors (BWRs), this system also includes the reactor coolant
18 recirculation system and portions of other systems connected to the pressure vessel extending
19 to the first isolation valve outside of containment or to the first anchor point. These connected
20 systems include residual heat removal, low-pressure core spray, high-pressure core spray,
21 low--pressure coolant injection, high-pressure coolant injection, reactor core isolation cooling,
22 isolation condenser, reactor coolant cleanup, feedwater, and main steam. For pressurized
23 water reactors (PWRs), the reactor coolant system includes the primary coolant loop, the
24 pressurizer, and the steam generators- (SGs). For PWRs the reactor coolant system also
25 includes the pressurizer relief tank, which is not an American Society of Mechanical Engineers
26 (ASME) Code Class 1 component. The connected systems for PWRs include the residual heat
27 removal or low pressure injection system, core flood spray or safety injection tank, chemical and
28 volume control system or high-pressure injection system, and sampling system.

29 The responsible review organization is to review the following subsequent license renewal
30 application (LRASLRA) AMR and AMP items assigned to it, per SRP-LRSLR Section 3.0:

31 **AMRs**

- 32 • AMR results consistent with the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
33 License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
- 34 • AMR results for which further evaluation is recommended ~~by the GALL Report~~
- 35 • AMR results not consistent with or not addressed in the GALL-SLR Report

36 **AMPs**

37 Consistent with GALL-SLR Report AMPs (including those with enhancements
38 and/or exceptions)

- 1 • Plant-specific AMPs

2 **FSAR Supplement**

- 3 • The responsible review organization is to review the FSAR Supplement associated with
4 each assigned AMP.

5 **3.1.2 Acceptance Criteria**

6 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review describe methods for determining whether the
7 applicant has met the requirements of the NRC's U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
8 regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21.

9 3.1.2.1 AMR Aging Management Review Results Consistent With the GALL Generic 10 Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

11 The AMR and the AMPs applicable to the reactor vessel, internals, and reactor coolant system
12 are described and evaluated in Chapter IV of NUREG-1801 (the GALL-SLR Report).

13 The applicant's LRASLRA should provide sufficient information so that the reviewer is able to
14 confirm that the specific LRASLRA AMR item and the associated LRASLRA AMP are consistent
15 with the cited GALL-SLR Report AMR item. The reviewer should then confirm that the
16 LRASLRA AMR item is consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMR item to which it is compared.
17 When the applicant is crediting a different aging management program AMP than recommended
18 in the GALL-SLR Report, the reviewer should confirm that the alternate aging management
19 program AMP is valid to use for aging management and will be capable of managing the effects
20 of aging as adequately as the aging management program AMP recommended by the GALL-
21 SLR Report.

22 3.1.2.2 AMR Aging Management Review Results for Which Further Evaluation Is 23 Recommended by the GALL Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent 24 License Renewal Report

25 The basic acceptance criteria defined in Subsection 3.1.2.1 need to be applied first for all of the
26 AMRs and AMPs reviewed as part of this section. In addition, if the GALL Report AMR item to
27 which the LRA AMR item is compared identifies that further evaluation is recommended, then
28 additional criteria apply as identified by the GALL Report for each of the following aging
29 effect/aging mechanism combinations. Refer to Table 3.1-1, comparing the "Further Evaluation
30 Recommended" and the "Rev2 Item" columns GALL-SLR column, for the AMR items that
31 reference the following subsections. The 2005 AMR item counterpart is provided in the "Rev1
32 Item" column.

33 3.1.2.2.1 *Cumulative Fatigue Damage*

34 Fatigue is a The evaluations of fatigue or cyclical loading stresses may be time-limited aging
35 analysis (TLAA) analyses (TLAAs), as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAs are required to be
36 evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1). This TLAA is These types of TLAAs are
37 addressed separately in Section 4.3, "Metal Fatigue Analysis," of this SRP-LRSLR.

38 3.1.2.2.2 *Loss of Material Due to General, Pitting, and Crevice Corrosion*

1 1. Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion could occur in the steel
2 PWR ~~steam generator~~SG upper and lower shell and transition cone exposed to
3 secondary feedwater and steam. The existing program relies on control of water
4 chemistry to mitigate corrosion and inservice inspection (ISI) to detect loss of material.
5 The extent and schedule of the existing ~~steam generator~~SG inspections are designed to
6 ensure that flaws cannot attain a depth sufficient to threaten the integrity of the welds.
7 However, according to NRC Information Notice (IN) 90-04, "Cracking of the Upper Shell-
8 to-Transition Cone Girth Welds in Steam Generators," the program may not be sufficient
9 to detect pitting and crevice corrosion; if general and pitting corrosion of the shell is
10 known to exist. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Augmented inspection is recommended
11 to manage this aging effect. Furthermore, ~~the GALL Report clarifies that~~ this issue is
12 limited to Westinghouse Model 44 and 51 Steam Generators, where a high-stress region
13 exists at the shell to transition cone weld. Acceptance criteria are described in Branch
14 Technical Position (BTP) RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LRSLR Report).

15 2. Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion could occur in the steel
16 PWR steam generator shell assembly exposed to secondary feedwater and steam. The
17 existing program relies on control of secondary water chemistry to mitigate corrosion.
18 However, some applicants have replaced only the bottom part of their recirculating
19 ~~steam generators~~SGs, generating a cut in the middle of the transition cone, and,
20 consequently, a new transition cone closure weld. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ It is
21 recommended that volumetric examinations be performed in accordance with the
22 requirements of ASME Code Section XI for upper shell ~~to~~ and lower shell ~~to~~ transition
23 cones with gross structural discontinuities for managing loss of material due to general,
24 pitting, and crevice corrosion in the welds for Westinghouse Model 44 and 51 Steam
25 Generators, where a high-stress region exists at the shell ~~to~~ transition cone weld.

26 The new continuous circumferential weld, resulting from cutting the transition cone as
27 discussed above, is a different situation from the SG transition cone welds containing
28 geometric discontinuities. Control of water chemistry does not preclude loss of material
29 due to pitting and crevice corrosion at locations of stagnant flow conditions. The new
30 transition area weld is a field ~~weld~~ as opposed to having been made in a controlled
31 manufacturing facility, and the surface conditions of the transition weld may result in flow
32 conditions more conducive to initiation of general, pitting, and crevice corrosion than
33 those of the upper and lower transition cone welds. Crediting of the ISI program for the
34 new SG transition cone weld may not be an effective basis for managing loss of material
35 in this weld, as the ISI criteria would only perform a VT-2 visual leakage examination of
36 the weld as part of the system leakage test performed pursuant to ASME Section XI
37 requirements. In addition, ASME Section XI does not require licensees to remove
38 insulation when performing visual examination on ~~non-borated~~nonborated treated water
39 systems. Therefore, the effectiveness of the chemistry control program should be
40 verified to ensure that loss of material due to general, pitting and crevice corrosion is not
41 occurring.

42 For the new continuous circumferential weld, ~~the GALL Report recommends~~ further
43 evaluation is recommended to verify the effectiveness of the chemistry control program.
44 A one-time inspection at susceptible locations is an acceptable method to determine
45 whether an aging effect is not occurring or an aging effect is progressing very slowly,
46 such that the component's intended function will be maintained during the subsequent
47 period of extended operation. Furthermore, ~~the GALL Report clarifies that~~ this issue is

1 limited to replacement of recirculating ~~steam generators~~SGs with a new transition cone
2 closure weld.

3 3.1.2.2.3 *Loss of Fracture Toughness Due to Neutron Irradiation Embrittlement*

4 1. Neutron irradiation embrittlement is a TLAA to be evaluated for the subsequent period of
5 extended operation for all ferritic materials that have a neutron fluence greater than
6 10^{17} n/cm² ($E > 1$ MeV) at the end of the ~~license renewal term~~subsequent period of
7 extended operation. Certain aspects of neutron irradiation embrittlement are TLAAAs as
8 defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAAs are required to be evaluated in accordance with
9 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1). This TLAA is addressed separately in Section 4.2, "Reactor Vessel
10 Neutron Embrittlement Analysis," of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report.

11 2. Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement could occur in BWR
12 and PWR reactor vessel beltline shell, nozzle, and welds exposed to reactor coolant and
13 neutron flux. A reactor vessel ~~materials~~material surveillance program monitors neutron
14 irradiation embrittlement of the reactor vessel. The reactor vessel material surveillance
15 program is plant-specific, depending on matters such as the composition of limiting
16 materials, and the availability of surveillance capsules, ~~and projected fluence levels.~~

17 In accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, an applicant is required to submit its
18 proposed withdrawal schedule for approval prior to implementation. Untested capsules
19 placed in storage must be maintained for future insertion. Thus, further NRC staff
20 evaluation is required for ~~license renewal~~SLR. Specific recommendations for an
21 acceptable AMP are provided in ~~Chapter XI~~GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M31, "Reactor
22 Vessel Material Surveillance."

23 A neutron fluence monitoring program is used to monitor the neutron fluence levels that
24 are used as the time-dependent inputs for the plant's reactor vessel neutron irradiation
25 embrittlement TLAAAs. These TLAAAs are the subjects of the topics discussed in
26 SRP-SLR Section M31 of the GALL Report-3.1.2.2.3.1 and "acceptance criteria" and
27 "review procedure" guidance in SRP-SLR Section 4.2. Specific recommendations for an
28 acceptable neutron fluence monitoring AMP are provided in GALL-SLR Report AMP
29 X.M2, "Neutron Fluence Monitoring."

30 3. ~~Ductility~~—Reduction in Fracture Toughness is a plant-specific TLAA for Babcock ~~and~~&
31 Wilcox (B&W) reactor internals to be evaluated for the subsequent period of extended
32 operation in accordance with the NRC staff's safety evaluation concerning
33 "Demonstration of the Management of Aging Effects for the Reactor Vessel Internals,"
34 ~~Babcock and Wilcox~~B&W Owners Group report number BAW-2248, which is included in
35 BAW-2248A, March 2000. Plant-specific TLAAAs are addressed in Section 4.7, "Other
36 Plant-Specific Time-Limited Aging Analyses," of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR.

37 3.1.2.2.4 *Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Intergranular Stress* 38 *Corrosion Cracking*

39 1. Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) and intergranular stress corrosion
40 cracking (IGSCC) could occur in the stainless steel (SS) and nickel alloy BWR top head
41 enclosure vessel flange leak detection lines. ~~The~~ The Generic Aging Lessons Learned
42 for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report recommends that a plant-specific
43 AMP be evaluated because existing programs may not be capable of mitigating or

1 detecting cracking due to SCC and IGSCC. Acceptance criteria are described in Branch
2 Technical Position (BTP) RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~-SLR Report).

- 3 2. Cracking due to SCC and IGSCC could occur in ~~stainless steel~~SS BWR isolation
4 condenser components exposed to reactor coolant. The existing program relies on
5 control of reactor water chemistry to mitigate SCC and on ASME Section XI ISI to detect
6 cracking. However, the existing program should be augmented to detect cracking due to
7 SCC and IGSCC. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ An augmented program is
8 recommended to include temperature and radioactivity monitoring of the shell-side water
9 and eddy current testing of tubes to ensure that the component's intended function will
10 be maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation. Acceptance criteria
11 are described in ~~Branch Technical Position~~BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~-SLR Report).

13 3.1.2.2.5 *Crack Growth Due to Cyclic Loading*

14 Crack growth due to cyclic loading could occur in reactor vessel shell forgings clad with
15 ~~stainless steel~~SS using a high-heat-input welding process. Growth of intergranular separations
16 (underclad cracks) in the heat-affected zone under austenitic ~~stainless steel~~SS cladding is a
17 TLAA to be evaluated for the subsequent period of extended operation for all the SA-508-CI-2
18 forgings where the cladding was deposited with a high-heat-input welding process. The
19 methodology for evaluating the underclad flaw should be consistent with the flaw evaluation
20 procedure and criterion in the ASME Section XI Code, ~~2004 edition~~². ~~See the SRP-~~LR~~, Section~~
21 ~~4.7, "Other Plant-Specific Time-Limited Aging Analysis," for generic guidance for meeting the~~
22 ~~requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c)~~³.

23 See SRP-~~SLR~~, Section 4.7, "Other Plant-Specific Time-Limited Aging Analyses," for generic
24 guidance for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c).

25 3.1.2.2.6 *Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking*

- 26 1. Cracking due to SCC could occur in the PWR ~~stainless steel~~SS reactor vessel flange
27 leak detection lines and bottom-mounted instrument guide tubes exposed to reactor
28 coolant. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended to ensure
29 that these aging effects are adequately managed. ~~The GALL Report recommends that~~ A
30 plant-specific AMP should be evaluated to ensure that this aging effect is adequately
31 managed. Acceptance criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position~~BTP RLSB-1
32 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~-SLR Report).
- 33 2. Cracking due to SCC could occur in Class 1 PWR cast austenitic stainless steel (CASS)
34 reactor coolant system piping, ~~piping components~~, and piping ~~elements~~components
35 exposed to reactor coolant. The existing program relies on control of water chemistry to
36 mitigate SCC; however, SCC could occur for CASS components that do not meet the
37 NUREG-0313, "Technical Report on Material Selection and Process Guidelines for
38 BWR Coolant Pressure Boundary Piping" guidelines with regard to ferrite and carbon
39 content. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of a plant-

²Refer to the GALL Report, Chapter I, for applicability of other editions of the ASME Code, Section XI.

³Refer to the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report, Chapter I, for applicability of other editions of the ASME Code, Section XI.

1 specific program for these components to ensure that this aging effect is adequately
2 managed. Acceptance criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position BTP~~ RLSB-1
3 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

4 3.1.2.2.7 *Cracking Due to Cyclic Loading*

5 Cracking due to cyclic loading could occur in steel and ~~stainless steel~~SS BWR isolation
6 condenser components exposed to reactor coolant. The existing program relies on ASME
7 Section XI ISI. However, the existing program should be augmented to detect cracking due to
8 cyclic loading. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ An augmented program is recommended to
9 include temperature and radioactivity monitoring of the shell-side water and eddy current testing
10 of tubes to ensure that the component's intended function will be maintained during the
11 subsequent period of extended operation. Acceptance criteria are described in ~~Branch~~
12 ~~Technical Position BTP~~ RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

13 3.1.2.2.8 *Loss of Material Due to Erosion*

14 Loss of material due to erosion could occur in steel steam generator feedwater impingement
15 plates and supports exposed to secondary feedwater. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further
16 evaluation is recommended of a plant-specific AMP to ensure that this aging effect is
17 adequately managed. Acceptance criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position BTP~~
18 RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

19 3.1.2.2.9 ~~Cracking due to~~Aging Management of Pressurized Water Reactor Vessel Internals 20 (Applicable to Stress Corrosion Cracking Subsequent License Renewal Periods 21 Only)

22 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Topical Report (TR) No. 1022863, "Materials
23 Reliability Program: Pressurized Water Reactor Internals Inspection and Irradiation-Assisted
24 Stress Corrosion Cracking Evaluation Guidelines (MRP-227-A)" [henceforth TR Materials
25 Reliability Program (MRP)-227-A, which may be accessed at ADAMS Accession Nos.
26 ML12017A191 through ML12017A197 and ML12017A199], provides the industry's current
27 aging management recommendations for the reactor vessel internal (RVI) components that are
28 included in the design of a PWR facility. In this report, the EPRI MRP identified that the
29 following aging mechanisms may be applicable to the design of the RVI components in these
30 types of facilities: (a) SCC, (b) irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking (IASCC), (c)
31 fatigue, (d) wear, (e) neutron irradiation embrittlement, (f) thermal aging embrittlement, (g) void
32 swelling and irradiation growth, or (h) thermal or irradiation-enhanced stress relaxation or
33 irradiation enhanced creep. The methodology in TR MRP-227-A was approved by the NRC in a
34 safety evaluation dated December 16, 2011 (ML11308A770), which includes those
35 plant-specific applicant/licensee action items that a licensee or applicant applying the MRP-227-
36 A report would need to address and resolve and apply to its licensing basis.

37 ~~Cracking due to SCC and irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking (IASCC) could occur in~~
38 ~~inaccessible locations for stainless steel and nickel-alloy Primary and Expansion PWR reactor~~
39 ~~vessel internal components. If aging effects are identified in accessible locations, the GALL~~
40 ~~Report recommends further evaluation of the aging effects in inaccessible locations on a plant-~~
41 ~~specific basis to ensure that this aging effect is adequately managed. Acceptance criteria are~~
42 ~~described in Branch Technical Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LR).~~

~~1.1.1.1.1 3.1.2.2.10 Loss of Fracture Toughness due to Neutron Irradiation
Embrittlement, Change in Dimension due to Void Swelling, Loss of Preload due
to Stress Relaxation, or Loss of Material due to Wear~~

~~Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement, change in dimension due to void swelling, loss of preload due to stress relaxation, or loss of material due to wear could occur in inaccessible locations for stainless steel and nickel-alloy Primary and Expansion PWR reactor vessel internal components. If aging effects are identified in accessible locations, the GALL Report recommends further evaluation of the aging effects in inaccessible locations on a plant-specific basis to ensure that this aging effect is adequately managed. Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LR).~~

~~The EPRI MRP's functionality analysis and failure modes, effects, and criticality analysis (FMECA) bases for grouping Westinghouse-designed, B&W-designed and Combustion Engineering (CE)-designed RVI components into these inspection categories was based on an assessment of aging effects and relevant time-dependent aging parameters through a cumulative 60-year licensing period (i.e., 40 years for the initial operating license period plus an additional 20 years during the initial period of extended operation). The EPRI MRP has yet to assess whether potential operations of Westinghouse-designed, B&W-designed and CE-designed reactors during a SLR period would have any impact on the existing susceptibility rankings and inspection categorizations for the RVI components in these designs, as defined in TR MRP-227-A or its applicable MRP background documents (e.g., TR MRP-191 for Westinghouse-designed or CE-designed RVI components or MRP-189 for B&W-designed components).~~

~~Therefore, for PWR facilities' SLRAs, a plant-specific AMP for the RVI components will be needed to demonstrate that the RVI components will be managed in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3) during the proposed SLR period. Components for inspection, parameters monitored, monitoring methods, inspection sample size, frequencies, expansion criteria, and acceptance criteria are to be justified in the SLRA. The NRC staff will assess the adequacy of the plant-specific AMP against the criteria for the 10 AMP program elements that are defined in Sections A.1.2.3.1 through A.1.2.3.10 of SRP-SLR Appendix A.1.~~

~~3.1.2.2.10 Loss of Material Due to Wear~~

~~1. Industry operating experience indicates that loss of material due to wear can occur in PWR control rod drive (CRD) head penetration nozzles made of nickel alloy due to the interactions between the nozzle and the thermal sleeve centering pads of the nozzle (see Ref. 31). The CRD head penetration nozzles are also called control rod drive mechanism (CRDM) nozzles or CRDM head adapter tubes. The applicant should perform a further evaluation to confirm the adequacy of a plant-specific AMP or analysis (with any necessary inspections) for management of the aging effect. The applicant may use the acceptance criteria, which are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report), to demonstrate the adequacy of a plant-specific AMP. Alternatively, the applicant may perform an analysis with any necessary inspections to confirm that loss of material due to wear does not affect the intended function(s) of these CRD head penetration nozzles, consistent with the current licensing basis (CLB).~~

~~2. Industry operating experience indicates that loss of material due to wear can occur in the SS thermal sleeves of PWR CRD head penetration nozzles due to the interactions~~

1 between the nozzle and the thermal sleeve (e.g., where the thermal sleeve exits from
2 the head penetration nozzle inside the reactor vessel as described in Ref. 32).
3 Therefore, the applicant should perform a further evaluation to confirm the adequacy of a
4 plant-specific AMP for management of the aging effect. The applicant may use the
5 acceptance criteria, which are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR
6 Report), to demonstrate the adequacy of a plant-specific AMP.

7 3.1.2.2.11 Cracking Due to Primary Water Stress Corrosion Cracking

- 8 1. Foreign operating experience in steam generators with a similar design to that of
9 Westinghouse Model 51 has identified ~~extensive~~ cracking due to primary water stress
10 corrosion cracking (PWSCC) in ~~steam generator (SG)~~ divider plate assemblies
11 fabricated of Alloy 600 and/or the associated Alloy 600 weld materials, even with proper
12 primary water chemistry (EPRI TR-1014982). Cracks have been detected in the stub
13 runner, adjacent to the tubesheet/stub runner weld ~~and with depths of almost a third of~~
14 ~~the divider plate thickness.~~ Therefore, the water chemistry program may not be
15 effective in managing the aging effect of cracking due to PWSCC in SG divider plate
16 assemblies. This is of particular concern for ~~steam generators~~SGs where the tube-to-
17 tubesheet welds are considered structural welds and/or where the divider plate
18 assembly contributes to the mechanical integrity of the ~~tubesheet~~tube-to-tube sheet
19 welds.

20 Although these SG divider plate cracks may not have a significant safety impact in and
21 of themselves, these cracks could impact adjacent items, such as the tube-to-tubesheet
22 welds and the channel head, if they propagate to the boundary with these items. For the
23 tube-to-tubesheet welds, PWSCC cracks in the divider plate could propagate to the
24 tubesheet cladding with possible consequences to the integrity of the tube-to-tubesheet
25 welds. For the channel head, the PWSCC cracks in the divider plate could propagate to
26 the SG triple point and potentially affect the pressure boundary of the SG channel head.

27 The existing program may rely on control of reactor water chemistry to mitigate cracking
28 due to PWSCC. A plant-specific AMP should be evaluated, along with the primary water
29 chemistry program, because the existing primary water chemistry program may not be
30 capable of mitigating cracking due to PWSCC. Acceptance criteria are described in
31 BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report).

- 32 2. Cracking due to PWSCC could occur in SG nickel alloy tube-to-tubesheet welds
33 exposed to reactor coolant. Unless the NRC has approved a redefinition of the pressure
34 boundary in which the tube-to-tubesheet weld is no longer included, the effectiveness
35 of the primary water chemistry program should be verified to ensure cracking is
36 not occurring:

- 37 • For plants with Alloy 600 SG tubes that have not been thermally treated and for
38 which an alternate repair criteria such as C*, F*, or W* has been permanently
39 approved, the weld is no longer part of the pressure boundary and no plant
40 specific AMP is required;
- 41 • For plants with Alloy 600 steam generator tubes that have not been thermally
42 treated and for which there is no permanently approved alternate repair criteria
43 such as C*, F*, or W*, a plant-specific AMP is required;

- 1 • For plants with Alloy 600TT SG tubes and for which an alternate repair criteria
2 such as H* has been permanently approved, the weld is no longer part of the
3 pressure boundary and no plant specific AMP is required;
- 4 • For plants with Alloy 600TT SG tubes and for which no alternate repair criteria
5 such as H* permanently approved, a plant-specific AMP is required;
- 6 • For plants with Alloy 690TT SG tubes with Alloy 690 tubesheet cladding, the
7 water chemistry is sufficient, and no further action or plant-specific AMP
8 is required;
- 9 • For plants with Alloy 690TT SG tubes and with Alloy 600 tubesheet cladding,
10 either a plant-specific program or a rationale for why such a program is not
11 needed is required.

12 The existing program relies on control of reactor water chemistry to mitigate cracking
13 due to PWSCC. ~~The GALL Report recommends that~~ A plant-specific AMP should be
14 evaluated, along with the primary water chemistry program, because the existing primary
15 water chemistry program may not be capable of mitigating cracking due to PWSCC.
16 Acceptance criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position-BTP~~ RLSB-1 (Appendix
17 A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

18 3.1.2.2.12 Cracking Due to PWSCG Irradiation-Assisted Stress Corrosion Cracking

- 19 1. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals," manages aging
20 degradation of nickel alloy and SS, including associated welds, which are used
21 in BWR vessel internal components. When exposed to the BWR vessel
22 environment, these materials can experience cracking due to IASCC. The
23 existing Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project (BWRVIP)
24 examination guidelines are mainly based on aging evaluation of BWR vessel
25 internals for operation up to 60 years. However, increases in neutron fluence
26 during the SLR term may need to be assessed for supplemental inspections of
27 BWR vessel internals to adequately manage cracking due to IASCC. Therefore,
28 the applicant should perform an evaluation to determine whether supplemental
29 inspections are necessary in addition to those recommended in the existing
30 BWRVIP examination guidelines. If the applicant determines that supplemental
31 inspections are not necessary, the applicant should provide adequate technical
32 justification for the determination. If supplemental inspections are determined
33 necessary for BWR vessel internals, the applicant identifies the components to
34 be inspected and performs supplemental inspections to adequately manage
35 IASCC. In addition, the applicant should confirm the adequacy of any necessary
36 supplemental inspections and enhancements to the BWRVIP.
- 37 2. The GALL-SLR Report recommends AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice
38 Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for managing IASCC for the core
39 shroud support plate access hole cover (welded or mechanical). GALL-SLR
40 Report AMP XI.M1 manages the aging effect by performing visual examinations.
41 The GALL-SLR AMP also performs augmented inspections using ultrasonic
42 testing (UT) or other demonstrated acceptable techniques if the welded access
43 hole cover has a crevice which is not amenable to visual examinations.
44 Cracking due to IASCC in this component can be facilitated by the increases in

1 neutron fluence during the subsequent period of extended operation. Therefore,
2 an evaluation should be performed to determine whether supplemental
3 inspections are necessary for adequate aging management in addition to the
4 existing ASME Code examination as augmented for crevices. The applicant
5 should also confirm the adequacy of any necessary supplemental inspections
6 and enhancements to the ASME Section XI ISI, Subsections IWB, IWC, and
7 IWD program.

8 3.1.2.2.13 Loss of Fracture Toughness Due to Neutron Irradiation or Thermal
9 Aging Embrittlement

10 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M9 manages aging degradation of nickel alloy and SS,
11 including associated welds, which are used in BWR vessel internal components. When
12 exposed to the BWR vessel environment, these materials can experience loss of
13 fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement. In addition, CASS,
14 precipitation-hardened (PH) martensitic SS (e.g., 15-5 and 17-4 PH steel) and
15 martensitic SS (e.g., 403, 410, 431 steel) can experience loss of fracture toughness due
16 to neutron irradiation and thermal aging embrittlement.

17 The existing BWRVIP examination guidelines are mainly based on aging evaluation of BWR
18 vessel internals for operation up to 60 years. Increases in neutron fluence and thermal
19 embrittlement during the SLR term may need to be assessed for supplemental inspections of
20 BWR vessel internals to adequately manage loss of fracture toughness due to neutron
21 irradiation or thermal aging embrittlement. Therefore, the applicant should perform an
22 evaluation to determine whether supplemental inspections are necessary in addition to those
23 recommended in the existing BWRVIP examination guidelines. If the applicant determines that
24 supplemental inspections are not necessary, the applicant should provide adequate technical
25 justification for the determination. If supplemental inspections are determined necessary for
26 BWR vessel internals, the applicant should identify the components to be inspected and perform
27 supplemental inspections to adequately manage loss of fracture toughness. In addition, the
28 applicant should confirm the adequacy of any necessary supplemental inspections and
29 enhancements to the BWRVIP.

30 3.1.2.2.14 Loss of Preload Due to Thermal or Irradiation-Enhanced Stress Relaxation

31 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M9 manages loss of preload due to thermal or irradiation-enhanced
32 stress relaxation in BWR core plate rim holddown bolts. The issue is applicable to
33 BWR-designed light water reactors that employ rim holddown bolts as the means for protecting
34 the reactor's core plate from the consequences of lateral movement. The potential for such
35 movement, if left unmanaged, could impact the ability of the reactor to be brought to a safe
36 shutdown condition during an anticipated transient occurrence or during a postulated
37 design-basis accident or seismic event. This issue is not applicable to BWR reactor designs
38 that use wedges as the means of precluding lateral movement of the core plate because
39 the wedges are fixed in place and are not subject to this type of aging effect and
40 mechanism combination.

41 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M9 states that the inspections in BWRVIP TR No. BWRVIP-25,
42 "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Core Plate Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines
43 (BWRVIP-25)," are used to manage loss of preload due to thermal or irradiation-enhanced
44 stress relaxation in BWR designs with core plate rim holddown bolts. However, in previous
45 LRAs, some applicants have identified that the inspection bases for managing loss of preload in

1 TR No. BWRVIP-25 may not be capable of gaining access to the rim holddown bolts or are not
2 sufficient to detect loss of preload on the components. For applicants that have identified this
3 issue in their past LRAs, the applicants either committed to modifying the plant design to install
4 wedges in the core plate designs or to submit an inspection plan, with a supporting core plate
5 rim holddown bolt preload analysis for NRC approval at least 2 years prior to entering into the
6 initial period of extended operation for the facility.

7 For SLRAs that apply to BWRs with core plate rim holddown bolts, the NRC staff recommends
8 that an enhanced augmented inspection basis for the bolts be proposed and justified, with a
9 supporting loss of preload analysis. If an existing NRC-approved analysis for the bolts exists in
10 the CLB and conforms to the definition of a TLAA, the applicant should identify the analysis as a
11 TLAA for the LRA and demonstrate how the analysis is acceptable in accordance with either
12 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii). Otherwise, if a new analysis will be performed to support an
13 updated augmented inspection basis for the bolts for the subsequent period of extended
14 operation, the NRC staff recommends that a license renewal commitment be placed in the
15 FSAR supplement for the applicant to submit both the inspection plan and the supporting loss of
16 preload analysis to the NRC staff for approval at least 2 years prior to entering into the
17 subsequent period of extended operation for the facility.

18 3.1.2.2.15 Loss of Material Due to Boric Acid Corrosion

19 Foreign operating experience identified loss of material due to boric acid corrosion in the steel
20 base material of a recirculating SG channel head. This corrosion primarily occurs when the
21 plant is shutdown and the steam generators are exposed to oxygen. The observed loss of
22 material was volumetric in the form of one large cavity. The loss of material was associated
23 with an area where the channel head cladding did not fully cover the steel base material. The
24 cause of the missing cladding is not currently known. This operating experience indicates that if
25 SG head cladding is compromised (e.g., due to cracking, manufacturing defects or foreign
26 material impingement damage), loss of material due to boric acid corrosion could occur in the
27 steel base material of the SG head (i.e., recirculating steam generator nickel alloy tube-to-
28 tubesheet welds channel head and once-through SG upper and lower heads).

29 The existing program may rely on control of reactor water chemistry to mitigate loss of material
30 due to boric acid corrosion for SG head base material when the cladding of this component was
31 compromised and the steel base material was exposed to reactor coolant. Unless the NRC has
32 approved a redefinition of the pressure boundary in which the tube-to-tubesheet weld is no
33 longer included, the effectiveness of the primary water chemistry program should be verified. A
34 plant-specific AMP should be evaluated, along with the Water Chemistry program, to ensure
35 cracking is not occurring; that the program is capable of managing loss of material due to boric
36 acid corrosion for the SG head base material. Acceptance criteria are described in BTP RLSB-
37 1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report).

- 38 ~~• For plants with Alloy 600 steam generator tubes that have not been thermally~~
39 ~~treated and for which an alternate repair criteria such as G*, F* or W* has been~~
40 ~~permanently approved, the weld is no longer part of the pressure boundary and~~
41 ~~no plant specific aging management program is required;~~
- 42 ~~• For plants with Alloy 600 steam generator tubes that have not been thermally~~
43 ~~treated and for which there is no permanently approved alternate repair criteria~~
44 ~~such as G*, F* or W*, a plant-specific AMP is required;~~

- 1 • For plants with Alloy 600TT steam generator tubes and for which an alternate
2 repair criteria such as H* has been permanently approved, the weld is no longer
3 part of the pressure boundary and no plant specific aging management program
4 is required;
- 5 • For plants with Alloy 600TT steam generator tubes and for which there is no
6 alternate repair criteria such as H* permanently approved, a plant-specific AMP
7 is required;
- 8 • For plants with Alloy 690TT steam generator tubes with Alloy 690 tubesheet
9 cladding, the water chemistry is sufficient, and no further action or plant-specific
10 aging management program is required;
- 11 • For plants with Alloy 690TT steam generator tubes and with Alloy 600 tubesheet
12 cladding, either a plant-specific program or a rationale for why such a program is
13 not needed is required.

14 The existing program relies on control of reactor water chemistry to mitigate cracking
15 due to PWSCG. The GALL Report recommends that a plant-specific AMP be evaluated,
16 along with the primary water chemistry program, because the existing primary water
17 chemistry program may not be capable of mitigating cracking due to PWSCG.
18 Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of
19 this SRP-LR).

20 3.1.2.2.12 ~~16~~ Cracking Due to ~~Fatigue~~ Cyclic Loading

21 EPRI 1016596, *Materials Reliability Program: Pressurized Water Reactor Internals Inspection*
22 *and Evaluation Guidelines* (MRP-227-Rev. 0) identifies cracking due to fatigue as an aging
23 effect that can occur for the lower flange weld in the core support barrel assembly, fuel
24 alignment plate in the upper internals assembly, and core support plate lower support structure
25 in PWR internals designed by Combustion Engineering. The GALL Report recommends that
26 inspection for cracking in this component be performed if acceptable fatigue life cannot be
27 demonstrated by TLAA through the period of extended operation as defined in 10 CFR 54.3.

28 ~~1.1.1.1.2~~ ~~3.1.2.2.13~~ ~~Cracking due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Fatigue~~

29 Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking and fatigue could occur in nickel alloy control rod
30 guide tube assemblies, guide tube support pins exposed to reactor coolant, and neutron flux.
31 The GALL Report, AMR Item IV.B2.RP-355, recommends further evaluation of a plant-specific
32 AMP to ensure this aging effect is adequately managed. Acceptance criteria are described in
33 Branch Technical Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LR).

34 ~~1.1.1.1.3~~ ~~3.1.2.2.14~~ ~~Loss of Material due to Wear~~

35 Loss of material due to wear could occur in nickel alloy control rod guide tube assemblies, guide
36 tube support pins and in Zircaloy-4 incore instrumentation lower thimble tubes¹.

37 Cracking due to cyclic loading could occur in BWR steel and SS welded
38 connections between the re-routed control rod drive return line and the inlet piping
39 system that delivers return line flow to the reactor pressure vessel, which are exposed to
40 reactor coolant. Further evaluations of condition monitoring activities are recommended
41 to ensure that cracking is detected before there is a loss of intended function. Periodic
42 inspections in accordance with the recommendations in NUREG-0619, Section 8.2, are
43 acceptable for the detection of cracking. Specifically, the welded connection that joins

1 the re-routed control rod drive return line to the inlet piping system that returns flow to
2 the reactor vessel should be inspected during each refueling outage. This inspection
3 should use UT and include base metal to a distance of one-pipe-wall thickness or
4 0.5 inches, whichever is greater, on both sides of the weld. The inlet piping into which
5 the control rod drive return line flow is connected should also be inspected by UT to a
6 distance of at least one pipe diameter downstream of the welded connection. For other
7 approaches, acceptance criteria are described in Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report.
8 No condition monitoring activities are necessary if the control rod drive return line
9 was removed.

10 2. Cracking due to cyclic loading could occur in BWR-2 steel (with or without SS cladding)
11 CRD return line nozzles and their nozzle-to-vessel welds exposed to reactor coolant,
12 and neutron flux. The GALL Report, AMR Items IV.B2.RP-356 and IV.B3.RP-357,
13 recommends. Further evaluation of a plant-specific AMP is recommended to ensure that
14 this aging effect is adequately managed because BWR-2 designs do not have a cut and
15 capped CRD return line nozzle and thus may be more susceptible to cracking.
16 Acceptance criteria are described in Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR.

17 3.1.2.2.17 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking or Intergranular Stress
18 Corrosion Cracking

19 Cracking due to SCC and IGSCC could occur in BWR SS and nickel alloy piping, and piping
20 components greater than or equal to 4 inches nominal pipe size (NPS); nozzle safe ends and
21 associated welds; and CRD return line nozzle caps and the associated cap-to-nozzle welds or
22 cap-to-safe end welds in BWR-3, BWR-4, BWR-5, and BWR-6 designs that are exposed to
23 reactor coolant. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," is recommended to mitigate
24 SCC and IGSCC, and augmented inspection activities are recommended in accordance with
25 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M7, "BWR Stress Corrosion Cracking," for condition monitoring.
26 However, these programs may need to be augmented to manage the effects of cracking in
27 dead-legs and other piping locations with stagnant flow where localized environmental
28 conditions could exacerbate the mechanisms of SCC and IGSCC. Further evaluation of a plant-
29 specific AMP to ensure this aging effect is adequately managed is recommended to identify any
30 such locations and to evaluate the adequacy of the applicant's proposed AMPs on a case-by-
31 case basis to ensure that the intended functions of components in these locations will be
32 maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation. Acceptance criteria are
33 described in ~~Branch Technical Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LR)-SLR.~~

34 3.1.2.2.153.1.2.2.18 Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice or Pitting Corrosion and
35 Microbiologically-Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to Stress
36 Corrosion Cracking

37 Loss of material due to general (steel only), crevice, or pitting corrosion and
38 microbiologically-induced corrosion and cracking due to SCC (SS only) can occur in steel and
39 SS piping and piping components exposed to concrete. Concrete provides a high alkalinity
40 environment that can mitigate the effects of loss of material for steel piping, thereby significantly
41 reducing the corrosion rate. However, if water intrudes through the concrete, the pH can be
42 reduced and ions that promote loss of material such as chlorides, which can penetrate the
43 protective oxide layer created in the high alkalinity environment, can reach the surface of the
44 metal. Carbonation can reduce the pH within concrete. The rate of carbonation is reduced by
45 using concrete with a low water-to-cement ratio and low permeability. Concrete with low
46 permeability also reduces the potential for the penetration of water. Adequate air entrainment

1 improves the ability of the concrete to resist freezing and thawing cycles and therefore reduces
2 the potential for cracking and intrusion of water. Intrusion of water can also bring bacteria to the
3 surface of the metal, potentially resulting in microbiologically-induced corrosion in steel or SS.
4 Cracking due to SCC, as well as pitting and crevice corrosion can occur due to halides present
5 in the water that penetrates to the surface of the metal.

6 If the following conditions are met, loss of material is not considered to be an applicable aging
7 effect for steel: (a) attributes of the concrete are consistent with American Concrete Institute
8 (ACI) 318 or ACI 349 (low water-to-cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air
9 entrainment) as cited in NUREG-1557; (b) plant-specific operating experience indicates no
10 degradation of the concrete that could lead to penetration of water to the metal surface; and
11 (c) the piping is not potentially exposed to ground water. For SS components loss of material
12 and cracking due to SCC are not considered to be applicable aging effects as long as the piping
13 is not potentially exposed to groundwater. Where these conditions are not met, loss of material
14 due to general (steel only), crevice or pitting corrosion and microbiologically-induced corrosion
15 and cracking due to SCC (SS only) are identified as applicable aging effects. GALL-SLR Report
16 AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage
17 these aging effects.

18 3.1.2.2.19 *Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion and Microbiologically-*
19 *Induced Corrosion in Components Exposed to Treated Water, Treated Borated*
20 *Water, or Sodium Pentaborate Solution*

21 Loss of material due to crevice corrosion can occur in steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel
22 alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks,
23 and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, treated borated water, or
24 sodium pentaborate solution if oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb. In addition, loss of
25 material due to pitting can occur if oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb, halides or sulfates
26 levels are greater than 150 ppb, and stagnant flow conditions exist. Loss of material due to
27 microbiologically-induced corrosion can occur with steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel alloy
28 piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks, and
29 PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, treated borated water, or sodium
30 pentaborate solution if the pH is less than 10.5 and temperature is less than 99 °C [210 °F].

31 Where oxygen levels are less than or equal to 100 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water
32 Chemistry," and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," are acceptable
33 methods to manage loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion. Where oxygen levels
34 are greater than 100 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and GALL-SLR
35 Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting
36 Components," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to crevice corrosion.
37 Where stagnant flow conditions exist, and oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb and halides
38 or sulfates levels are greater than 150 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry,"
39 and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping
40 and Ducting Components," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to pitting
41 and crevice corrosion.

42 Where the pH is greater than or equal to 10.5 and the temperature is greater than or equal to
43 99 °C [210 °F], GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and GALL-SLR Report
44 AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to
45 loss of material due to microbiologically-induced corrosion. Where the pH is less than 10.5 and
46 temperature is less than 99 °C [210 °F], GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and

1 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, “Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and
2 Ducting Components,” are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to
3 microbiologically-induced corrosion.

4 3.1.2.2.20 *Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components*

5 Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical PositionBTP IQMB-1 (Appendix A.2 of
6 this SRP-LRSLR Report).

7 3.1.2.2.21 *Ongoing Review of Operating Experience*

8 Acceptance criteria are described in Appendix A.4, “Operating Experience for AMPs.”

9 ~~3~~ AMR.1.2.3 *Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent With or Not*
10 *Addressed in the* GALL-~~Generic~~ Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License
11 Renewal Report

12 Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical PositionBTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of
13 this SRP-LRSLR Report).

14 3.1.2.4 *Aging Management Programs*

15 For those AMPs that will be used for aging management and are based on the program
16 elements of an AMP in the GALL-SLR Report, the NRC reviewer performs an audit of aging
17 management programs-AMPs credited in the LRASLRA to confirm consistency with the GALL-
18 SLR AMPs identified in the GALL-SLR Report, Chapters X, “Aging Management Programs That
19 May Be Used to Demonstrate Acceptability of Time-Limited Aging Analyses in Accordance With
20 Under 10 CFR 54.21(c) (1)(iii)” and XI-, “Aging Management Programs.”

21 If the applicant identifies an exception to any of the program elements of the cited GALL-SLR
22 Report AMP, the LRASLRA AMP should include a basis demonstrating how the criteria of
23 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3) would still be met. The reviewer should then confirm that the LRASLRA
24 AMP with all exceptions would satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If, while reviewing the
25 LRASLRA AMP, the reviewer identifies a difference between the LRASLRA AMP and the GALL-
26 SLR Report AMP that should have been identified as an exception to the GALL-SLR Report
27 AMP, the difference should be reviewed and properly dispositioned. The reviewer should
28 document the disposition of all LRASLRA-defined exceptions and NRC staff-identified
29 differences.

30 The LRASLRA should identify any enhancements that are needed to permit an existing licensee
31 AMP to be declared consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMP to which the licensee AMP is
32 compared. The reviewer is to confirm both that the enhancement, when implemented, would
33 allow the existing licensee AMP to be consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMP and that the
34 applicant has a commitment in the FSAR Supplement to implement the enhancement prior to
35 the subsequent period of extended operation. The reviewer should document the disposition of
36 all enhancements.

37 If the applicant chooses to use a plant-specific program that is not a GALL-SLR AMP, the NRC
38 reviewer should confirm that the plant-specific program satisfies the criteria of Branch Technical
39 PositionBTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1.2.3 of this SRP-LRSLR Report).

1 3.1.2.5 FSAR Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

2 ~~The summary description of~~ The programs and activities for managing the effects of aging for
3 the subsequent period of extended operation described in the FSAR Supplement should be
4 sufficiently comprehensive, such that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The
5 description should contain information associated with the bases for determining that aging
6 effects will be managed during the subsequent period of extended operation. The description
7 should also contain any future aging management activities, including enhancements and
8 commitments, to be completed before the subsequent period of extended operation. Table 3.0-
9 1 of this SRP-~~LRSLR~~ provides examples of the type of information to be included in the FSAR
10 Supplement. Table 3.1-2 lists the programs that are applicable for this SRP-~~LRSLR~~ subsection.

11 **3.1.3 Review Procedures**

12 For each area of review, the following review procedures are to be followed.

13 3.1.3.1 AMR Aging Management Review Results Consistent With the GALL Generic
14 Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

15 The applicant may reference the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report in its ~~LRASLRA~~, as appropriate, and
16 demonstrate that the AMRs and AMPs at its facility are consistent with those reviewed and
17 approved in the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report. The reviewer should not conduct a re-review of the
18 substance of the matters described in the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report. If the applicant has provided the
19 information necessary to adopt the finding of program acceptability as described and evaluated
20 in the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report, the reviewer should find acceptable the applicant's reference to the
21 GALL-~~SLR~~ Report in its ~~LRA-SLRA~~. In making this determination, the reviewer confirms that
22 the applicant has provided a brief description of the system, components, materials, and
23 environment. The reviewer also confirms that the ~~applicant has stated that the~~ applicable aging
24 effects ~~and have been addressed based on the staff's review of~~ industry and plant-specific
25 operating experience ~~have been reviewed by the applicant and are evaluated in the GALL~~
26 ~~Report.~~

27 Furthermore, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has addressed operating
28 experience identified after the issuance of the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report. Performance of this review
29 requires the reviewer to confirm that the applicant has identified those aging effects for the
30 reactor vessel, internals, and reactor coolant system components that are contained in the
31 GALL-~~SLR~~ Report as applicable to its plant.

32 3.1.3.2 AMR Aging Management Review Results for Which Further Evaluation Is
33 Recommended by the GALL Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
34 License Renewal Report

35 The basic review procedures defined in Subsection 3.1.3.1 need to be applied first for all of the
36 AMRs and AMPs provided in this section. In addition, if the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report AMR item to
37 which the ~~LRASLRA~~ AMR item is compared identifies that "further evaluation is recommended,"
38 then additional criteria apply ~~as identified by the GALL Report~~ for each of the following aging
39 effect/aging mechanism combinations.

1 3.1.3.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage

2 Fatigue is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAAs are required to be evaluated in
3 accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1). The NRC staff reviews the evaluation of this TLAA
4 separately following the guidance in Section 4.3 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR.

5 3.1.3.2.2 Loss of Material Due to General, Pitting, and Crevice Corrosion

6 1. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ An augmented program is recommended for the
7 management of loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion for steel
8 PWR ~~steam generator~~SG shell assembly exposed to secondary feedwater and steam.
9 The existing program relies on control of water chemistry to mitigate corrosion and ISI to
10 detect loss of material. The extent and schedule of the existing ~~steam generator~~SG
11 inspections are designed to ensure that flaws cannot attain a depth sufficient to threaten
12 the integrity of the welds. However, according to NRC IN 90-04, the program may not
13 be sufficient to detect pitting and crevice corrosion, if general and pitting corrosion of the
14 shell is known to exist. Therefore, ~~the GALL Report recommends an~~ augmented
15 inspection is recommended to manage this aging effect. Furthermore, ~~the GALL Report~~
16 ~~clarifies that~~ this issue is limited to Westinghouse Model 44 and 51 Steam Generators
17 where a high-stress region exists at the shell to transition cone weld. Acceptance
18 criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position BTP~~ RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this
19 SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report). Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion
20 could also occur for the steel top head enclosure (without cladding) top head nozzles
21 ~~(vent, top head spray or reactor core isolation cooling (RCIC), and spare)~~ exposed to
22 reactor coolant. The existing program relies on control of reactor water chemistry to
23 mitigate corrosion. However, control of water chemistry does not preclude loss of
24 material due to pitting and crevice corrosion at locations of stagnant flow conditions.
25 Therefore, the effectiveness of the water chemistry control program should be verified to
26 ensure that corrosion is not occurring. The reviewer verifies on a case-by-case basis
27 that the applicant has proposed a program that will manage loss of material due to
28 general, pitting and crevice corrosion by providing enhanced inspection and
29 supplemental methods to detect loss of material and ensure that the component-
30 intended function will be maintained during the subsequent period of extended
31 operation.

32 2. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of programs to
33 manage the loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion for the new
34 transition cone closure weld generated in the steel PWR replacement recirculating
35 steam generator transition cone shell exposed to secondary feedwater and steam. The
36 existing program relies on control of reactor water chemistry to mitigate corrosion and on
37 ISI to detect loss of material. The reviewer verifies on a case-by-case basis that the
38 applicant has proposed an augmented program that will manage loss of material due to
39 general, pitting, and crevice corrosion and ensure that the component-intended function
40 will be maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation.

41 The reviewer verifies that the applicant has described the surface condition and the
42 resultant flow near the new transition cone closure weld (e.g., weld crown, ground flush,
43 etc.) and how these parameters could affect the susceptibility of this weld to this aging
44 effect, relative to that of the upper and lower transition welds. Based on this information,
45 the reviewer verifies whether any additional aging management of the new transition
46 weld is necessary. If additional aging management is necessary, the reviewer verifies

1 whether the applicant has described an aging management programAMP of the new
2 transition cone closure weld (including examination frequency and technique) that will be
3 effective in managing an aging effect, such as the loss of material due to general, pitting,
4 and crevice corrosion during the subsequent period of extended operation for the new
5 transition cone closure weld.

6 3.1.3.2.3 Loss of Fracture Toughness Due to Neutron Irradiation Embrittlement

7 1. Neutron irradiation embrittlement is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAs are
8 required to be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1). The NRC staff reviews
9 the evaluation of this TLAA following the guidance in Section 4.2 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR.

10 2. Neutron irradiation embrittlement is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAs are
11 required to be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1). The GALL Report
12 recommends further evaluation of the NRC staff reviews the evaluation of this TLAA
13 following the guidance in Section 4.2 of this SRP-SLR. Further evaluation is
14 recommended for a reactor vessel materials surveillance program for the subsequent
15 period of extended operation- to monitor neutron embrittlement of the reactor vessel-is
16 monitored by a reactor vessel materials surveillance program-. The reactor vessel
17 surveillance program is plant-specific, depending on matters such as the composition of
18 limiting materials, availability of surveillance-capsules, and projected. A neutron fluence
19 monitoring program is used to monitor the neutron fluence levels- that are used as the
20 time-dependent inputs for those reactor vessel neutron irradiation embrittlement TLAAs
21 that are the subject of the topics in SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.3, Subsection 1, and
22 SRP-SLR Section 4.2.

23 In accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, an applicant must submit its proposed
24 capsule withdrawal schedule for approval prior to implementation. Untested capsules
25 placed in storage must be maintained for future insertion. Thus, further NRC staff
26 evaluation is required for ~~license renewal~~SLR. The reviewer verifies on a case-by-case
27 basis that the applicant has proposed an adequate reactor vessel materials surveillance
28 program for the subsequent period of extended operation. The reviewer also verifies on
29 a case-by-case basis that the applicant has proposed an acceptable neutron fluence
30 monitoring AMP for the subsequent period of extended operation. Specific
31 recommendations for ~~an acceptable AMP~~AMPs are provided in ~~Chapter GALL-SLR~~
32 Report AMP XI, Section M31 of the, "Reactor Vessel Surveillance," for reactor vessel
33 material surveillance programs and GALL-SLR Report AMP X.M2, "Neutron Fluence
34 Monitoring," for neutron fluence monitoring programs.

35 2.3. Ductility—Reduction in Fracture Toughness for Babcock and WilcoxB&W reactor
36 internals is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAs are required to be evaluated in
37 accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1). The NRC staff reviews the evaluation of this TLAA
38 following the guidance in Section 4.7 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR consistent with the action item
39 documented in the NRC staff's safety evaluation for MRP-227, Revision 0.

40 3.1.3.2.4 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Intergranular Stress 41 Corrosion Cracking

42 1. ~~The GALL Report recommends that~~A plant-specific AMP should be evaluated to
43 manage cracking due to SCC and IGSCC in ~~stainless steel~~SS and nickel alloy BWR top
44 head enclosure vessel flange leak detection lines. The reviewer reviews the applicant's

1 proposed program on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program will be
2 in place for the management of these aging effects.

- 3 2. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ An augmented program is recommended to include
4 temperature and radioactivity monitoring of the shell-side water and eddy current testing
5 of tubes for the management of cracking due to SCC and IGSCC of the ~~stainless~~
6 ~~steel~~SS BWR isolation condenser components. The existing program relies on control
7 of reactor water chemistry to mitigate SCC and IGSCC and on ASME Section XI ISI to
8 detect leakage. However, the existing program should be augmented to detect cracking
9 due to SCC and IGSCC. The reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed program on a
10 case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program will be in place for the
11 management of these aging effects.

12 3.1.3.2.5 Crack Growth Due to Cyclic Loading

13 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of programs to manage
14 crack growth due to cyclic loading in reactor vessel shell forgings clad with ~~stainless-steel~~SS
15 using a high-heat-input welding process. Growth of intergranular separations (underclad
16 cracks) in the heat affected zone under austenitic ~~stainless-steel~~SS cladding is a TLAA to be
17 evaluated for the subsequent period of extended operation for all the SA-508-CI-2 forgings
18 where the cladding was deposited with a high-heat-input welding process. The methodology for
19 evaluating the underclad flaw should be consistent with the current well-established flaw
20 evaluation procedure and criterion in the ASME Section XI Code. The SRP-~~LRSLR~~, Section
21 4.7, "~~Other Plant Specific Time Limited Aging Analysis,~~" provides generic guidance for meeting
22 the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c). The NRC staff reviews the evaluation of this TLAA
23 separately following the guidance in Section 4.7 of this SRP-~~LRSLR Report~~.

24 3.1.3.2.6 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking

- 25 1. ~~The GALL Report recommends that~~ A plant-specific AMP should be evaluated to
26 manage cracking due to SCC in ~~stainless-steel~~SS PWR reactor vessel flange leak
27 detection lines and bottom-mounted instrument guide tubes exposed to reactor coolant.
28 The reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed program on a case-by-case basis to
29 ensure that an adequate program will be in place for the management of these aging
30 effects.
- 31 2. ~~The GALL Report recommends that~~ A plant-specific AMP should be evaluated to
32 manage cracking due to SCC in CASS PWR Class 1 reactor coolant system piping,
33 ~~piping components,~~ and piping elements~~components~~ exposed to reactor coolant that do
34 not meet the carbon and ferrite content guidelines of NUREG-0313. The reviewer
35 reviews the applicant's proposed program on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an
36 adequate program will be in place for the management of these aging effects.

37 3.1.3.2.7 Cracking Due to Cyclic Loading

38 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ An augmented program for the management of cracking due to
39 cyclic loading in steel and ~~stainless-steel~~SS BWR isolation condenser components- is
40 recommended. The existing program relies on ASME Section XI ISI for detection. However,
41 the inspection requirements should be augmented to detect cracking due to cyclic loading. An
42 augmented program to include temperature and radioactivity monitoring of the shell-side water
43 and eddy current testing of tubes is recommended to ensure that the component's intended

1 function will be maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation. The reviewer
2 verifies on a case-by-case basis that the applicant has proposed an augmented program that
3 will detect cracking and ensure that the component-intended function will be maintained during
4 the subsequent period of extended operation.

5 3.1.3.2.8 *Loss of Material Due to Erosion*

6 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation of a plant-specific AMP is recommended for
7 the management of loss of material due to erosion of steel steam generator feedwater
8 impingement plates and supports exposed to secondary feedwater. ~~The reviewer reviews the~~
9 ~~applicant's proposed program on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program will~~
10 ~~be in place for the management of these aging effects.~~

11 ~~1.1.1.1.4~~ ~~3.1.3.2.9~~ ~~Cracking due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Irradiation-~~
12 ~~Assisted Stress Corrosion Cracking~~

13 ~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation of cracking due to SCC and IASCC for~~
14 ~~inaccessible locations for Primary and Expansion PWR reactor vessel internal components if~~
15 ~~aging effects are identified for these components in accessible locations.~~ The reviewer reviews
16 the applicant's proposed program on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program
17 will be in place for the management of these aging effects ~~consistent with the action item~~
18 ~~documented in the staff's safety evaluation for MRP-227, Revision 0..~~

19 ~~1.1.1.1.5~~ ~~3.1.3.2.10~~ ~~Loss of Fracture Toughness due to Neutron Irradiation~~
20 ~~Embrittlement; Change in Dimension due to Void Swelling; Loss of Preload due~~
21 ~~to Stress Relaxation; or Loss of Material due to Wear~~

22 ~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation of loss of fracture toughness due to neutron~~
23 ~~irradiation embrittlement, change in dimension due to void swelling, loss of~~
24 ~~preload due to stress relaxation, or loss of material due to wear for inaccessible~~
25 ~~locations for Primary and Expansion~~ 3.1.3.2.9 Aging Management of PWR
26 Reactor Vessel internal Internals (Applicable to Subsequent License Renewal
27 Periods Only)

28 EPRI TR No. 1022863, "Materials Reliability Program: Pressurized Water Reactor Internals
29 Inspection and Evaluation Guidelines (MRP-227-A)" (henceforth TR MRP-227-A, which may be
30 accessed at ADAMS Accession Nos. ML12017A191 through ML12017A197 and
31 ML12017A199), provides the industry's current aging management recommendations for the
32 RVI components, if aging effects are that are included in the design of a PWR facility. In this
33 report, the EPRI MRP identified for these that the following aging mechanisms may be
34 applicable to the design of the RVI components in accessible locations: these types of facilities:
35 (a) SCC, (b) IASCC, (c) fatigue, (d) wear, (e) neutron irradiation embrittlement, (f) thermal aging
36 embrittlement, (g) void swelling and irradiation growth, or (h) thermal or irradiation-enhanced
37 stress relaxation or irradiation enhanced creep. The methodology in TR MRP-227-A was
38 approved by the NRC in a safety evaluation dated December 16, 2011 (ML11308A770), which
39 includes those plant-specific applicant/licensee action items that a licensee or applicant applying
40 the MRP-227-A report would need to address and resolve and apply to its licensing basis.

41 The EPRI MRP does not currently assess whether potential operations of Westinghouse-
42 designed, B&W-designed and CE-designed reactors during a subsequent period of extended
43 operation would have any impact on the existing susceptibility rankings and inspection

1 categorizations for the RVI components in these designs, as defined in TR MRP-227-A or its
2 applicable MRP issued background documents (e.g., TR MRP-191 for Westinghouse-designed
3 or CE-designed RVI components or MRP-189 for B&W-designed components). Therefore, for
4 SLRAs of PWR facilities, a plant-specific AMP for the RVI components is needed to
5 demonstrate that the RVI components will be managed in accordance with the requirements of
6 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3) during a proposed subsequent period of extended operation. The reviewer
7 reviews the adequacy of the applicant's proposed program AMP on a case-by-case basis to
8 ensure that an-against the criteria for plant-specific AMP program elements defined in Sections
9 A.1.2.3.1 through A.1.2.3.10 of SRP-SLR Appendix A.1. The reviewer verifies that the applicant
10 has defined both the type of performance monitoring, condition monitoring, preventative
11 monitoring, or mitigative monitoring AMP that will be used for aging management of the RVI
12 components and the specific program element criteria for the AMP that will be used to manage
13 age-related effects in the RVI components during the SLR period.

14 If a plant-specific sampling-based condition monitoring program is proposed as the AMP for the
15 components, the reviewer verifies that the applicant has appropriately identified (with adequate
16 program will be in place for the management of these-justification) the population of RVI
17 components that are within the scope of the program, and the specific RVI components that will
18 be inspected by the AMP. The reviewer also verifies that the applicant has appropriately
19 identified the aging effects that will be monitored, the components in the inspection sample, and
20 the inspection methods and frequency that will be applied to the components. The reviewer
21 also verifies that program includes applicable inspection expansion criteria that will be applied
22 under the program if inspections of the RVI components results in identification of relevant age-
23 related aging effects or mechanisms. In addition, the reviewer verifies that the program includes
24 appropriate acceptance criteria for evaluating the inspection results of the AMP and appropriate
25 corrective action criteria that will be implemented if these acceptance criteria are not met.
26 Applicant bases for resolving specific Technical Report or TR applicant/licensee action items will
27 be within the scope of the NRC's review of the AMP. Refer to SRP-SLR Section 3.0 and SRP-
28 SRP Appendix A.1 for additional information.

29 3.1.3.2.10 Loss of Material Due to Wear

30 1. Loss of material due to wear can occur in PWR CRD head penetration nozzles
31 due to the interactions between the nozzle and the thermal sleeve centering
32 pads of the nozzle. The applicant should perform a further evaluation to confirm
33 the adequacy of a plant-specific AMP or analysis (with any necessary
34 inspections) for management of the aging effect. The reviewer confirms that the
35 applicant's plant-specific AMP for managing this aging effect meets the
36 acceptance criteria that are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this
37 SRP-SLR Report). Alternatively, the reviewer confirms that loss of material due
38 to wear does not affect the intended function(s) of CRD head penetration
39 nozzles, consistent with the action item documented in the staff's safety
40 evaluation for MRP-227, Revision 0-CLB, if the applicant relies on an analysis for
41 aging management. The reviewer also confirms whether inspections are
42 necessary to ensure the adequacy of the analysis.

43 2. Loss of material due to wear can occur in the thermal sleeves of PWR CRD
44 head penetration nozzles due to the interactions between the nozzle and the
45 thermal sleeve. The applicant should perform a further evaluation to confirm the
46 adequacy of a plant-specific AMP for management of the aging effect. The
47 reviewer confirms that the applicant's plant-specific AMP for managing this aging

1 effect meets the acceptance criteria that are described in BTP RLSB-1
2 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report).

3 3.1.3.2.11 *Cracking Due to Primary Water Stress Corrosion Cracking*

4 1. ~~The GALL Report recommends that~~ A plant-specific AMP should be evaluated, along
5 with the primary water chemistry program, to manage cracking due to PWSCC in nickel
6 alloy divider plate assemblies made of Alloy 600 and/or the associated Alloy 600 weld
7 materials for ~~steam generators~~SGs with a similar design to that of Westinghouse Model
8 51. The effectiveness of the chemistry control program should be verified to ensure that
9 cracking due to PWSCC is not occurring. The reviewer verifies the materials of
10 construction of the ~~applicant's~~applicant's SG divider plate assembly. If these materials
11 are susceptible to cracking, the reviewer verifies that the applicant has evaluated the
12 potential for cracking in the divider plate to propagate into other components (e.g.,
13 tubesheet cladding). If propagation into these other components is possible, the
14 reviewer verifies if the applicant has described an inspection program (examination
15 technique and frequency) for ensuring that no cracks are propagating into other items
16 (e.g., tube sheet and channel head) that could challenge the integrity of those items.
17 The reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed program on a case-by-case basis to
18 ensure that an adequate program will be in place for the management of this aging
19 effect.

20 2. ~~The GALL Report recommends that~~ A plant-specific AMP should be evaluated, along
21 with the primary water chemistry program, to manage cracking due to PWSCC in
22 recirculating ~~steam generator~~SG nickel alloy tube-to-tubesheet welds exposed to reactor
23 coolant. The effectiveness of the primary water chemistry program should be verified to
24 ensure that cracking due to PWSCC is not occurring. The reviewer verifies the
25 combination of materials of construction of the ~~steam generator~~SG tubes and tubesheet
26 cladding and the classification of the tube-to-tubesheet weld. If this combination
27 requires further evaluation, the reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed program on a
28 case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program will be in place for the
29 management of this aging effect.

30 3.1.3.2.12 *Cracking Due to ~~Fatigue~~Irradiation-Assisted Stress Corrosion Cracking*

31 ~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation of cracking due to fatigue in the lower flange~~
32 ~~weld in the core support barrel assembly, fuel alignment plate in the upper internals assembly,~~
33 ~~and core support plate in the lower support structure in PWR internals designed by Combustion~~
34 ~~Engineering. The reviewer determines whether a TLAA has been performed for each~~
35 ~~component, consistent with the action item documented in the staff's safety evaluation for MRP-~~
36 ~~227, Revision 0. If a TLAA has not been performed, the reviewer determines whether the~~
37 ~~applicant has performed an evaluation to identify the potential location and extent of fatigue~~
38 ~~cracking for each component consistent with the action item documented in the staff's safety~~
39 ~~evaluation for MRP-227, Revision 0.~~

40 ~~3.1.3.2.13~~ Cracking due to Stress-1. Cracking due to IASCC can occur in BWR
41 vessel internals made of nickel alloy and SS. The applicant should perform an
42 evaluation to determine whether supplemental inspections are necessary in
43 addition to the existing BWRVIP examination guidelines to adequately manage
44 cracking due to IASCC for BWR vessel internals. This evaluation for
45 supplemental inspections is based on neutron fluence and cracking susceptibility

1 (i.e., applied stress, operating temperature, and environmental conditions). The
2 NRC staff reviews the applicant's evaluation to ensure that adequate
3 supplemental inspections are identified and included in the applicant's BWR
4 Vessel Internals Program as necessary for aging management of cracking due
5 to IASCC. In addition, any necessary enhancements to the BWRVIP should be
6 reviewed for adequate justification.

7 2. Cracking due to IASCC can occur in the BWR core shroud support plate access
8 hole cover (welded or mechanical). The applicant should perform an evaluation
9 to determine whether supplemental inspections are necessary in addition to the
10 existing ISI to adequately manage cracking due to IASCC for this component for
11 the subsequent period of extended operation. This evaluation is based on
12 neutron fluence and cracking susceptibility (i.e., applied stress, operating
13 temperature, and environmental conditions). The NRC staff reviews the
14 applicant's evaluation to ensure that adequate supplemental inspections are
15 identified and included in the applicant's aging management for this component
16 as necessary. In addition, any necessary enhancements to the ASME
17 Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD program
18 should be reviewed for adequate justification.

19 3.1.3.2.13 *Loss of Fracture Toughness Due to Neutron Irradiation or Thermal*
20 *Aging Embrittlement*

21 Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement can occur in BWR
22 vessel internals made of nickel alloy and SS. In addition, loss of fracture toughness due
23 to neutron irradiation and thermal aging embrittlement can occur in BWR vessel
24 internals made of CASS, PH martensitic SS (e.g., 15-5 and 17-4 PH steel) and
25 martensitic SS (e.g., 403, 410, 431 steel).

26 The applicant should perform an evaluation to determine whether supplemental
27 inspections are necessary in addition to the existing BWRVIP examination guidelines to
28 adequately manage loss of fracture toughness for BWR vessel internals. This
29 evaluation for supplemental inspections is based on neutron fluence, thermal aging
30 susceptibility, fracture toughness, and cracking susceptibility (i.e., applied stress,
31 operating temperature, and environmental conditions). The NRC staff reviews the
32 applicant's evaluation to ensure that adequate supplemental inspections are identified
33 and included in the applicant's BWRVIP as necessary for aging management of loss of
34 fracture toughness. In addition, any necessary enhancements to the BWRVIP should
35 be reviewed for adequate justification.

36 3.1.3.2.14 *Loss of Preload Due to Thermal or Irradiation-Enhanced Stress Relaxation*

37 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M9 of the GALL-SLR Report, "BWR Vessel Internals," manages loss
38 of preload due to thermal or irradiation-enhanced stress relaxation in BWR core plate rim
39 holddown bolts. The issue is applicable to BWR light water reactors that employ rim holddown
40 bolts as the means for protecting the reactor's core plate from the consequences of lateral
41 movement. The potential for such movement, if left unmanaged, could impact the ability of the
42 reactor to be brought into a safe shutdown condition during an anticipated transient occurrence
43 or during a postulated design-basis accident or seismic event. This issue is not applicable to
44 BWR reactor designs that use wedges as the means of precluding lateral movement of the core

1 plate because the wedges are fixed in place and are not subject to this type of aging effect and
2 mechanism combination.

3 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M9 states that the inspections in BWRVIP TR No. BWRVIP-25,
4 “BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Core Plate Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines
5 (BWRVIP-25),” is used to manage loss of preload due to thermal or irradiation-enhanced stress
6 relaxation in BWR designs with core plate rim holddown bolts. However, in initial LRAs, some
7 applicants have identified that the inspection bases for managing loss of preload in TR
8 No. BWRVIP-25 may not be capable of gaining access to the rim holddown bolts or are not
9 sufficient to detect loss of preload on the components. For applicants that have identified this
10 issue in their past LRAs, the applicants have committed to modifying the plant design to install
11 wedges in the core plate designs or to submitting an inspection plan, with a supporting core
12 plate rim holddown bolt preload analysis for NRC approval at least 2 years prior to entering the
13 subsequent period of extended operation for the facility.

14 For SLRAs that apply to BWRs with core plate rim holddown bolts, the reviewer assesses
15 whether the SLRA has included an enhanced augmented inspection basis for plants’ core plate
16 rim holddown bolts and has justified the augmented inspection basis that will be applied to the
17 components, along with a supporting loss of preload analysis that supports the augmented
18 inspection method and frequency that will be applied to the bolts. If an existing NRC-approved
19 analysis for the bolts exists in the CLB and conforms to the definition of a TLAA, the reviewer
20 assesses whether the applicant has identified the analysis as a TLAA for the SLRA and has
21 demonstrated why the analysis is acceptable in accordance with either 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i),
22 (ii), or (iii). Otherwise, if a new analysis will be performed to support an updated 80-year
23 augmented inspection basis for the bolts for the subsequent period of extended operation, the
24 NRC staff reviews the applicant’s augmented inspection and evaluation basis to determine
25 whether the FSAR Supplement for the LRA has included a license commitment to submit both
26 the inspection plan and the supporting loss of preload analysis to the NRC staff at least 2 years
27 prior to entering into the subsequent period of extended operation for the facility.

28 3.1.3.2.15 *Loss of Material Due to Boric Acid Corrosion*–Cracking and Fatigue

29 The GALL Report recommends further evaluation of cracking due to stress corrosion cracking
30 and fatigue in the nickel alloy control rod guide tube assemblies, guide tube support pins
31 exposed to reactor coolant, and neutron flux. A plant-specific AMP should be evaluated, along
32 with the Water Chemistry program, to adequately manage loss of material due to boric acid
33 corrosion for the steel base material of the SG head. The reviewer should review the plant-
34 specific program to ensure that the program is capable of managing loss of material due to boric
35 acid corrosion for the steam generator head base material. If the channel head cladding is
36 compromised or steel base material is corroded, the reviewer assesses whether additional
37 analytical evaluations or inspections are necessary in order to ensure that the potential loss of
38 material in the SG head will not affect the integrity of the component.

39 3.1.3.2.16 *Cracking Due to Cyclic Loading*

40 1. As discussed in NUREG–0619, rerouting the control rod drive return line was a measure
41 taken by some licensees to prevent high cyclic thermal loading that had led to cracking
42 of the control rod drive return line nozzles and the reactor pressure vessel wall in BWRs.
43 As a result, in response to NRC Generic Letter (GL) 80-95, some BWR licensees cut
44 and capped the control rod drive return line nozzle and rerouted the return line to an inlet
45 pipng system that delivers the return line flow to the reactor pressure vessel (RPV).

1 While this approach eliminated the thermal gradients in the control rod drive return line
2 nozzle in the reactor pressure vessel, it introduced lower magnitude thermal gradients at
3 the welded connection between the rerouted control rod drive return line piping and the
4 inlet piping system. Section 8.2 of NUREG-0619 recommends periodic inspections of
5 this welded connection to detect potential cracking caused by the cyclical loads from
6 these thermal gradients.

7 The reviewer evaluates the adequacy of the applicant's condition monitoring activities to
8 ensure that cracking will be detected before there is a loss of intended function at this
9 welded connection. The reviewer either confirms that the applicant will follow the
10 recommendations in NUREG-0619, Section 8.2; or evaluates the applicant's proposed
11 condition monitoring activities on a case-by-case basis.

12 The CRD return lines were eliminated from some of the newer-vintage BWR-4, BWR-5,
13 and BWR-6 designs that were still under construction when NUREG-0619 was
14 published. For these cases, the reviewer confirms that the plant configuration does not
15 include a CRD return line.

16 Cracking due to cyclic loading could occur in BWR-2 steel with or without SS cladding
17 control rod drive return line nozzles and their nozzle-to-vessel welds exposed to reactor
18 coolant. The reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed program on a case-by-case
19 basis to ensure that an adequate program will be in place for the management of these
20 aging effects consistent with the action item documented in the staff's safety evaluation
21 for MRP-227, Revision 0this aging effect.

22 -
23 3.1.3.2.14 — Loss of Material ¹⁷ Cracking Due to Wear Stress Corrosion Cracking or
24 Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking

25 The GALL Report recommends further evaluation. A review is recommended of loss of
26 material plant-specific AMPs for managing cracking due to wear SCC and IGSCC in BWR SS
27 and nickel alloy control rod guide tube assemblies, guide tube support pins and piping and
28 piping components greater than or equal to 4 inches NPS; nozzle safe ends and associated
29 welds; and CRD return line nozzle caps and the associated cap-to-nozzle welds or cap-to-safe
30 end welds in Zircaloy-4 in-core instrumentation lower thimble tubes BWR-3, BWR-4, BWR-5, and
31 BWR-6 designs that are exposed to reactor coolant, and neutron flux. Components in dead-
32 legs and other piping locations with stagnant flow may be subject to localized environmental
33 conditions that could exacerbate the mechanisms of SCC and IGSCC. The reviewer ensures
34 that the applicant has identified any such locations and provided justification for the AMPs
35 credited for managing this aging effect. The reviewer reviews the applicant's justification and
36 proposed program AMPs on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program will be
37 in place for the management of these aging the effects of aging will be adequately managed.

38 3.1.3.2.18 — Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice or Pitting Corrosion and
39 Microbiologically-Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to Stress
40 Corrosion Cracking

41 For steel piping and piping components exposed to concrete, if the following conditions are met,
42 loss of material is not considered to be an applicable aging effect for steel: (a) attributes of the
43 concrete are consistent with the action item documented American Concrete Institute (ACI) 318

1 or ACI 349 (low water-to-cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air entrainment) as cited
2 in the staff's safety evaluation for MRP-227, Revision 0NUREG-1557; (b) plant-specific
3 operating experience indicates no degradation of the concrete that could lead to penetration of
4 water to the metal surface; and (c) the piping is not potentially exposed to groundwater. For SS
5 piping and piping components, loss of material and cracking due to SCC are not considered to
6 be applicable aging effects as long as the piping is not potentially exposed to groundwater.
7 Where these conditions are not met, loss of material due to general (steel only), crevice, or
8 pitting corrosion, and microbiologically-induced corrosion and cracking due to SCC (SS only)
9 are identified as applicable aging effects. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, "Buried and
10 Underground Piping and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage these aging effects.

11 3.1.3.2.15 The reviewer verifies that the concrete was specified to meet ACI 318 or ACI 349 (low
12 water-to-cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air entrainment) as cited in NUREG-
13 1557. The reviewer should evaluate plant-specific operating experience to determine whether
14 concrete degradation sufficient to allow water intrusion has occurred.

15 3.1.3.2.19 *Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion and Microbiologically-*
16 *Induced Corrosion in Components Exposed to Treated Water, Treated Borated*
17 *Water, or Sodium Pentaborate Solution*

18 Loss of material due to crevice corrosion can occur in steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel
19 alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks,
20 and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, treated borated water, or
21 sodium pentaborate solution if oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb. In addition, loss of
22 material due to pitting can occur if oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb, halides or sulfates
23 levels are greater than 150 ppb, and stagnant flow conditions exist. Loss of material due to
24 microbiologically-induced corrosion can occur with steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel alloy
25 piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks, and
26 PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, treated borated water, or sodium
27 pentaborate solution if the pH is less than 10.5 and temperature is less than 99 °C [210 °F].

28 The reviewer verifies the applicant's chemistry control parameters to determine whether
29 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and a one-time inspection program is
30 implemented (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection") or GALL-SLR
31 Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and a periodic inspection program is implemented
32 (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping
33 and Ducting Components") to manage loss of material.

34 3.1.3.2.20 *Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components*

35 The applicant's AMPs for ~~license renewal~~SLR should contain the elements of corrective actions,
36 the confirmation process, and administrative controls. Safety-related components are covered
37 by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, which is adequate to address these program elements.
38 However, Appendix B does not apply to nonsafety-related components that are subject to an
39 ~~aging management review for license renewal~~AMR for SLR. Nevertheless, the applicant has
40 the option to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B program to include these
41 components and address the associated program elements. If the applicant chooses this
42 option, the reviewer verifies that the applicant has documented such a commitment in the FSAR
43 Supplement. If the applicant chooses alternative means, the branch responsible for quality
44 assurance (QA) should be requested to review the applicant's proposal on a case-by-case
45 basis.

1 ~~3.1.3.3~~ ~~AMR2.21~~ Ongoing Review of Operating Experience

2 The applicant's AMPs should contain the element of operating experience. The reviewer
3 verifies that the applicant has appropriate programs or processes for the ongoing review of both
4 plant-specific and industry operating experience concerning age-related degradation and aging
5 management. Such reviews are used to ensure that the AMPs are effective to manage the
6 aging effects for which they are created. The AMPs are either enhanced or new AMPs are
7 developed, as appropriate, when it is determined through the evaluation of operating experience
8 that the effects of aging may not be adequately managed. Additional information is in
9 Appendix A.4, "Operating Experience for AMPs."

10 In addition, the reviewer confirms that the applicant has provided an appropriate summary
11 description of these activities in the FSAR supplement. An example description is under
12 "Operating Experience" in Table 3.0-1, "FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of
13 Applicable Systems for SLR."

14 3.1.3.3 Aging Managing Review Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the
15 GALL-~~Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal~~ Report

16 The reviewer should confirm that the applicant, in its LRASLRA, has identified applicable aging
17 effects, listed the appropriate combination of materials and environments, and AMPs that will
18 adequately manage the aging effects. The AMP credited by the applicant could be an AMP that
19 is described and evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report or a plant-specific program. Review
20 procedures are described in Branch Technical PositionBTP RSLB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-
21 LR-SLR Report).

22 3.1.3.4 Aging Management Programs

23 The reviewer confirms that the applicant has identified the appropriate AMPs as described and
24 evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report. If the applicant commits to an enhancement to make its
25 LRASLRA AMP consistent with a GALL-SLR Report AMP, then the reviewer is to confirm that
26 this enhancement, when implemented, will make the LRASLRA AMP consistent with the GALL-
27 SLR Report AMP. If the applicant identifies, in the LRASLRA AMP, an exception to any of the
28 program elements of the GALL-SLR Report AMP, the reviewer is to confirm that the LRASLRA
29 AMP with the exception will satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If the reviewer identifies a
30 difference, not identified by the LRASLRA, between the LRASLRA AMP and the GALL-SLR
31 Report AMP, with which the LRASLRA claims to be consistent, the reviewer should confirm that
32 the LRASLRA AMP with this difference satisfies 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). The reviewer should
33 document the basis for accepting enhancements, exceptions, or differences. The AMPs
34 evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report pertinent to the reactor vessel, internals, and reactor coolant
35 system are summarized in Table 3.1-1 of this SRP-LR-SLR. The "Rev 2GALL-SLR Item" (~~for~~
36 ~~2010~~) and "Rev1 Item" (~~for 2005 counterpart~~) columns identifycolumn identifies the AMR item
37 numbers in the GALL-SLR Report, Chapter IV, presenting detailed information summarized by
38 this row.

39 3.1.3.5 FSARFinal Safety Analysis Report Supplement

40 The reviewer confirms that the applicant has provided in its FSAR supplement information
41 equivalent to that in Table 3.0-1 for aging management of the reactor vessel, internals, and
42 reactor coolant system. Table 3.1-2 lists the AMPs that are applicable for this SRP-LRSLR
43 subsection. The reviewer also confirms that the applicant has provided information for

1 Subsection 3.1.3.3, “AMR Results Not Consistent with or Not Addressed in the GALL-SLR
2 Report,” equivalent to that in Table 3.0-1.

3 The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the
4 applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR Supplement at the next update required
5 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license conditions until the FSAR update is
6 complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR Supplement
7 without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change and finds it
8 acceptable pursuant to the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the
9 FSAR to include the final FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, no condition will
10 be necessary.

11 As noted in Table 3.0-1, an applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its
12 FSAR. However, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has identified and committed in
13 the license renewal application SLRA to any future aging management activities, including
14 enhancements and commitments to be completed before entering the subsequent period of
15 extended operation. The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed
16 license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed
17 date.

18 **3.1.4 Evaluation Findings**

19 If the reviewer determines that the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the
20 provisions of this section, then an evaluation finding similar to the following text should be
21 included in the NRC staff’s safety evaluation report:

22 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that the
23 applicant has demonstrated that the aging effects associated with the reactor
24 vessel, internals, and reactor coolant system components will be adequately
25 managed so that the intended functions will be maintained consistent with the
26 CLB for the subsequent period of extended operation, as required by
27 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3).

28 The NRC staff also reviewed the applicable FSAR Supplement program
29 summaries and concludes that they adequately describe the AMPs credited for
30 managing aging of the reactor vessel, internals and reactor coolant system, as
31 required by 10 CFR 54.21(d).

32 **3.1.5 Implementation**

33 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for
34 complying with specified portions of the NRC’s regulations, the method described herein will be
35 used by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.

36 **3.1.6 References**

- 37 ~~1. NUREG-0800, “Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear~~
38 ~~Power Plants,” U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 2007.~~
- 39 ~~2. NUREG-1801, “Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report,” U.S. Nuclear Regulatory~~
40 ~~Commission, Revision 2, 2010.~~

- 1 ~~3-1.~~ NEI. NEI 97-06, "Steam Generator Program Guidelines," Revision 2, Washington, DC: Nuclear Energy Institute, September 2005.
- 2
- 3 ~~4-2.~~ NEI. NEI 95-10, "Industry Guideline for Implementing the Requirements of
4 10 CFR Part 54—The License Renewal Rule," Revision 6. Washington, DC:
5 Nuclear Energy Institute, ~~Revision 6.~~
- 6 ~~5-3.~~ NRC. Information Notice 90-04, "Cracking of the Upper Shell-to-Transition Cone Girth
7 Welds in Steam Generators," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
8 Commission, January 26, 1990.
- 9 ~~6-4.~~ NRC. NUREG—0313, ~~Rev. 2,~~ "Technical Report on Material Selection and Processing
10 Guidelines for BWR Coolant Pressure Boundary Piping," Revision 2. Washington, DC:
11 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, January 1988.
- 12 ~~7-5.~~ EPRI. EPRI 1013706, "PWR Steam Generator Examination Guidelines," ~~Rev.~~ Revision
13 7, Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute, October 2007.
- 14 ~~8-6.~~ NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.121, "Bases for Plugging Degraded PWR Steam Generator
15 Tubes (for Comment)," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
16 May 1976.
- 17 ~~9-7.~~ NRC. Generic Letter 95-05, "Voltage-Based Repair Criteria for Westinghouse Steam
18 Generator Tubes Affected by Outside Diameter Stress Corrosion Cracking,"
19 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, August 3, 1995.
- 20 ~~10-8.~~ NRC. Information Notice 90-10, "Primary Water Stress Corrosion Cracking (PWSCC) of
21 Inconel 600," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
22 February 23, 1990.
- 23 ~~11-9.~~ NRC. Information Notice 90-30, "Ultrasonic Inspection Techniques for Dissimilar Metal
24 Welds," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, May 1, 1990.
- 25 ~~12-10.~~ NRC. Generic Letter 89-08, "Erosion/Corrosion-Induced Pipe Wall Thinning,"
26 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 2, 1989.
- 27 ~~13-11.~~ EPRI. NSAC-202L-R3, "Recommendations for an Effective Flow-accelerated Corrosion
28 Program," Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute, April 1999.
- 29 ~~14-12.~~ NRC. Information Notice 96-11, "Ingress of Demineralizer Resins Increase Potential for
30 Stress Corrosion Cracking of Control Rod Drive Mechanism Penetrations,"
31 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 14, 1996.
- 32 ~~15-13.~~ EPRI. BWRVIP—190 (EPRI 1016579), *BWR Vessel and Internals Project: BWR Water*
33 *Chemistry Guidelines-2008 Revision, Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research
34 Institute, ~~Palo Alto, CA,~~ October 2008*
- 35 ~~16-14.~~ EPRI. NP—5769, "Degradation and Failure of Bolting in Nuclear Power Plants,"
36 Volumes 1 and 2, Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute, ~~Palo Alto,~~
37 CA, April 1988.

- 1 ~~17-15.~~ EPRI. TR 1014986, "PWR Primary Water Chemistry Guidelines," Revision 6,
2 Volumes 1 and 2, Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto,
3 CA, December 2007.
- 4 ~~18-16.~~ NRC. Generic Letter 88-01, "NRC Position on IGSCC in BWR Austenitic Stainless Steel
5 Piping," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 25, 1988.
- 6 ~~19-17.~~ NRC. Generic Letter 97-01, "Degradation of Control Rod Drive Mechanism Nozzle and
7 Other Vessel Closure Head Penetrations," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
8 Commission. April 1, 1997.
- 9 ~~20-18.~~ NRC. Information Notice 97-46, "Unisolable Crack in High-Pressure Injection Piping,"
10 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 9, 1997.
- 11 ~~21-19.~~ NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.99, Rev. 2, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel
12 Materials," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1988.
- 13 ~~22-20.~~ NRC. NUREG--0619, "BWR Feedwater Nozzle and Control Rod Drive Return Line
14 Nozzle Cracking," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
15 November 1980.
- 16 ~~23-21.~~ NRC. NUREG--1339, "Resolution of Generic Safety Issue 29: Bolting Degradation or
17 Failure in Nuclear Power Plants," Richard E. Johnson, Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
18 Regulatory Commission, June 1990.
- 19 ~~24-22.~~ EPRI. TR-104213, "Bolted Joint Maintenance & Application Guide, Electric Power
20 Research Institute," Palo Alto, CA, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
21 December 1995.
- 22 ~~25-23.~~ Dave Modeen. NEI Letter dated Dec. (December 11, 1998, Dave Modeen) to Gus
23 Lainas, "Responses to NRC Requests for Additional Information (RAIs) on GL 97-01."
24 Washington, DC: Nuclear Energy Institute. 1998.
- 25 ~~26-24.~~ EPRI. TR 1016555, "PWR Secondary Water Chemistry Guidelines--Revision 7,"
26 Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, CA, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
27 February 2009.
- 28 ~~27-25.~~ NRC. Information Notice 91-19, "Steam Generator Feedwater Distribution Piping
29 Damage," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 12, 1991.
- 30 ~~28-26.~~ EPRI. ~~4016596.~~ TR 1022863, "Materials Reliability Program: Pressurized Water Reactor
31 Internals Inspection and Evaluation Guidelines," (MRP-227, Revision 0, Electric Power
32 Research Institute, -A.) Palo Alto, CA, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
33 December 2008/2011.
- 34 ~~29-27.~~ Topical Report, Entergy Operations, Inc. "Demonstration of the Management of Aging
35 Effects for the Reactor Vessel Internals," Topical Report BAW-2248A, Russellville,
36 Arkansas: Entergy Operations, Inc. March 2000.
- 37 ~~30-28.~~ EPRI. TR-1014982, "Divider Plate Cracking in Steam Generators - Results of Phase 1:
38 Analysis of Primary Water Stress Corrosion Cracking and Mechanical Fatigue in the

1 Alloy 600 Stub Runner to Divider Plate Weld Material," Palo Alto, California: Electric
2 Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, CA, June 2007.

3 29. Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. Letter (July 13) to NRC, Dominion Nuclear
4 Connecticut, Inc. Millstone Power Station Unit 3 Results of the Reactor Pressure
5 Vessel Head Inspections Required by NRC Order EA-03-009. 2007.

6 30. Southern Company. Letter (June 21) to the NRC, Vogtle Electric Generating Plant -
7 Unit 2 Results of Reactor Pressure Vessel Head Inspections Required by First Revised
8 Order EA-03-009. Atlanta, Georgia: Southern Company. 2007.

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>1M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>1</u>	High strength, low-alloy steel top head closure stud assembly exposed to air with potential for reactor coolant leakage <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue <u>Steel reactor vessel closure flange assembly components exposed to air with potential for reactor coolant leakage</u>	Fatigue is a TLAA evaluated for the period of extended operation (See SRP, Sec 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods to comply with 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)) <u>Cumulative fatigue damage: cracking due to fatigue, cyclical loading</u>	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.1) <u>TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue"</u>	<u>IV.A1.RP-204</u> <u>IV.A2.RP-54</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.1)</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>IV.A1.RP-201</u> <u>IV.A2-4(R-73)</u> <u>.RP-54</u>
<u>2M</u>	<u>PWR</u> <u>2</u>	Nickel alloy tubes and sleeves exposed to reactor coolant and secondary feedwater/steam <u>PWR</u>	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue <u>Nickel alloy tubes and sleeves exposed to reactor coolant, secondary feedwater/steam</u>	Fatigue is a TLAA evaluated for the period of extended operation (See SRP, Sec 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods to comply with 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)) <u>Cumulative fatigue damage: cracking due to fatigue, cyclical loading</u>	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.1) <u>TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue"</u>	<u>IV.D1.R-46</u> <u>IV.D2.R-46</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.1)</u>	<u>IV.D1-24(R-46)</u> <u>IV.D2-15(R-46)</u>
<u>3M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>3</u>	Stainless steel or nickel alloy reactor vessel internal components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue <u>Stainless steel, nickel alloy reactor vessel internal components exposed to reactor coolant, neutron flux</u>	Fatigue is a TLAA evaluated for the period of extended operation (See SRP, Sec 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods to comply with 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)) <u>Cumulative fatigue damage:</u>	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.1) <u>TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue"</u>	<u>IV.B1.R-53</u> <u>IV.B2.RP-303</u> <u>IV.B3.RP-339</u> <u>IV.B4.R-53</u>	<u>IV.B1-14(R-53)</u> <u>IV.B2-31(R-53)</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				<u>cracking due to fatigue,</u> <u>cyclical loading</u>		<u>IV.B3.RP-389</u> <u>IV.B3.RP-390</u> <u>IV.B3.RP-391</u> <u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.1.2.2.1)</u>	<u>.RP-303</u> <u>IV.B3-</u> <u>24(R-53)</u> <u>.RP-339</u> <u>IV.B4-</u> <u>37(R-53)</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u>
<u>4M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>4</u>	<u>Steel pressure</u> <u>vessel support</u> <u>skirt and</u> <u>attachment</u> <u>welds</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cumulative fatigue</u> <u>damage due to</u> <u>fatigue</u> <u>Steel pressure</u> <u>vessel support skirt and</u> <u>attachment welds</u>	<u>Fatigue is a TLAA</u> <u>evaluated for the period</u> <u>of extended operation</u> <u>(See SRP, Sec 4.3</u> <u>"Metal Fatigue," for</u> <u>acceptable methods to</u> <u>comply with 10 CFR</u> <u>54.21(e)(1))</u> <u>Cumulative</u> <u>fatigue damage:</u> <u>cracking due to fatigue,</u> <u>cyclical loading</u>	<u>Yes, TLAA (See</u> <u>subsection</u> <u>3.1.2.2.1)</u> <u>TLAA,</u> <u>SRP-SLR Section</u> <u>4.3 "Metal</u> <u>Fatigue"</u>	<u>IV.A1.R-70</u> <u>IV.A2.R-70</u> <u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.1.2.2.1)</u>	<u>IV.A1-</u> <u>6(R-70</u> <u>)</u> <u>IV.A2-</u> <u>20(R-70)</u>
<u>5M</u>	<u>PWR</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Steel, stainless</u> <u>steel, or steel</u> <u>(with stainless</u> <u>steel or nickel</u> <u>alloy cladding)</u> <u>steam generator</u> <u>components,</u>	<u>Cumulative fatigue</u> <u>damage due to</u> <u>fatigue</u> <u>Steel, stainless</u> <u>steel, steel (with</u> <u>stainless steel or nickel</u> <u>alloy cladding) steam</u> <u>generator components,</u>	<u>Fatigue is a TLAA</u> <u>evaluated for the period</u> <u>of extended operation</u> <u>(See SRP, Sec 4.3</u> <u>"Metal Fatigue," for</u> <u>acceptable methods to</u> <u>comply with 10 CFR</u>	<u>Yes, TLAA (See</u> <u>subsection</u> <u>3.1.2.2.1)</u> <u>TLAA,</u> <u>SRP-SLR Section</u> <u>4.3 "Metal</u> <u>Fatigue"</u>	<u>IV.C2.R-13</u> <u>IV.C2.R-18</u> <u>IV.D1.R-33</u> <u>IV.D2.R-33</u>	<u>IV.C2-</u> <u>23(R-13</u> <u>)</u> <u>IV.C2-</u> <u>40(R-18</u> <u>)</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>D</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		pressurizer relief tank components or piping components or bolting PWR	pressurizer relief tank components, piping components, bolting	54.21(c)(1) Cumulative fatigue damage: cracking due to fatigue, cyclical loading		Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.1)	IV.D1-44 (R-33) IV.D2-40 (R-33)
<u>6M</u>	<u>BWR6</u>	Steel (with or without nickel-alloy or stainless steel cladding), or stainless steel; or nickel-alloy reactor coolant pressure boundary components: piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to reactor coolant BWR	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue Steel (with or without nickel-alloy or stainless steel cladding), stainless steel; nickel alloy reactor coolant pressure boundary components: piping, piping components; other pressure retaining components exposed to reactor coolant	Fatigue is a TLAA evaluated for the period of extended operation, and for Class 1 components environmental effects on fatigue are to be addressed. (See SRP, Sec 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods to comply with 40 CFR 54.21(c)(1) Cumulative fatigue damage: cracking due to fatigue, cyclical loading	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.1) TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue"	IV.C1.R-220 Yes (SRP-SLR, Section 3.1.2.2.1)	IV.C1-15 (R-220)
<u>7M</u>	<u>BWR7</u>	Steel (with or without nickel-alloy or stainless steel cladding), or stainless steel; or nickel-alloy reactor vessel	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue Steel (with or without nickel-alloy or stainless steel cladding), or stainless steel; or nickel alloy reactor	Fatigue is a TLAA evaluated for the period of extended operation, and for Class 1 components environmental effects on fatigue are to be	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.1) TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue"	IV.A1.R-04 Yes (SRP-SLR, Section 3.1.2.2.1)	IV.A1-7 (R-04)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		components: flanges; nozzles; penetrations; safe ends; thermal sleeves; vessel shells, heads and welds exposed to reactor coolant BWR	vessel components: nozzles; penetrations; safe ends; thermal sleeves; vessel shells, heads and welds exposed to reactor coolant	addressed. (See SRP, Sec 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods to comply with 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)) Cumulative fatigue damage: cracking due to fatigue, cyclical loading			
<u>8M</u>	<u>PWR8</u>	Steel (with or without nickel- alloy or stainless steel cladding), or stainless steel; or nickel alloy steam generator components exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue Steel (with or without nickel alloy or stainless steel cladding), or stainless steel; or nickel alloy steam generator components exposed to reactor coolant	Fatigue is a TLAA evaluated for the period of extended operation, and for Class 1 components environmental effects on fatigue are to be addressed. (See SRP, Sec 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods to comply with 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)) Cumulative fatigue damage: cracking due to fatigue, cyclical loading	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.1) TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue"	IV.D1.R-221 IV.D2.R-222 Yes (SRP- SLR, Section 3.1.2.2.1)	IV.D1- 8(R-221) IV.D2- 3(R-222)
<u>9M</u>	<u>PWR9</u>	Steel (with or without nickel- alloy or stainless steel cladding),	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue Steel (with or without nickel alloy or	Fatigue is a TLAA evaluated for the period of extended operation, and for Class 1	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.1) TLAA, SRP-SLR Section	IV.C2.R-223 Yes (SRP- SLR,	IV.C2- 25(R- 223)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u></u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		stainless steel; nickel alloy RCPB piping; flanges; nozzles & safe ends; pressurizer shell heads & welds; heater sheaths & sleeves; penetrations; thermal sleeves exposed to reactor coolant PWR	<u>stainless steel cladding),</u> <u>stainless steel; nickel</u> <u>alloy reactor coolant</u> <u>pressure boundary</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components; other</u> <u>pressure retaining</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>reactor coolant</u>	components environmental effects on fatigue are to be addressed. (See SRP, Sec 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods to comply with 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)) Cumulative fatigue damage: <u>cracking due to fatigue,</u> <u>cyclical loading</u>	4.3 "Metal Fatigue"	<u>Section</u> <u>3.1.2.2.1)</u>	
10M	PWR10	Steel (with or without nickel- alloy or stainless steel cladding), stainless steel; nickel alloy reactor vessel flanges; nozzles; penetrations; pressure housings; safe ends; thermal sleeves; vessel shells, heads and welds exposed to	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue Steel (with or without nickel alloy or stainless steel cladding), stainless steel, or nickel alloy reactor vessel components: nozzles; penetrations; pressure housings; safe ends; thermal sleeves; vessel shells, heads and welds exposed to reactor coolant	Fatigue is a TLAA evaluated for the period of extended operation, and for Class 1 components environmental effects on fatigue are to be addressed. (See SRP, Sec 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods to comply with 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)) Cumulative fatigue damage: <u>cracking due to fatigue,</u> <u>cyclical loading</u>	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.4) TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue"	IV.A2-R-219 Yes (SRP- SLR, Section 3.1.2.2.1)	IV.A2- 24, R- 219)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		reactor coolant PWR					
11M	BWR11	Steel or stainless steel pump and valve closure bolting exposed to high temperatures and thermal cycles BWR	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue Steel or stainless steel pump and valve closure bolting exposed to high temperatures and thermal cycles	Fatigue is a TLAA evaluated for the period of extended operation; check ASME Code limits for allowable cycles (less than 7000 cycles) of thermal stress range. (SRP Sec 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods to comply with 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)) Cumulative fatigue damage: cracking due to fatigue, cyclical loading	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.1) TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue"	IV.C1.RP-44 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.1)	IV.C1-11(R-28) .RP-44
12M	PWR12	Steel steam generator components: upper and lower shells, transition cone; new transition cone closure weld exposed to secondary feedwater or steam PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion Steel steam generator components: upper and lower shells, transition cone; new transition cone closure weld exposed to secondary feedwater or steam	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and, for Westinghouse Model 44 and 51 S/G, if corrosion of the shell is found, additional inspection procedures	Yes, detection of aging effects is to be evaluated (See subsection 3.1.2.2.2.1 and 3.1.2.2.2.2) AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD,"	IV.D1.RP-368 Yes (SRP-SLR Sections 3.1.2.2.2.1 and 3.1.2.2.2.2)	IV.D1-12(R-34) .RP-368

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>D</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u> <u>Aging Management Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item</u> <u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>		
				are developed	Loss of material due to general pitting, crevice corrosion	and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"			
13M	BWR/PWR 13	Steel (with or without stainless steel cladding) reactor vessel beltline shell, nozzles, and welds exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux	BWR/PWR Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement	Steel (with or without stainless steel or nickel alloy cladding) reactor vessel beltline shell, nozzle, and weld components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux	TLAA is to be evaluated in accordance with Appendix G of 10 CFR Part 50 and RG 1.99. The applicant may choose to demonstrate that the materials of the nozzles are not controlling for the TLAA evaluations	Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.3.1) TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.2 "Reactor Vessel Neutron Embrittlement"	IV.A1.R-62 IV.A1.R-67 IV.A2.R-84 IV.A2.R-84 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.3.1)	IV.A1-13(R-62) IV.A1-4(R-67) IV.A2-16(R-81) IV.A2-23(R-84)
14M	BWR/PWR 14	Steel (with or without cladding) reactor vessel beltline shell, nozzles, and welds; safety injection nozzles	BWR/PWR Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement	Steel (with or without cladding) reactor vessel beltline shell, nozzle, and weld components; exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux	Chapter XI.M31, "Reactor Vessel Surveillance" Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement	Chapter XI.M31, "Reactor Vessel Surveillance" Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement	Yes, plant specific or integrated surveillance program (See subsection 3.1.2.2.3.2) AMP XI.M31, "Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance," and X.M2, "Neutron Fluence Monitoring"	IV.A1.RP-227 IV.A2.RP-228 IV.A2.RP-229 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.3.2)	IV.A1-14(R-63) RP-227 IV.A2-17(R-82) IV.A2-24(R-86) RP-229

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>15M</u>	<u>PWR15</u>	<u>Stainless steel and nickel alloy reactor vessel internal components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Reduction in ductility and fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation</u> <u>Stainless steel Babcock & Wilcox (including CASS, martensitic SS, and PH SS) and nickel alloy reactor vessel internal components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux</u>	<u>Ductility--Reduction in fracture toughness is a TLAAdue to be evaluated for the period of extended operation. See the SRP, Section 4.7, "Other Plant-Specific TLAAs," for acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1).neutron irradiation</u>	<u>Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.3.3)TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.7 "Other Plant-Specific TLAAs"</u>	<u>IV.B4.RP-376</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.3.3)</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>IV.B4.RP-376</u>
<u>16M</u>	<u>BWR16</u>	<u>Stainless steel and nickel alloy top head enclosure vessel flange leak detection line</u> <u>BWR</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking</u> <u>Stainless steel and nickel alloy top head enclosure vessel flange leak detection line</u>	<u>A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated because existing programs may not be capable of mitigating or detecting crack initiation and growth due to SCC in the vessel flange leak detection lineCracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>Yes, Plant-specific (See subsection 3.1.2.2.4.1)aging management program</u>	<u>IV.A1.R-64</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.4.1)</u>	<u>IV.A1-40(R-61)</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
17M	BWR17	Stainless steel isolation condenser components exposed to reactor coolant BWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking Stainless steel isolation condenser components exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 1 components, and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" for BWR water, and a plant-specific verification program Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking	Yes, detection of aging effects is to be evaluated (See subsection 3.1.2.2.4.2) AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.C1-R-15 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.4.2)	IV.C1-4(R-15)
18M	PWR18	Reactor vessel shell fabricated of SA508-CI-2 forgings clad with stainless steel using a high-heat-input welding process exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Crack growth due to cyclic loading Reactor vessel shell fabricated of SA508-CI 2 forgings clad with stainless steel using a high-heat-input welding process exposed to reactor coolant	Growth of intergranular separations is a TLAA evaluated for the period of extended operation. The Standard Review Plan, Section 4.7, "Other Plant-Specific Time-Limited Aging Analysis," provides guidance for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(e). Crack growth due to cyclic loading	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.1.2.2.5) TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.7 "Other Plant-Specific TLAAs"	IV.A2-R-85 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.5)	IV.A2-22(R-85)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u></u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>19M</u>	<u>PWR19</u>	Stainless steel reactor vessel closure head flange leak detection line and bottom-mounted instrument guide tubes (external to reactor vessel)PWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion crackingStainless steel reactor vessel closure head flange leak detection line and bottom-mounted instrument guide tubes (external to reactor vessel)	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluatedCracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Yes, Plant-specific (See subsection 3.1.2.2.6.1)aging management program	IV.A2.R-74 IV.A2.RP-154 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.6.1)	IV.A2-5(R-74) IV.A2-4(RP-13) 154
<u>20M</u>	<u>PWR20</u>	Cast austenitic stainless steel Class 1 piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to reactor coolantPWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion crackingCast austenitic stainless steel Class 1 piping, piping components exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" and, for CASS components that do not meet the NUREG-0313 guidelines, a plant specific aging management programCracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Yes, AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" and plant-specific (See subsection 3.1.2.2.6.2)aging management program	IV.C2.R-05 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.6.2)	IV.C2-3(R-05)
<u>21M</u>	<u>BWR21</u>	Steel and stainless steel isolation condenser components exposed to reactor coolantBWR	Cracking due to cyclic loadingSteel and stainless steel isolation condenser components exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 1 components The ISI program is to be augmented by a plant-specific verification	Yes, detection of aging effects is to be evaluated (See subsection 3.1.2.2.7)AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection,	IV.C1.R-225 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.7)	IV.C1-5(R-225)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				programCracking due to cyclic loading	Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD."		
<u>22M</u>	<u>PWR22</u>	Steel steam generator feedwater impingement plate and support exposed to secondary feedwaterPWR	Loss of material due to erosionSteel steam generator feedwater impingement plate and support exposed to secondary feedwater	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluatedLoss of material due to erosion	Yes, Plant-specific (See subsection 3.1.2.2.8)aging management program	IV.D1.R-39 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.8)	IV.D1-13(R-39)
<u>23M</u>	<u>PWR25</u>	Stainless steel or nickel alloy PWR reactor vessel internal components (inaccessible locations) exposed to reactor coolant and neutron fluxPWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, and irradiation-assisted stress corrosion crackingSteel (with nickel-alloy cladding) or nickel alloy steam generator primary side components: divider plate and tube-to-tube sheet welds exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M16A, "PWR Vessel Internals," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"Cracking due to primary water stress corrosion cracking	Yes, if accessible Primary, Expansion of Existing program components indicate aging effects that need management (See subsection 3.1.2.2.9)AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" and plant-specific aging management program	IV.B2.RP-268 IV.B3.RP-309 IV.B4.RP-238 Yes (SRP-SLR Sections 3.1.2.2.11.1 for divider plates and 3.1.2.2.11.2 for tube to tube sheet welds)	N/A N/A N/A IV.D1.RP-367 IV.D1.RP-385 IV.D2.RP-185

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type e	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GA LL-SLR Item
24D	PWR28	Stainless steel or nickel alloy PWR reactor vessel internal components (inaccessible locations) exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux	Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement; or changes in dimension due to void swelling; or loss of preload due to thermal and irradiation enhanced stress relaxation; or loss of material due to wear	Chapter XI.M16A, "PWR Vessel Internals"	Yes, if accessible Primary, Expansion or Existing program components indicate aging effects that need management (See subsection 3.1.2.2.10)	IV.B2.RP-269 IV.B3.RP-314 IV.B4.RP-239	N/A N/A N/A
25M	PWR29	Steel (with nickel alloy cladding) or nickel alloy steam generator primary side components: divider plate and tube-to-tube sheet welds exposed to reactor coolant BWR	Cracking due to primary water stress corrosion cracking Nickel alloy core shroud and core plate access hole cover (welded covers) exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular cracking, irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking	Yes, plant-specific (See subsections 3.1.2.2.11.1 and 3.1.2.2.11.2) AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.D1.RP-367 IV.D1.RP-385 IV.D2.RP-185 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.12.2)	IV.D1-6(RP-21) N/A IV.D2-4(B1.R-35)94
26	PWR30	Stainless steel Combustion Engineering core support barrel assembly: lower flange weld	Cracking due to fatigue Stainless steel, nickel alloy penetration; drain line exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M16A, "PWR Vessel Internals," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," if fatigue life cannot be	Yes, evaluate to determine the potential locations and extent of fatigue cracking (See	IV.B3.RP-333 IV.B3.RP-338 IV.B3.RP-343 No	N/A IV.A1.RP-371

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux; Upper internals assembly: fuel alignment plate (applicable to plants with core shrouds assembled with full height shroud plates) exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux; Lower support structure: core support plate (applicable to plants with a core support plate) exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux BWR		confirmed by TLAA Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking, cyclic loading	subsection 3.1.2.2.12) AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"		
27	PWR31	Nickel alloy Westinghouse control rod guide tube assemblies, guide tube support pins exposed to	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking and fatigue Steel and stainless steel isolation condenser components exposed to reactor coolant	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion	Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.1.2.2.13) AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice	IV.B2.RP-355 No	N/A IV.C1.RP-39

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		reactor-coolant and neutron flux <u>BWR</u>			Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"		
<u>28D</u>	<u>PWR32</u>	Nickel-alloy Westinghouse control rod guide tube assemblies, guide tube support pins, and Zircaloy-4 Combustion Engineering in-core instrumentation thimble tubes exposed to reactor-coolant and neutron flux	Loss of material due to wear	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated	Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.1.2.2.14)	IV.B2.RP-356 IV.B3.RP-357	N/A N/A
<u>29M</u>	<u>BWR33</u>	Nickel-alloy core shroud and core plate access-hole cover (welded covers) exposed to reactor coolant <u>PWR</u>	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking, irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking <u>Stainless steel, steel with stainless steel cladding Class 1 reactor coolant pressure</u>	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and for BWRs with a crevice in the access-hole covers, augmented inspection	No <u>AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"</u>	IV.B1.R-94 <u>No</u>	IV.B1-5(R-94) <u>IV.C2.R-09</u> <u>IV.C2.R-217</u> <u>IV.C2.R-30</u> <u>IV.C2.RP</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>boundary components</u> <u>exposed to reactor</u> <u>coolant</u>	<u>using UT or other</u> <u>acceptable</u> <u>techniques</u> <u>Cracking</u> <u>due to stress corrosion</u> <u>cracking</u>			<u>-344</u> <u>IV.D1.RP</u> <u>-232</u>
<u>30M</u>	<u>BWR34</u>	<u>Stainless steel or</u> <u>nickel alloy</u> <u>penetration:</u> <u>drain line</u> <u>exposed to</u> <u>reactor</u> <u>coolant</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking,</u> <u>intergranular stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking, cyclic</u> <u>loading</u> <u>Stainless steel,</u> <u>steel with stainless steel</u> <u>cladding</u> <u>pressurizer</u> <u>relief tank (tank shell and</u> <u>heads, flanges, nozzles)</u> <u>exposed to treated</u> <u>borated water >60°C</u> <u>(>140°F)</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M1, "ASME</u> <u>Section XI Inservice</u> <u>Inspection,</u> <u>Subsections IWB, IWC,</u> <u>and IWD," and Chapter</u> <u>XI.M2, "Water</u> <u>Chemistry"</u> <u>Cracking</u> <u>due to stress corrosion</u> <u>cracking</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M1,</u> <u>"ASME Section</u> <u>XI Inservice</u> <u>Inspection,</u> <u>Subsections IWB,</u> <u>IWC, and IWD,"</u> <u>and AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry"</u>	<u>IV.A1.RP-374</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.A1-5(R-</u> <u>69)</u> <u>C2.RP-</u> <u>231</u>
<u>34M</u>	<u>BWR35</u>	<u>Steel and</u> <u>stainless steel</u> <u>isolation</u> <u>condenser</u> <u>components</u> <u>exposed to</u> <u>reactor</u> <u>coolant</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to</u> <u>general (steel only),</u> <u>pitting, and crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Stainless steel,</u> <u>steel with stainless steel</u> <u>cladding</u> <u>reactor coolant</u> <u>system cold leg, hot leg,</u> <u>surge line, and spray line</u> <u>pipings and fittings</u> <u>exposed to reactor</u> <u>coolant</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M1, "ASME</u> <u>Section XI Inservice</u> <u>Inspection,</u> <u>Subsections IWB, IWC,</u> <u>and IWD," and Chapter</u> <u>XI.M2, "Water</u> <u>Chemistry"</u> <u>Cracking</u> <u>due to cyclic loading</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M1,</u> <u>"ASME Section</u> <u>XI Inservice</u> <u>Inspection,</u> <u>Subsections IWB,</u> <u>IWC, and IWD"</u>	<u>IV.C1.RP-39</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.C1-</u> <u>6(C2.R-</u> <u>16)</u> <u>56</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>32M</u>	<u>PWR36</u>	Stainless steel, nickel alloy, or CASS reactor vessel internals, core support structure, exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux <u>PWR</u>	Cracking, or loss of material due to wear <u>Steel, stainless steel pressurizer integral support exposed to air with metal temperature up to 288°C (550°F)</u>	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" <u>Cracking due to cyclic loading</u>	No <u>AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD"</u>	<u>IV.B2.RP-382</u> <u>IV.B3.RP-382</u> <u>IV.B4.RP-382</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.B2-</u> <u>26(C2.R-</u> <u>142)</u> <u>IV.B3-22(R-</u> <u>170)</u> <u>IV.B4-42(R-</u> <u>179)</u> <u>19</u>
<u>33M</u>	<u>PWR37</u>	Stainless steel, steel with stainless steel cladding <u>Class 1 reactor coolant pressure boundary components exposed to reactor coolant</u> <u>PWR</u>	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking <u>Steel reactor vessel flange</u>	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for ASME components, and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" <u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	No <u>AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD"</u>	<u>IV.G2.R-09</u> <u>IV.G2.R-217</u> <u>IV.G2.R-30</u> <u>IV.C2.RP-344</u> <u>IV.D1.RP-232</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.C2-</u> <u>5(A2.R-</u> <u>09)</u> <u>IV.C2-20(R-</u> <u>217)</u> <u>IV.C2-27(R-</u> <u>30)</u> <u>IV.C2-2(R-</u> <u>07)</u> <u>IV.D1-1(R-</u> <u>07)</u> <u>87</u>
<u>34M</u>	<u>PWR38</u>	Stainless steel, steel with stainless steel cladding <u>pressurizer relief</u>	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking <u>Cast austenitic stainless steel Class 1 valve bodies and bonnets exposed to</u>	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for ASME	No <u>AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB,</u>	<u>IV.C2.RP-231</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.C1.R-</u> <u>08</u> <u>IV.C2-</u> <u>22(R-14)</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		tank (tank shell and heads, flanges, nozzles) exposed to treated borated water >60°C (>140°F) BWR/PWR	reactor coolant >250 °C (>482 °F)	components, and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"—Loss of fracture toughness due to thermal aging embrittlement	IWC, and IWD." For pump casings and valve bodies, screening for susceptibility to thermal aging is not necessary. The ASME Section XI inspection requirements are sufficient for managing the effects of loss of fracture toughness due to thermal aging embrittlement of CASS valve bodies.		08
35M	PWR39	Stainless steel, steel with stainless steel cladding reactor coolant system cold leg, hot leg, surge line, and spray line piping and fittings exposed to reactor	Cracking due to cyclic loading Steel (with or without stainless steel or nickel alloy cladding), stainless steel, or nickel alloy Class 1 piping, fittings and branch connections < NPS 4 exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 4 components Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (for stainless steel or nickel alloy surfaces exposed to reactor coolant only),	No AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and XI.M35, "One-Time Inspection	IV.C2.R-56 No	IV.C1.RP-230 IV.C2-26(R-56) RP-235

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u> <u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item</u> <u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		<u>coolant</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>		<u>intergranular stress corrosion cracking (for stainless steel or nickel alloy surfaces exposed to reactor coolant only), or thermal, mechanical, or vibratory loading</u>	<u>of ASME Code Class 1 Small-Bore Piping"</u>		
<u>36M</u>	<u>PWR40</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel pressurizer integral support exposed to air with metal temperature up to 288°C (550°F)</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Cracking due to cyclic loading</u> <u>Steel with stainless steel or nickel alloy cladding; or stainless steel pressurizer components exposed to reactor coolant</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 4 components</u> <u>Cracking due to cyclic loading</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD"</u>	<u>IV.C2.R-19</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.C2-16(R-19)</u> <u>58</u>
<u>37M</u>	<u>PWR40a</u>	<u>Steel reactor vessel flange</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to wear</u> <u>Nickel alloy core support pads; core guide lugs exposed to reactor coolant</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 4 components</u> <u>Cracking due to primary water stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"</u>	<u>IV.A2.R-87</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.A2-25(R-87)</u> <u>.RP-57</u>
<u>38M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR 41</u>	<u>Cast austenitic stainless steel Class 1 pump casings, and valve bodies and bonnets exposed to reactor coolant</u>	<u>Loss of fracture toughness due to thermal aging embrittlement</u> <u>Nickel alloy core shroud and core plate access hole cover (mechanical covers)</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 4 components. For pump casings and valve</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," and AMP XI.M2,</u>	<u>IV.C1.R-08</u> <u>IV.C2.R-08</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.12.2)</u>	<u>IV.C1-3(B1.R-08)</u> <u>IV.C2-6(R-08)</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		>250 deg-C (>482 deg-F) BWR	exposed to reactor coolant	bodies, screening for susceptibility to thermal aging is not necessary. Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking, irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking	"Water Chemistry"		95
39M	BWR/PWR 42	Steel, stainless steel, or steel with stainless steel cladding Class 1 piping, fittings and branch connections < NPS 4 exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking (for stainless steel only), and thermal, mechanical, and vibratory loading Steel with stainless steel or nickel alloy cladding; stainless steel primary side components; steam generator upper and lower heads, and tube sheet welds; pressurizer components exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 1 components; Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and XI.M35, "One-Time Inspection of ASME Code Class 1 Small-bore Piping" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, primary water stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.C1.RP-230 IV.C2.RP-235 No	IV.C1-1(R-03) IV.C2-4(R-02) 25 IV.D2.RP-47

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
40M	PWR43	Steel with stainless steel or nickel alloy cladding; or stainless steel pressurizer components exposed to reactor coolantBWR	Cracking due to cyclic loadingStainless steel and nickel alloy reactor vessel internals exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 1 components, and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion	NoAMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.C2.R-58 No	IV.C2-18(R-58) B1.RP-26
M	PWR44	Nickel alloy core support pads; core guide lugs exposed to reactor coolantPWR	Cracking due to primary water stress corrosion crackingSteel steam generator secondary manways and handholds (cover only) exposed to air with leaking secondary-side water and/or steam	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 1 components, and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"Loss of material due to erosion	NoAMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD"	IV.A2.RP-57No	IV.A2-12(D2.R-88)31
44	BWR45	Nickel alloy core shroud and core plate access hole cover (mechanical covers) exposed to reactor coolantPWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking, irradiation-assisted stress corrosion crackingNickel alloy and steel with nickel-alloy cladding reactor coolant pressure boundary	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 1 components, and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"Cracking due to primary water	NoAMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC and IWD," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and, for nickel-alloy,	IV.B1.R-95 No	IV.B1-4(R-95) IV.A2.R-90 IV.A2.RP-186 IV.A2.RP-59 IV.C2.RP

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>components exposed to reactor coolant</u>	<u>stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M11B, "Cracking of Nickel-Alloy Components and Loss of Material Due to Boric Acid-induced Corrosion in RCPB Components (PWRs Only)"</u>		<u>-156</u> <u>IV.C2.RP</u> <u>-159</u> <u>IV.C2.RP</u> <u>-37</u> <u>IV.D1.RP</u> <u>-36</u> <u>IV.D2.RP</u> <u>-36</u>
42	<u>PWR46</u>	<u>Steel with stainless steel or nickel alloy cladding or stainless steel primary side components; steam generator upper and lower heads, and tube sheet weld; or pressurizer components exposed to reactor coolant</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, primary water stress corrosion cracking</u> <u>Stainless steel, nickel alloy, nickel alloy welds and/or buttering control rod drive head penetration pressure housing or nozzle safe ends and welds (inlet, outlet, safety injection) exposed to reactor coolant</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 1 components, and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, primary water stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC and IWD," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and, for nickel-alloy, AMP XI.M11B, "Cracking of Nickel-Alloy Components and Loss of Material Due to Boric Acid-induced corrosion in RCPB</u>	<u>IV.C2.R-25</u> <u>IV.D2.RP-47</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.C2-</u> <u>19(R-25)</u> <u>IV.D2-4(R-</u> <u>35)</u> <u>A2.RP-</u> <u>234</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
					Components (PWRs Only)"		
43	<u>BWR47</u>	Stainless steel and nickel alloy reactor vessel internals exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion. Stainless steel, nickel alloy control rod drive head penetration pressure housing exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 1 components, and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, primary water stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC and IWD," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.B1.RP-26 No	IV.B1-15(A2.RP-26) 55
44	<u>PWR48</u>	Steel steam generator secondary manways and handholds (cover only) exposed to air with leaking secondary side water and/or steam PWR	Loss of material due to erosion. Steel external surfaces: reactor vessel top head, reactor vessel bottom head, reactor coolant pressure boundary piping or components adjacent to dissimilar metal (Alloy 82/182) welds exposed to air with borated water leakage	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD" for Class 2 components. Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion	No AMP XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion," and AMP XI.M11B, "Cracking of Nickel-Alloy Components and Loss of Material Due to Boric Acid- Induced Corrosion in RCPB Components (PWRs Only)"	IV.D2.R-34 No	IV.D2-5(R-34) A2.RP-379 IV.C2.RP-380

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
45M	PWR49	Nickel alloy and steel with nickel alloy cladding reactor coolant pressure boundary components exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Cracking due to primary water stress corrosion cracking Steel reactor vessel, piping, piping components in the reactor coolant pressure boundary of PWRs, or steel steam generators in PWRs: external surfaces or closure bolting exposed to air with borated water leakage	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI ISI, IWB, IWC & IWD," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and, for nickel alloy, Chapter XI.M11B, "Cracking of Nickel-Alloy Components and Loss of Material Due to Boric Acid-induced Corrosion in RCPB Components (PWRs Only)" "Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion	No AMP XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion"	IV.A2.R-99 IV.A2.RP-186 IV.A2.RP-59 IV.C2.RP-156 IV.C2.RP-159 IV.C2.RP-37 IV.D1.RP-36 IV.D2.RP-36 No	IV.A2-18(R-99) IV.A2-9(R-75) IV.A2-19(R-89) 17 IV.C2-24(RP-22) R-17 IV.C2-13(RP-34) IV.C2-21(R-06) 167 IV.D1-4(R-04) 17 IV.D2-2(R-04) 17

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>46M</u>	<u>PWR50</u>	Stainless steel, nickel-alloy, nickel-alloy welds and/or buttering control rod drive head penetration pressure housing or nozzles safe ends and welds (inlet, outlet, safety injection) exposed to reactor coolant BWR/PWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, primary water stress corrosion cracking Cast austenitic stainless steel Class 1 piping, piping component (including pump casings and control rod drive pressure housings) exposed to reactor coolant >250 °F (>482 °C)	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI ISI, IWB, IWC & IWD," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and, for nickel alloy, Chapter XI.M11B, "Cracking of Nickel-Alloy Components and Loss of Material Due to Boric Acid-induced corrosion in RCPB Components (PWRs Only)" Loss of fracture toughness due to thermal aging embrittlement	No AMP XI.M12, "Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS)"	IV.A2.RP-234 No	IV.A2-15(R-83) <u>77</u> <u>IV.C1.R-52</u> <u>IV.C2.R-52</u>
<u>47D</u>	<u>PWR51a</u>	Stainless steel, nickel-alloy control rod drive head penetration pressure housing exposed to reactor coolant	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, primary water stress corrosion cracking	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI ISI, IWB, IWC & IWD," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	No	IV.A2.RP-55	IV.A2-11(R-76)
<u>48D</u>	<u>PWR51b</u>	Steel external surfaces: reactor vessel top head, reactor vessel bottom head, reactor coolant pressure boundary piping	Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion	Chapter XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion," and Chapter XI.M11B, "Cracking of Nickel-Alloy Components and Loss of Material Due to Boric Acid-Induced	No	IV.A2.RP-379 IV.C2.RP-380	IV.A2-13(R-17) IV.C2-9(R-17)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		or components adjacent to dissimilar metal (Alloy 82/182) welds exposed to air with borated water leakage		Corrosion in RCPB Components (PWRs Only)"			
<u>49D</u>	<u>PWR52a</u>	Steel reactor coolant pressure boundary external surfaces or closure bolting exposed to air with borated water leakage	Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion	Chapter XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion"	No	IV.A2.R-17 IV.C2.R-17 IV.C2.RP-167 IV.D1.R-17 IV.D2.R-17	IV.A2-13(R-17) IV.C2-9(R-17) N/A IV.D1-3(R-17) IV.D2-1(R-17)
<u>50D</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>52b</u>	Cast austenitic stainless steel Class 1 piping, piping component, and piping elements and control rod drive pressure housings exposed to	Loss of fracture toughness due to thermal aging embrittlement	Chapter XI.M12, "Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS)"	No	IV.A2.R-77 IV.C1.R-52 IV.C2.R-52	IV.A2-10(R-77) IV.C1-2(R-52) IV.C2-4(R-52)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		reactor-coolant >250 deg-C (>482 deg-F)					
51D	PWR52c	Stainless-steel or nickel-alloy Babcock & Wilcox reactor internal components exposed to reactor-coolant and neutron flux	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking, or fatigue	Chapter XI.M16A, "PWR Vessel Internals," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	No	IV.B4.RP-236 IV.B4.RP-241 IV.B4.RP-244 IV.B4.RP-245 IV.B4.RP-246 IV.B4.RP-247 IV.B4.RP-248 IV.B4.RP-254 IV.B4.RP-256 IV.B4.RP-261 IV.B4.RP-262 IV.B4.RP-352 IV.B4.RP-375	N/A IV.B4-7(R- 125) IV.B4-7(R- 125) IV.B4-13(R- 194) IV.B4-12(R- 196) IV.B4-13(R- 194) IV.B4-12(R- 196) IV.B4-13(R- 194) IV.B4-25(R- 210) IV.B4-25(R- 210) IV.B4-32(R- 203)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							IV.B4-32(R-203) N/A N/A
52D	PWR53a	Stainless steel or nickel-alloy Combustion Engineering reactor internal components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking, or fatigue	Chapter XI.M16A, "PWR Vessel Internals," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	No	IV.B3.RP-306 IV.B3.RP-312 IV.B3.RP-313 IV.B3.RP-314 IV.B3.RP-316 IV.B3.RP-320 IV.B3.RP-322 IV.B3.RP-323 IV.B3.RP-324 IV.B3.RP-325 IV.B3.RP-327 IV.B3.RP-328	N/A IV.B3-2(R-149) N/A IV.B3-9(R-162) IV.B3-9(R-162) IV.B3-9(R-162) N/A N/A N/A N/A

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						IV.B3.RP-329 IV.B3.RP-330 IV.B3.RP-334 IV.B3.RP-335 IV.B3.RP-342 IV.B3.RP-358	IV.B3-15(R-155) IV.B3-15(R-155) IV.B3-15(R-155) IV.B3-23(R-167) IV.B3-23(R-167) IV.B3-23(R-167) N/A N/A
53D	PWR53b	Stainless-steel or nickel-alloy Westinghouse reactor internal components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking, or fatigue	Chapter XI.M16A, "PWR Vessel Internals," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	No	IV.B2.RP-265 IV.B2.RP-274 IV.B2.RP-273 IV.B2.RP-275 IV.B2.RP-276	N/A IV.B2-10(R-125) IV.B2-10(R-125) IV.B2-6(R-128)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							N/A
<u>54D</u>	<u>PWR53c</u>	Stainless-steel bottom-mounted instrument system flux thimble tubes (with or without chrome plating) exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux	Loss of material due to wear	Chapter XI.M16A, "PWR Vessel Internals," and Chapter XI.M37, "Flux Thimble Tube Inspection"	No	IV.B2.RP-284	IV.B2-12(R-143) IV.B2-13(R-145)
<u>55M</u>	<u>PWR54</u>	Stainless-steel thermal shield assembly, thermal shield flexures exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux <u>PWR</u>	Cracking due to fatigue; Loss of material due to wear <u>Stainless steel bottom mounted instrument system flux thimble tubes (with or without chrome plating) exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux</u>	Chapter XI.M16A, "PWR Vessel Internals" <u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	No <u>AMP XI.M37, "Flux Thimble Tube Inspection"</u>	IV.B2.RP-302 <u>No</u>	N/A <u>IV.B2.RP-284</u>
<u>56D</u>	<u>PWR55a</u>	Stainless-steel or nickel-alloy Combustion Engineering reactor internal components exposed to	Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement; or changes in dimension due to void swelling; or loss of preload due to thermal and irradiation	Chapter XI.M16A, "PWR Vessel Internals"	No	IV.B3.RP-307 IV.B3.RP-315 IV.B3.RP-317 IV.B3.RP-318	N/A IV.B3-7(R-165) IV.B3-7(R-165)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>D</u>	<u>55b</u>						
<u>58D</u>	<u>PWR</u> <u>55c</u>	Stainless-steel or nickel-alloy Babcock & Wilcox reactor internal components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux	Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement; or changes in dimension due to void swelling; or loss of preload due to thermal and irradiation enhanced stress relaxation; or loss of material due to wear	Chapter XI.M16A, "PWR Vessel Internals"	No	IV.B4.RP-237 IV.B4.RP-240 IV.B4.RP-242 IV.B4.RP-243 IV.B4.RP-249 IV.B4.RP-250 IV.B4.RP-251 IV.B4.RP-252 IV.B4.RP-253 IV.B4.RP-258 IV.B4.RP-259 IV.B4.RP-260	N/A IV.B4-1(R-128) IV.B4-4(R-183) IV.B4-1(R-128) IV.B4-12(R-196) IV.B4-12(R-196) IV.B4-15(R-190) IV.B4-16(R-188) IV.B4-21(R-191) IV.B4-4(R-183)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							IV.B4-31(R-205) IV.B4-31(R-205)
59D	PWR56a	Stainless steel or nickel-alloy Westinghouse reactor internal components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux	Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement; or changes in dimension due to void swelling; or loss of preload due to thermal and irradiation enhanced stress relaxation; or loss of material due to wear	Chapter XI.M16A, "PWR Vessel Internals"	No	IV.B2.RP-267 IV.B2.RP-270 IV.B2.RP-272 IV.B2.RP-274 IV.B2.RP-281 IV.B2.RP-285 IV.B2.RP-287 IV.B2.RP-288 IV.B2.RP-290 IV.B2.RP-292 IV.B2.RP-295 IV.B2.RP-296 IV.B2.RP-297	N/A IV.B2-1(R-124) IV.B2-6(R-128) IV.B2-6(R-128) IV.B2-9(R-122) IV.B2-14(R-137) IV.B2-17(R-135) IV.B2-18(R-132) IV.B2-21(R-140)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						IV.B2.RP-299 IV.B2.RP-300 IV.B2.RP-345 IV.B2.RP-354 IV.B2.RP-386 IV.B2.RP-388	IV.B2-21(R-140) IV.B2-22(R-141) N/A N/A IV.B2-34(R-115) IV.B2-33(R-108) N/A N/A N/A N/A
60D	BWR56b	Steel piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to reactor coolant	Wall thinning due to flow-accelerated corrosion	Chapter XI.M17, "Flow-Accelerated Corrosion"	No	IV.C1.R-23	IV.C1-7(R-23)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
61D	PWR56c	Steel-steam generator-steam nozzle and safe end, feedwater nozzle and safe end, AFW nozzles and safe ends exposed to secondary feedwater/steam	Wall thinning due to flow-accelerated corrosion	Chapter XI.M17, "Flow-Accelerated Corrosion"	No	IV.D1.R-37 IV.D2.R-38	IV.D1-5(R-37) IV.D2-7(R-38)
62D	PWR58a	High-strength, low alloy steel, or stainless steel closure bolting; stainless steel control rod drive head penetration flange bolting exposed to air with reactor coolant leakage	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	No	IV.A2.R-78 IV.C2.R-11 IV.D1.R-10	IV.A2-6(R-78) IV.C2-7(R-11) IV.D1-2(R-10)
63D	BWR58b	Steel or stainless steel closure bolting exposed to air with reactor coolant leakage	Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, and crevice corrosion or wear	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	No	IV.C1.RP-42	IV.C1-12(R-26)
64D	PWR59a	Steel closure bolting exposed to air—indoor uncontrolled	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	No	IV.C2.RP-166	N/A

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
65D	PWR59b	Stainless steel control rod drive head penetration flange bolting exposed to air with reactor coolant leakage	Loss of material due to wear	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	No	IV.A2.R-79	IV.A2-7(R-79)
66D	PWR59c	High-strength, low alloy steel, or stainless steel closure bolting; stainless steel control rod drive head penetration flange bolting exposed to air with reactor coolant leakage	Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, and self-loosening	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	No	IV.A2.R-80 IV.C2.R-12	IV.A2-8(R-80) IV.C2-8(R-12)
67M	BWR/PWR 60	Steel or stainless steel closure bolting exposed to air — indoor with potential for reactor coolant leakage BWR	Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, and self-loosening Steel piping, piping components exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity" Wall thinning due to flow-accelerated corrosion	No AMP XI.M17, "Flow-Accelerated Corrosion"	IV.C1.RP-43 IV.D1.RP-46 IV.D2.RP-46 No	IV.C1-10(R-27) IV.D1-10(R-32) IV.D2-6(R-32) 23
68	PWR61	Nickel alloy steam generator tubes exposed to	Changes in dimension ("denting") due to corrosion of carbon steel	Chapter XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and Chapter XI.M2,	No AMP XI.M17, "Flow-	IV.D1.R-43 IV.D2.R-226	IV.D1-19(R-43)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		secondary feedwater or steam PWR	tube support plate Steel steam generator steam nozzle and safe end, feedwater nozzle and safe end, AFW nozzles and safe ends exposed to secondary feedwater/steam	"Water Chemistry" Wall thinning due to flow- accelerated corrosion	Accelerated Corrosion"	No	<u>37</u> IV.D2- 13(R-226) <u>38</u>
69	PWR62	Nickel alloy steam generator tubes and sleeves exposed to secondary feedwater or steam PWR	Cracking due to outer diameter stress corrosion cracking and intergranular attack High- strength, low alloy steel, or stainless steel closure bolting; stainless steel control rod drive head penetration flange bolting exposed to air with reactor coolant leakage	Chapter XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	IV.D1.R-47 IV.D1.R-48 IV.D2.R-47 IV.D2.R-48 No	IV.D1- 23(A2.R- 47) <u>78</u> IV.D1- 22(C2.R- 48) <u>11</u> IV.D2- 17(D1.R- 47) IV.D2-16(R- 48) <u>10</u>
70	PWR63	Nickel alloy steam generator tubes, repair sleeves, and tube plugs	Cracking due to primary water stress corrosion cracking Steel or stainless steel closure bolting exposed to air	Chapter XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Loss of material due to	No AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	IV.D1.R-40 IV.D1.R-44 IV.D2.R-40	IV.D1- 18(R-40) IV.D1-20(R- 44)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u> <u>Aging Management Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item</u> <u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4 GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		exposed to reactor coolant <u>BWR</u>	<u>with reactor coolant leakage</u>	<u>general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion, wear</u>		<u>IV.D2.R-44</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.D2-12(R-40)</u> <u>IV.D2-14(R-44)</u> <u>C1.RP-42</u>
<u>71</u>	<u>PWR64</u>	<u>Steel, chrome plated steel, stainless steel, nickel alloy steam generator U-bend supports including anti-vibration bars exposed to secondary feedwater or steam PWR</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking or other mechanism(s); loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, and crevice corrosion Steel closure bolting exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>No AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>IV.D1.RP-226</u> <u>IV.D1.RP-384</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.D1-15(C2.RP-15)</u> <u>IV.D1-14(RP-14)</u> <u>166</u>
<u>72</u>	<u>PWR65</u>	<u>Steel steam generator tube support plate, tube bundle wrapper, supports and mounting hardware exposed to secondary</u>	<u>Loss of material due to erosion, general, pitting, and crevice corrosion, ligament cracking due to corrosion Stainless steel control rod drive head penetration flange bolting exposed to air with reactor coolant leakage</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>No AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>IV.D1.R-42</u> <u>IV.D1.RP-164</u> <u>IV.D2.R-42</u> <u>IV.D2.RP-162</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.D1-17(A2.R-42)</u> <u>IV.D1-9(RP-16)</u> <u>IV.D2-11(R-42)</u> <u>N/A</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		feedwater or steam PWR					<u>79</u>
<u>73</u>	<u>PWR66</u>	Nickel alloy steam generator tubes and sleeves exposed to phosphate chemistry in secondary feedwater or steam PWR	Loss of material due to wastage and pitting corrosion High-strength, low alloy steel, or stainless steel closure bolting; stainless steel control rod drive head penetration flange bolting exposed to air with reactor coolant leakage	Chapter XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, or self-loosening	No AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	IV.D1.R-50 No	IV.D1-25(A2.R-50) <u>80</u> IV.C2.R-12
<u>74</u>	<u>PWR67</u>	Steel steam generator upper assembly and separators including feedwater inlet ring and support exposed to secondary feedwater or steam BWR/PWR	Wall thinning due to flow-accelerated corrosion Steel or stainless steel closure bolting exposed to air – indoor with potential for reactor coolant leakage	Chapter XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, or self-loosening	No AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	IV.D1.RP-49 No	IV.C1.RP-43 IV.D1-26(R-51) RP-46 IV.D2.RP-46
<u>75</u>	<u>PWR68</u>	Steel steam generator tube support lattice bars exposed to secondary	Wall thinning due to flow-accelerated corrosion and general corrosion Nickel alloy steam generator tubes	Chapter XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Changes in	No AMP XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and AMP XI.M2,	IV.D1.RP-48 No	IV.D1-16(R-44)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		feedwater or steam PWR	exposed to secondary feedwater or steam	dimension ("denting") due to corrosion of carbon steel tube support plate	"Water Chemistry"		43 IV.D2.R-226
76	PWR69	Steel, chrome plated steel, stainless steel, nickel alloy steam generator U-bend supports including anti-vibration bars exposed to secondary feedwater or steam PWR	Loss of material due to fretting Nickel alloy steam generator tubes and sleeves exposed to secondary feedwater or steam	Chapter XI.M19, "Steam Generators" Cracking due to outer diameter stress corrosion cracking or intergranular attack	No AMP XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.D1.RP-225 No	IV.D1-15(RP-15) IV.D1.R-47 IV.D1.R-48 IV.D2.R-47 IV.D2.R-48
77	PWR70	Nickel alloy steam generator tubes and sleeves exposed to secondary feedwater or steam PWR	Loss of material due to wear and fretting Nickel alloy steam generator tubes, repair sleeves, and tube plugs exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M19, "Steam Generators" Cracking due to primary water stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.D1.RP-233 IV.D2.RP-233 No	IV.D1-24(R-49) 40 IV.D1.R-44 IV.D2-18(R-49) 40 IV.D2.R-44

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>Type ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4 GALL-SLR Item</u>
78	PWR71	Nickel alloy steam generator components such as, secondary side nozzles (vent, drain, and instrumentation) exposed to secondary feedwater or steam PWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking Steel, chrome plated steel, stainless steel, nickel alloy steam generator U-bend supports including anti-vibration bars exposed to secondary feedwater or steam	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," or Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD." Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking or other mechanism(s); loss of material due general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.D2.R-36 No	IV.D2-9(R-36) D1.RP-226 IV.D1.RP-384
79	BWR72	Stainless steel; steel with nickel alloy or stainless steel cladding; and nickel alloy reactor coolant pressure boundary components exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Steel steam generator tube support plate, tube bundle wrapper, supports and mounting hardware exposed to secondary feedwater or steam	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Loss of material due to erosion, general, pitting, crevice corrosion, ligament cracking due to corrosion	No AMP XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.C1.RP-158 No	IV.C1-14(RP-27) IV.D1.R-42 IV.D1.RP-161 IV.D2.R-42 IV.D2.RP-162
80	PWR73	Stainless steel or steel with stainless steel cladding	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking Nickel alloy steam generator tubes and sleeves	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Loss	No AMP XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and AMP XI.M2,	IV.C2.RP-383 No	N/A IV.D1.R-50

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		pressurizer relief tank: tank shell and heads, flanges, nozzles (none-ASME Section XI components) exposed to treated borated water >60°C (>140°F)PWR	exposed to phosphate chemistry in secondary feedwater or steam	of material due to wastage, pitting corrosion	"Water Chemistry"		
81	PWR74	Stainless steel pressurizer spray head exposed to reactor coolantPWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion crackingSteel steam generator upper assembly and separators including feedwater inlet ring and support exposed to secondary feedwater or steam	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"Wall thinning due to flow-accelerated corrosion	NoAMP XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.C2.RP-41 No	IV.C2-17(R-24) D1.RP-49
82	PWR75	Nickel alloy pressurizer spray head exposed to reactor coolantPWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, primary water stress corrosion crackingSteel steam generator tube support lattice bars exposed to secondary feedwater or steam	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"Wall thinning due to flow-accelerated corrosion, general corrosion	NoAMP XI.M19, "Steam Generators," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.C2.RP-40 No	IV.C2-17(R-24) D1.RP-48
83M	PWR76	Steel steam generator shell assembly exposed to	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosionSteel, chrome plated steel,	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"Loss	NoAMP XI.M19, "Steam Generators"	IV.D1.RP-372 IV.D2.RP-153	N/A

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		secondary feedwater or steam PWR	stainless steel, nickel alloy steam generator U-bend supports including anti-vibration bars exposed to secondary feedwater or steam	of material due to wear, fretting		No	IV.D2-8(R-224) D1.RP-225
84	BWR77	Steel top head enclosure (without cladding) top head nozzles (vent, top head spray or RCIC, and spare) exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion Nickel alloy steam generator tubes and sleeves exposed to secondary feedwater or steam	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Loss of material due to wear, fretting	No AMP XI.M19, "Steam Generators"	IV.A1.RP-50 No	IV.A1-11(R-59) D1.RP-233 IV.D2.RP-233
85	BWR78	Stainless steel, nickel-alloy, and steel with nickel-alloy or stainless steel cladding reactor vessel flanges, nozzles, penetrations, safe ends, vessel shells, heads and welds exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Nickel alloy steam generator components such as, secondary side nozzles (vent, drain, and instrumentation) exposed to secondary feedwater or steam	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," or AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD."	IV.A1.RP-157 No	IV.A1-8(RP-25) D2.R-36

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type e	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GA LL-SLR Item
86	PWR79	Stainless steel steam generator primary side divider plate exposed to reactor coolant BWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion Stainless steel; steel with nickel-alloy or stainless steel cladding; and nickel-alloy reactor coolant pressure boundary components exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	IV.D1.RP-17 No	IV.D1-7(C1.RP-17) 158
87	PWR80	Stainless steel or nickel-alloy PWR reactor internal components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Stainless steel or steel with stainless steel cladding pressurizer relief tank; tank shell and heads, flanges, nozzles (none-ASME Section XI components) exposed to treated borated water >60°C (>140°F)	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	IV.B2.RP-24 IV.B3.RP-24 IV.B4.RP-24 No	IV.B2-32(C2.RP-24) IV.B3-25(RP-24) IV.B4-38(RP-24) 383
88	PWR81	Stainless steel; steel with nickel-alloy or stainless steel cladding; and nickel-alloy reactor coolant pressure boundary components	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Stainless steel pressurizer spray head exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	IV.A2.RP-28 IV.C2.RP-23 No	IV.A2-14(RP-28) IV.C2-15(C.RP-23) 41

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>Type ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4 GALL-SLR Item</u>
		exposed to reactor coolant PWR					
89	PWR82	Steel piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to closed cycle cooling water PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion Nickel alloy pressurizer spray head exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, primary water stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	IV.C2.RP-224 No	IV.C2-14(RP-10) 40
90	PWR83	Copper alloy piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to closed cycle cooling water PWR	Loss of material due to pitting, crevice, and galvanic corrosion Steel steam generator shell assembly exposed to secondary feedwater or steam	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems" Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	IV.C2.RP-222 No	IV.C2-14(D1.RP-44) 372 IV.D2.RP-153
91	BWR84	High-strength low alloy steel closure head stud assembly exposed to air with potential for reactor coolant leakage BWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking; loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion, or wear (BWR) Steel top head enclosure (without cladding) top head nozzles (vent, top head spray or RCIC, and	Chapter XI.M3, "Reactor Head Closure Stud Bolting" Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	IV.A1.RP-165 IV.A1.RP-51 No	N/A IV.A1-9(R-60) RP-50

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>spare) exposed to</u> <u>reactor coolant</u>				
92	<u>PWR85</u>	<u>High-strength</u> <u>low alloy steel</u> <u>closure head</u> <u>stud assembly</u> <u>exposed to air</u> <u>with potential for</u> <u>reactor coolant</u> <u>leakage</u> <u>BWR</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking; loss</u> <u>of material due to</u> <u>general, pitting, and</u> <u>crevice corrosion, or</u> <u>wear (PWR)</u> <u>Stainless</u> <u>steel, nickel alloy, and</u> <u>steel with nickel alloy or</u> <u>stainless steel cladding</u> <u>reactor vessel flanges,</u> <u>nozzles, penetrations,</u> <u>safe ends, vessel shells,</u> <u>heads and welds</u> <u>exposed to reactor</u> <u>coolant</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M3,</u> <u>"Reactor Head Closure</u> <u>Stud Bolting"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u> <u>Inspection"</u>	<u>IV.A2.RP-52</u> <u>IV.A2.RP-53</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.A2-2(R-</u> <u>71)</u> <u>IV.A2-3(R-</u> <u>72)</u> <u>A1.RP-</u> <u>157</u>
93	<u>PWR86</u>	<u>Copper alloy</u> <u>>15% Zn or ></u> <u>8% Al piping,</u> <u>piping</u> <u>components, and</u> <u>piping elements</u> <u>exposed to</u> <u>closed cycle</u> <u>cooling</u> <u>water</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to</u> <u>selective</u> <u>leaching</u> <u>Stainless steel</u> <u>steam generator primary</u> <u>side divider plate</u> <u>exposed to reactor</u> <u>coolant</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M33,</u> <u>"Selective Leaching</u> <u>"Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry"</u>	<u>IV.C2.RP-12</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.C2-</u> <u>12(D1.RP</u> <u>-12)</u> <u>17</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
94	BWR87	Stainless steel and nickel alloy vessel shell attachment welds exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking Stainless steel, nickel alloy PWR reactor internal components exposed to reactor coolant, neutron flux	Chapter XI.M4, "BWR Vessel ID Attachment Welds," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.A1-R-64 No	IV.A1-12(R-64) IV.B2.RP-24 IV.B3.RP-24 IV.B4.RP-24
95	BWR88	Steel (with or without stainless steel cladding) feedwater nozzles exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Cracking due to cyclic loading Stainless steel; steel with nickel-alloy or stainless steel cladding; and nickel-alloy reactor coolant pressure boundary components exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M5, "BWR Feedwater Nozzle" Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.A1-R-65 No	IV.A1-3(R-65) A2.RP-28 IV.C2.RP-23
96M	BWR89	Steel (with or without stainless steel cladding) control rod drive return line nozzles exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Cracking due to cyclic loading Steel piping, piping components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water	Chapter XI.M6, "BWR Control Rod Drive Return Line Nozzle" Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC	No AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	IV.A1-R-66 No	IV.A1-2(R-66) C2.RP-221
97M	BWR90	Stainless steel and nickel alloy piping, piping components, and piping elements	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking Copper alloy	Chapter XI.M7, "BWR Stress Corrosion Cracking," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Loss of	No AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	IV.A1-R-68 IV.C1-R-20	IV.A1-1(R-68)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		greater than or equal to 4 NPS; nozzle safe ends and associated welds PWR	<u>pip</u> <u>ing</u> , <u>pip</u> <u>ing</u> <u>com</u> <u>ponents</u> <u>ex</u> <u>posed</u> <u>to</u> <u>closed</u> <u>-</u> <u>cycle</u> <u>cool</u> <u>ing</u> <u>water</u>	material due to <u>general</u> , <u>pitting</u> , <u>crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> , <u>MIC</u>		IV.C1.R-24 No	IV.C1-9(R-20) IV.C1-8(R-21) <u>C2.RP-222</u>
<u>98M</u>	<u>BWR91</u>	Stainless steel or nickel alloy penetrations: instrumentation and standby liquid control exposed to reactor coolant BWR	<u>Cracking</u> <u>due</u> <u>to</u> <u>stress</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>cracking</u> , <u>intergranular</u> <u>stress</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>cracking</u> , <u>cyclic</u> <u>loading</u> <u>Steel</u> <u>reactor</u> <u>vessel</u> <u>closure</u> <u>flange</u> <u>assembly</u> <u>components</u> <u>(including</u> <u>flanges</u> , <u>nut</u> , <u>studs</u> , <u>and</u> <u>washers)</u> <u>exposed</u> <u>to</u> <u>air</u> <u>with</u> <u>potential</u> <u>for</u> <u>reactor</u> <u>coolant</u> <u>leakage</u>	Chapter XI.M8, "BWR Penetrations," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" <u>Cracking</u> <u>due</u> <u>to</u> <u>stress</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>cracking</u> ; <u>loss</u> <u>of</u> <u>material</u> <u>due</u> <u>to</u> <u>general</u> , <u>pitting</u> , <u>crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> , <u>wear</u>	No AMP XI.M3, "Reactor Head Closure Stud Bolting"	IV.A1.RP-369 No	IV.A1-5(R-69) <u>.RP-165</u> <u>IV.A1.RP-51</u>
<u>99M</u>	<u>BWR92</u>	Cast austenitic stainless steel; PH martensitic stainless steel; martensitic stainless steel; X-750 alloy reactor internal components exposed to reactor coolant	<u>Loss</u> <u>of</u> <u>fracture</u> <u>toughness</u> <u>due</u> <u>to</u> <u>thermal</u> <u>aging</u> <u>and</u> <u>neutron</u> <u>irradiation</u> <u>embrittlement</u> <u>Steel</u> <u>reactor</u> <u>vessel</u> <u>closure</u> <u>flange</u> <u>assembly</u> <u>components</u> <u>(including</u> <u>flanges</u> , <u>nut</u> , <u>studs</u> , <u>and</u> <u>washers)</u> <u>exposed</u> <u>to</u> <u>air</u>	Chapter XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals" <u>Cracking</u> <u>due</u> <u>to</u> <u>stress</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>cracking</u> ; <u>loss</u> <u>of</u> <u>material</u> <u>due</u> <u>to</u> <u>general</u> , <u>pitting</u> , <u>crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> , <u>wear</u>	No AMP XI.M3, "Reactor Head Closure Stud Bolting"	IV.B1.RP-182 IV.B1.RP-200 IV.B1.RP-219 IV.B1.RP-220 No	N/A N/A IV.B1-11(R-101) <u>A2.RP-52</u> IV.B1-9(R-103) <u>A2.RP-53</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		and neutron flux PWR	with potential for reactor coolant leakage				
100M	BWR93	Stainless steel reactor vessel internals components (jet pump wedge surface) exposed to reactor coolant PWR	Loss of material due to wear Copper alloy >15% Zn or > 8% Al piping, piping components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, treated water	Chapter XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals" Loss of material due to selective leaching	No AMP XI.M33, "Selective Leaching"	IV.B1.RP-377 No	N/A IV.C2.RP -12
104M	BWR94	Stainless steel steam dryers exposed to reactor coolant BWR	Cracking due to flow- induced vibration Stainless steel and nickel alloy vessel shell attachment welds exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals" for steam dryer Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking, cyclic loading	No AMP XI.M4, "BWR Vessel ID Attachment Welds," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.B1.RP-155 No	IV.B1- 16(RP-18) A1.R-64
102	BWR95	Stainless steel fuel supports and control rod drive assemblies control rod drive housing exposed to reactor coolant BWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking Steel (with or without stainless steel cladding) feedwater nozzles exposed to reactor coolant	Chapter XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Cracking due to cyclic loading	No AMP XI.M5, "BWR Feedwater Nozzle"	IV.B1.R-104 No	IV.B1- 8(A1.R- 104) 65
103M	BWR96	Stainless steel and nickel alloy reactor internal components	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking,	Chapter XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Cracking	No AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection,	IV.B1.R-100 IV.B1.R-105	IV.B1- 13(A1.R- 100)

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux <u>BWR</u>	irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking Steel (with or without stainless steel cladding) control rod drive return line nozzles and their nozzle-to-vessel welds exposed to reactor coolant in BWR-3, BWR-4, BWR-5, and BWR-6 designs	due to cyclic loading, stress corrosion cracking, or intergranular stress corrosion cracking	Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD"	IV.B1.R-92 IV.B1.R-93 IV.B1.R-96 IV.B1.R-97 IV.B1.R-98 IV.B1.R-99 <u>No</u>	IV.B1-10(R-105) IV.B1-1(R-92) IV.B1-6(R-93) IV.B1-2(R-96) IV.B1-3(R-97) IV.B1-17(R-98) IV.B1-7(R-99) <u>66</u>
<u>104M</u>	<u>BWR97</u>	X-750 alloy reactor vessel internal components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux <u>BWR</u>	Cracking due to intergranular stress corrosion cracking Stainless steel and nickel alloy piping, piping components greater than or equal to 4 NPS; nozzle safe ends and associated welds; control rod drive return line nozzle cap and	Chapter XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals" for core plate, and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M7, "BWR Stress Corrosion Cracking," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	IV.B1.RP-384 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.17)	N/A IV.A1.R-412 IV.C1.R-20 IV.C1.R-21

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>associated cap-to-nozzle weld or cap-to-safe end weld in BWR-3, BWR 4, BWR 5, and BWR-6 designs</u>				
405	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>98</u>	<u>Steel piping,</u> <u>piping</u> <u>components and</u> <u>piping element</u> <u>exposed to</u> <u>concrete</u> <u>BWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Stainless steel,</u> <u>nickel alloy penetrations;</u> <u>instrumentation and</u> <u>standby liquid control</u> <u>exposed to reactor</u> <u>coolant</u>	<u>None, provided 1)</u> <u>attributes of the</u> <u>concrete are consistent</u> <u>with ACI 318 or ACI</u> <u>349 (low water to-</u> <u>ement ratio, low</u> <u>permeability, and</u> <u>adequate air</u> <u>entrainment) as cited in</u> <u>NUREG-1557, and 2)</u> <u>plant OE indicates no</u> <u>degradation of the</u> <u>concrete</u> <u>Cracking due</u> <u>to stress corrosion</u> <u>cracking, intergranular</u> <u>stress corrosion</u> <u>cracking, cyclic loading</u>	<u>No, if conditions</u> <u>are met.</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>XI.M8, "BWR</u> <u>Penetrations,"</u> <u>and AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry"</u>	<u>IV.E.RP-353</u> <u>No</u>	<u>IV.E-</u> <u>6(A1.RP-</u> <u>04)</u> <u>369</u>
406M	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>99</u>	<u>Nickel alloy</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components and</u> <u>piping element</u> <u>exposed to air—</u> <u>indoor,</u> <u>uncontrolled, or</u> <u>air with borated</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Stainless steel</u> <u>(including cast austenitic</u> <u>stainless steel; PH</u> <u>martensitic stainless</u> <u>steel; martensitic</u> <u>stainless steel); nickel</u> <u>alloy (including X-750</u> <u>alloy) reactor internal</u> <u>components exposed to</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Loss of fracture</u> <u>toughness due to</u> <u>thermal aging, neutron</u> <u>irradiation</u> <u>embrittlement</u>	<u>NA—No AEM or</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>AMP XI.M9,</u> <u>"BWR Vessel</u> <u>Internals"</u>	<u>IV.E.RP-03</u> <u>IV.E.RP-378</u> <u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.1.2.2.13)</u>	<u>IV.E-1(RP-</u> <u>03)</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>IV.B1.RP</u> <u>-182</u> <u>IV.B1.RP</u> <u>-200</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>Element</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		water leakage BWR	reactor coolant and neutron flux				<u>IV.B1.RP-219</u> <u>IV.B1.RP-220</u> <u>IV.B1.R-416</u> <u>IV.B1.R-417</u> <u>IV.B1.R-419</u>
107	BWR/PWR 100	Stainless steel piping, piping components and piping element exposed to gas, concrete, air with borated water leakage, air indoors, uncontrolled BWR	None Stainless steel reactor vessel internals components (jet pump wedge surface) exposed to reactor coolant	None Loss of material due to wear	NA - No AEM or AMP AMP XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals"	IV.E.RP-04 IV.E.RP-05 IV.E.RP-06 IV.E.RP-07 No	IV.E-2 (B1.RP-04) IV.E-3 (RP-05) IV.E-4 (RP-06) IV.E-5 (RP-07) 377
M	101	BWR	Stainless steel steam dryers exposed to reactor coolant	Cracking due to flow-induced vibration, stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking; loss of material due to wear	AMP XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals"	No	<u>IV.B1.RP-155</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
-	102	BWR	Stainless steel fuel supports and control rod drive assemblies control rod drive housing exposed to reactor coolant	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking	AMP XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	No	IV.B1.R-104
M	103	BWR	Stainless steel and nickel alloy reactor internal components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking, irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking	AMP XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.12.1)	IV.B1.R-422 IV.B1.R-100 IV.B1.R-105 IV.B1.R-92 IV.B1.R-93 IV.B1.R-96 IV.B1.R-97 IV.B1.R-98 IV.B1.R-99
M	104	BWR	Nickel alloy reactor vessel internal components exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux	Cracking due to intergranular stress corrosion cracking	AMP XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	No	IV.B1.RP-381

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>105</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>concrete</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.1.2.2.18)</u>	<u>IV.E.RP-</u> <u>353</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>106</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Nickel alloy piping,</u> <u>piping components and</u> <u>piping element exposed</u> <u>to air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled, or air with</u> <u>borated water leakage</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.E.RP-</u> <u>03</u> <u>IV.E.RP-</u> <u>378</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>107</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to gas, air with</u> <u>borated water leakage,</u> <u>air – indoors,</u> <u>uncontrolled</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.E.RP-</u> <u>04</u> <u>IV.E.RP-</u> <u>05</u> <u>IV.E.RP-</u> <u>07</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Any material piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to reactor</u> <u>coolant</u>	<u>Wall thinning due to</u> <u>erosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M17,</u> <u>"Flow-</u> <u>Accelerated</u> <u>Corrosion"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.C1.R-</u> <u>406</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Nickel alloy steam</u> <u>generator tubes exposed</u> <u>to secondary feedwater</u> <u>or steam</u>	<u>Reduction of heat</u> <u>transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M19,</u> <u>"Steam</u> <u>Generators"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.D1.R-</u> <u>407</u> <u>IV.D2.R-</u> <u>407</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>113</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Steel reactor vessel</u> <u>external attachments</u> <u>exposed to indoor,</u> <u>uncontrolled air</u>	<u>Loss of material due to</u> <u>general, pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion, wear</u>	<u>AMP XI.M1,</u> <u>"ASME Section</u> <u>XI Inservice</u> <u>Inspection,</u> <u>Subsections IWB,</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.A1.R-</u> <u>409</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
					<u>IWC, and IWD,"</u> <u>and AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," for</u> <u>chemistry or</u> <u>corrosion-related</u> <u>aging effect</u> <u>mechanisms</u>		
<u>N</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Reactor coolant system</u> <u>components defined as</u> <u>ASME Section XI Code</u> <u>Class components</u> <u>(ASME Code Class 1</u> <u>reactor coolant pressure</u> <u>boundary components or</u> <u>core support structure</u> <u>components, or ASME</u> <u>Class 2 or 3 components</u> <u>- including ASME</u> <u>defined appurtenances,</u> <u>component supports,</u> <u>and associated pressure</u> <u>boundary welds, or</u> <u>components subject to</u> <u>plant-specific equivalent</u> <u>classifications for these</u> <u>ASME code classes)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking,</u> <u>intergranular stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u> <u>(stainless steel, nickel</u> <u>alloy components only),</u> <u>cyclical loading; loss of</u> <u>material due to general</u> <u>corrosion (steel only),</u> <u>pitting corrosion,</u> <u>crevice corrosion, wear</u>	<u>AMP XI.M1,</u> <u>"ASME Section</u> <u>XI Inservice</u> <u>Inspection,</u> <u>Subsections IWB,</u> <u>IWC, and IWD"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.E.R-</u> <u>444</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>115</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to concrete</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.1.2.2.18)</u>	<u>IV.E.RP-</u> <u>06</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>116</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Nickel alloy control rod drive penetration nozzles exposed to reactor coolant</u>	<u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.10.1)</u>	<u>IV.A2.R-413</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>117</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, nickel alloy control rod drive penetration nozzle thermal sleeves exposed to reactor coolant</u>	<u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.10.2)</u>	<u>IV.A2.R-414</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>118</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, nickel alloy PWR reactor vessel internal components exposed to reactor coolant, neutron flux</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking, cyclical loading, fatigue</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.9)</u>	<u>IV.B2.R-423</u> <u>IV.B3.R-423</u> <u>IV.B4.R-423</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>119</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, nickel alloy PWR reactor vessel internal components exposed to reactor coolant, neutron flux</u>	<u>Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement or thermal aging embrittlement; changes in dimensions due to void swelling or distortion; loss of preload due to thermal and irradiation enhanced stress relaxation or creep; loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.9)</u>	<u>IV.B2.R-424</u> <u>IV.B3.R-424</u> <u>IV.B4.R-424</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel core plate rim holddown bolts exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux</u>	<u>Loss of preload due to thermal or irradiation-enhanced stress relaxation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals," and TLAA SRP-SLR 4.7 "Other Plant-Specific TLAAs" (if an analysis is performed as part of the aging management basis and conforms to the definition of a TLAA in 10 CFR 54.3(a)).</u>	<u>Yes (only if a TLAA exists for the CLB) (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.14)</u>	<u>IV.B1.R-420</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>121</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel jet pump assembly holddown beam bolts exposed to reactor coolant and neutron flux</u>	<u>Loss of preload due to thermal or irradiation-enhanced stress relaxation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M9, "BWR Vessel Internals"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.B1.R-421</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>122</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, nickel alloy, copper alloy Non-ASME Code Class 1 piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor, condensation</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel, copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.C1.R-429</u> <u>IV.C2.R-429</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>124</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, nickel alloy, copper alloy piping, piping</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel and copper alloy only).</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.C1.R-431</u> <u>IV.C2.R-431</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>components exposed to</u> <u>condensation</u>	<u>pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u>	<u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u>		
<u>N</u>	<u>125</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Nickel alloy steam</u> <u>generator tubes at</u> <u>support plate locations</u> <u>exposed to secondary</u> <u>feedwater or steam</u>	<u>Cracking due to flow-</u> <u>induced vibration or</u> <u>high-cycle fatigue</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M19,</u> <u>"Steam</u> <u>Generators"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.D1.R-</u> <u>437</u> <u>IV.D2.R-</u> <u>442</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Steel (with stainless</u> <u>steel or nickel alloy</u> <u>cladding) steam</u> <u>generator heads</u> <u>exposed to reactor</u> <u>coolant</u>	<u>Loss of material due to</u> <u>boric acid corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>plant-specific</u> <u>aging</u> <u>management</u> <u>program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.1.2.2.15)</u>	<u>IV.D1.R-</u> <u>436</u> <u>IV.D2.R-</u> <u>440</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>128</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, nickel</u> <u>alloy nozzles safe ends</u> <u>and welds: high</u> <u>pressure core spray; low</u> <u>pressure core spray;</u> <u>recirculating water, low</u> <u>pressure coolant</u> <u>injection or RHR</u> <u>injection mode exposed</u> <u>to reactor coolant</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking,</u> <u>intergranular stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M7,</u> <u>"BWR Stress</u> <u>Corrosion</u> <u>Cracking," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.A1.R-</u> <u>68</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>129</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Steel and stainless steel</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>reactor coolant: welded</u>	<u>Cracking due to cyclic</u> <u>loading</u>	<u>Plant-specific</u> <u>aging</u> <u>management</u> <u>program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.1.2.2.16.1)</u>	<u>IV.C1.R-</u> <u>432</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>connections between the re-routed control rod drive return line and the inlet piping system that delivers return line flow to the reactor pressure vessel exposed to reactor coolant</u>				
<u>N</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Steel (with or without stainless steel cladding) control rod drive return line nozzles and their nozzle-to-vessel welds exposed to reactor coolant in BWR-2 designs</u>	<u>Cracking due to cyclic loading</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.1.2.2.16.2)</u>	<u>IV.A1.R-411</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>133</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel components exposed to reactor coolant or treated water</u>	<u>Long-term loss of material due to general corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.A1.R-448</u> <u>IV.C1.R-448</u> <u>IV.C2.R-448</u> <u>IV.D1.R-448</u> <u>IV.D2.R-448</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>134</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Jacketed thermal insulation in air-indoor uncontrolled, air with borated water leakage, air with reactor coolant</u>	<u>Reduced thermal insulation resistance due to moisture intrusion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>IV.A1.R-450</u> <u>IV.A2.R-450</u> <u>IV.C1.R-</u>

Table 3.1-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System Evaluated in Chapter IV of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> <u>e</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			leakage, or air with steam or feedwater leakage		Mechanical Components"		450 IV.C2.R- 450 IV.D1.R- 450 IV.D2.R- 450

Table 3.1-2. Aging Management Programs <u>and Additional Guidance Appendices</u> Recommended for Reactor Vessel, Internals, and Reactor Coolant System	
GALL-SLR Report Chapter/AMP	Program Name
ChapterAMP X.M1	Metal Fatigue of Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary <u>Cyclic Load Monitoring</u>
ChapterAMP XI.M1	ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD
ChapterAMP XI.M2	Water Chemistry
ChapterAMP XI.M3	Reactor Head Closure Stud Bolting
ChapterAMP XI.M4	BWR <u>Boiling Water Reactor</u> Vessel ID Attachment Welds
ChapterAMP XI.M5	BWR <u>Boiling Water Reactor</u> Feedwater Nozzle
ChapterAMP XI.M6	BWR Control Rod Drive Return Line Nozzle <u>Deleted</u>
ChapterAMP XI.M7	BWR <u>Boiling Water Reactor</u> Stress Corrosion Cracking
ChapterAMP XI.M8	BWR <u>Boiling Water Reactor</u> Penetrations
ChapterAMP XI.M9	BWR <u>Boiling Water Reactor</u> Vessel Internals
ChapterAMP XI.M10	Boric Acid Corrosion
ChapterAMP XI.M11B	Cracking of Nickel-Alloy Components and Loss of Material Due to Boric Acid-induced Corrosion in Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Components (PWRs only)
ChapterAMP XI.M12	Thermal Aging of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS)
ChapterAMP XI.M16A	PWR Vessel Internals <u>Deleted</u>
ChapterAMP XI.M17	Flow-Accelerated Corrosion
ChapterAMP XI.M18	Bolting Integrity
ChapterAMP XI.M19	Steam Generators
ChapterAMP XI.M21A	Closed Treated Water Systems
ChapterAMP XI.M31	Reactor Vessel Surveillance
ChapterAMP XI.M32	One-Time Inspection
ChapterAMP XI.M33	Selective Leaching
ChapterAMP XI.M35	One-Time Inspection of ASME Code Class 1 Small Bore--Piping
ChapterAMP XI.M37	Flux Thimble Tube Inspection
<u>GALL-SLR Report Appendix for GALLA</u>	Quality Assurance for Aging Management Programs
<u>GALL-SLR Report Appendix B</u>	<u>Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs</u>
<u>SRP-LRSLR Appendix A.1</u>	<u>Plant-specific AMP Aging Management Review—Generic (Branch Technical Position RLSB-1)</u>

1 **3.2 Aging Management of Engineered Safety Features**

2 **Review Responsibilities**

3 **Primary**—Branch assigned responsibility by Project Manager (PM) as described in Standard
4 Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants
5 (SRP-LRSLR) Section 3.0 of this SRP-LRSLR Report.

6 **3.2.1 Areas of Review**

7 This section addresses the aging management review (AMR) and the associated aging
8 management ~~program~~programs (AMP) of the engineered safety features. For a recent vintage
9 plant, the information related to the engineered safety features is contained in Chapter 6,
10 “Engineered Safety Features,” of the plant’s Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), consistent
11 with the “Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power
12 Plants” (NUREG—0800). The engineered safety features contained in this review plan section
13 are generally consistent with those contained in NUREG—0800 except for the refueling water,
14 control room habitability, and residual heat removal systems. For older plants, the location of
15 applicable information is plant-specific because an older plant’s Final Safety Analysis Report
16 ~~(FSAR)~~ may have predated NUREG—0800.

17 The engineered safety features consist of containment spray, standby gas treatment [boiling
18 Water Reactors water reactor (BWRs)], containment isolation components, and emergency core
19 cooling systems.

20 The responsible review organization is to review the following subsequent license renewal
21 application (LRASLRA) AMR and AMP items assigned to it, per SRP-LRSLR Section 3.0:

22 **AMRs**

- 23 • AMR results consistent with the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
24 License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
- 25 • AMR results for which further evaluation is recommended ~~by the GALL Report~~
- 26 • AMR results not consistent with or not addressed in the GALL-SLR Report

27 **AMPs**

- 28 • Consistent with GALL-SLR Report AMPs
- 29 • Plant-specific AMPs

30 **FSAR Supplement**

- 31 • The responsible review organization is to review the FSAR Supplement associated with
32 each assigned AMP.

1 **3.2.2 Acceptance Criteria**

2 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review describe methods for determining whether the
3 applicant has met the requirements of the NRC's U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC)
4 regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21.

5 3.2.2.1 *AMR Results Consistent With the GALL Generic Aging Lessons Learned for*
6 *Subsequent License Renewal Report*

7 The AMR and the AMPs applicable to the engineered safety features are described and
8 evaluated in Chapter V of NUREG-1804 (the GALL-SLR Report).

9 The applicant's LRASLRA should provide sufficient information so that the NRC reviewer is able
10 to confirm that the specific LRASLRA AMR item and the associated LRASLRA AMP are
11 consistent with the cited GALL-SLR Report AMR item. The reviewer should then confirm that
12 the LRASLRA AMR item is consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMR item to which it is
13 compared.

14 When the applicant is crediting a different aging management program AMP than recommended
15 in the GALL-SLR Report, the reviewer should confirm that the alternate aging management
16 program AMP is valid to use for aging management and will be capable of managing the effects
17 of aging as adequately as the aging management program AMP recommended by the GALL-
18 SLR Report.

19 3.2.2.2 *AMR Aging Management Review Results for Which Further Evaluation Is*
20 *Recommended by the GALL Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent*
21 *License Renewal Report*

22 The basic acceptance criteria defined in Subsection 3.2.2.1 need to be applied first for all of the
23 AMRs and AMPs reviewed as part of this section. In addition, if the GALL-SLR Report AMR
24 item to which the LRASLRA AMR item is compared identifies that "further evaluation is
25 recommended," then additional criteria apply as identified by the GALL-SLR Report for each of
26 the following aging effect/aging mechanism combinations. Refer to Table 3.2-1, comparing the
27 "Further Evaluation Recommended" and the "Rev2 GALL-SLR Item" columns column, for the
28 AMR items that reference the following subsections. The 2005 AMR item counterpart is
29 provided in the "Rev1 Item" column.

30 3.2.2.2.1 *Cumulative Fatigue Damage*

31 Fatigue is a time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAA's are required
32 to be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). This TLAA is addressed separately in
33 Section 4.3, "Metal Fatigue Analysis," of this SRP-LRSLR Report.

34 ~~1.1.1.1.6~~ — 3.2.2.2.2 *Loss of Material Due to Cladding Breach*

35 ~~Loss of material due to cladding breach could occur for PWR steel pump casings with stainless~~
36 ~~steel cladding exposed to treated boric acid water. The GALL Report references NRC Information~~
37 ~~Notice 94-63, Boric Acid Corrosion of Charging Pump Casings Caused by Cladding Cracks, and~~
38 ~~recommends further evaluation of a plant-specific AMP to ensure that the aging effect is~~
39 ~~adequately managed. Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical Position RLSB-1~~
40 ~~(Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LR).~~

1 ~~3.2.2.2.3~~ ~~Loss of Material due to~~ *Pitting and Crevice Corrosion*

2 1. ~~Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion could occur in partially encased~~
3 ~~stainless steel tanks exposed to raw water due to cracking of the perimeter seal from~~
4 ~~weathering. The GALL Report recommends further evaluation to ensure that the aging~~
5 ~~effect is adequately managed. The GALL Report recommends that a plant-specific AMP~~
6 ~~be evaluated because moisture and water can egress under the tank if the perimeter~~
7 ~~seal is degraded. Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical Position~~
8 ~~RSLB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LR).~~

9 ~~Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion could occur for stainless steel~~SS piping,
10 piping components, ~~piping elements,~~ and tanks exposed to outdoor air, or any air environment
11 when the component is insulated or where the component is in the vicinity of insulated
12 components. The possibility of pitting and crevice corrosion also extends to indoor components
13 exposed/located in close proximity to sources of outdoor air which has recently been introduced
14 into buildings, i.(e.g., components near intake vents-). Pitting and crevice corrosion is only
15 known to occur in environments containing sufficient halides (primarily e.g., chlorides) and in
16 which ~~condensation or deliquescence~~ the presence of moisture is possible.

17 ~~Condensation or deliquescence should generally be assumed to be possible.~~ Applicable
18 outdoor air environments (and associated local indoor air environments) include, but are not
19 limited to, those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, ~~those~~ within 1/2 mile of a
20 highway road which is treated with salt in the wintertime, ~~those~~ areas in which the soil contains
21 more than trace chlorides, ~~those~~ plants having cooling towers where the water is treated with
22 chlorine or chlorine compounds, and ~~those~~ areas subject to chloride contamination from other
23 agricultural or industrial sources. ~~This item is applicable for the~~

24 Insulated SS components exposed to indoor air environments described above and outdoor air
25 environments are susceptible to loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion if the
26 insulation contains certain contaminants. Leakage of fluids through mechanical connections
27 such as bolted flanges and valve packing can result in contaminants leaching onto the
28 component surface. For outdoor insulated SS components, rain and changing weather
29 conditions can result in moisture intrusion of the insulation.

30 ~~GALL AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring," is an acceptable method to manage the~~
31 ~~aging effect.~~ The applicant may demonstrate that this item loss of material due to pitting and
32 crevice corrosion is not expected to occur by one or more of the following applicable ~~by means.~~

- 33 • For outdoor uninsulated components, describing the outdoor air environment present at
34 the plant and demonstrating that external pitting or crevice corrosion is not expected.
35 ~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation to determine whether an aging~~
36 ~~management program is needed to manage this aging effect based on the~~
37 ~~environmental conditions applicable to the plant and requirements applicable to the~~
38 ~~components.~~
- 39 • For underground components, the applicant may demonstrate that loss of material due
40 to pitting or crevice corrosion due to exposure to in-leakage to the vault as a result of
41 external precipitation or groundwater is not expected.
- 42 • For insulated components, determining that the insulation does not contain sufficient
43 contaminants to cause loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion. One

1 acceptable means to demonstrate this is provided by Regulatory Guide 1.36,
2 “Nonmetallic Thermal Insulation for Austenitic Stainless Steel.”

- 3 • For indoor components, determining that there are no liquid-filled systems with threaded
4 or bolted connections (e.g., flanges, valve packing) that could leak onto the component.
- 5 • For all components, demonstrating that the aggressive environment is not present by
6 isolating the component from the environment using a barrier to prevent loss of material
7 due to pitting or crevice corrosion. An acceptable barrier includes coatings that have
8 been demonstrated to be impermeable to aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that
9 contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for isolating a component from a
10 potentially aggressive environment, then the barrier coating is evaluated to verify that it
11 is impervious to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M42,
12 “Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers,
13 and Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage the integrity of a barrier coating for
14 internal or external coatings.

15 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to determine whether an AMP is needed
16 to manage this aging effect based on the environmental conditions applicable to the plant and
17 requirements applicable to the components. GALL-SLR AMP XI.M36, “External Surfaces
18 Monitoring,” GALL-SLR AMP XI.M29, “Aboveground Metallic Tanks,” or AMP XI.M41, “Buried
19 and Underground Piping and Tanks,” (for underground components) are acceptable methods to
20 manage loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion in SS piping, piping components,
21 and tanks.

1 3.2.2.2.43 Loss of Material Due to Erosion

2 Loss of material due to erosion could occur in the ~~stainless steel~~SS high-pressure safety
3 injection (HPSI) pump ~~miniflow~~minimum flow recirculation orifice exposed to treated borated
4 water. The GALL-SLR Report recommends a plant-specific AMP be evaluated for erosion of
5 the orifice due to extended use of the centrifugal HPSI pump for normal charging. ~~The GALL~~
6 ~~Report references Licensee Event Report (LER) 50-275/94-023 for evidence of erosion.~~ Further
7 evaluation is recommended to ensure that the aging effect is adequately managed. Acceptance
8 criteria are described in Branch Technical Position ~~RSLB(BTP) RLSB-1~~ (Appendix A.1 of this
9 SRP-~~LR-SLR Report~~).

10 3.2.2.2.54 Loss of Material Due to General Corrosion and Flow Blockage Due to Fouling
11 that Leads to Corrosion

12 Loss of material due to general corrosion and flow blockage due to ~~general corrosion and~~
13 ~~fouling that leads to corrosion~~ can occur for steel in the spray nozzles and flow orifices in the
14 drywell and suppression chamber spray system nozzle and flow orifice internal surfaces
15 exposed to air—indoor uncontrolled. This could result in plugging. This aging effect and
16 mechanism will apply since the carbon steel piping upstream of the spray nozzles and flow
17 orifices. ~~This aging mechanism and effect will apply since the spray nozzles and flow orifices~~
18 are is occasionally wetted, even though the majority of the time this system is ~~on~~in standby.
19 The wetting and drying of these components can accelerate corrosion ~~and fouling in the system~~
20 and lead to flow blockage from an accumulation of corrosion products. The GALL-SLR Report
21 recommends further evaluation of a plant-specific AMP to ensure that the aging effect is
22 adequately managed. Acceptance criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position~~
23 ~~RSLBBTP RLSB-1~~ (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR-SLR Report~~).

24 3.2.2.2.65 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking

25 Cracking due to ~~stress corrosion cracking~~SCC could occur for ~~stainless steel~~SS piping, piping
26 components, ~~piping elements~~ and tanks exposed to outdoor air ~~or any air environment when~~
27 the component is insulated. The possibility of cracking also extends to indoor components
28 exposed located in close proximity to sources of outdoor air which has recently been introduced
29 into buildings, i.e., g., components near intake vents. Cracking is ~~only~~ known to occur in
30 environments containing sufficient halides (~~primarily~~e.g., chlorides) and in which ~~condensation~~
31 ~~or deliquescence~~moisture is possible.

32 ~~Condensation or deliquescence should generally be assumed to be possible.~~ Applicable
33 outdoor air environments (and associated local indoor air environments) include, but are not
34 limited to, those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, ~~these~~ within 1/2 mile of a
35 highway road which is treated with salt in the wintertime, ~~these~~ areas in which the soil contains
36 more than trace chlorides, ~~these~~ plants having cooling towers where the water is treated with
37 chlorine or chlorine compounds, and ~~these~~ areas subject to chloride contamination from other
38 agricultural or industrial sources. ~~This item is applicable for the~~

39 Insulated SS components exposed to indoor air environments described above and outdoor air
40 environments are susceptible to SCC if the insulation contains certain contaminants. Leakage
41 of fluids through bolted connections (e.g., flanges, valve packing) can result in contaminants
42 present in the insulation leaching onto the component surface. For outdoor insulated SS
43 components, rain and changing weather conditions can result in moisture intrusion of the
44 insulation.

1 ~~GALL AMP XI.M36, “External Surfaces Monitoring,” is an acceptable method to manage the~~
2 ~~aging effect. The applicant may demonstrate that this item SCC is not expected to occur by one~~
3 ~~or more of the following applicable by means.~~

- 4 • ~~For outdoor uninsulated components, describing the outdoor air environment present at~~
5 ~~the plant and demonstrating that external chloride stress corrosion cracking is not~~
6 ~~expected. SCC is not expected.~~
- 7 • ~~For underground components, the applicant may demonstrate that SCC due to exposure~~
8 ~~to in-leakage to the vault as a result of external precipitation or groundwater is not~~
9 ~~expected.~~
- 10 • ~~For insulated components, determining that the insulation does not contain sufficient~~
11 ~~contaminants to cause SCC. One acceptable means to demonstrate this is provided by~~
12 ~~Regulatory Guide 1.36, “Nonmetallic Thermal Insulation for Austenitic Stainless Steel.”~~
- 13 • ~~For indoor components, determining that there are no liquid-filled systems with threaded~~
14 ~~or bolted connections (e.g., flanges, valve packing) that could leak onto the component.~~
- 15 • ~~For all components, demonstrating that the aggressive environment is not present by~~
16 ~~isolating the component from the environment using a barrier to prevent loss of material~~
17 ~~due to pitting or crevice corrosion. An acceptable barrier includes tightly-adhering~~
18 ~~coatings that have been demonstrated to be impermeable to aqueous solutions and~~
19 ~~atmospheric air that contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for isolating a~~
20 ~~component from a potentially aggressive environment then the barrier coating is~~
21 ~~evaluated to verify that it is impervious to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR~~
22 ~~Report AMP XI.M42, “Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components,~~
23 ~~Heat Exchangers, and Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage the integrity of a~~
24 ~~barrier coating for internal or external coatings.~~

25 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to determine whether an ~~aging~~
26 ~~management program AMP~~ is needed to manage this aging effect based on the environmental
27 conditions applicable to the plant and requirements applicable to the components. ~~GALL-SLR~~
28 ~~AMP XI.M36, “External Surfaces Monitoring,” GALL-SLR AMP XI.M29, “Aboveground Metallic~~
29 ~~Tanks,” or AMP XI.M41, “Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks,” (for underground~~
30 ~~components) are acceptable methods to manage cracking of SS due to SCC in piping, piping~~
31 ~~components, and tanks.~~

32 ~~3.2.2.2.76~~ *Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components*

33 Acceptance criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position BTP~~ IQMB-1 (Appendix A.2 of
34 this SRP-~~LR-~~SLR Report).

35 ~~3.2.2.2.7~~ *Ongoing Review of Operating Experience*

36 ~~Acceptance criteria are described in Appendix A.4, “Operating Experience for Aging~~
37 ~~Management Programs.”~~

38 ~~3.2.2.2.8~~ *Loss of Material Due to Recurring Internal Corrosion*

39 ~~Recurring internal corrosion can result in the need to augment AMPs beyond the~~
40 ~~recommendations in the GALL-SLR Report. During the search of plant-specific operating~~
41 ~~experience conducted during the SLRA development, recurring internal corrosion can be~~
42 ~~identified by the number of occurrences of aging effects and the extent of degradation at each~~

1 localized corrosion site. This further evaluation item is applicable if the search of plant-specific
2 operating experience reveals repetitive occurrences [e.g., one per refueling outage cycle that
3 has occurred: (a) in any three or more cycles for a 10-year operating experience search, or
4 (b) in any two or more cycles for a 5-year operating experience search] of aging effects with the
5 same aging mechanism in which the aging effect resulted in the component either not meeting
6 plant-specific acceptance criteria or experiencing a reduction in wall thickness greater than
7 50 percent (regardless of the minimum wall thickness).

8 The GALL-SLR Report recommends that a plant-specific AMP, or a new or existing AMP, be
9 evaluated for inclusion of augmented requirements to ensure the adequate management of any
10 recurring aging effect(s). Potential augmented requirements include: alternative examination
11 methods (e.g., volumetric versus external visual), augmented inspections (e.g., a greater
12 number of locations, additional locations based on risk insights based on susceptibility to aging
13 effect and consequences of failure, a greater frequency of inspections), and additional trending
14 parameters and decision points where increased inspections would be implemented.
15 Acceptance criteria are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report).”

16 The applicant states: (a) why the program’s examination methods will be sufficient to detect the
17 recurring aging effect before affecting the ability of a component to perform its intended function,
18 (b) the basis for the adequacy of augmented or lack of augmented inspections, (c) what
19 parameters will be trended as well as the decision points where increased inspections would be
20 implemented (e.g., the extent of degradation at individual corrosion sites, the rate of degradation
21 change), (d) how inspections of components that are not easily accessed (i.e., buried,
22 underground) will be conducted, and (e) how leaks in any involved buried or underground
23 components will be identified.

24 Plant-specific operating experience examples should be evaluated to determine if the chosen
25 AMP should be augmented even if the thresholds for significance of aging effect or frequency of
26 occurrence of aging effect have not been exceeded. For example, during a 10-year search of
27 plant specific operating experience, two instances of 360 degree 30 percent wall loss occurred
28 at copper alloy to steel joints. Neither the significance of the aging effect nor the frequency of
29 occurrence of aging effect threshold has been exceeded. Nevertheless, the operating
30 experience should be evaluated to determine if the AMP that is proposed to manage the aging
31 effect is sufficient (e.g., method of inspection, frequency of inspection, number of inspections) to
32 provide reasonable assurance that the current licensing basis (CLB) intended functions of the
33 component will be met throughout the subsequent period of extended operation. Likewise, the
34 GALL-SLR Report AMR items associated with the new further evaluation items only cite raw
35 water and waste water environments because operating experience indicates that these are the
36 predominant environments associated with recurring internal corrosion; however, if the search
37 of plant-specific operating experience reveals recurring internal corrosion in other water
38 environments (e.g., treated water), the aging effect should be addressed in a similar manner.

39 3.2.2.2.9 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Intergranular Stress
40 Corrosion Cracking

41 Cracking due to SCC and intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) could occur in BWR
42 SS and nickel alloy piping and piping components greater than or equal to 4 inches nominal
43 pipe size (NPS); nozzle safe ends and associated welds; and control rod drive return line nozzle
44 caps and the associated cap-to-nozzle welds or cap-to-safe end welds in BWR-3, BWR-4,
45 BWR-5, and BWR-6 designs that are exposed to reactor coolant. The GALL-SLR Report
46 recommends GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, “Water Chemistry,” to mitigate SCC and IGSCC

1 and augmented inspection activities in accordance with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M7, “BWR
2 Stress Corrosion Cracking,” for condition monitoring. However, these programs may need to be
3 augmented to manage the effects of cracking in dead-legs and other piping locations with
4 stagnant flow where localized environmental conditions could exacerbate the mechanisms of
5 SCC and IGSCC. The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to identify any such
6 locations and to evaluate the adequacy of the applicant’s proposed AMPs on a case-by-case
7 basis to ensure that the intended functions of components in these locations will be maintained
8 during the subsequent period of extended operation. Acceptance criteria are described in
9 BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report).

1 3.2.2.2.10 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking in Aluminum Alloys

2 SCC is a form of environmentally assisted cracking which is known to occur in high and
3 moderate strength aluminum alloys. The three conditions necessary for SCC to occur in a
4 component are a sustained tensile stress, aggressive environment, and material with a
5 susceptible microstructure. The aging effect of cracking due to SCC can be mitigated by
6 eliminating one of the three necessary conditions. For the purposes of subsequent license
7 renewal (SLR), acceptance criteria for this further evaluation is being provided for demonstrating
8 that the specific material is not susceptible to SCC or an aggressive environment is not present.
9 The susceptibility of the material is to be established prior to evaluating the environment. This
10 further evaluation item is applicable unless it is demonstrated by the applicant that one of the
11 two necessary conditions discussed below is absent.

12 Susceptible Material: If the material that a component is constructed of is not susceptible to
13 SCC then the aging effect is not applicable. The microstructure of an aluminum alloy, of which
14 alloy composition is only one factor, is what determines if the alloy is susceptible to SCC.
15 Therefore, providing guidance based on alloy composition will not always successfully protect
16 against SCC in aluminum alloys. The temper, condition, and product form of the alloy is
17 considered when assessing if a material is susceptible to SCC. Aluminum alloys that are
18 susceptible to SCC include:

- 19 • 2xxx series alloys in the F, W, Ox, T3x, T4x, or T6x temper
- 20 • 5xxx series alloys with a magnesium content of 3.5 weight percent or greater
- 21 • 6xxx series alloys in the F temper
- 22 • 7xxx series alloys in the F, T5x, or T6x temper
- 23 • 2xx.x and 7xx.x series alloys
- 24 • 3xx.x series alloys that contain copper
- 25 • 5xx.x series alloys with a magnesium content of greater than 8 weight percent

26 The material is evaluated to verify that it is not susceptible to SCC and that the basis used to
27 make the determination is technically substantiated. Tempers have been specifically developed
28 to improve the SCC resistance for some aluminum alloys. Aluminum alloy and temper
29 combination which are not susceptible to SCC when used in piping, piping component, and tank
30 applications include 1xxx series, 3xxx series, 6061-T6x, and 5454-x.

31 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks," is an acceptable method to
32 manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in tanks. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, "External
33 Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components," is an acceptable method to manage cracking
34 of aluminum due to SCC in piping and piping components. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41,
35 "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage cracking of
36 aluminum due to SCC in piping and tanks which are buried or underground. GALL-SLR Report
37 AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting
38 Components" is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in
39 components that are not included in other AMPs. Additional acceptance criteria are described
40 in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR).

41 Aggressive Environment: If the environment that an aluminum alloy is exposed to is not
42 aggressive, such as dry gas, controlled indoor air, or treated water, then cracking due to SCC
43 will not occur and the aging effect is not applicable. Aggressive environments that are known to
44 result in cracking of susceptible aluminum alloys due to SCC are aqueous solutions and
45 atmospheric air that contain halides (e.g., chloride). Halide concentrations should generally be

1 considered high enough to facilitate SCC of aluminum alloys in uncontrolled or untreated
2 aqueous solutions and atmospheric air, such as outdoor air, raw water, waste water, and
3 condensation, unless demonstrated otherwise. If an aluminum component is encapsulated in a
4 secondary material, such as insulation or concrete, the composition of the encapsulating
5 material is evaluated for halides. The environment that the aluminum alloy is exposed to is
6 evaluated to verify that it is either controlled or treated and free of halides.

7 An alternative strategy to demonstrating that an aggressive environment is not present is to
8 isolate the aluminum alloy from the environment using a barrier to prevent SCC. Acceptable
9 barriers include tightly adhering coatings that have been demonstrated to be impermeable to
10 aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for
11 isolating an aluminum alloy from a potentially aggressive environment then the barrier coating is
12 evaluated to verify that it is impervious to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR Report
13 AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat
14 Exchangers, and Tanks," or equivalent program is an acceptable method to manage the
15 integrity of a barrier coating.

16 3.2.2.2.11 *Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice or Pitting Corrosion and*
17 *Microbiologically-Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to Stress*
18 *Corrosion Cracking*

19 Loss of material due to general (steel only), crevice, or pitting corrosion and microbiologically-
20 induced corrosion and cracking due to SCC (SS only) can occur in steel and SS piping and
21 piping components exposed to concrete. Concrete provides a high alkalinity environment that
22 can mitigate the effects of loss of material for steel piping, thereby significantly reducing the
23 corrosion rate. However, if water intrudes through the concrete, the pH can be reduced and
24 ions that promote loss of material such as chlorides, which can penetrate the protective oxide
25 layer created in the high alkalinity environment, can reach the surface of the metal. Carbonation
26 can reduce the pH within concrete. The rate of carbonation is reduced by using concrete with a
27 low water-to-cement ratio and low permeability. Concrete with low permeability also reduces
28 the potential for the penetration of water. Adequate air entrainment improves the ability of the
29 concrete to resist freezing and thawing cycles and therefore reduces the potential for cracking
30 and intrusion of water. Intrusion of water can also bring bacteria to the surface of the metal,
31 potentially resulting in microbiologically-induced corrosion in steel or SS. Cracking due to SCC,
32 as well as pitting and crevice corrosion can occur due to halides present in the water that
33 penetrates to the surface of the metal.

34 If the following conditions are met, loss of material is not considered to be an applicable aging
35 effect for steel: (a) attributes of the concrete are consistent with ACI 318 or ACI 349
36 (low water-to-cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air entrainment) as cited in
37 NUREG-1557; (b) plant-specific operating experience indicates no degradation of the concrete
38 that could lead to penetration of water to the metal surface; and (c) the piping is not potentially
39 exposed to groundwater. For SS components loss of material and cracking due to SCC are not
40 considered to be applicable aging effects as long as the piping is not potentially exposed to
41 groundwater. Where these conditions are not met, loss of material due to general (steel only),
42 crevice or pitting corrosion and microbiologically-induced corrosion and cracking due to SCC
43 (SS only) are identified as applicable aging effects. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, "Buried
44 and Underground Piping and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage these aging effects.

1 3.2.2.2.12 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion and Microbiologically-
2 Induced Corrosion in Components Exposed to Treated Water, Treated Borated
3 Water, or Sodium Pentaborate Solution

4 Loss of material due to crevice corrosion can occur in steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel
5 alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks,
6 and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, treated borated water, or
7 sodium pentaborate solution if oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb. In addition, loss of
8 material due to pitting can occur if oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb, halides or sulfates
9 levels are greater than 150 ppb, and stagnant flow conditions exist. Loss of material due to
10 microbiologically-induced corrosion can occur with steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel alloy
11 piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks, and
12 PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, treated borated water, or sodium
13 pentaborate solution if the pH is less than 10.5 and temperature is less than 99 °C [210 °F].

14 Where oxygen levels are less than or equal to 100 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water
15 Chemistry," and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," are acceptable
16 methods to manage loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion. Where oxygen levels
17 are greater than 100 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and GALL-SLR
18 Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting
19 Components," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to crevice corrosion.
20 Where stagnant flow conditions exist, and oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb and halides
21 or sulfates levels are greater than 150 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry,"
22 and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping
23 and Ducting Components," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to pitting
24 and crevice corrosion.

25 Where the pH is greater than or equal to 10.5 and the temperature is greater than or equal to
26 99 °C [210°F], GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and GALL-SLR Report
27 AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to
28 loss of material due to microbiologically-induced corrosion. Where the pH is less than 10.5 and
29 temperature is less than 99 °C [210°F], GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and
30 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and
31 Ducting Components," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to
32 microbiologically-induced corrosion.

33 3.2.2.2.13 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys

34 Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion could occur in aluminum piping, piping
35 components, and tanks exposed to an air environment for a sufficient duration of time. Air
36 environments known to result in pitting and/or crevice corrosion of aluminum alloys are those
37 that contain halides (e.g., chloride) and periodic moisture. The moisture level and halide
38 concentration in atmospheric and uncontrolled air are greatly dependent on geographical
39 location and site-specific conditions. Moisture level and halide concentration should generally
40 be considered high enough to facilitate pitting and/or crevice corrosion of aluminum alloys in
41 atmospheric and uncontrolled air, unless demonstrated otherwise. The periodic introduction of
42 moisture or halides into an air environment from secondary sources should also be considered.
43 Leakage of fluids from mechanical connections, such as bolted flanges and valve packing,
44 through insulation onto a component in indoor controlled air is an example of a secondary
45 source that should be considered. The operating experience (OE) and condition of aluminum
46 alloy components are evaluated to determine if the plant-specific air environment is aggressive

1 enough to result in pitting and crevice corrosion after prolonged exposure. The aging effect of
2 loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion in aluminum alloys is not applicable and
3 does not require management if: (a) the plant-specific OE does not reveal a history of pitting or
4 crevice corrosion and (b) a one-time inspection demonstrates that the aging effect is not
5 occurring or that loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion is occurring so slowly that it
6 will not affect the intended function of the components.

7 The internal surfaces of aluminum components do not need to be inspected if: (a) the review of
8 OE does not reveal a history of pitting or crevice corrosion; and (b) inspection results for
9 external surfaces demonstrate that the aging effect is not applicable. Inspection results
10 associated with the periodic introduction of moisture or halides from secondary sources may be
11 treated as a separate population of components. In the environment of air-indoor controlled,
12 pitting and crevice corrosion is only expected to occur as the result of secondary source of
13 moisture or halides. Alloy susceptibility may be considered when reviewing OE and interpreting
14 inspection results. Inspections focus on the most susceptible alloys and locations.

15 The GALL-SLR Report recommends the further evaluation of aluminum piping, piping
16 components, and tanks exposed to an air environment to determine whether an AMP is needed
17 to manage the aging effect of loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion. GALL-SLR
18 Report AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," is an acceptable method to demonstrate that the
19 aging effect of loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion is not occurring at a rate that
20 affects the intended function of the components. If loss of material due to pitting or crevice
21 corrosion has occurred and is sufficient to potentially affect the intended function of an
22 aluminum SSC, the following AMPs are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to
23 pitting or crevice corrosion: (i) GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks,"
24 for tanks; (ii) GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical
25 Components," for external surfaces of piping and piping components; (iii) GALL-SLR Report
26 AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," for underground piping, piping
27 components and tanks; and (iv) GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal
28 Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components" for internal surfaces of components
29 that are not included in other aging management programs.

30 3.2.2.3 *Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the*
31 *GALL-~~Generic~~ Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report*

32 Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical PositionBTP RSLB-1 (Appendix A.1 of
33 this SRP-LRSLR).

34 3.2.2.4 *Aging Management Programs*

35 For those AMPs that will be used for aging management and are based on the program
36 elements of an AMP in the GALL-SLR Report, the NRC reviewer performs an audit of aging
37 management programsAMPs credited in the LRASLRA to confirm consistency with the
38 GALL-SLR AMPs identified in the GALL-SLR Report, Chapters X and XI.

39 If the applicant identifies an exception to any of the program elements of the cited GALL-SLR
40 Report AMP, the LRASLRA AMP should include a basis demonstrating how the criteria of
41 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3) would still be met. The NRC reviewer should then confirm that the
42 LRASLRA AMP with all exceptions would satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If, while
43 reviewing the LRASLRA AMP, the reviewer identifies a difference between the LRASLRA AMP
44 and the GALL-SLR Report AMP that should have been identified as an exception to the GALL-

1 SLR Report AMP, the difference should be reviewed and properly dispositioned. The reviewer
2 should document the disposition of all LRASLRA-defined exceptions and NRC staff-identified
3 differences.

4 The LRASLRA should identify any enhancements that are needed to permit an existing AMP to
5 be declared consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMP to which the LRASLRA AMP is
6 compared. The reviewer is to confirm both that the enhancement, when implemented, would
7 allow the existing plant AMP to be consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMP and also that the
8 applicant has a commitment in the FSAR Supplement to implement the enhancement prior to
9 the subsequent period of extended operation. The reviewer should review and document the
10 disposition of all enhancements.

11 If the applicant chooses to use a plant-specific program that is not a GALL-SLR AMP, the NRC
12 reviewer should confirm that the plant-specific program satisfies the criteria of Branch Technical
13 Position BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1.2.3 of this SRP-LRSLR Report).

14 3.2.2.5 FSAR Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

15 The summary description of the programs and activities for managing the effects of aging for the
16 subsequent period of extended operation in the FSAR Supplement should be sufficiently
17 comprehensive, such that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description
18 should contain information associated with the bases for determining that aging effects will be
19 managed during the subsequent period of extended operation. The description should also
20 contain any future aging management activities, including enhancements and commitments, to
21 be completed before the subsequent period of extended operation. Table 3.0-1 of this SRP-
22 LR SLR Report provides examples of the type of information to be included in the FSAR
23 Supplement. Table 3.2-2 lists the programs that are applicable for this SRP-LRSLR subsection.

24 3.2.3 Review Procedures

25 For each area of review, the following review procedures are to be followed:

26 3.2.3.1 AMR Aging Management Review Results Consistent With the GALL Generic 27 Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

28 The applicant may reference the GALL-SLR Report in its LRASLRA, as appropriate, and
29 demonstrate that the AMRs and AMPs at its facility are consistent with those reviewed and
30 approved in the GALL-SLR Report. The reviewer should not conduct a re-review of the
31 substance of the matters described in the GALL-SLR Report. If the applicant has provided the
32 information necessary to adopt the finding of program acceptability as described and evaluated
33 in the GALL-SLR Report, the reviewer should find acceptable the applicant's reference to the
34 GALL-SLR Report in its LRA-SLRA. In making this determination, the reviewer confirms that
35 the applicant has provided a brief description of the system, components, materials, and
36 environment. The reviewer also confirms that the ~~applicant has stated that the~~ applicable aging
37 effects ~~and have been addressed based on the NRC staff's review of~~ industry and plant-specific
38 operating experience ~~have been reviewed by the applicant and are evaluated in the GALL~~
39 Report.

40 Furthermore, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has addressed operating
41 experience identified after the issuance of the GALL-SLR Report. Performance of this review
42 requires the reviewer to confirm that the applicant has identified those aging effects for the

1 engineered safety features system components that are contained in the GALL-SLR Report as
2 applicable to its plant.

3 3.2.3.2 AMR Aging Management Review Results for Which Further Evaluation Is
4 Recommended by the GALL Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
5 License Renewal Report

6 The basic review procedures defined in Subsection 3.2.3.1 need to be applied first to all of the
7 AMRs and AMPs provided in this section. In addition, if the GALL-SLR Report AMR item to
8 which the LRASLRA AMR item is compared identifies that “further evaluation is recommended,”
9 then additional criteria apply as identified by the GALL-SLR Report for each of the following
10 aging effect/aging mechanism combinations.

11 3.2.3.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage

12 Fatigue is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAs are required to be evaluated in
13 accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The NRC staff reviews the evaluation of this TLAA
14 separately, following the guidance in Section 4.3 of this SRP-LRSLR.

15 3.2.3.2.2 Loss of Material Due to Cladding Breach Pitting and Crevice Corrosion

16 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to manage loss of material due to pitting
17 and crevice corrosion of SS piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to outdoor air or any
18 air environment when the component is insulated where the presence of sufficient halides
19 (e.g., chlorides) and moisture is possible. The possibility of pitting and crevice corrosion
20 also extends to indoor components located in close proximity to sources of outdoor air
21 (e.g., components near intake vents).

22 If the applicant claims that neither the environment nor composition of the insulation will result in
23 loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion, the reviewer should evaluate the applicant’s
24 data to verify that sufficient halides will not be present on the surface of the SS piping, piping
25 components, or tanks. If the applicant elects to manage loss of material due to pitting or crevice
26 corrosion, the reviewer should determine whether an adequate program is credited to manage
27 the aging effect based on the applicable environmental conditions.

28 3.2.3.2.3 Loss of Material Due to Erosion

29 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation of programs to manage loss of material
30 due to cladding breach for PWR steel charging erosion of the SS high pressure safety injection
31 pump casings with stainless steel cladding. The GALL Report references NRC Information
32 Notice 94-63, Boric Acid Corrosion of Charging Pump Casings Caused by Cladding Cracks, and
33 recommends further evaluation of minimum flow orifice. The reviewer reviews the applicant’s
34 proposed program on a plant-specific program case-by-case basis to ensure that the an
35 adequate program will be in place to manage this aging effect.

36 3.2.3.2.4 Loss of Material Due to General Corrosion and Flow Blockage Due to Fouling

37 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation of programs to manage loss of material
38 due to general corrosion and flow blockage due to fouling in the spray nozzles and flow orifices
39 of the drywell and suppression chamber spray system spray exposed to air—indoor
40 uncontrolled. This is adequately managed. necessary to prevent the plugging of spray nozzles

1 and flow orifices of the BWR drywell and suppression chamber spray system. The reviewer
2 reviews the applicant's proposed program on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate
3 program will be in place for the management of loss of material due to general corrosion and
4 flow blockage due to fouling of these components.

1 3.2.3.2.3 ~~Loss of Material~~ 5 Cracking Due to Pitting and Crevice Stress Corrosion
2 Cracking

3 4. ~~The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation of programs to manage the loss~~
4 ~~of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion for partially encased stainless steel tanks~~
5 ~~exposed to raw water. The GALL Report specifically recommends that the program~~
6 ~~address the bottom of partially encased stainless steel tanks because moisture and~~
7 ~~water can egress under the tank due to cracking of the perimeter seal from weathering.~~
8 ~~The reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed program on a case-by-case basis to~~
9 ~~ensure that an adequate program will be in place for the management of loss of material~~
10 ~~due to pitting and crevice corrosion of these components~~

11 ~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation to manage loss of material due to pitting and~~
12 ~~crevice corrosion of stainless steel to manage cracking due to SCC of SS and aluminum~~
13 ~~piping, piping components, piping elements, and tanks exposed to outdoor air environments containing~~
14 ~~sufficient halides (primarily e.g., chlorides) and in which condensation or deliquescence is~~
15 ~~possible. The possibility of pitting and crevice corrosion cracking also extends to components~~
16 ~~exposed to air which has recently been introduced into buildings, (i.e., components near intake~~
17 ~~vents-.)~~

18 ~~The reviewer should determine whether an adequate program is credited to manage the~~
19 ~~aging effect based on the applicable environmental conditions. Pitting and crevice~~
20 ~~corrosion is only known to occur in environments containing sufficient halides (primarily~~
21 ~~chlorides) and in which condensation or deliquescence is possible. Condensation or~~
22 ~~deliquescence should generally be assumed to be possible. Applicable outdoor air~~
23 ~~environments (and associated indoor air environments) include, but are not limited to,~~
24 ~~those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, those within 1/2 mile of a~~
25 ~~highway which is treated with salt in the wintertime, those areas in which the soil~~
26 ~~contains more than trace chlorides, those plants having cooling towers where the water~~
27 ~~is treated with chlorine or chlorine compounds, and those areas subject to chloride~~
28 ~~contamination from other agricultural or industrial sources. This item is applicable for the~~
29 ~~environments described above. The use of GALL AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces~~
30 ~~Monitoring," is an acceptable method to manage the aging effect.~~

31 ~~1.1.1.1.7~~ ~~3.2.3.2.4~~ ~~Loss of Material due to Erosion~~

32 ~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation of programs to manage loss of material due~~
33 ~~to erosion of the stainless steel high pressure safety injection pump miniflow orifice. The~~
34 ~~reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed program on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an~~
35 ~~adequate program will be in place to manage this aging effect.~~

36 ~~1.1.1.1.8~~ ~~3.2.3.2.5~~ ~~Loss of Material due to General Corrosion and Fouling that Leads~~
37 ~~to Corrosion~~

38 ~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation of programs to manage loss of material due~~
39 ~~to general corrosion and fouling that leads to corrosion for steel drywell and suppression~~
40 ~~chamber spray system spray nozzles and orifices exposed to air indoor uncontrolled. This is~~
41 ~~necessary to prevent the plugging of spray nozzles and spargers of the BWR drywell and~~
42 ~~suppression chamber spray system. The reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed program on~~
43 ~~a case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program will be in place for the management~~
44 ~~of loss of material due to general corrosion and fouling of these components.~~

1 If the applicant claims that neither the environment nor composition of insulation will result in
2 stress corrosion cracking, the reviewer should evaluate the applicant's data to verify that
3 sufficient halides will not be present on the surface of the SS piping, piping components, or
4 tanks. If the applicant elects to manage stress corrosion cracking, the reviewer should
5 determine whether an adequate program is credited to manage the aging effect based on the
6 applicable environmental conditions.

7 ~~1.1.1.1.9~~ — ~~3.2.3.2.6~~ ~~Cracking due to Stress Corrosion Cracking~~

8 ~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation to manage cracking due to stress corrosion~~
9 ~~cracking of stainless steel piping, piping components, piping elements, and tanks exposed to~~
10 ~~outdoor air environments containing sufficient halides (primarily chlorides) and in which~~
11 ~~condensation or deliquescence is possible. The possibility of cracking also extends to~~
12 ~~components exposed to air which has recently been introduced into buildings, i.e., components~~
13 ~~near intake vents.~~

14 ~~The reviewer should determine whether an adequate program is credited to manage the aging~~
15 ~~effect based on the applicable environmental conditions. Cracking is only known to occur in~~
16 ~~environments containing sufficient halides (primarily chlorides) and in which condensation or~~
17 ~~deliquescence is possible. Condensation or deliquescence should generally be assumed to be~~
18 ~~possible. Applicable outdoor air environments (and associated indoor air environments) include,~~
19 ~~but are not limited to, those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, those within~~
20 ~~1/2 mile of a highway which is treated with salt in the wintertime, those areas in which the soil~~
21 ~~contains more than trace chlorides, those plants having cooling towers where the water is~~
22 ~~treated with chlorine or chlorine compounds, and those areas subject to chloride contamination~~
23 ~~from other agricultural or industrial sources. This item is applicable for the environments~~
24 ~~described above. The use of GALL AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring," is an~~
25 ~~acceptable method to manage the aging effect.~~

26 ~~3.2.3.2.7~~ — ~~Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components~~

27 The applicant's AMPs for ~~license renewal~~SLR should contain the elements of corrective actions,
28 the confirmation process, and administrative controls. Safety-related components are covered
29 by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, which is adequate to address these program elements.
30 However, Appendix B does not apply to nonsafety-related components that are subject to an
31 ~~aging management review~~AMR for ~~license renewal~~SLR. Nevertheless, the applicant has the
32 option to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B program to include these
33 components and address the associated program elements. If the applicant chooses this
34 option, the reviewer verifies that the applicant has documented such a commitment in the FSAR
35 Supplement. If the applicant chooses alternative means, the branch responsible for quality
36 assurance (QA) should be requested to review the applicant's proposal on a case-by-case
37 basis.

38 ~~3.2.3.3~~ — ~~AMR Results Not Consistent with 2.7~~ ~~Ongoing Review of Operating~~
39 ~~Experience~~

40 The applicant's AMPs should contain the element of operating experience. The reviewer
41 verifies that the applicant has appropriate programs or Not-Addressed processes for the
42 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience concerning age-related
43 degradation and aging management. Such reviews are used to ensure that the AMPs are
44 effective to manage the aging effects for which they are created. The AMPs are either

1 enhanced or new AMPs are developed, as appropriate, when it is determined through the
2 evaluation of operating experience that the effects of aging may not be adequately managed.
3 Additional information is in Appendix A.4, "Operating Experience for AMPs."

4 3.2.3.2.8 Loss of Material Due to Recurring Internal Corrosion

5 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to manage recurring internal corrosion
6 aging effects. The reviewer conducts an independent review of plant-specific operating
7 experience to determine whether the plant is currently experiencing recurring internal corrosion.
8 The scope of this further evaluation AMR item includes recurring aging effects in which the
9 plant-specific operating experience review reveals repetitive occurrences (e.g., one per refueling
10 outage that has occurred over: (a) three or more sequential or nonsequential cycles for a
11 10-year operating experience search, or (b) two or more sequential or nonsequential cycles for
12 a 5-year operating experience search) of aging effects with the same aging mechanism as a
13 result of which the component either did not meet plant-specific acceptance criteria or
14 experienced a reduction in wall thickness greater than 50 percent (regardless of the minimum
15 wall thickness).

16 The reviewer should evaluate plant specific operating experience examples to determine if the
17 chosen AMP should be augmented. For example, during a 10-year search of plant specific
18 operating experience, two instances of 360 degree 30 percent wall loss occurred at copper alloy
19 to steel joints. Neither the significance of the aging effect nor the frequency of occurrence of
20 aging effect threshold has been exceeded. Nevertheless, the operating experience should be
21 evaluated to determine if the AMP that is proposed to manage the aging effect is sufficient
22 (e.g., method of inspection, frequency of inspection, number of inspections) to provide
23 reasonable assurance that the CLB intended functions of the component will be met throughout
24 the subsequent period of extended operation. Likewise, the GALL-SLR Report AMR items
25 associated with the new further evaluation items only cite raw water and waste water
26 environments because operating experience indicates that these are the predominant
27 environments associated with recurring internal corrosion; however, if the search of
28 plant-specific operating experience reveals recurring internal corrosion in other water
29 environments (e.g., treated water), the aging effect should be addressed in a similar manner.

30 The reviewer determines whether a proposed program is adequate to manage recurring internal
31 corrosion by evaluating the proposed AMP against the criteria in SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.8.

32 3.2.3.2.9 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Intergranular Stress 33 Corrosion Cracking

34 The GALL-SLR Report recommends review of plant-specific AMPs for managing cracking due
35 to SCC and IGSCC in BWR SS and nickel alloy piping and piping components greater than or
36 equal to 4 inches NPS; nozzle safe ends and associated welds; and control rod drive return line
37 nozzle caps and the associated cap-to-nozzle welds or cap-to-safe end welds in BWR-3,
38 BWR-4, BWR-5, and BWR-6 designs that are exposed to reactor coolant. Components in
39 dead-legs and other piping locations with stagnant flow may be subject to localized
40 environmental conditions that could exacerbate the mechanisms of SCC and IGSCC. The
41 reviewer ensures that the applicant has identified any such locations and provided justification
42 for the AMPs credited for managing this aging effect. The reviewer reviews the applicant's
43 justification and proposed AMPs on a case-by-case basis to ensure that the effects of aging will
44 be adequately managed.

1 3.2.3.2.10 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking in Aluminum Alloys

2 The GALL-SLR Report recommends the further evaluation of aluminum components
3 (i.e., piping, piping components, and tanks) exposed to atmospheric air or aqueous solutions
4 that contain halides to manage cracking due to SCC. The reviewer must first determine if the
5 aging effect of cracking due to SCC is applicable and requires aging management. The aging
6 effect of cracking is to be considered applicable unless it is demonstrated that one of the two
7 acceptance criteria are met by demonstrating that an aggressive environment is not present or
8 the specific material is not susceptible, as discussed in Section 3.2.2.2.10. Additionally,
9 guidance is also provided on the review of the third condition necessary for SCC to occur, a
10 sustained tensile stress. Each of three conditions is evaluated based on the review
11 procedures below.

12 If the material used to fabricate the component being evaluated is not susceptible to SCC then
13 the aging effect of cracking due to SCC is not applicable and does not require aging
14 management. When determining if an aluminum alloy is susceptible to SCC the reviewer is to
15 verify the material's (a) alloy composition, (b) condition or temper, and (c) product form.
16 Additionally, if the material was produced using a process specifically developed to provide a
17 SCC resistant microstructure then the reviewer will consider the effects of this processing in the
18 review. Once the material information has been established the reviewer is to evaluate the
19 technical justification used to substantiate that the material is not susceptible to SCC when
20 exposed to an aggressive environment and sustained tensile stress. The reviewer will evaluate
21 all documentation and references used by the applicant as part of a technical justification.

22 If the environment that an aluminum alloy is exposed to is not aggressive, such as dry gas,
23 controlled indoor air, or treated water, then the aging effect of cracking due to SCC is not
24 applicable and does not require aging management. The environments cited in the AMR items
25 in the GALL-SLR Report that reference this further evaluation are considered to be aggressive
26 and potentially containing halide concentrations that facilitate SCC of aluminum alloys. The
27 reviewer is to verify that components are not also periodically exposed to nontypical
28 environments that would be categorized as aggressive, such as outdoor air which has recently
29 been introduced into a building and the leakage/seepage of untreated aqueous solutions into a
30 building or underground vault. Using information provided by the applicant, the reviewer will
31 also evaluate the chemical composition of applicable encapsulating materials (e.g., concrete,
32 insulation) for halides.

33 If a barrier coating is employed to effectively isolate the aluminum alloy from an aggressive
34 environment then the aging effect of cracking due to SCC is not applicable and does not require
35 aging management. The reviewer is to verify that the barrier coating is impermeable to the
36 plant-specific aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that the coating is intended to protect the
37 alloy from being exposed to. If operating experience is cited as a technical justification for the
38 effectiveness of a barrier coating the reviewer is to verify that the applicant has a program to
39 manage loss of coating integrity equivalent to GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M42.

40 If the sustained tensile stress being experienced by a component is below the SCC threshold
41 value then cracking will not occur and the aging effect is not applicable. Many aluminum alloys
42 do not have a true SCC threshold stress, although a practical SCC threshold value can be
43 determined based on the material, service environment, and duration of intended function. The
44 basis for the SCC threshold value is to be evaluated to determine its applicability. The
45 magnitude of the maximum tensile service stress (applied and residual) experienced by the

1 component is to be evaluated to verify that the stress levels are bounded by the SCC
2 threshold value.

3 The information necessary to eliminate the aging effect of SCC based on the sustained service
4 stress is often not readily available. The SCC threshold stress level is dependent on both the
5 alloy (e.g., chemical composition, processing history, and microstructure) and service
6 environment. Furthermore, the magnitude and state of the residual stress sustained by a
7 component is typically not fully characterized. The reviewer must determine the adequacy of
8 both the SCC threshold value being used by the applicant and the magnitude of the tensile
9 stress being experienced by the component. The evaluation of the SCC threshold value
10 includes the verification that the (a) test method used to establish the threshold value is
11 standardized and recognized by the industry, (b) data are statistically significant or conservative,
12 and (c) data are for a relevant alloy, temper, product form, and environment. The evaluation of
13 the tensile stress being experienced by the component includes the verification that the stress
14 analysis accounts for (e) all applied and residual stresses and (f) stress raiser that can initiate
15 SCC cracks, such as corrosion pits and fabrication defects.

16 Documentation that may assist the reviewer in determining if the aging effect of cracking due to
17 SCC is applicable and requires aging management include (a) component drawings,
18 (b) applicable codes or specifications used in the design, fabrication, and installation of the
19 component, (c) material-specific material certification data and lot release data, and
20 (d) maintenance records and plant-specific operating experience.

21 If it is determined that the aging effect of cracking due to SCC is applicable the reviewer is to
22 evaluate the applicants proposed AMP to ensure that the effects of aging on components are
23 adequately managed so that their intended functions will be maintained consistent with the CLB
24 for the subsequent period of extended operation. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M29,
25 “Aboveground Metallic Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to
26 SCC in tanks. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, “External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical
27 Components,” is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in piping
28 and piping components. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, “Buried and Underground Piping and
29 Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in piping and
30 tanks which are buried or underground. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, “Inspection of Internal
31 Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components” is an acceptable method to
32 manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in components that are not included in other AMPs.

33 3.2.3.2.11 *Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice or Pitting Corrosion and*
34 *Microbiologically-Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to Stress*
35 *Corrosion Cracking*

36 The GALL-SLR Report recommends that for steel piping and piping components exposed to
37 concrete, if the following conditions are met, loss of material is not considered to be an
38 applicable aging effect for steel: (a) attributes of the concrete are consistent with ACI 318 or
39 ACI 349 (low water-to-cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air entrainment) as cited in
40 NUREG–1557; (b) plant-specific operating experience indicates no degradation of the concrete
41 that could lead to penetration of water to the metal surface; and (c) the piping is not potentially
42 exposed to ground water. For SS piping and piping components, loss of material and cracking
43 due to SCC are not considered to be applicable aging effects as long as the piping is not
44 potentially exposed to groundwater. Where these conditions are not met, loss of material due to
45 general (steel only), crevice or pitting corrosion and microbiologically-induced corrosion and
46 cracking due to SCC (SS only) are identified as applicable aging effects. GALL-SLR Report

1 AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage
2 these aging effects.

3 The reviewer verifies that the concrete was specified to meet ACI 318 or ACI 349 (low water-to-
4 cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air entrainment) as cited in NUREG-1557. The
5 reviewer should evaluate plant-specific operating experience to determine whether concrete
6 degradation sufficient to allow water intrusion has occurred.

7 3.2.3.2.12 *Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion and Microbiologically-*
8 *Induced Corrosion in Components Exposed to Treated Water, Treated Borated*
9 *Water, or Sodium Pentaborate Solution*

10 The GALL-SLR Report recommends that loss of material due to crevice corrosion can occur in
11 steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger
12 components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks, and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to
13 treated water, treated borated water, or sodium pentaborate solution if oxygen levels are greater
14 than 100 ppb. In addition, loss of material due to pitting can occur if oxygen levels are greater
15 than 100 ppb, halides or sulfates levels are greater than 150 ppb, and stagnant flow conditions
16 exist. Loss of material due to microbiologically-induced corrosion can occur with steel with SS
17 cladding, SS, and nickel alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent
18 fuel storage racks, tanks, and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water,
19 treated borated water, or sodium pentaborate solution if the pH is less than 10.5 and
20 temperature is less than 99 °C [210 °F].

21 The reviewer verifies the applicant's chemistry control parameters to determine whether
22 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and a one-time inspection program is
23 implemented (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection") or GALL-SLR
24 Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and a periodic inspection program is implemented
25 (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping
26 and Ducting Components") to manage loss of material.

27 3.2.3.2.13 *Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys*

28 The GALL-SLR Report recommends a further evaluation to determine whether an AMP is
29 needed to manage the aging effect of loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion of
30 aluminum piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to an air environment. If the applicant
31 claims that a search of 10 years of plant-specific did not reveal any instances of loss of material
32 due to pitting and crevice corrosion exposed to air environments, the staff conducts an
33 independent review of plant-specific operating experience during the AMP audit.

34 An alternative strategy to demonstrating that pitting and crevice corrosion is not applicable is to
35 isolate the aluminum alloy from the air environment using a barrier. Acceptable barriers include
36 anodization and tightly adhering coatings that have been demonstrated to be impermeable to
37 aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for
38 isolating an aluminum alloy from a potentially aggressive environment then the barrier coating is
39 evaluated to verify that it is impermeable to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR Report
40 AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat
41 Exchangers, and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage the integrity of internal and
42 external barrier coatings.

43 The reviewer is to verify that the SLRA cites the use of GALL-SLR AMP XI.M32, "One-Time
44 Inspection," for all aluminum piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to air environments.

1 Alternatively, if the applicant states that it will utilize a strategy of isolating the aluminum
2 components from the environment, verify that the aluminum components are coated and
3 GALL-SLR AMP XI.M42 has been cited to manage loss of coating integrity.

4 3.2.3.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the
5 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

6 The reviewer should confirm that the applicant, in its LRASLRA, has identified applicable aging
7 effects, listed the appropriate combination of materials and environments, and AMPs that will
8 adequately manage the aging effects. The AMP credited by the applicant could be an AMP that
9 is described and evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report or a plant-specific program. Review
10 procedures are described in Branch Technical Position RSLBBTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this
11 SRP-LR-SLR Report).

12 3.2.3.4 Aging Management Programs

13 The reviewer confirms that the applicant has identified the appropriate AMPs as described and
14 evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report. If the applicant commits to an enhancement to make its
15 LRASLRA AMP consistent with a GALL-SLR Report AMP, then the reviewer is to confirm that
16 this enhancement, when implemented, will make the LRASLRA AMP consistent with the GALL-
17 SLR Report AMP. If the applicant identifies, in the LRASLRA AMP, an exception to any of the
18 program elements of the GALL-SLR Report AMP, the reviewer is to confirm that the LRASLRA
19 AMP with the exception will satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If the reviewer identifies a
20 difference, not identified by the LRASLRA, between the LRASLRA AMP and the GALL-SLR
21 Report AMP, with which the LRASLRA claims to be consistent, the reviewer should confirm that
22 the LRASLRA AMP with this difference satisfies 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). The reviewer should
23 document the basis for accepting enhancements, exceptions, or differences. The AMPs
24 evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report pertinent to the engineered safety features components are
25 summarized in Table 3.2-1 of this SRP-LR-SLR. The "Rev2GALL-SLR Item" ~~(for 2010) and~~
26 ~~"Rev1 Item" (for 2005 counterpart) columns identify column identifies~~ the AMR item numbers in
27 the GALL-SLR Report, Chapter V, presenting detailed information summarized by this row.

28 Table 3.2-1 of this SRP-LRSLR may identify a plant-specific ~~aging management program AMP.~~
29 If the applicant chooses to use a plant-specific program that is not a GALL-SLR AMP, the NRC
30 reviewer should confirm that the plant-specific program satisfies the criteria of Branch Technical
31 PositionBTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1.2.3 of this SRP-LR-SLR Report).

32 3.2.3.5 FSAR Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

33 The reviewer confirms that the applicant has provided in its FSAR supplement information
34 equivalent to that in Table 3.0-1 of the applicable AMP for aging management of the engineered
35 safety features. Table 3.2-2 lists the AMPs that are applicable for this SRP-LRSLR subsection.
36 The reviewer also confirms that the applicant has provided information for Subsection 3.2.3.3,
37 "AMR Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the GALL-SLR Report," equivalent to
38 that in Table 3.0-1.

39 The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the
40 applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR Supplement at the next update required
41 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license condition until the FSAR update is
42 complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR Supplement
43 without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change and finds it

1 acceptable pursuant to the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the
2 FSAR to include the final FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, no condition will
3 be necessary.

4 ~~As noted in Table 3.0-1,~~ An applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its
5 FSAR. However, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has identified and committed in
6 the LRASLRA to any future aging management activities, including enhancements and
7 commitments, to be completed before entering the subsequent period of extended operation.
8 The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the
9 applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.

10 **3.2.4 Evaluation Findings**

11 If the reviewer determines that the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the
12 provisions of this section, then an evaluation finding similar to the following text should be
13 included in the NRC staff's safety evaluation report:

14 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the staff concludes that the
15 applicant has demonstrated that the aging effects associated with the engineered
16 safety features systems components will be adequately managed so that the
17 intended functions will be maintained consistent with the CLB for the subsequent
18 period of extended operation, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3).

19 The staff also reviewed the applicable FSAR Supplement program summaries
20 and concludes that they adequately describe the AMPs credited for managing
21 aging of the engineered safety features systems, as required by
22 10 CFR 54.21(d).

23 **3.2.5 Implementation**

24 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for
25 complying with specified portions of the NRC's regulations, the method described herein will be
26 used by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.

27 **3.2.6 References**

28 1. NRC. NUREG--0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for
29 Nuclear Power Plants, LWR Edition". Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
30 Commission, March 2007.

31 ~~2. NUREG-1801, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
32 Commission, Revision 2, 2010.~~

33 ~~3. NEI 95-10, "Industry Guideline for Implementing the Requirements of 10 CFR Part 54—The
34 License Renewal Rule," Nuclear Energy Institute, Revision 6.~~

35

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Furthe r Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev1 GA LL-SLR Item
<u>4M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>1</u>	Stainless steel, Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated water (borated) <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue <u>Stainless steel, steel piping, piping components exposed to treated water, treated borated water</u>	Fatigue is a time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) to be evaluated for the period of extended operation. See the SRP, Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1). <u>Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue</u>	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.2.2.2.1) <u>TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue"</u>	<u>V.D1.E-13</u> <u>V.D2.E-10</u> Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.1)	<u>V.D1-27(E-13)</u> <u>V.D2-32(E-10)</u>
<u>2D</u>	<u>PWR2</u>	Steel (with stainless steel cladding) Pump casings exposed to Treated water (borated)	Loss of material due to cladding breach	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated Reference NRC Information Notice 94-63, "Boric Acid Corrosion of Charging Pump Casings Caused by Cladding Cracks."	Yes, verify that plant-specific program addresses clad breach (See subsection 3.2.2.2.2)	<u>V.D1.EP-49</u>	<u>V.D1-32(EP-49)</u>
<u>3D</u>	<u>PWR3</u>	Stainless steel Partially-encased tanks with breached moisture barrier	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated for pitting and crevice	Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.2.2.2.3.1)	<u>V.D1.E-01</u>	<u>V.D1-15(E-01)</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		exposed to Raw water		corrosion of tank bottom because moisture and water can seep under the tank due to cracking of the perimeter seal from weathering.			
<u>4M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>4</u>	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed to Air – outdoor <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion <u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components" <u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	Yes, environmental conditions need to be evaluated (See subsection 3.2.2.2.3.2) <u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>V.B.EP-107</u> <u>V.C.EP-107</u> <u>V.D1.EP-107</u> <u>V.D2.EP-107</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.2)</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>V.B.EP-107</u> <u>V.C.EP-107</u> <u>V.D1.EP-107</u> <u>V.D2.EP-107</u>
<u>5M</u>	<u>PWR5</u>	Stainless steel Orifice (miniflow recirculation) exposed to Treated water (borated) <u>PWR</u>	Loss of material due to erosion <u>Stainless steel orifice (miniflow recirculation) exposed to treated borated water</u>	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated for erosion of the orifice due to extended use of the centrifugal HPSI pump for normal	Yes, Plant-specific (See subsection 3.2.2.2.4) <u>aging management program</u>	<u>V.D1.E-24</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.3)</u>	<u>V.D1-14(E-24)</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				charging. See LER 50-275/94-023 for evidence of erosion. <u>Loss of material due to erosion</u>			
<u>6M</u>	<u>BWR6</u>	<u>Steel Drywell and suppression chamber spray system (internal surfaces): flow orifice; spray nozzles exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (Internal) BWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion</u> <u>Steel drywell and suppression chamber spray system (internal surfaces): flow orifice; spray nozzles exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (internal)</u>	<u>A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated</u> <u>Loss of material due to general corrosion; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>Yes, Plant-specific (See subsection 3.2.2.2.5) aging management program</u>	<u>V.D2-EP-113</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.4)</u>	<u>V.D2-1(E-04)</u> <u>.EP-113</u>
<u>7M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR 7</u>	<u>Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed to Air— outdoor BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion</u> <u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u> <u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>Yes, environmental conditions need to be evaluated (See subsection 3.2.2.2.6)</u> <u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>V.B-EP-103</u> <u>V.C-EP-103</u> <u>V.D1-EP-103</u> <u>V.D2-EP-103</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.5)</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>V.B-EP-103</u> <u>V.C-EP-103</u> <u>V.D1-EP</u>

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							-103 V.D2.EP -103
8M	PWR8	Aluminum, Copper alloy (>15% Zn or >8% Al) Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air with borated water leakage PWR	Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion Aluminum, copper alloy (>15% Zn) piping, piping components exposed to air with borated water leakage	Chapter XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion" Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion	No AMP XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion"	V.D1.EP-104 V.E.EP-38 No	V.D2-18(D1.EP-2) 101 V.E-14(E-38)
9	PWR9	Steel External surfaces, Bolting exposed to Air with borated water leakage PWR	Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion Steel external surfaces, bolting exposed to air with borated water leakage	Chapter XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion" Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion	No AMP XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion"	V.A.E-28 V.D1.E-28 V.E.E-28 V.E.E-44 No	V.A-4(E-28)) V.D1-4(E-28)) V.E-9(E-28)) V.E-2(E-41)

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev1 GALL-SLR Item
40M	BWR/PWR 10	Cast austenitic stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated water (borated) >250°C (>482°F), Treated water >250°C (>482°F) BWR/PWR	Loss of fracture toughness due to thermal aging embrittlement Cast austenitic stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to treated borated water >250°C (>482°F), treated water >250°C (>482°F)	Chapter XI.M12, "Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS)" "Loss of fracture toughness due to thermal aging embrittlement"	No AMP XI.M12, "Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS)"	V.D1.E-47 V.D2.E-11 No	V.D1-16(E-47) V.D2-20(E-11)
44M	BWR 11	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Steam, Treated water BWR	Wall thinning due to flow-accelerated corrosion Steel piping, piping components exposed to steam, treated water	Chapter XI.M17, "Flow-Accelerated Corrosion" "Wall thinning due to flow-accelerated corrosion"	No AMP XI.M17, "Flow-Accelerated Corrosion"	V.D2.E-07 V.D2.E-09 No	V.D2-31(E-07) V.D2-34(E-09)
42	BWR/PWR 12	Steel, high-strength Closure bolting exposed to Air with steam or water leakage BWR/PWR	Cracking due to cyclic loading, stress corrosion cracking Steel, high-strength closure bolting exposed to air with steam or water leakage	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity" "Cracking due to cyclic loading, stress corrosion cracking"	No AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	V.E.E-03 No	V.E-3(E-03)

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev1 GA LL-SLR Item
13	BWR/PWR 13	Steel; stainless steel Bolting, Closure bolting exposed to Air — outdoor (External), Air — indoor, uncontrolled (External)BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, and crevice corrosionSteel; stainless steel bolting, closure bolting exposed to air — outdoor (external), air — indoor uncontrolled (external)	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion	NoAMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	V.E-EP-64 V.E-EP-70 No	V.E- 4(EP-4) 64 V.E- 4(EP-25) 70
14	BWR/PWR 14	Steel Closure bolting exposed to Air with steam or water leakageBWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general corrosionSteel closure bolting exposed to air with steam or water leakage	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"Loss of material due to general corrosion	NoAMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	V.E-E-02 No	V.E-6(E- 02)
15M	BWR/PWR 15	Copper alloy, Nickel alloy, Steel; stainless steel, Stainless steel, Steel; stainless steel Bolting, Closure bolting exposed to Any environment, Air — outdoor (External), Raw water, Treated borated water, Fuel oil, Treated water, Air — indoor, uncontrolled (External)BWR/PWR	Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, and self- looseningCopper alloy, nickel alloy, steel; stainless steel; bolting, closure bolting exposed to any environment, air — outdoor (external), raw water, waste water, treated borated water, fuel oil, treated water,	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, or self- loosening	NoAMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	V.E-EP-116 V.E-EP-117 V.E-EP-118 V.E-EP-119 V.E-EP-120 V.E-EP-121 V.E-EP-122	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>air – indoor uncontrolled</u> <u>(external)</u>			<u>V.E.EP-69</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.E-5(EP-</u> <u>24)</u> <u>V.E.EP-</u> <u>116</u> <u>V.E.EP-</u> <u>117</u> <u>V.E.EP-</u> <u>118</u> <u>V.E.EP-</u> <u>119</u> <u>V.E.EP-</u> <u>120</u> <u>V.E.EP-</u> <u>121</u> <u>V.E.EP-</u> <u>122</u> <u>V.E.EP-</u> <u>69</u>
<u>16M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>16</u>	<u>Steel Containment</u> <u>isolation piping and</u> <u>components (Internal</u> <u>surfaces), Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Treated</u> <u>water</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general, pitting,</u> <u>and crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Steel</u> <u>Containment isolation</u> <u>piping and components</u> <u>(Internal surfaces),</u> <u>Piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>treated water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M2, "Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>Chapter XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u> <u>Inspection"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u> <u>Inspection"</u>	<u>V.C.EP-62</u> <u>V.D2.EP-60</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.C-6(E-</u> <u>34)</u> <u>EP-62</u> <u>V.D2-</u> <u>33(E-08)</u> <u>EP-60</u>

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev1 GALL-SLR Item
<u>17M</u>	<u>BWR17</u>	Aluminum, Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated water <u>BWR</u>	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Aluminum, stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to treated water	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (stainless steel only)	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	V.D2.EP-74 V.D2.EP-73 No	V.D2-19 (EP-26) <u>71</u> V.D2-28 (EP-32) <u>73</u>
<u>18M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR 18</u>	Stainless steel Containment isolation piping and components (Internal surfaces) exposed to Treated water <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Stainless steel containment isolation piping and components (internal surfaces) exposed to treated water	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	V.C.EP-63 No	V.C-4 (E-33) <u>EP-63</u>
<u>19</u>	<u>BWR/PWR 19</u>	Stainless steel Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Treated water <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling Stainless steel heat exchanger tubes exposed to treated water, treated boric water	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	V.A.EP-74 V.D2.EP-74 No	V.A-16 (EP-34) <u>E-20</u> V.D2-13 (EP-34)

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							74 V.D1.E-20
20M	PWR20	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed to Treated water (borated) >60°C (>140°F) PWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking Stainless steel piping, piping components, tanks exposed to treated borated water >60°C (>140°F)	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	V.A.E-12 V.D1.E-12 No	V.A-28(E-12) V.D1-34(E-12)
21	PWR21	Steel (with stainless steel or nickel alloy cladding) Safety injection tank (accumulator) exposed to Treated water (borated) >60°C (>140°F) PWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking Steel (with stainless steel or nickel alloy cladding) safety injection tank (accumulator) exposed to treated borated water >60°C (>140°F)	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	V.D1.E-38 No	V.D1-33(E-38)
22M	PWR22	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed to Treated water (borated) PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Stainless steel piping, piping components, tanks	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	V.A.EP-41 V.D1.EP-41 No	V.A-27(E-41)

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>exposed to treated</u> <u>borated water</u>				<u>V.D1-</u> <u>30</u> <u>(</u> <u>EP-</u> <u>41</u> <u>)</u>
<u>23</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>23</u>	<u>Steel Heat exchanger</u> <u>components,</u> <u>Containment isolation</u> <u>pipng and</u> <u>components (Internal</u> <u>surfaces) exposed to</u> <u>Raw water</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice, and</u> <u>microbiologically-</u> <u>influenced corrosion;</u> <u>fouling that leads to</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Steel heat</u> <u>exchanger components,</u> <u>containment isolation</u> <u>pipng, components</u> <u>(internal surfaces)</u> <u>exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M20,</u> <u>"Open-Cycle Cooling</u> <u>Water System"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion,</u> <u>MIC; fouling that leads</u> <u>to corrosion; flow</u> <u>blockage due to</u> <u>fouling</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M20,</u> <u>"Open-Cycle</u> <u>Cooling Water</u> <u>System"</u>	<u>V.A.EP-90</u> <u>V.C.E-22</u> <u>V.D1.EP-90</u> <u>V.D2.EP-90</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.A-10</u> <u>(E-</u> <u>18)</u> <u>.EP-90</u> <u>V.C-5</u> <u>(E-</u> <u>22</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.D1-7</u> <u>(E-</u> <u>18)</u> <u>.EP-90</u> <u>V.D2-8</u> <u>(E-</u> <u>18)</u> <u>.EP-90</u>
<u>24</u> <u>M</u>	<u>PWR</u> <u>24</u>	<u>Stainless steel Piping,</u> <u>pipng components,</u> <u>and pipng elements</u> <u>exposed to Raw</u> <u>water</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to pitting, crevice,</u> <u>and microbiologically-</u> <u>influenced</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Stainless steel</u> <u>pipng, pipng</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>raw water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M20,</u> <u>"Open-Cycle Cooling</u> <u>Water System"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion,</u> <u>MIC; flow blockage</u> <u>due to fouling</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M20,</u> <u>"Open-Cycle</u> <u>Cooling Water</u> <u>System"</u>	<u>V.D1.EP-55</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.D1-</u> <u>25</u> <u>(</u> <u>EP-</u> <u>55</u> <u>)</u>

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev1 GALL-SLR Item
25 <u>M</u>	BWR/PWR <u>25</u>	Stainless-steel Heat exchanger components, Containment isolation piping and components (Internal surfaces) exposed to Raw water <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Loss of material due to pitting, crevice, and microbiologically-influenced corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion <u>Stainless steel heat exchanger components, containment isolation piping, components (internal surfaces) exposed to raw water</u>	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle-Cooling Water System" <u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	No <u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	V.A.EP-91 V.C.E-34 V.D1.EP-91 V.D2.EP-91 <u>No</u>	V.A-8(E-20) <u>.EP-91</u> V.C-3(E-34) V.D1-5(E-20) <u>.EP-91</u> V.D2-6(E-20) <u>.EP-91</u>
26	BWR <u>26</u>	Stainless-steel Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Raw water <u>BWR</u>	Reduction of <u>Stainless steel</u> heat transfer <u>due exchanger tubes exposed to fouling raw water</u>	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle-Cooling Water System" <u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	No <u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	V.D2.E-21 <u>No</u>	V.D2-12(E-21)
27	BWR/PWR <u>27</u>	Stainless-steel, Steel Heat-exchanger tubes exposed to Raw water <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Reduction of <u>Stainless steel, steel</u> heat transfer <u>due exchanger tubes exposed to fouling raw water</u>	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle-Cooling Water System" <u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	No <u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	V.A.E-21 V.D1.E-21 V.D2.E-23 <u>No</u>	V.A-15(E-21) V.D1-14(E-21)

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							V.D2-15(E-23)
28M	BWR/PWR 28	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water >60°C (>140°F) BWR/PWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to closed- cycle cooling water >60°C (>140°F)	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	V.A.EP-98 V.C.EP-98 V.D1.EP-98 V.D2.EP-98 No	V.A-24(EP-44) 98 V.C-8(EP-44) 98 V.D1-23(EP-44) 98 V.D2-26(EP-44) 98
29M	BWR/PWR 29	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion Steel piping, piping components	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems" Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC	No AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	V.C.EP-99 No	V.C-9(EP-48) 99

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>exposed to closed-cycle cooling water</u>				
<u>30</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>30</u>	<u>Steel Heat exchanger components exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and galvanic corrosion</u> <u>Steel heat exchanger components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u> <u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>XI.M21A,</u> <u>"Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>V.A.EP-92</u> <u>V.D1.EP-92</u> <u>V.D2.EP-92</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.A-9(E-17)</u> <u>.EP-92</u> <u>V.D1-6(E-17)</u> <u>.EP-92</u> <u>V.D2-7(E-17)</u> <u>.EP-92</u>
<u>31</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>31</u>	<u>Stainless steel Heat exchanger components, Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion</u> <u>Stainless steel heat exchanger components, piping, piping components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u> <u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>XI.M21A,</u> <u>"Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>V.A.EP-93</u> <u>V.A.EP-95</u> <u>V.C.EP-95</u> <u>V.D1.EP-93</u> <u>V.D1.EP-95</u> <u>V.D2.EP-93</u> <u>V.D2.EP-95</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.A-7(E-19)</u> <u>.EP-93</u> <u>V.A-23(E-33)</u> <u>95</u> <u>V.C-7(E-33)</u>

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							<u>95</u> V.D1-4(E-19) <u>EP-93</u> V.D1-22(E-33) <u>95</u> V.D2-5(E-19) <u>EP-93</u> V.D2-25(E-33) <u>95</u>
<u>32M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>32</u>	<u>Copper alloy Heat exchanger components, Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice, and galvanic corrosion</u> <u>Copper alloy heat exchanger components, piping, piping components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u> <u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>No AMP</u> <u>XI.M21A,</u> <u>"Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>V.A.EP-94</u> <u>V.A.EP-97</u> <u>V.B.EP-97</u> <u>V.D1.EP-94</u> <u>V.D1.EP-97</u> <u>V.D2.EP-94</u>	<u>V.A-</u> <u>5(E-13)</u> <u>94</u> <u>V.A-</u> <u>20(E-36)</u> <u>97</u> <u>V.B-</u> <u>6(E-36)</u>

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						V.D2.EP-97 <u>No</u>	<u>97</u> V.D1- 2(<u>EP-13</u>) <u>94</u> V.D1- 17(<u>EP-36</u>) <u>97</u> V.D2- 3(<u>EP-13</u>) <u>94</u> V.D2- 24(<u>EP-36</u>) <u>97</u>
<u>33</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>33</u>	<u>Copper alloy,</u> <u>Stainless steel Heat</u> <u>exchanger tubes</u> <u>exposed to Closed-</u> <u>cycle cooling</u> <u>water</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Reduction of heat</u> <u>transfer</u> <u>due to fouling</u> <u>Copper</u> <u>alloy, stainless steel</u> <u>heat exchanger tubes</u> <u>exposed to closed-cycle</u> <u>cooling water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M21A,</u> <u>"Closed Treated</u> <u>Water</u> <u>Systems"</u> <u>Reduction of</u> <u>heat transfer due to</u> <u>fouling</u>	<u>NoAMP</u> <u>XI.M21A,</u> <u>"Closed Treated</u> <u>Water Systems"</u>	<u>V.A.EP-100</u> <u>V.A.EP-96</u> <u>V.D1.EP-96</u> <u>V.D2.EP-96</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.A-</u> <u>14(<u>EP-</u></u> <u>39)</u> <u>100</u> <u>V.A-</u> <u>13(<u>EP-</u></u> <u>36)</u> <u>96</u> <u>V.D1-</u>

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							19(<u>EP-</u> <u>35</u>) <u>96</u> V.D2- 10(<u>EP-</u> <u>35</u>) <u>96</u>
<u>34</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>34</u>	Copper alloy (>15% Zn or >8% Al) Piping, piping components, and piping elements, Heat exchanger components exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Loss of material due to selective leaching <u>Copper alloy (>15% Zn or >8% Al) piping, piping components, heat exchanger components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, treated water</u>	Chapter XI.M33, "Selective Leaching" <u>Loss of material due to selective leaching</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M33,</u> <u>"Selective Leaching"</u>	<u>V.A.EP-27</u> <u>V.A.EP-37</u> <u>V.B.EP-27</u> <u>V.B.EP-37</u> <u>V.D1.EP-27</u> <u>V.D1.EP-37</u> <u>V.D2.EP-27</u> <u>V.D2.EP-37</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.A-</u> <u>22(<u>EP-</u></u> <u>27</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.A-</u> <u>6(<u>EP-37</u></u> <u>)</u> <u>V.B-</u> <u>7(<u>EP-27</u></u> <u>)</u> <u>V.B-</u> <u>5(<u>EP-37</u></u> <u>)</u> <u>V.D1-</u> <u>19(<u>EP-</u></u> <u>27</u> <u>)</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							V.D1- 3(<u>EP-37</u>) V.D2- 23(<u>EP-27</u>) V.D2- 4(<u>EP-37</u>)
<u>35M</u>	<u>PWR35</u>	<u>Gray cast iron Motor cooler exposed to Treated water</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to selective leaching</u> <u>Gray cast iron motor cooler exposed to Treated water, closed-cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M33, "Selective Leaching"</u> <u>Loss of material due to selective leaching</u>	<u>No AMP XI.M33, "Selective Leaching"</u>	<u>V.A.E-43</u> <u>V.D1.E-43</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.A-18(<u>E-43</u>)</u> <u>V.D1-13(<u>E-43</u>)</u>
<u>36M</u>	<u>PWR36</u>	<u>Gray cast iron Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to selective leaching</u> <u>Gray cast iron piping, piping components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, treated water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M33, "Selective Leaching"</u> <u>Loss of material due to selective leaching</u>	<u>No AMP XI.M33, "Selective Leaching"</u>	<u>V.D1.EP-52</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.D1-20(<u>EP-52</u>)</u>

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> , <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> , <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>37M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>37</u>	<u>Gray cast iron Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to</u> <u>Soil</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to selective</u> <u>leaching</u> <u>Gray cast iron</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>soil, ground water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M33,</u> <u>"Selective</u> <u>Leaching"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>selective leaching</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M33,</u> <u>"Selective</u> <u>Leaching"</u>	<u>V.B.EP-54</u> <u>V.D1.EP-54</u> <u>V.D2.EP-54</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.B-</u> <u>8(E</u> <u>P-54</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.D1-</u> <u>21(E</u> <u>P-</u> <u>54</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.D2-</u> <u>24(E</u> <u>P-</u> <u>54</u> <u>)</u>
<u>38M</u>	<u>BWR</u> <u>38</u>	<u>Elastomers Elastomer</u> <u>seals and</u> <u>components exposed</u> <u>to Air – indoor,</u> <u>uncontrolled</u> <u>(External)</u> <u>BWR</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of</u> <u>strength</u> <u>due to elastomer</u> <u>degradation</u> <u>Elastomer</u> <u>seals, piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air – indoor uncontrolled</u> <u>(external)</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M36,</u> <u>"External Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u> <u>Hardeni</u> <u>ng and loss of</u> <u>strength due to</u> <u>elastomer degradation</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M36,</u> <u>"External</u> <u>Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>V.B.EP-59</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.B-4(E-</u> <u>06)</u> <u>.EP-59</u>
<u>39M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>39</u>	<u>Steel Containment</u> <u>isolation piping and</u> <u>components (External</u> <u>surfaces) exposed to</u> <u>Condensation</u> <u>(External)</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Steel external</u> <u>surfaces exposed to</u> <u>condensation (external)</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M36,</u> <u>"External Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M36,</u> <u>"External</u> <u>Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>V.C.E-30</u> <u>V.E.E-46</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.C-2(E-</u> <u>30)</u> <u>V.E-10(E-</u> <u>46)</u>

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> , <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> , <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				<u>general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion</u>			
<u>40M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>40</u>	<u>Steel Ducting, piping,</u> <u>and components</u> <u>(External surfaces),</u> <u>Ducting, closure</u> <u>bolting, Containment</u> <u>isolation piping and</u> <u>components (External</u> <u>surfaces) exposed to</u> <u>Air – indoor,</u> <u>uncontrolled</u> <u>(External)BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Steel ducting,</u> <u>piping, components</u> <u>(external surfaces),</u> <u>ducting, closure bolting,</u> <u>containment isolation</u> <u>piping, components</u> <u>(external surfaces),</u> <u>external surfaces</u> <u>exposed to air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled (external)</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M36,</u> <u>"External Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>general corrosion</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M36,</u> <u>"External</u> <u>Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>V.A.E-26</u> <u>V.B.E-26</u> <u>V.B.E-40</u> <u>V.C.E-35</u> <u>V.D2.E-26</u> <u>V.E.E-44</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.A-1(E-</u> <u>26</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.B-3(E-</u> <u>26</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.B-2(E-</u> <u>40</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.C-4(E-</u> <u>35</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.D2-</u> <u>2(E-</u> <u>26</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.E-7(E-</u> <u>44</u> <u>)</u>

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>Type ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1 GALL-SLR Item</u>
41	BWR/PWR 41	Steel External surfaces exposed to Air— outdoor (External) BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general corrosion Steel external surfaces exposed to air – outdoor (external)	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components" Loss of material due to general corrosion	No AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	V.E.E-45 No	V.E-8(E-45)
42M	BWR/PWR 42	Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air— outdoor BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Aluminum piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components" Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	V.E.EP-114 No	N/A V.E.EP-114
43M	BWR 43	Elastomers Elastomer seals and components exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (Internal) BWR	Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (internal)	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components" Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation	No AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	V.B.EP-58 No	V.B-4(E-06) EP-58
44	BWR/PWR 44	Steel Piping and components (Internal surfaces), Ducting and components (Internal surfaces) exposed to Air—	Loss of material due to general corrosion Steel piping and components (internal surfaces),	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components" Loss of	No AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and	V.A.E-29 V.B.E-25 V.D2.E-29	V.A-19(E-29)

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> , <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> , <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		indoor, uncontrolled (Internal)BWR/PWR	ducting and components (internal surfaces) exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (internal)	material due to general corrosion	Ducting Components"	No	V.B-4(E- 25) V.D2- 46(E-29)
45	PWR45	Steel Encapsulation components exposed to Air – indoor, uncontrolled (Internal)PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosionSteel encapsulation components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (internal)	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	NoAMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	V.A.EP-42 No	V.A- 2(E-42)
46	BWR46	Steel Piping and components (Internal surfaces) exposed to Condensation (Internal)BWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosionSteel piping and components (internal surfaces) exposed to condensation (internal)	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	NoAMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	V.D2.E-27 No	V.D2- 47(E-27)
47	PWR47	Steel Encapsulation components exposed to Air with borated	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and boric acid	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping	NoAMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous	V.A.EP-43 No	V.A- 3(E-43)

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		water leakage (Internal)PWR	corrosionSteel encapsulation components exposed to air with borated water leakage (internal)	and-Ducting Components"Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, boric acid corrosion	Piping and Ducting Components"		
48M	BWR/PWR 48	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements (Internal surfaces); tanks exposed to Condensation (Internal)BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosionStainless steel piping, piping components (internal surfaces), tanks exposed to condensation (internal)	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion	NoAMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	V.A.EP-81 V.D1.EP-84 V.D2.EP-64 No	V.A- 26(E- 53) 81 V.D1- 29(E- 53) 81 V.D2- 35(E-14) EP-61
49M	BWR/PWR 49	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Lubricating oilBWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosionSteel piping, piping components exposed to lubricating oil	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC	NoAMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	V.A.EP-77 V.D1.EP-77 V.D2.EP-77 No	V.A- 25(E- 46) 77 V.D1- 28(E- 46) 77 V.D2-

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							30(<u>EP-</u> 46) 77
50M	BWR/PWR 50	Copper alloy, Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Lubricating oil BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Copper alloy, stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to lubricating oil	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Loss of material due to general (copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC	No AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	V.A.EP-76 V.D1.EP-76 V.D1.EP-80 V.D2.EP-76 No	V.A- 24(<u>EP-</u> 45) 76 V.D1- 19(<u>EP-</u> 45) 76 V.D1- 24(<u>EP-</u> 54) 80 V.D2- 22(<u>EP-</u> 45) 76
51	BWR/PWR 51	Steel, Copper alloy, Stainless steel Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Lubricating oil BWR/PWR	Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling Steel, copper alloy, stainless steel heat exchanger	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Reduction	No AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	V.A.EP-75 V.A.EP-78 V.A.EP-79	V.A- 17(<u>EP-</u> 40) 75 V.A-

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			tubes exposed to lubricating oil	of heat transfer due to fouling		V.D1.EP-75 V.D1.EP-78 V.D1.EP-79 V.D2.EP-75 V.D2.EP-78 V.D2.EP-79 No	12(<u>EP-47</u>) <u>78</u> V.A- 14(<u>EP-50</u>) <u>79</u> V.D1- 12(<u>EP-40</u>) <u>75</u> V.D1- 8(<u>EP-47</u>) <u>78</u> V.D1- 10(<u>EP-50</u>) <u>79</u> V.D2- 14(<u>EP-40</u>) <u>75</u> V.D2- 9(<u>EP-47</u>)

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev1 GALL-SLR Item
<u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>53a</u>	<u>Steel; stainless steel</u> <u>Underground piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to air indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled or</u> <u>condensation</u> <u>(external)-BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to</u> <u>general (steel only),</u> <u>pitting and crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Steel, nickel</u> <u>alloy underground</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air-indoor uncontrolled,</u> <u>condensation, air-</u> <u>outdoor, raw water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M41,</u> <u>"Buried and</u> <u>Underground Piping</u> <u>and Tanks"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>general (steel only),</u> <u>pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M41,</u> <u>"Buried and</u> <u>Underground</u> <u>Piping and</u> <u>Tanks"</u>	<u>V.E.EP-123</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.E.EP-</u> <u>123</u>
<u>54M</u>	<u>BWR</u> <u>54</u>	<u>Stainless steel Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Treated</u> <u>water >60°C</u> <u>(>140°F)</u> <u>BWR</u>	<u>Cracking</u> <u>due to stress corrosion</u> <u>cracking, intergranular</u> <u>stress corrosion</u> <u>cracking</u> <u>Stainless steel</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>treated water >60°C</u> <u>(>140°F)</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M7, "BWR</u> <u>Stress Corrosion</u> <u>Cracking," and</u> <u>Chapter XI.M2, "Water</u> <u>Chemistry"</u> <u>Cracking</u> <u>due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking,</u> <u>intergranular stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M7,</u> <u>"BWR Stress</u> <u>Corrosion</u> <u>Cracking," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry"</u>	<u>V.D2.E-37</u> <u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.2.2.2.9)</u>	<u>V.D2-</u> <u>29(E-37)</u>
<u>55M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>55</u>	<u>Steel Piping, piping</u> <u>components, and</u> <u>piping elements</u> <u>exposed to</u> <u>Concrete</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Steel piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to concrete</u>	<u>None, provided</u> <u>1) attributes of the</u> <u>concrete are</u> <u>consistent with ACI</u> <u>318 or ACI 349 (low</u> <u>water-to-cement ratio,</u> <u>low permeability, and</u> <u>adequate air</u>	<u>No, if conditions</u> <u>are met.</u> <u>None</u>	<u>V.F.EP-112</u> <u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.2.2.2.11)</u>	<u>V.F-</u> <u>17(EP-5)</u> <u>112</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				entrainment) as cited in NUREG-1557, and 2) plant OE indicates no degradation of the concrete			
<u>56M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>56</u>	<u>Aluminum Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Air—</u> <u>indoor, uncontrolled</u> <u>(Internal/External)</u> <u>BW</u> <u>R/PWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Aluminum piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled (internal)</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u>	<u>NA – No AEM or</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>Plant-</u> <u>specific aging</u> <u>management</u> <u>program</u>	<u>V.F.EP-3</u> <u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.2.2.2.13)</u>	<u>V.F-</u> <u>2(EP-3)</u>
<u>57M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>57</u>	<u>Copper alloy Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Air—</u> <u>indoor, uncontrolled</u> <u>(External),</u> <u>Gas</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Copper alloy</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air – indoor uncontrolled</u> <u>(external), gas</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>NA – No AEM or</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	<u>V.F.EP-10</u> <u>V.F.EP-9</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.F-</u> <u>3(EP-10</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.F-</u> <u>4(EP-9)</u>
<u>58M</u>	<u>PWR</u> <u>58</u>	<u>Copper alloy (≤15%</u> <u>Zn and ≤8% Al)</u> <u>Piping, piping</u> <u>components, and</u> <u>piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Air with</u> <u>borated water</u> <u>leakage</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Copper alloy</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air with borated water</u> <u>leakage</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>NA – No AEM or</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	<u>V.F.EP-12</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.F-</u> <u>5(EP-12)</u>

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report							
ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev1 GALL-SLR Item
59M	BWR/PWR 59	Galvanized steel Ducting, piping, and components exposed to Air—indoor, controlled (External)BWR/PWR	NoneGalvanized steel ducting, piping, and components exposed to air – indoor controlled (external)	None	NA--No AEM or AMPNone	V.F-EP-14 No	V.F-14(EP-14)
60M	BWR/PWR 60	Glass Piping elements exposed to Air—indoor, uncontrolled (External), Lubricating oil, Raw water, Treated water, Treated water (borated), Air with borated water leakage, Condensation (Internal/External), Gas, Closed-cycle cooling water, Air—outdoorBWR/PWR	NoneGlass piping elements exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (external), lubricating oil, raw water, treated water, treated borated water, air with borated water leakage, condensation (internal/external), gas, closed-cycle cooling water, air – outdoor	None	NA--No AEM or AMPNone	V.F-EP-15 V.F-EP-16 V.F-EP-28 V.F-EP-29 V.F-EP-30 V.F-EP-65 V.F-EP-66 V.F-EP-67 V.F-EP-68 V.F-EP-87 No	V.F-6(EP-15) V.F-7(EP-16) V.F-8(EP-28) V.F-10(EP-29) V.F-9(EP-30) N/A N/A

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							N/A N/A N/A V.F.EP-65 V.F.EP-66 V.F.EP-67 V.F.EP-68 V.F.EP-87
61M	BWR/PWR 61	Nickel alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (External)BWR/PWR	NoneNickel alloy piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (external)	None	NA – No AEM or AMPNone	V.F.EP-17 No	V.F-14(EP-17)
62M	BWR/PWR 62	Nickel alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air with borated water leakageBWR/PWR	NoneNickel alloy piping, piping components exposed to air with borated water leakage	None	NA – No AEM or AMPNone	V.F.EP-115 No	N/A V.F.EP-115

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev1 GALL-SLR Item
<u>63M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>63</u>	<u>Stainless steel Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Air—</u> <u>indoor, uncontrolled</u> <u>(External), Air with</u> <u>borated water</u> <u>leakage, Concrete,</u> <u>Gas, Air—indoor,</u> <u>uncontrolled</u> <u>(Internal)</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Stainless steel</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air – indoor uncontrolled</u> <u>(external), air with</u> <u>borated water leakage,</u> <u>gas, air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled (internal)</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>NA—No AEM or</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	<u>V.F.EP-18</u> <u>V.F.EP-19</u> <u>V.F.EP-20</u> <u>V.F.EP-22</u> <u>V.F.EP-82</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.F.</u> <u>12(EP-</u> <u>18</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.F.</u> <u>13(EP-</u> <u>19</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.F.</u> <u>14(EP-20)</u> <u>V.F.</u> <u>15(EP-</u> <u>22)</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>V.F.EP-</u> <u>82</u>
<u>64M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>64</u>	<u>Steel Piping, piping</u> <u>components, and</u> <u>piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Air—</u> <u>indoor, controlled</u> <u>(External),</u> <u>Gas</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Steel piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to air – indoor</u> <u>controlled (external),</u> <u>gas</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>NA—No AEM or</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	<u>V.F.EP-4</u> <u>V.F.EP-7</u> <u>No</u>	<u>V.F.</u> <u>16(EP-4</u> <u>)</u> <u>V.F.</u> <u>18(EP-7)</u>

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Furthe r Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev1 GA LL-SLR Item
<u>M</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Any material piping, piping components exposed to treated water, treated borated water</u>	<u>Wall thinning due to erosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M17, "Flow-Accelerated Corrosion"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.D1.E-407</u> <u>V.D2.E-408</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Metallic piping, piping components, tanks exposed to raw water, waste water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to recurring internal corrosion</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.9)</u>	<u>V.A.E-400</u> <u>V.B.E-400</u> <u>V.C.E-400</u> <u>V.D1.E-400</u> <u>V.D2.E-400</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.D1.E-405</u> <u>V.D2.E-405</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to soil, concrete, air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled, moist air, condensation</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (soil environment only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.D1.E-402</u> <u>V.D2.E-402</u>

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	TypeID	ComponentType	Aging Effect/MechanismComponent	Aging Management ProgramsEffect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 ItemFurther Evaluation Recommended	Rev1GALL-SLR Item
<u>M</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated steel, copper alloy, aluminum piping, piping components, tanks exposed to condensation, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel, copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.E.E-403</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, aluminum tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to treated water, treated borated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (steel and stainless steel only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.A.E-404</u> <u>V.D1.E-404</u> <u>V.D2.E-404</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated copper alloy (> 15% Zn) piping, piping components, tanks exposed to condensation, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.E.E-406</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Any material piping, piping components, heat exchangers, tanks with internal coatings/linings exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, raw water, treated water, treated borated water, lubricating oil</u>	<u>Loss of coating or lining integrity due to blistering, cracking, flaking, peeling, delamination, rusting, or physical damage, and spalling for cementitious coatings/linings</u>	<u>AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.A.E-401</u> <u>V.B.E-401</u> <u>V.C.E-401</u> <u>V.D1.E-401</u> <u>V.D2.E-401</u>

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Com ponent	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Furthe r Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev1 GA LL-SLR Item
<u>M</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Any material piping, piping components, heat exchangers, tanks with internal coatings/linings exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, raw water, treated water, treated borated water, lubricating oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion; cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.A.E-414</u> <u>V.B.E-414</u> <u>V.C.E-414</u> <u>V.D1.E-414</u> <u>V.D2.E-414</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Gray cast iron piping components with internal coatings/linings exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, raw water, or treated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to selective leaching</u>	<u>AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.A.E-415</u> <u>V.B.E-415</u> <u>V.C.E-415</u> <u>V.D1.E-415</u> <u>V.D2.E-415</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel bolting exposed to condensation, fuel oil, lubricating oil</u>	<u>Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, or self-loosening</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.E.E-416</u> <u>V.E.E-417</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy bolting exposed to raw water, waste water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.E.E-418</u>

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev1 GALL-SLR Item
<u>N</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel bolting exposed to lubricating oil, fuel oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.E.E-419</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, aluminum piping, piping components exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.A.E-420</u> <u>V.D1.E-420</u> <u>V.D2.E-420</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel bolting exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.A.E-421</u> <u>V.D1.E-421</u> <u>V.D2.E-421</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel underground piping, piping components, tanks exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.5)</u>	<u>V.B.E-423</u> <u>V.C.E-423</u> <u>V.D1.E-423</u> <u>V.D2.E-423</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, steel, aluminum, copper alloy, titanium heat exchanger components exposed to air, condensation (external)</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.E.E-424</u>

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further E valuation Recommen ded	Rev1 GALL-SLR Item
<u>N</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.E.E-426</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to condensation</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.A.E-427</u> <u>V.B.E-427</u> <u>V.D1.E-427</u> <u>V.D2.E-427</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Nickel alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, treated borated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.12)</u>	<u>V.A.E-428</u> <u>V.D1.E-428</u> <u>V.D2.E-428</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel bolting exposed to raw water, waste water, treated water, treated borated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.E.E-430</u> <u>V.E.E-429</u> <u>V.E.E-431</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Jacketed thermal insulation in an air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor environment,</u>	<u>Reduced thermal insulation resistance due to moisture intrusion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.E.E-422</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> , <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> , <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			air with borated water leakage, air with reactor coolant leakage, air with steam or water leakage environment		Mechanical Components"		
<u>N</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, nickel alloy, copper alloy, aluminum piping, piping components exposed to condensation</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel, copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.E.E-433</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel components exposed to treated water, raw water</u>	<u>Long-term loss of material due to general corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.A.E-434</u> <u>V.B.E-434</u> <u>V.C.E-434</u> <u>V.D1.E-434</u> <u>V.D2.E-434</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to concrete</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.11)</u>	<u>V.F.EP-20</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, steel, aluminum, copper alloy, titanium heat exchanger components internal to</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.A.E-435</u> <u>V.B.E-435</u> <u>V.D1.E-</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>components exposed to</u> <u>air, condensation</u>		<u>Ducting</u> <u>Components"</u>		<u>435</u> <u>V.D2.E-</u> <u>435</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy ($\leq 8\%$ Al)</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air with borated water</u> <u>leakage</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.F.E-</u> <u>438</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to raw water</u> <u>(for components not</u> <u>covered by NRC GL 89-</u> <u>13)</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38,</u> <u>"Inspection of</u> <u>Internal Surfaces</u> <u>in Miscellaneous</u> <u>Piping and</u> <u>Ducting</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.D1.E-</u> <u>439</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>raw water (for</u> <u>components not</u> <u>covered by NRC GL 89-</u> <u>13)</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38,</u> <u>"Inspection of</u> <u>Internal Surfaces</u> <u>in Miscellaneous</u> <u>Piping and</u> <u>Ducting</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.D2.E-</u> <u>440</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy ($> 15\%$ Zn</u> <u>or $> 8\%$ Al) piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to soil ground</u> <u>water</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to selective leaching</u>	<u>AMP XI.M33,</u> <u>"Selective</u> <u>Leaching"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.D1.E-</u> <u>441</u> <u>V.D2.E-</u> <u>441</u>

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Furthe r Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev1 GALL-SLR Item
<u>N</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.2)</u>	<u>V.E.E-442</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor, raw water, waste water, condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.10)</u>	<u>V.A.E-443</u> <u>V.B.E-443</u> <u>V.D1.E-443</u> <u>V.D2.E-443</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor, raw water, waste water, condensation (external)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.10)</u>	<u>V.E.E-444</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to the following external environments: soil, concrete, air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled, air – indoor controlled, condensation, raw water, waste water</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.10)</u>	<u>V.D1.E-445</u> <u>V.D2.E-445</u>

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev1 GA LL-SLR Item
<u>N</u>	<u>103</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled, air – indoor controlled, moist air, condensation</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.5)</u>	<u>V.D1.E-446</u> <u>V.D2.E-446</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.D1.E-447</u> <u>V.D2.E-447</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>105</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to air (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.13)</u>	<u>V.D1.E-448</u> <u>V.D2.E-448</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>106</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, moist air, condensation, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.2)</u>	<u>V.D1.E-449</u> <u>V.D2.E-449</u>

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev1 GA LL-SLR Item
<u>N</u>	<u>107</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated stainless steel tanks exposed to condensation, air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled, air – indoor controlled</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.2)</u>	<u>V.E.E-450</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>108</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated stainless steel piping, piping components, tanks exposed to condensation, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.5)</u>	<u>V.E.E-451</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated aluminum piping, piping components, tanks exposed to condensation, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.10)</u>	<u>V.E.E-452</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum underground piping, piping components, tanks exposed to air – outdoor, raw water, condensation</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.10)</u>	<u>V.B.E-453</u> <u>V.C.E-453</u> <u>V.D1.E-453</u> <u>V.D2.E-453</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum underground piping, piping components exposed to air (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.2.2.2.13)</u>	<u>V.E.E-454</u>

Table 3.2-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Engineered Safety Features Evaluated in Chapter V of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel</u> <u>underground piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41,</u> <u>"Buried and</u> <u>Underground</u> <u>Piping and</u> <u>Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>V.E.E-</u> <u>455</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>113</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel</u> <u>underground piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled,</u> <u>condensation, air –</u> <u>outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41,</u> <u>"Buried and</u> <u>Underground</u> <u>Piping and</u> <u>Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.2.2.2.2)</u>	<u>V.E.E-</u> <u>456</u>

Table 3.2-2. AMPs and Additional Guidance Appendices Recommended for Aging Management Programs Recommended for of Engineered Safety Features

GALL-SLR Report Chapter/AMP	Program Name
ChapterAMP XI.M2	Water Chemistry
ChapterAMP XI.M7	BWRBoiling Water Reactor Stress Corrosion Cracking
ChapterAMP XI.M10	Boric Acid Corrosion
ChapterAMP XI.M12	Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS)
ChapterAMP XI.M17	Flow-Accelerated Corrosion-(FAC)
ChapterAMP XI.M18	Bolting Integrity
ChapterAMP XI.M20	Open-Cycle Cooling Water System
ChapterAMP XI.M21A	Closed Treated Water Systems
AMP XI.M29	Aboveground Metallic Tanks
ChapterAMP XI.M32	One-Time Inspection
ChapterAMP XI.M33	Selective Leaching
ChapterAMP XI.M36	External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components
ChapterAMP XI.M38	Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components
ChapterAMP XI.M39	Lubricating Oil Analysis
ChapterAMP XI.M41	Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks
AMP XI.M42	Internal Coatings/Linings for In Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks
GALL-SLR Report Appendix for GALLA	Quality Assurance for Aging Management Programs
GALL-SLR Report Appendix B	Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs
SRP-LRSLR Appendix A.1	Plant-Specific AMP

1 **3.3 Aging Management of Auxiliary Systems**

2 **Review Responsibilities**

3 **Primary**—Branch assigned responsibility by Project Manager (PM) as described in Standard
4 Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants
5 (SRP-LRSLR) Section 3.0 of this SRP-LRSLR.

6 **3.3.1 Areas of Review**

7 This section addresses the aging management review (AMR) and the associated aging
8 management program (AMP) of the auxiliary systems for subsequent license renewal (SLR).
9 For a recent vintage plant, the information related to the auxiliary systems contained in
10 Chapter 9, “Auxiliary Systems,” of the plant’s Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) consistent
11 with the “Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power
12 Plants” (NUREG--0800). The auxiliary systems contained in this review plan section are
13 generally consistent with those contained in NUREG--0800 except for refueling water, chilled
14 water, heat removal, condenser circulating water, and condensate storage system. For older
15 plants, the location of applicable information is plant-specific because an older plant’s FSAR
16 may have predated NUREG--0800.

17 Typical auxiliary systems that are subject to an AMR for license renewalSLR are new fuel
18 storage, spent fuel storage, spent fuel pool cooling and cleanup [boiling water
19 reactor/pressurized water reactor(BWR/PWR)], suppression pool cleanup (BWR), overhead
20 heavy load and light load (related to refueling) handling, open-cycle cooling water, closed-cycle
21 cooling water, ultimate heat sink, compressed air, chemical and volume control (PWR), standby
22 liquid control (BWR), reactor water cleanup (BWR), shutdown cooling (older BWR), control room
23 area ventilation, auxiliary and radwaste area ventilation, primary containment heating and
24 ventilation, diesel generator building ventilation, fire protection, diesel fuel oil, and emergency
25 diesel generator. This review plan section also includes structures and components in
26 nonsafety-related systems that are not connected to safety-related systems, structures, and
27 components (SSCs) but have a spatial relationship such that their failure could adversely impact
28 the performance of a safety-related SSC intended function. Examples of such non-safety
29 nonsafety-related systems may be plant drains, liquid waste processing, potable/sanitary water,
30 water treatment, process sampling, and cooling water systems.

31 Aging management is reviewed, following the guidance in this SRP-LRSLR Section 3.1, for
32 portions of the chemical and volume control system for PWRs, and for standby liquid control,
33 reactor water cleanup, and shutdown cooling systems extending up to the first isolation valve
34 outside of containment for BWRs (the shutdown cooling systems for older BWRs). The
35 following systems have portions that are classified as Group B quality standard: open-cycle
36 cooling water (service water system), closed-cycle cooling water, compressed air, standby liquid
37 control, shutdown cooling system (older BWR), control room area ventilation, and auxiliary and
38 radwaste area ventilation. Aging management for these portions is reviewed following the
39 guidance in Section 3.3. The aging management programAMP for the cooling towers is
40 reviewed following the guidance in Section 3.5 for “Group 6” structures.

41 The responsible review organization is to review the following license renewalSLR application
42 (LRA)-AMR and AMP items assigned to it, per SRP-LRSLR Section 3.0:

1 **AMRs**

- 2 • AMR results consistent with the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
3 License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
- 4 • AMR results for which further evaluation is recommended ~~by the GALL Report~~
- 5 • AMR results not consistent with or not addressed in the GALL-SLR Report

6 **AMPs**

- 7 • Consistent with GALL-SLR Report AMPs
- 8 • Plant-specific AMPs

9 **FSAR Supplement**

- 10 • The responsible review organization is to review the FSAR Supplement associated with
11 each assigned AMP.

12 **3.3.2 Acceptance Criteria**

13 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review describe methods for determining whether the
14 applicant has met the requirements of the NRC's U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
15 regulations in 10 CFR 54.21.

16 3.3.2.1 AMR Aging Management Review Results Consistent With the GALL Generic
17 Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

18 The AMR and the AMPs applicable to the auxiliary system features are described and evaluated
19 in Chapter VII of NUREG-1801 (the GALL-SLR Report).

20 The applicant's LRA subsequent license renewal application (SLRA) should provide sufficient
21 information so that the NRC reviewer is able to confirm that the specific LRA SLRA AMR item
22 and the associated LRA SLRA AMP are consistent with the cited GALL-SLR Report AMR item.
23 The reviewer should then confirm that the LRA SLRA AMR item is consistent with the GALL-SLR
24 Report AMR item to which it is compared.

25 When the applicant is crediting a different aging management program AMP than recommended
26 in the GALL-SLR Report, the reviewer should confirm that the alternate aging management
27 program AMP is valid to use for aging management and will be capable of managing the effects
28 of aging as adequately as the aging management program AMP recommended by the GALL-
29 SLR Report.

30 3.3.2.2 AMR Aging Management Review Results for Which Further Evaluation Is
31 Recommended by the GALL Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
32 License Renewal Report

33 The basic acceptance criteria, defined in Subsection 3.3.2.1, need to be applied first for all of
34 the AMRs and AMPs reviewed as part of this section. In addition, if the GALL-SLR Report AMR
35 item to which the LRA SLRA AMR item is compared identifies that "further evaluation is
36 recommended," then additional criteria apply as identified by the GALL-SLR Report for each of

1 the following aging effect/aging mechanism combinations. Refer to Table 3.3-1, comparing the
2 “Further Evaluation Recommended” and the “~~Rev2~~GALL-SLR Item” ~~columns~~column, for the
3 AMR items that reference the following subsections. ~~The 2005 AMP item counterpart is~~
4 ~~provided in the “Rev1 Item” column.~~

5 3.3.2.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage

6 Fatigue is a time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAs are required
7 to be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). This TLAA is addressed separately in
8 Section 4.3, “Metal Fatigue Analysis,” or Section 4.7, “Other Plant-Specific Time-Limited Aging
9 Analyses,” of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR.

10 3.3.2.2.2 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Cyclic Loading

11 Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) and cyclic loading could occur in stainless
12 steel (SS) PWR ~~non-regenerative~~nonregenerative heat exchanger components exposed to
13 treated borated water greater than 60 °C (~~→~~≥140 °F) in the chemical and volume control
14 system. The existing ~~aging management program~~AMP on monitoring and control of primary
15 water chemistry in PWRs manages the aging effects of cracking due to SCC. However, control
16 of water chemistry does not preclude cracking due to SCC and cyclic loading. Therefore, the
17 effectiveness of the water chemistry control program should be verified to ensure that cracking
18 is not occurring. The GALL-~~SLR~~ Report recommends that a plant-specific aging management
19 ~~program~~AMP be evaluated to verify the absence of cracking due to SCC and cyclic loading to
20 ensure that these aging effects are managed adequately. An acceptable verification program is
21 to include temperature and radioactivity monitoring of the shell side water, and eddy current
22 testing of tubes.

23 3.3.2.2.3 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking

24 Cracking due to ~~stress corrosion cracking~~SCC could occur for ~~stainless steel~~SS piping, piping
25 components, ~~piping elements~~ and tanks exposed to outdoor air- or any air environment when
26 the component is insulated. The possibility of cracking also extends to indoor components
27 ~~exposed~~located in close proximity to ~~air which has recently been introduced into buildings,~~
28 ~~i-~~sources of outdoor air (e., g., components near intake vents-). Cracking is ~~only~~ known to
29 occur in environments containing sufficient halides (primarilye.g., chlorides) and in which
30 ~~condensation or deliquescence~~moisture is possible.

31 ~~Condensation or deliquescence should generally be assumed to be possible.~~ Applicable
32 outdoor air environments (and associated local indoor air environments) include, but are not
33 limited to, those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, ~~these~~ within 1/2 mile of a
34 highwayroad which is treated with salt in the wintertime, ~~these~~ areas in which the soil contains
35 more than trace chlorides, ~~these~~ plants having cooling towers where the water is treated with
36 chlorine or chlorine compounds, and ~~these~~ areas subject to chloride contamination from other
37 agricultural or industrial sources. ~~This item is applicable for the~~

38 Insulated SS components exposed to indoor air environments ~~described above~~ and outdoor air
39 environments are susceptible to SCC if the insulation contains certain contaminants. Leakage
40 of fluids through bolted connections (e.g., flanges, valve packing) can result in contaminants
41 present in the insulation leaching onto the component surface. For outdoor insulated SS
42 components, rain and changing weather conditions can result in moisture intrusion of the
43 insulation.

1 ~~GALL AMP XI.M36, “External Surfaces Monitoring,” is an acceptable method to manage the~~
2 ~~aging effect. The applicant may demonstrate that this item is not applicable by describing the~~
3 ~~outdoor air environment present at the plant and demonstrating that external chloride stress~~
4 ~~corrosion cracking SCC is not expected. to occur by one or more of the following applicable~~
5 ~~means.~~

- 6 • ~~For outdoor uninsulated components, describing the outdoor air environment present at~~
7 ~~the plant and demonstrating that SCC is not expected.~~
- 8 • ~~For underground components, the applicant may demonstrate that SCC due to exposure~~
9 ~~to in-leakage to the vault as a result of external precipitation or groundwater is not~~
10 ~~expected.~~
- 11 • ~~For insulated components, determining that the insulation does not contain sufficient~~
12 ~~contaminants to cause SCC. One acceptable means to demonstrate this is provided by~~
13 ~~Regulatory Guide 1.36, “Nonmetallic Thermal Insulation for Austenitic Stainless Steel.”~~
- 14 • ~~For indoor components, determining that there are no liquid-filled systems with threaded~~
15 ~~or bolted connections (e.g., flanges, valve packing) that could leak onto the component.~~
- 16 • ~~For all components, demonstrating that the aggressive environment is not present by~~
17 ~~isolating the component from the environment using a barrier to prevent loss of material~~
18 ~~due to pitting or crevice corrosion. An acceptable barrier includes tightly-adhering~~
19 ~~coatings that have been demonstrated to be impermeable to aqueous solutions and~~
20 ~~atmospheric air that contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for isolating a~~
21 ~~component from a potentially aggressive environment then the barrier coating is~~
22 ~~evaluated to verify that it is impervious to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR~~
23 ~~Report AMP XI.M42, “Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components,~~
24 ~~Heat Exchangers, and Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage the integrity of a~~
25 ~~barrier coating for internal or external coatings.~~

26 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to determine whether an ~~adequate aging~~
27 ~~management program AMP~~ is ~~used~~~~needed~~ to manage this aging effect based on the
28 environmental conditions applicable to the plant and ~~ASME Code Section XI~~ requirements
29 applicable to the components. ~~GALL-SLR AMP XI.M36, “External Surfaces Monitoring,” GALL-~~
30 ~~SLR AMP XI.M29, “Aboveground Metallic Tanks,” or AMP XI.M41, “Buried and Underground~~
31 ~~Piping and Tanks,” (for underground components) are acceptable methods to manage cracking~~
32 ~~of SS due to SCC in piping, piping components, and tanks.~~

33 ~~1.1.1.1.10~~ — ~~3.3.2.2.4~~ ~~Loss of Material Due to Cladding Breach~~

34 ~~Loss of material due to cladding breach could occur for PWR steel charging pump casings with~~
35 ~~stainless steel cladding exposed to treated borated water. The GALL Report references NRC~~
36 ~~Information Notice 94-63, “Boric Acid Corrosion of Charging Pump Casings Caused by Cladding~~
37 ~~Cracks,” and recommends further evaluation of a plant-specific aging management program to~~
38 ~~ensure that the aging effect is adequately managed. Acceptance criteria are described in~~
39 ~~Branch Technical Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LR).~~

40 ~~3.3.2.2.5~~ — ~~Loss of Material due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion~~

41 ~~Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion could occur for stainless steel piping, in SS~~
42 ~~piping components, piping elements components, and tanks exposed to outdoor air, or any air~~
43 ~~environment when the component is insulated or where the component is in the vicinity of~~
44 ~~insulated components. The possibility of pitting and crevice corrosion also extends to indoor~~

1 components ~~exposed~~ located in close proximity to sources of outdoor air ~~which has recently~~
2 ~~been introduced into buildings, i. (e.g., components near intake vents-).~~ Pitting and crevice
3 corrosion is ~~only~~ known to occur in environments containing sufficient halides (~~primarily~~ e.g.,
4 chlorides) and in which ~~condensation or deliquescence~~ the presence of moisture is possible.

5 ~~Condensation or deliquescence should generally be assumed to be possible.~~ Applicable
6 outdoor air environments (and associated local indoor air environments) include, but are not
7 limited to, those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, ~~those~~ within 1/2 mile of a
8 highway road which is treated with salt in the wintertime, ~~those~~ areas in which the soil contains
9 more than trace chlorides, ~~those~~ plants having cooling towers where the water is treated with
10 chlorine or chlorine compounds, and ~~those~~ areas subject to chloride contamination from other
11 agricultural or industrial sources. ~~This item is applicable for the environments described above.~~

12 ~~GALL AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring," is an acceptable method to manage the~~
13 ~~aging effect. The applicant may demonstrate that this item is not applicable by~~ Insulated SS
14 components exposed to indoor air environments and outdoor air environments are susceptible
15 to loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion if the insulation contains certain
16 contaminants. Leakage of fluids through mechanical connections such as bolted flanges and
17 valve packing can result in contaminants leaching onto the component surface. For outdoor
18 insulated SS components, rain and changing weather conditions can result in moisture intrusion
19 of the insulation.

20 The applicant may demonstrate that loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion is not
21 expected to occur by one or more of the following applicable means.

- 22 • For outdoor uninsulated components, describing the outdoor air environment present at
23 the plant and demonstrating that external pitting or crevice corrosion is not expected.
- 24 • For underground components, the applicant may demonstrate that loss of material due
25 to pitting or crevice corrosion due to exposure to in-leakage to the vault as a result of
26 external precipitation or groundwater is not expected.
- 27 • For insulated components, determining that the insulation does not contain sufficient
28 contaminants to cause loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion. One
29 acceptable means to demonstrate this is provided by Regulatory Guide 1.36,
30 "Nonmetallic Thermal Insulation for Austenitic Stainless Steel."
- 31 • For indoor components, determining that there are no liquid-filled systems with threaded
32 or bolted connections (e.g., flanges, valve packing) that could leak onto the component.
- 33 • For all components, demonstrating that the aggressive environment is not present by
34 isolating the component from the environment using a barrier to prevent loss of material
35 due to pitting or crevice corrosion. An acceptable barrier includes coatings that have
36 been demonstrated to be impermeable to aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that
37 contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for isolating a component from a
38 potentially aggressive environment, then the barrier coating is evaluated to verify that it
39 is impervious to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M42,
40 "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers,
41 and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage the integrity of a barrier coating for
42 internal or external coatings.

43 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to determine whether an ~~adequate aging~~
44 ~~management program is used~~ AMP is needed to manage this aging effect based on the
45 environmental conditions applicable to the plant and ~~ASME Code Section XI~~ requirements

1 applicable to the components. GALL-SLR AMP XI.M36, “External Surfaces Monitoring,” GALL-
2 SLR AMP XI.M29, “Aboveground Metallic Tanks,” or AMP XI.M41, “Buried and Underground
3 Piping and Tanks,” (for underground components) are acceptable methods to manage loss of
4 material due to pitting and crevice corrosion in SS piping, piping components, and tanks.

5 3.3.2.2.5 Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components-

6 ~~1.1.1.1.11~~ ~~3.3.2.2.6~~ Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related
7 Components

8 Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical Position (BTP) IQMB-1 {
9 (Appendix A.2, of this SRP-SLR Report.)

10 3.3.2.2.6 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience

11 Acceptance criteria are described in Appendix A.2, of this SRP-LR.)

12 ~~1.1.1.2~~ ~~3.3.2.3~~ AMR Results Not Consistent with or Not Addressed in the GALL Report

13 Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this
14 SRP-LR.)

15 3.3.2.4. “Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs.”

16 3.3.2.2.7 Loss of Material Due to Recurring Internal Corrosion

17 Recurring internal corrosion can result in the need to augment AMPs beyond the
18 recommendations in the GALL-SLR Report. During the search of plant-specific operating
19 experience (OE) conducted during the SLRA development, recurring internal corrosion can be
20 identified by the number of occurrences of aging effects and the extent of degradation at each
21 localized corrosion site. This further evaluation item is applicable if the search of plant-specific
22 OE reveals repetitive occurrences (e.g., one per refueling outage cycle that has occurred over:
23 (a) in any three or more—cycles for a 10-year OE search, or (b) in any two or more—cycles for
24 a 5-year OE search) of aging effects with the same aging mechanism in which the aging effect
25 resulted in the component either not meeting plant-specific acceptance criteria or experiencing a
26 reduction in wall thickness greater than 50 percent (regardless of the minimum wall thickness).

27 The GALL-SLR Report recommends that a plant-specific AMP, or a new or existing AMP, be
28 evaluated for inclusion of augmented requirements to ensure the adequate management of any
29 recurring aging effect(s). Potential augmented requirements include: alternative examination
30 methods (e.g., volumetric versus external visual), augmented inspections (e.g., a greater
31 number of locations, additional locations based on risk insights based on susceptibility to aging
32 effect and consequences of failure, a greater frequency of inspections), and additional trending
33 parameters and decision points where increased inspections would be implemented.
34 Acceptance criteria are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report).”

35 The applicant states: (a) why the program’s examination methods will be sufficient to detect the
36 recurring aging effect before affecting the ability of a component to perform its intended function,
37 (b) the basis for the adequacy of augmented or lack of augmented inspections, (c) what
38 parameters will be trended as well as the decision points where increased inspections would be
39 implemented (e.g., the extent of degradation at individual corrosion sites, the rate of degradation

1 change), (d) how inspections of components that are not easily accessed (i.e., buried,
2 underground) will be conducted, and (e) how leaks in any involved buried or underground
3 components will be identified.

4 Plant-specific operating experience examples should be evaluated to determine if the chosen
5 AMP should be augmented even if the thresholds for significance of aging effect or frequency of
6 occurrence of aging effect have not been exceeded. For example, during a 10-year search of
7 plant specific operating experience, two instances of 360 degree 30 percent wall loss occurred
8 at copper alloy to steel joints. Neither the significance of the aging effect nor the frequency of
9 occurrence of aging effect threshold has been exceeded. Nevertheless, the operating
10 experience should be evaluated to determine if the AMP that is proposed to manage the aging
11 effect is sufficient (e.g., method of inspection, frequency of inspection, number of inspections) to
12 provide reasonable assurance that the current licensing basis (CLB) intended functions of the
13 component will be met throughout the subsequent period of extended operation. Likewise, the
14 GALL-SLR Report AMR items associated with the new further evaluation—items only cite raw
15 water and waste water environments because OE indicates that these are the predominant
16 environments associated with recurring internal corrosion; however, if the search of
17 plant-specific OE reveals recurring internal corrosion in other water environments
18 (e.g., treated water), the aging effect should be addressed in a similar manner.

19 3.3.2.2.8 *Reduction in Impact Strength*

20 Reduction in impact strength can occur in polyvinyl chloride (PVC) piping and piping
21 components that have been exposed to sunlight 2 years or longer. If the piping had been
22 wrapped with an opaque material or painted during installation, an AMP should include
23 inspections of the condition of the wrap or paint. If the piping had not been wrapped or painted,
24 a plant-specific program to address reduction in impact strength is recommended.

1 3.3.2.2.9 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Intergranular Stress
2 Corrosion Cracking

3 Cracking due to SCC and intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) could occur in BWR
4 SS and nickel alloy piping, piping components greater than or equal to 4 inches nominal pipe
5 size; nozzle safe ends and associated welds; and control rod drive return line nozzle caps and
6 the associated cap-to-nozzle welds or cap-to-safe end welds in BWR-3, BWR-4, BWR-5, and
7 BWR-6 designs that are exposed to reactor coolant. The GALL-SLR Report recommends
8 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," to mitigate SCC and IGSCC and augmented
9 inspection activities in accordance with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M7, "BWR Stress Corrosion
10 Cracking," for condition monitoring. However, these programs may need to be augmented to
11 manage the effects of cracking in dead-legs and other piping locations with stagnant flow where
12 localized environmental conditions could exacerbate the mechanisms of SCC and IGSCC. The
13 GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to identify any such locations and to evaluate
14 the adequacy of the applicant's proposed AMPs on a case-by-case basis to ensure that the
15 intended functions of components in these locations will be maintained during the subsequent
16 period of extended operation. Acceptance criteria are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1
17 of this SRP-SLR Report).

18 3.3.2.2.10 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking in Aluminum Alloys

19 SCC is a form of environmentally assisted cracking which is known to occur in high and
20 moderate strength aluminum alloys. The three conditions necessary for SCC to occur in a
21 component are a sustained tensile stress, aggressive environment, and material with a
22 susceptible microstructure. The aging effect of cracking due to SCC can be mitigated by
23 eliminating one of the three necessary conditions. For the purposes of SLR, acceptance criteria
24 for this further evaluation is being provided for demonstrating that the specific material is not
25 susceptible to SCC or an aggressive environment is not present. The susceptibility of the
26 material is to be established prior to evaluating the environment. This further evaluation item is
27 applicable unless it is demonstrated by the applicant that one of the two necessary conditions
28 discussed below is absent.

29 Susceptible Material: If the material of a component is not susceptible to SCC then the aging
30 effect is not applicable. The microstructure of an aluminum alloy, of which alloy composition is
31 only one factor, is what determines if the alloy is susceptible to SCC. Therefore, providing
32 guidance based on alloy composition will not always successfully protect against SCC in
33 aluminum alloys. The temper, condition, and product form of the alloy is considered when
34 assessing if a material is susceptible to SCC. Aluminum alloys that are susceptible to
35 SCC include:

- 36 • 2xxx series alloys in the F, W, O_x, T3x, T4x, or T6x temper
- 37 • 5xxx series alloys with a magnesium content of 3.5 weight percent or greater
- 38 • 6xxx series alloys in the F temper
- 39 • 7xxx series alloys in the F, T5x, or T6x temper
- 40 • 2xx.x and 7xx.x series alloys
- 41 • 3xx.x series alloys that contain copper
- 42 • 5xx.x series alloys with a magnesium content of greater than 8 weight percent

43 The material is evaluated to verify that it is not susceptible to SCC and that the basis used to
44 make the determination is technically substantiated. Tempers have been specifically developed
45 to improve the SCC resistance for some aluminum alloys. Aluminum alloy and temper

1 combination which are not susceptible to SCC when used in piping, piping component, and tank
2 applications include 1xxx series, 3xxx series, 6061-T6x, and 5454-x.

3 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks," is an acceptable method to
4 manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in tanks. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, "External
5 Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components," is an acceptable method to manage cracking
6 of aluminum due to SCC in piping and piping components. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41,
7 "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage cracking of
8 aluminum due to SCC in piping and tanks which are buried or underground. GALL-SLR Report
9 AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System," is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum
10 due to SCC in fire water storage tanks. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal
11 Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components" is an acceptable method to
12 manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in components that are not included in other AMPs.
13 Additional acceptance criteria are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this
14 SRP-SLR Report).

15 Aggressive Environment: If the environment that an aluminum alloy is exposed to is not
16 aggressive, such as dry gas, controlled indoor air, or treated water, then cracking due to SCC
17 will not occur and the aging effect is not applicable. Aggressive environments that are known to
18 result in cracking of susceptible aluminum alloys due to SCC are aqueous solutions and
19 atmospheric air that contain halides (e.g., chloride). Halide concentrations should generally be
20 considered high enough to facilitate SCC of aluminum alloys in uncontrolled or untreated
21 aqueous solutions and atmospheric air, such as outdoor air, raw water, waste water, and
22 condensation, unless demonstrated otherwise. If an aluminum component is encapsulated in a
23 secondary material, such as insulation or concrete, the composition of the encapsulating
24 material is evaluated for halides. The environment that the aluminum alloy is exposed to is
25 evaluated to verify that it is either controlled or treated and free of halides.

26 An alternative strategy to demonstrating that an aggressive environment is not present is to
27 isolate the aluminum alloy from the environment using a barrier to prevent SCC. Acceptable
28 barriers include tightly adhering coatings that have been demonstrated to be impermeable to
29 aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for
30 isolating an aluminum alloy from a potentially aggressive environment then the barrier coating is
31 evaluated to verify that it is impervious to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR
32 Report AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat
33 Exchangers, and Tanks," or equivalent program is an acceptable method to manage the
34 integrity of a barrier coating.

35 3.3.2.2.11 *Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice or Pitting Corrosion and*
36 *Microbiologically-Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to Stress*
37 *Corrosion Cracking*

38 Loss of material due to general (steel only), crevice, or pitting corrosion, and microbiologically-
39 induced corrosion and cracking due to SCC (SS only) can occur in steel and SS piping and
40 piping components exposed to concrete. Concrete provides a high alkalinity environment that
41 can mitigate the effects of loss of material for steel piping, thereby significantly reducing the
42 corrosion rate. However, if water intrudes through the concrete, the pH can be reduced and
43 ions that promote loss of material such as chlorides, which can penetrate the protective oxide
44 layer created in the high alkalinity environment, can reach the surface of the metal. Carbonation
45 can reduce the pH within concrete. The rate of carbonation is reduced by using concrete with a
46 low water-to-cement ratio and low permeability. Concrete with low permeability also reduces

1 the potential for the penetration of water. Adequate air entrainment improves the ability of the
2 concrete to resist freezing and thawing cycles and therefore reduces the potential for cracking
3 and intrusion of water. Intrusion of water can also bring bacteria to the surface of the metal,
4 potentially resulting in microbiologically-induced corrosion in steel or SS. Cracking due to SCC,
5 as well as pitting and crevice corrosion can occur due to halides present in the water that
6 penetrates to the surface of the metal.

7 If the following conditions are met, loss of material is not considered to be an applicable aging
8 effect for steel: (a) attributes of the concrete are consistent with American Concrete Institute
9 (ACI) 318 or ACI 349 (low water-to-cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air
10 entrainment) as cited in NUREG–1557; (b) plant-specific operating experience indicates no
11 degradation of the concrete that could lead to penetration of water to the metal surface; and
12 (c) the piping is not potentially exposed to groundwater. For SS components loss of material
13 and cracking due to SCC are not considered to be applicable aging effects as long as the piping
14 is not potentially exposed to groundwater. Where these conditions are not met, loss of material
15 due to general (steel only), crevice, or pitting corrosion, and microbiologically-induced corrosion
16 and cracking due to SCC (SS only) are identified as applicable aging effects. GALL-SLR Report
17 AMP XI.M41, “Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage
18 these aging effects.

19 3.3.2.2.12 *Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion and Microbiologically-*
20 *Induced Corrosion in Components Exposed to Treated Water, Treated Borated*
21 *Water, or Sodium Pentaborate Solution*

22 Loss of material due to crevice corrosion can occur in steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel
23 alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks,
24 and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, treated borated water, or
25 sodium pentaborate solution if oxygen levels are greater than 100 parts per billion (ppb). In
26 addition, loss of material due to pitting can occur if oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb,
27 halides or sulfates levels are greater than 150 ppb, and stagnant flow conditions exist. Loss of
28 material due to microbiologically-induced corrosion can occur with steel with SS cladding, SS,
29 and nickel alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent fuel storage
30 racks, tanks, and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, treated borated
31 water, or sodium pentaborate solution if the pH is less than 10.5 and temperature is less than
32 99 °C [210 °F].

33 Where oxygen levels are less than or equal to 100 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, “Water
34 Chemistry,” and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32, “One-Time Inspection,” are acceptable
35 methods to manage loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion. Where oxygen levels
36 are greater than 100 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, “Water Chemistry,” and GALL-SLR
37 Report AMP XI.M38, “Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting
38 Components,” are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to crevice corrosion.
39 Where stagnant flow conditions exist, and oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb and halides
40 or sulfates levels are greater than 150 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, “Water Chemistry,”
41 and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, “Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping
42 and Ducting Components,” are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to pitting
43 and crevice corrosion.

44 Where the pH is greater than or equal to 10.5 and the temperature is greater than or equal to
45 99 °C [210 °F], GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, “Water Chemistry,” and GALL-SLR Report
46 AMP XI.M32, “One-Time Inspection,” are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to

1 microbiologically-induced corrosion. Where the pH is less than 10.5 and temperature is less
2 than 99 °C [210 °F], GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and GALL-SLR Report
3 AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting
4 Components," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to MIC.

5 For tanks and spent fuel storage racks, due to the restricted accessibility for inspections,
6 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and
7 Ducting Components," is an acceptable method to manage loss of material due to pitting and
8 crevice corrosion even though the surface exposed to the environment may not be an
9 interior surface.

10 3.3.2.2.13 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys

11 Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion could occur in aluminum piping, piping
12 components, and tanks exposed to an air environment for a sufficient duration of time. Air
13 environments known to result in pitting and/or crevice corrosion of aluminum alloys are those
14 that contain halides (e.g., chloride) and periodic moisture. The moisture level and halide
15 concentration in atmospheric and uncontrolled air are greatly dependent on geographical
16 location and site-specific conditions. Moisture level and halide concentration should generally
17 be considered high enough to facilitate pitting and/or crevice corrosion of aluminum alloys in
18 atmospheric and uncontrolled air, unless demonstrated otherwise. The periodic introduction of
19 moisture or halides into an air environment from secondary sources should also be considered.
20 Leakage of fluids from mechanical connections, such as bolted flanges and valve packing,
21 through insulation onto a component in indoor controlled air is an example of a secondary
22 source that should be considered. The operating experience (OE) and condition of aluminum
23 alloy components are evaluated to determine if the plant-specific air environment is aggressive
24 enough to result in pitting and crevice corrosion after prolonged exposure. The aging effect of
25 loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion in aluminum alloys is not applicable and
26 does not require management if: (a) the plant-specific OE does not reveal a history of pitting or
27 crevice corrosion and (b) a one-time inspection demonstrates that the aging effect is not
28 occurring or that loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion is occurring so slowly that it
29 will not affect the intended function of the components.

30 The internal surfaces of aluminum components do not need to be inspected if: (a) the review of
31 OE does not reveal a history of pitting or crevice corrosion; and (b) inspection results for
32 external surfaces demonstrate that the aging effect is not applicable. Inspection results
33 associated with the periodic introduction of moisture or halides from secondary sources may be
34 treated as a separate population of components. In the environment of air-indoor controlled,
35 pitting and crevice corrosion is only expected to occur as the result of secondary source of
36 moisture or halides. Alloy susceptibility may be considered when reviewing OE and interpreting
37 inspection results. Inspections focus on the most susceptible alloys and locations.

38 The GALL-SLR Report recommends the further evaluation of aluminum piping, piping
39 components, and tanks exposed to an air environment to determine whether an AMP is needed
40 to manage the aging effect of loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion. GALL-SLR
41 Report AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," is an acceptable method to demonstrate that the
42 aging effect of loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion is not occurring at a rate that
43 affects the intended function of the components. If loss of material due to pitting or crevice
44 corrosion has occurred and is sufficient to potentially affect the intended function of an
45 aluminum SSC, the following AMPs are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to
46 pitting or crevice corrosion: (i) GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks,"

1 for tanks; (ii) GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical
2 Components," for external surfaces of piping and piping components; (iii) GALL-SLR Report
3 AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," for underground piping, piping
4 components and tanks; and (iv) GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal
5 Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components" for internal surfaces of components
6 that are not included in other aging management programs.

7 3.3.2.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the
8 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

9 Acceptance criteria are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report.)

10 3.3.2.4 Aging Management Programs

11 For those AMPs that will be used for aging management and are based on the program
12 elements of an AMP in the GALL-SLR Report, the NRC reviewer performs an audit of aging
13 management programs AMPs credited in the LRA SLRA to confirm consistency with the GALL-
14 SLR AMPs identified in the GALL-SLR Report, Chapters X and XI.

15 If the applicant identifies an exception to any of the program elements of the cited GALL-SLR
16 Report AMP, the LRA SLRA AMP should include a basis demonstrating how the criteria of
17 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3) would still be met. The NRC reviewer should then confirm that the
18 LRA SLRA AMP with all exceptions would satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If, while
19 reviewing the LRA SLRA AMP, the reviewer identifies a difference between the LRA SLRA AMP
20 and the GALL-SLR Report AMP that should have been identified as an exception to the GALL-
21 SLR Report AMP, the difference should be reviewed and properly dispositioned. The reviewer
22 should document the disposition of all LRA SLRA-defined exceptions and NRC staff-identified
23 differences.

24 The LRA SLRA should identify any enhancements that are needed to permit an existing
25 LRA SLRA AMP to be declared consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMP to which the
26 LRA SLRA AMP is compared. The reviewer is to confirm both that the enhancement, when
27 implemented, would allow the existing LRA AMP to be consistent with the GALL license renewal
28 applications (LRA) AMP to be consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMP and also that the
29 applicant has a commitment in the FSAR Supplement to implement the enhancement prior to
30 the subsequent period of extended operation. The reviewer should document the disposition of
31 all enhancements.

32 If the applicant chooses to use a plant-specific program that is not a GALL-SLR AMP, the NRC
33 reviewer should confirm that the plant-specific program satisfies the criteria of BTP RLSB-1
34 (Appendix A.1.2.3 of this SRP-SLR).

35 3.3.2.5 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

36 The summary description of the programs and activities for managing the effects of aging for the
37 subsequent period of extended operation in the FSAR Supplement should be sufficiently
38 comprehensive, such that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description
39 should contain information associated with the bases for determining that aging effects will be
40 managed during the subsequent period of extended operation. The description should also
41 contain any future aging management activities, including enhancements and commitments, to

1 be completed before the period of extended operation. Table 3.3-2 lists the programs that are
2 applicable for this SRP-SLR subsection.

3 **3.3.3 Review Procedures**

4 For each area of review, the following review procedures are to be followed.

5 3.3.3.1 Aging Management Review Results Consistent With the Generic Aging Lessons 6 Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

7 The applicant may reference the GALL-SLR Report in its SLRA, as appropriate, and
8 demonstrate that the AMRs and AMPs at its facility are consistent with those reviewed and
9 approved in the GALL-SLR Report. The reviewer should not conduct a re-review of the
10 substance of the matters described in the GALL-SLR Report. If the applicant has provided the
11 information necessary to adopt the finding of program acceptability as described and evaluated
12 in the GALL-SLR Report, the reviewer should find acceptable the applicant's reference to the
13 GALL-SLR Report in its SLRA. In making this determination, the reviewer confirms that the
14 applicant has provided a brief description of the system, components, materials, and
15 environment. The reviewer also confirms that the applicable aging effects have been addressed
16 based in the staff's review of industry and plant-specific operating experience.

17 Furthermore, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has addressed operating
18 experience identified after the issuance of the GALL-SLR Report. Performance of this review
19 requires the reviewer to confirm that the applicant has identified those aging effects for the
20 auxiliary system components that are contained in the GALL-SLR Report as applicable to
21 its plant.

22 3.3.3.2 Aging Management Review Results Report for Which Further Evaluation Is 23 Recommended by the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License 24 Renewal Report

25 The basic review procedures defined in Subsection 3.3.3.1 need to be applied first for all of the
26 AMRs and AMPs provided in this section. In addition, if the GALL-SLR Report AMR item to
27 which the SLRA AMR item is compared identifies that "further evaluation is recommended," then
28 additional criteria apply as identified by the GALL-SLR Report for each of the following aging
29 effect/aging mechanism combinations. Refer to Table 3.3-1 for the items that reference the
30 following subsections.

31 3.3.3.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage

32 Fatigue is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAAs are required to be evaluated in
33 accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The evaluation of this TLAA is addressed separately in
34 Section 4.3 of this SRP-SLR.

35 3.3.3.2.2 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Cyclic Loading

36 The GALL-SLR Report also recommends further evaluation of programs to manage cracking
37 due to SCC and cyclic loading in the SS nonregenerative heat exchangers in the chemical and
38 volume control system (PWR) exposed to treated boric water >60 °C [>140 °F]. The water
39 chemistry program relies on monitoring and control of water chemistry to manage the aging
40 effects of cracking due to SCC and cyclic loading. The GALL-SLR Report recommends the

1 effectiveness of the chemistry control program be verified to ensure that cracking is not
2 occurring. The absence of cracking due to SCC and cyclic loading is to be verified. An
3 acceptable verification program is to include temperature and radioactivity monitoring of the
4 shell side water, and eddy current testing of tubes. The reviewer reviews the applicant's
5 proposed program on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program will be in place
6 for the management of these aging effects.

7 3.3.3.2.3 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking

8 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to manage cracking due to SCC of SS
9 and aluminum piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to outdoor air environments
10 containing sufficient halides (e.g., chlorides) and in which condensation is possible. The
11 possibility of cracking also extends to components exposed to air which has recently been
12 introduced into buildings (i.e., components near intake vents.)

13 If the applicant claims that neither the environment nor composition of insulation will result in
14 stress corrosion cracking, the reviewer should evaluate the applicant's data to verify that
15 sufficient halides will not be present on the surface of the SS piping, piping components, or
16 tanks. If the applicant elects to manage stress corrosion cracking, the reviewer should
17 determine whether an adequate program is credited to manage the aging effect based on the
18 applicable environmental conditions.

19 3.3.3.2.4 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion

20 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to manage loss of material due to pitting
21 and crevice corrosion of SS piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to outdoor air or any
22 air environment when the component is insulated where the presence of sufficient halides
23 (e.g., chlorides) and moisture is possible. The possibility of pitting and crevice corrosion
24 also extends to indoor components located in close proximity to sources of outdoor air
25 (e.g., components near intake vents).

26 If the applicant claims that neither the environment nor composition of the insulation will result in
27 loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion, the reviewer should evaluate the applicant's
28 data to verify that sufficient halides will not be present on the surface of the SS piping, piping
29 components, or tanks. If the applicant elects to manage loss of material due to pitting or crevice
30 corrosion, the reviewer should determine whether an adequate program is credited to manage
31 the aging effect based on the applicable environmental conditions.

32 3.3.3.2.5 Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components

33 The applicant's AMPs for SLR should contain the elements of corrective actions, the
34 confirmation process, and administrative controls. Safety-related components are covered by
35 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, which is adequate to address these program elements. However,
36 Appendix B does not apply to nonsafety-related components that are subject to an AMR for
37 SLR. Nevertheless, the applicant has the option to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50,
38 Appendix B program to include these components and address the associated program
39 elements. If the applicant chooses this option, the reviewer verifies that the applicant has
40 documented such a commitment in the FSAR Supplement. If the applicant chooses alternative
41 means, the branch responsible for quality assurance (QA) should be requested to review the
42 applicant's proposal on a case-by-case basis.

43 3.3.3.2.6 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience

1 The applicant's AMPs should contain the element of OE. The reviewer verifies that the
2 applicant has appropriate programs or processes for the ongoing review of both plant-specific
3 and industry OE concerning age-related degradation and aging management. Such reviews are
4 used to ensure that the AMPs are effective to manage the aging effects for which they are
5 created. The AMPs are either enhanced or new AMPs are developed, as appropriate, when it is
6 determined through the evaluation of OE that the effects of aging may not be adequately
7 managed. Additional information is in Appendix A.4, "Operating Experience for Aging
8 Management Programs."

9 3.3.3.2.7 Loss of Material Due to Recurring Internal Corrosion

10 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to manage recurring internal corrosion
11 aging effects. The reviewer conducts an independent review of plant-specific OE to determine
12 whether the plant is currently experiencing recurring internal corrosion. The scope of this further
13 evaluation AMR item includes recurring aging effects in which the plant-specific OE review
14 reveals repetitive occurrences (e.g., one per refueling outage that has occurred over: (a) in any
15 three or more cycles for a 10-year OE search, or (b) in any two or more cycles for a 5-year OE
16 search) of aging effects with the same aging mechanism as a result of which the component
17 either did not meet plant-specific acceptance criteria or experienced a reduction in wall
18 thickness greater than 50 percent (regardless of the minimum wall thickness).

19 The reviewer should evaluate plant-specific operating experience examples to determine if the
20 chosen AMP should be augmented. For example, during a 10-year search of plant specific OE,
21 two instances of 360 degree 30 percent wall loss occurred at copper alloy to steel joints.
22 Neither the significance of the aging effect nor the frequency of occurrence of aging effect
23 threshold has been exceeded. Nevertheless, the OE should be evaluated to determine if the
24 AMP that is proposed to manage the aging effect is sufficient (e.g., method of inspection,
25 frequency of inspection, number of inspections) to provide reasonable assurance that the CLB
26 intended functions of the component will be met throughout the subsequent period of extended
27 operation. Likewise, the GALL-SLR Report AMR items associated with the new further
28 evaluation (FE) items only cite raw water and waste water environments because OE indicates
29 that these are the predominant environments associated with recurring internal corrosion;
30 however, if the search of plant-specific OE reveals recurring internal corrosion in other water
31 environments (e.g., treated water), the aging effect should be addressed in a similar manner.

32 The reviewer determines whether a proposed program is adequate to manage recurring internal
33 corrosion by evaluating the proposed AMP against the criteria in SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.7.

34 3.3.3.2.8 Reduction in Impact Strength

35 The reviewer should confirm that PVC piping and piping components, exposed to sunlight had
36 been wrapped with an opaque material or painted during installation or determine whether an
37 adequate program is used to manage reduction in impact strength for PVC piping exposed to
38 sunlight. If the PVC piping and piping components exposed to sunlight had been wrapped with
39 an opaque material or painted, the reviewer should confirm the adequacy of the program used
40 to conduct inspections of the wrap or paint.

41 3.3.3.2.9 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Intergranular Stress 42 Corrosion Cracking

1 The GALL-SLR Report recommends review of plant-specific AMPs for managing cracking due
2 to SCC and IGSCC in BWR SS and nickel alloy piping and piping components greater than or
3 equal to 4 inches nominal pipe size; nozzle safe ends and associated welds; and control rod
4 drive return line nozzle caps and the associated cap-to-nozzle welds or cap-to-safe end welds in
5 BWR-3, BWR-4, BWR-5, and BWR-6 designs that are exposed to reactor coolant. Components
6 in dead-legs and other piping locations with stagnant flow may be subject to localized
7 environmental conditions that could exacerbate the mechanisms of SCC and IGSCC. The
8 reviewer ensures that the applicant has identified any such locations and provided justification
9 for the AMPs credited for managing this aging effect. The reviewer reviews the applicant's
10 justification and proposed AMPs on a case-by-case basis to ensure that the effects of aging will
11 be adequately managed.

12 3.3.3.2.10 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking in Aluminum Alloys

13 The GALL-SLR Report recommends the further evaluation of aluminum components
14 (i.e., piping, piping components, and tanks) exposed to atmospheric air or aqueous solutions
15 that contain halides to manage cracking due to SCC. The reviewer first determines if the aging
16 effect of cracking due to SCC is applicable and requires aging management. The aging effect
17 of cracking is to be considered applicable unless it is demonstrated that one of the two
18 acceptance criteria are met by demonstrating that an aggressive environment is not present or
19 the specific material is not susceptible, as discussed in Section 3.3.2.2.10. Additionally,
20 guidance is also provided on the review of the third condition necessary for SCC to occur, a
21 sustained tensile stress. Each of three conditions is evaluated based on the review
22 procedures below.

23 Susceptible Material: If the material of the component being evaluated is not susceptible to
24 SCC then the aging effect of cracking due to SCC is not applicable and does not require aging
25 management. When determining if an aluminum alloy is susceptible to SCC the reviewer is to
26 verify the material's (a) alloy composition, (b) condition or temper, and (c) product form.
27 Additionally, if the material was produced using a process specifically developed to provide a
28 SCC resistant microstructure then the reviewer will consider the effects of this processing in the
29 review. Once the material information has been established the reviewer is to evaluate the
30 technical justification used to substantiate that the material is not susceptible to SCC when
31 exposed to an aggressive environment and sustained tensile stress. The reviewer will evaluate
32 all documentation and references used by the applicant as part of a technical justification.

33 Aggressive Environment: If the environment that an aluminum alloy is exposed to is not
34 aggressive, such as dry gas, controlled indoor air, or treated water, then the aging effect of
35 cracking due to SCC is not applicable and does not require aging management. The
36 environments cited in the AMR line items in the GALL-SLR Report that reference this further
37 evaluation are considered to be aggressive and potentially containing halide concentrations that
38 facilitate SCC of aluminum alloys. The reviewer is to verify that components are not also
39 periodically exposed to nontypical environments that would be categorized as aggressive, such
40 as outdoor air which has recently been introduced into a building and the leakage/seepage of
41 untreated aqueous solutions into a building or underground vault. Using information provided
42 by the applicant, the reviewer will also evaluate the chemical composition of applicable
43 encapsulating materials (e.g., concrete, insulation) for halides.

44 If a barrier coating is employed to effectively isolate the aluminum alloy from an aggressive
45 environment then the aging effect of cracking due to SCC is not applicable and does not require
46 aging management. The reviewer is to verify that the barrier coating is impermeable to the

1 plant-specific aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that the coating is intended to protect the
2 alloy from being exposed to. If operating experience is cited as a technical justification for the
3 effectiveness of a barrier coating the reviewer is to verify that the applicant has a program to
4 manage loss of coating integrity equivalent to GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M42.

5 Sustained Tensile Stress: If the sustained tensile stress being experienced by a component is
6 below the SCC threshold value then cracking will not occur and the aging effect is not
7 applicable. Many aluminum alloys do not have a true SCC threshold stress, although a practical
8 SCC threshold value can be determined based on the material, service environment, and
9 duration of intended function. The basis for the SCC threshold value is to be evaluated to
10 determine its applicability. The magnitude of the maximum tensile service stress (applied and
11 residual) experienced by the component is to be evaluated to verify that the stress levels are
12 bounded by the SCC threshold value.

13 The information necessary to eliminate the aging effect of SCC based on the sustained service
14 stress is often not readily available. The SCC threshold stress level is dependent on both the
15 alloy (e.g., chemical composition, processing history, and microstructure) and service
16 environment. Furthermore, the magnitude and state of the residual stress sustained by a
17 component is typically not fully characterized. The reviewer must determine the adequacy of
18 both the SCC threshold value being used by the applicant and the magnitude of the tensile
19 stress being experienced by the component. The evaluation of the SCC threshold value
20 includes the verification that the (a) test method used to establish the threshold value is
21 standardized and recognized by the industry, (b) data is statistically significant or conservative,
22 and (c) data is for a relevant alloy, temper, product form, and environment. The evaluation of
23 the tensile stress being experienced by the component includes the verification that the stress
24 analysis accounts for (e) all applied and residual stresses and (f) stress raiser that can initiate
25 SCC cracks, such as corrosion pits and fabrication defects.

26 Documentation that may assist the reviewer in determining if the aging effect of cracking due to
27 SCC is applicable and requires aging management include (a) component drawings,
28 (b) applicable Codes or specifications used in the design, fabrication, and installation of the
29 component, (c) material-specific material certification data and lot release data, and
30 (d) maintenance records and plant-specific operating experience.

31 If it is determined that the aging effect of cracking due to SCC is applicable the reviewer is to
32 evaluate the applicants proposed AMP to ensure that the effects of aging on components are
33 adequately managed so that their intended functions will be maintained consistent with the CLB
34 for the subsequent period of extended operation. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M29,
35 “Aboveground Metallic Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to
36 SCC in tanks. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, “External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical
37 Components,” is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in piping
38 and piping components. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, “Buried and Underground Piping and
39 Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in piping and
40 tanks which are buried or underground. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M27, “Fire Water System,”
41 is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in fire water storage
42 tanks. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, “Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping
43 and Ducting Components” is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to
44 SCC in components that are not included in other AMPs.

1 3.3.3.2.11 Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice or Pitting Corrosion and
2 Microbiologically-Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to Stress
3 Corrosion Cracking

4 The GALL-SLR Report recommends that for steel piping and piping components exposed to
5 concrete, if the following conditions are met, loss of material is not considered to be an
6 applicable aging effect for steel: (a) attributes of the concrete are consistent with ACI 318 or
7 ACI 349 (low water-to-cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air entrainment) as cited in
8 NUREG–1557; (b) plant-specific operating experience indicates no degradation of the concrete
9 that could lead to penetration of water to the metal surface; and (c) the piping is not potentially
10 exposed to groundwater. For SS piping and piping components, loss of material and cracking
11 due to SCC are not considered to be applicable aging effects as long as the piping is not
12 potentially exposed to groundwater. Where these conditions are not met, loss of material due to
13 general (steel only), crevice, or pitting corrosion and microbiologically-induced corrosion and
14 cracking due to SCC (SS only) are identified as applicable aging effects. GALL-SLR Report
15 AMP XI.M41, “Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage
16 these aging effects.

17 The reviewer verifies that the concrete was specified to meet ACI 318 or ACI 349 (low water-to-
18 cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air entrainment) as cited in NUREG–1557. The
19 reviewer should evaluate plant-specific operating experience to determine whether concrete
20 degradation sufficient to allow water intrusion has occurred.

21 3.3.3.2.12 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion and Microbiologically-
22 Induced Corrosion in Components Exposed to Treated Water, Treated Borated
23 Water, or Sodium Pentaborate Solution

24 The GALL-SLR Report recommends that loss of material due to crevice corrosion can occur in
25 steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger
26 components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks, and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to
27 treated water, treated borated water, or sodium pentaborate solution if oxygen levels are greater
28 than 100 ppb. In addition, loss of material due to pitting can occur if oxygen levels are greater
29 than 100 ppb, halides or sulfates levels are greater than 150 ppb, and stagnant flow conditions
30 exist. Loss of material due to microbiologically-induced corrosion can occur with steel with SS
31 cladding, SS, and nickel alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent
32 fuel storage racks, tanks, and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water,
33 treated borated water, or sodium pentaborate solution if the pH is less than 10.5 and
34 temperature is less than 99 °C [210 °F].

35 The reviewer verifies the applicant’s chemistry control parameters to determine whether
36 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, “Water Chemistry,” and a one-time inspection program is
37 implemented (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32, “One-Time Inspection”) or GALL-SLR
38 Report AMP XI.M2, “Water Chemistry,” and a periodic inspection program is implemented
39 (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, “Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping
40 and Ducting Components”) to manage loss of material.

41 3.3.3.2.13 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys

42 The GALL-SLR Report recommends a further evaluation to determine whether an AMP is
43 needed to manage the aging effect of loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion of
44 aluminum piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to an air environment. If the applicant
45 claims that a search of 10 years of plant-specific did not reveal any instances of loss of material

1 due to pitting and crevice corrosion exposed to air environments, the staff conducts an
2 independent review of plant-specific operating experience during the AMP audit.

3 An alternative strategy to demonstrating that pitting and crevice corrosion is not applicable is to
4 isolate the aluminum alloy from the air environment using a barrier. Acceptable barriers include
5 anodization and tightly adhering coatings that have been demonstrated to be impermeable to
6 aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for
7 isolating an aluminum alloy from a potentially aggressive environment then the barrier coating is
8 evaluated to verify that it is impermeable to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR Report
9 AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat
10 Exchangers, and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage the integrity of internal and
11 external barrier coatings.

12 The reviewer is to verify that the SLRA cites the use of GALL-SLR AMP XI.M32, "One-Time
13 Inspection," for all aluminum piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to air environments.
14 Alternatively, if the applicant states that it will utilize a strategy of isolating the aluminum
15 components from the environment, verify that the aluminum components are coated and
16 GALL-SLR AMP XI.M42 has been cited to manage loss of coating integrity.

17 3.3.3.3 *Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the*
18 *Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report*

19 The reviewer should confirm that the applicant, in its SLRA, has identified applicable aging
20 effects, listed the appropriate combination of materials and environments, and has credited
21 AMPs that will adequately manage the aging effects. The AMP credited by the applicant
22 could be an AMP that is described and evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report or a plant-specific
23 program. Review procedures are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this
24 SRP-SLR Report).

25 3.3.3.4 *Aging Management Programs*

26 The reviewer confirms that the applicant has identified the appropriate AMPs as described and
27 evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report. If the applicant commits to an enhancement to make its
28 SLRA AMP consistent with a GALL-SLR Report AMP, then the reviewer is to confirm that this
29 enhancement, when implemented, will make the SLRA AMP consistent with the GALL-SLR
30 Report AMP. If the applicant identifies, in the SLRA AMP, an exception to any of the program
31 elements of the GALL-SLR Report AMP, the reviewer is to confirm that the SLRA AMP with the
32 exception will satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If the reviewer identifies a difference,
33 not identified by the SLRA, between the SLRA AMP and the GALL-SLR Report AMP with which
34 the SLRA claims to be consistent, the reviewer should confirm that the SLRA AMP with this
35 difference satisfies 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). The reviewer should document the basis for accepting
36 enhancements, exceptions or differences. The AMPs evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report
37 pertinent to the auxiliary systems components are summarized in Table 3.3-1 of this SRP-SLR.
38 The "GALL-SLR Item" column identifies the AMR item numbers in the GALL-SLR Report,
39 Chapter VII, presenting detailed information summarized by this row.

40 **3.3.4 Evaluation Findings**

41 If the reviewer determines that the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the
42 provisions of this section, then an evaluation finding similar to the following text should be
43 included in the NRC staff's safety evaluation report:

1 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that the
2 applicant has demonstrated that the aging effects associated with the auxiliary
3 systems components will be adequately managed so that the intended functions
4 will be maintained consistent with the CLB for the subsequent period of extended
5 operation, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3).

6 The NRC staff also reviewed the applicable FSAR Supplement program summaries and
7 concludes that they adequately describe the AMPs credited for managing aging of the
8 auxiliary systems, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(d).

9 **3.3.5 Implementation**

10 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for
11 complying with specified portions of the NRC's regulations, the method described herein will be
12 used by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.

13 **3.3.6 References**

- 14 1. NRC. NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports
15 for Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
16 March 2007.
- 17 2. NEI. NEI 95-10, "Industry Guideline for Implementing the Requirements of 1
18 0 CFR Part 54-The License Renewal Rule." Revision 6. Washington, DC:
19 Nuclear Energy Institute.
- 20 3. ASME. Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant
21 Components." ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, 2004 Edition. New York City,
22 New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- 23 4. ASTM International. "Standard Test Method for Water in Petroleum Products and
24 Bituminous Materials by Distillation." D95-83. West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania,
25 American Society for Testing and Materials. 1990.

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel cranes: structural girders exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (external), air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue</u>	<u>TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.7 "Other Plant-Specific TLAAs"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.1)</u>	<u>VII.B.A-06</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, steel heat exchanger components and tubes, piping, piping components exposed to treated borated water, air - indoor, uncontrolled, treated water</u>	<u>Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue</u>	<u>TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.1)</u>	<u>VII.E1.A-100 VII.E1.A-34 VII.E1.A-57 VII.E3.A-34 VII.E3.A-62 VII.E4.A-62</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel heat exchanger components, non-regenerative exposed to treated borated water >60°C (>140°F)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking; cyclic loading</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.2)</u>	<u>VII.E1.A-69</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.3)</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-209 VII.C2.AP-209 VII.C3.AP-209 VII.D.AP-209 VII.E1.AP-209 VII.E4.AP-209 VII.F1.AP-209 VII.F2.AP-209 VII.F4.AP-209 VII.G.AP-209 VII.H1.AP-209 VII.H2.AP-209</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>D</u>	<u>5</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>M</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.4)</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-221</u> <u>VII.C2.AP-221</u> <u>VII.C3.AP-221</u> <u>VII.D.AP-221</u> <u>VII.E1.AP-221</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-221</u> <u>VII.F1.AP-221</u> <u>VII.F2.AP-221</u> <u>VII.F4.AP-221</u> <u>VII.G.AP-221</u> <u>VII.H1.AP-221</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-221</u>
-	<u>7</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel high-pressure pump, casing exposed to treated borated water</u>	<u>Cracking due to cyclic loading</u>	<u>AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E1.AP-115</u>
-	<u>8</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel heat exchanger components and tubes exposed to treated borated water >60°C (>140°F)</u>	<u>Cracking due to cyclic loading</u>	<u>AMP XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E1.AP-119</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
					<u>IWB, IWC, and IWD"</u>		
<u>M</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Steel, aluminum, copper alloy (>15% Zn) external surfaces, piping, piping components, bolting exposed to air with borated water leakage</u>	<u>Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A3.A-79 VII.A3.AP-1 VII.E1.A-79 VII.E1.AP-1 VII.I.A-102 VII.I.A-79 VII.I.AP-66</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, high-strength closure bolting exposed to air with steam or water leakage</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking; cyclic loading</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-04</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, high-strength high-pressure pump, closure bolting exposed to air with steam or water leakage</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking; cyclic loading</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E1.AP-122</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel; stainless steel closure bolting, bolting exposed to condensation, air – indoor uncontrolled (external), air – outdoor (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.D.AP-121 VII.I.AP-125 VII.I.AP-126</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel closure bolting exposed to air with steam or water leakage</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-03</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel bolting exposed to soil</u>	<u>Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, or self-loosening</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.AP-242 VII.I.AP-244</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel; stainless steel, copper alloy, nickel alloy, stainless steel closure bolting, bolting exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (external), any environment, air – outdoor (external), raw water, waste water, treated borated water, fuel oil, treated water</u>	<u>Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, or self-loosening</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.AP-124 VII.I.AP-261 VII.I.AP-262 VII.I.AP-263 VII.I.AP-264 VII.I.AP-265 VII.I.AP-266 VII.I.AP-267</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components, outboard the second containment isolation valves with a diameter ≥ 4 inches nominal pipe size exposed to treated water $> 93^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($> 200^{\circ}\text{F}$)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M25, "BWR Reactor Water Cleanup System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E3.AP-283</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel heat exchanger tubes exposed to treated water, treated borated water</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A4.AP-139 VII.A3.A-101 VII.E1.A-101</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel high-pressure pump, casing, piping, piping components, exposed to treated borated water $>60^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($>140^{\circ}\text{F}$), sodium pentaborate solution $>60^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($>140^{\circ}\text{F}$)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E1.AP-114 VII.E2.AP-181</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel regenerative heat exchanger components exposed to treated water $>60^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($>140^{\circ}\text{F}$)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E3.AP-120</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, steel with stainless steel cladding heat exchanger components exposed to treated borated water >60°C (>140°F), treated water >60°C (>140°F)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E1.AP-118</u> <u>VII.E3.AP-112</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping components, exposed to treated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E3.AP-106</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-106</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy piping, piping components, exposed to treated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A4.AP-140</u> <u>VII.E3.AP-140</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-140</u>
<u>D</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
<u>D</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping exposed to treated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A4.AP-130</u> <u>VII.E3.AP-130</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-130</u>

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
-	<u>26</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel with stainless steel cladding piping, piping components exposed to treated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion (only after cladding degradation)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A4.AP-108</u>
-	<u>27</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel heat exchanger tubes exposed to treated water</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E3.AP-139</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components, tanks exposed to treated borated water</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, MIC</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.12)</u>	<u>VII.E1.AP-82</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Steel (with stainless steel cladding); stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to treated borated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.12)</u>	<u>VII.E1.A-88</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete, cementitious material piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-250</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>30.2</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Fiberglass, HDPE piping, piping components exposed to raw water (internal)</u>	<u>Cracking, blistering, change in color due to water absorption</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-238</u> <u>VII.C1.AP-239</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete, cementitious material piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Cracking due to settling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-248</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Reinforced concrete, asbestos cement piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Cracking due to aggressive chemical attack and leaching; changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-155</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>32.5</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation; loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-75 VII.C1.AP-76 VII.G.AP-75 VII.G.AP-76</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete, cementitious material piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to abrasion, cavitation, aggressive chemical attack, leaching</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-249</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Nickel alloy, copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-206 VII.C3.AP-195 VII.C3.AP-206</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.H2.AP-193</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-196</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-194</u> <u>VII.C3.AP-194</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-194</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy, steel heat exchanger components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-179</u> <u>VII.C1.AP-183</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components, exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C3.A-53</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion;</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-54</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
				<u>flow blockage due to fouling</u>			
<u>M</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components, exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.H2.AP-55</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy, titanium, stainless steel heat exchanger tubes exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-72</u> <u>VII.C1.AP-153</u> <u>VII.C1.AP-187</u> <u>VII.C3.AP-187</u> <u>VII.G.AP-187</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-187</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components, exposed to closed- cycle cooling water >60°C (>140°F)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C2.AP-186</u> <u>VII.E3.AP-186</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-186</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel; steel with stainless steel cladding heat exchanger components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water >60°C (>140°F)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E3.AP-192</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping components, tanks exposed to closed-cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C2.AP-202</u> <u>VII.F1.AP-202</u> <u>VII.F2.AP-202</u> <u>VII.F3.AP-202</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
							<u>VII.F4.AP-202</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-202</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, copper alloy heat exchanger components, piping, piping components exposed to closed- cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A3.AP-189</u> <u>VII.A3.AP-199</u> <u>VII.A4.AP-189</u> <u>VII.A4.AP-199</u> <u>VII.C2.AP-189</u> <u>VII.C2.AP-199</u> <u>VII.E1.AP-189</u> <u>VII.E1.AP-199</u> <u>VII.E1.AP-203</u> <u>VII.E3.AP-189</u> <u>VII.E3.AP-199</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-189</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-199</u> <u>VII.F1.AP-189</u> <u>VII.F1.AP-199</u> <u>VII.F1.AP-203</u> <u>VII.F2.AP-189</u> <u>VII.F2.AP-199</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
							VII.F3.AP-189 VII.F3.AP-199 VII.F3.AP-203 VII.F4.AP-189 VII.F4.AP-199 VII.H1.AP-199 VII.H2.AP-199
<u>M</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel; steel with stainless steel cladding heat exchanger components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E3.AP-191</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-191</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C2.AP-254</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-255</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components, exposed to closed-cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C2.A-52</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, copper alloy, steel heat exchanger tubes exposed to closed-cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C2.AP-188</u> <u>VII.C2.AP-205</u> <u>VII.E3.AP-188</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-188</u> <u>VII.F1.AP-204</u> <u>VII.F1.AP-205</u> <u>VII.F2.AP-204</u> <u>VII.F2.AP-205</u> <u>VII.F3.AP-204</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
							<u>VII.F3.AP-205</u> <u>VII.F4.AP-204</u>
-	<u>51</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Boraflex spent fuel storage racks: neutron-absorbing sheets (PWR), spent fuel storage racks: neutron-absorbing sheets (BWR) exposed to treated borated water, treated water</u>	<u>Reduction of neutron-absorbing capacity due to boraflex degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M22, "Boraflex Monitoring"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A2.A-86</u> <u>VII.A2.A-87</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel cranes: rails and structural girders exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M23, "Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.B.A-07</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel cranes - rails exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>AMP XI.M23, "Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.B.A-05</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy piping, piping components, exposed to condensation</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M24, "Compressed Air Monitoring"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.D.AP-240</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping components exposed to condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M24, "Compressed Air Monitoring"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.D.A-26</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components, exposed to condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M24, "Compressed Air Monitoring"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.D.AP-81</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer fire barrier penetration seals exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Increased hardness; shrinkage; loss of strength due to weathering</u>	<u>AMP XI.M26, "Fire Protection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-19</u> <u>VII.G.A-20</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel halon/carbon dioxide fire suppression system piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M26, "Fire Protection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.AP-150</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel fire rated doors exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>AMP XI.M26, "Fire Protection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-21</u> <u>VII.G.A-22</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Reinforced concrete structural fire barriers: walls, ceilings and floors exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled</u>	<u>Concrete cracking and spalling due to aggressive chemical attack, and reaction with aggregates</u>	<u>AMP XI.M26, "Fire Protection," and AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-90</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Reinforced concrete structural fire barriers: walls, ceilings and floors exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking, loss of material due to freeze- thaw, aggressive chemical attack, and reaction with aggregates</u>	<u>AMP XI.M26, "Fire Protection," and AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-92</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
-	<u>62</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Reinforced concrete structural fire barriers: walls, ceilings and floors exposed to air - indoor, uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to corrosion of embedded steel</u>	<u>AMP XI.M26, "Fire Protection," and AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-91 VII.G.A-93</u>
-	<u>63</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel fire hydrants exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.AP-149</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-33 VII.G.AP-197</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.AP-180</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-55</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel tanks exposed to air – outdoor (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.H1.A-95</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping components exposed to fuel oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.AP-234</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to fuel oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.AP-132</u> <u>VII.H1.AP-132</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-132</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping components, tanks exposed to fuel oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.H1.AP-105</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-105</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, aluminum piping, piping components exposed to fuel oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.AP-136</u> <u>VII.H1.AP-129</u> <u>VII.H1.AP-136</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-129</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-136</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Gray cast iron, copper alloy (>15% Zn or >8% Al) piping, piping components, heat exchanger components</u>	<u>Loss of material due to selective leaching</u>	<u>AMP XI.M33, "Selective Leaching"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A3.AP-31</u> <u>VII.A3.AP-43</u> <u>VII.A4.AP-31</u> <u>VII.A4.AP-32</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
			<p><u>exposed to treated water, closed-cycle cooling water, soil, raw water, waste water, ground water</u></p>				<p><u>VII.A4.AP-43</u> <u>VII.C1.A-02</u> <u>VII.C1.A-47</u> <u>VII.C1.A-51</u> <u>VII.C1.A-66</u> <u>VII.C2.A-50</u> <u>VII.C2.AP-31</u> <u>VII.C2.AP-32</u> <u>VII.C2.AP-43</u> <u>VII.C3.A-02</u> <u>VII.C3.A-47</u> <u>VII.C3.A-51</u> <u>VII.E1.AP-31</u> <u>VII.E1.AP-43</u> <u>VII.E1.AP-65</u> <u>VII.E3.AP-31</u> <u>VII.E3.AP-32</u> <u>VII.E3.AP-43</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-31</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-32</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-43</u> <u>VII.E5.A-547</u> <u>VII.E5.A-724</u> <u>VII.F1.AP-31</u> <u>VII.F1.AP-43</u> <u>VII.F1.AP-65</u> <u>VII.F2.AP-31</u> <u>VII.F2.AP-43</u> <u>VII.F3.A-50</u> <u>VII.F3.AP-43</u> <u>VII.F3.AP-65</u> <u>VII.F4.AP-31</u> <u>VII.F4.AP-43</u> <u>VII.G.A-02</u> <u>VII.G.A-47</u></p>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
							VII.G.A-51 VII.G.AP-31 VII.H1.A-02 VII.H1.AP-43 VII.H2.A-02 VII.H2.A-47 VII.H2.A-51 VII.H2.AP-43
<u>M</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete, cementitious material piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-253</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete, cementitious material piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to settling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-251</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Reinforced concrete, asbestos cement piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to aggressive chemical attack and leaching; changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-156</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (internal/external), air – indoor controlled, outdoor air, dry air, condensation, air with borated water leakage</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.F1.AP-102</u> <u>VII.F2.AP-102</u> <u>VII.F3.AP-102</u> <u>VII.F4.AP-102</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete, cementitious material piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to abrasion, cavitation, aggressive chemical attack, leaching</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-252</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping and components, ducting, closure bolting exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor, condensation</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.D.A-80 VII.F1.A-10 VII.F1.A-105 VII.F2.A-10 VII.F2.A-105 VII.F3.A-10 VII.F3.A-105 VII.F4.A-10 VII.F4.A-105 VII.I.A-105 VII.I.A-77 VII.I.A-78 VII.I.A-81</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy piping, piping components, exposed to condensation (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.AP-109</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel heat exchanger components, piping, piping components, exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (external), air – outdoor (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.F1.AP-41 VII.F2.AP-41 VII.F3.AP-41 VII.F4.AP-41 VII.G.AP-40 VII.G.AP-41 VII.H1.A-24 VII.H2.AP-40 VII.H2.AP-41</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy, aluminum piping, piping components, exposed to air – outdoor (external), air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (copper alloy only) pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.AP-159</u> <u>VII.I.AP-256</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals and components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (external), air – indoor controlled, outdoor air, dry air, air with borated water leakage</u>	<u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.AP-113</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel diesel engine exhaust piping, piping components exposed to diesel exhaust</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.H2.AP-128</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C2.AP-259</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals piping and piping components exposed to treated borated water, treated water</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A3.AP-100</u> <u>VII.A4.AP-101</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
					<u>Ducting Components"</u>		
<u>M</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel; stainless steel piping and piping components, diesel engine exhaust exposed to raw water (potable), diesel exhaust</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E5.AP-270</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-104</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to moist air, condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>For fire water system components: AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.AP-143</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel ducting and components (internal surfaces) exposed to condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, (for drip pans and drain lines) MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.F1.A-08</u> <u>VII.F2.A-08</u> <u>VII.F3.A-08</u> <u>VII.F4.A-08</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping components, tanks exposed to waste water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E5.AP-281</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping components, exposed to condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.F1.AP-142 VII.F2.AP-142 VII.F3.AP-142 VII.F4.AP-142</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy piping, piping components, exposed to raw water (potable)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E5.AP-271</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel ducting and components exposed to condensation</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.F1.AP-99 VII.F2.AP-99 VII.F3.AP-99</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy, stainless steel, aluminum, nickel alloy, steel piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, piping, piping components, tanks exposed to waste water, condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel and copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (steel, stainless steel, nickel alloy, and copper alloy in waste water environments only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E5.AP-272 VII.E5.AP-273 VII.E5.AP-274 VII.E5.AP-275 VII.E5.AP-276 VII.E5.AP-</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
							<u>278</u> <u>VII.E5.AP-279</u> <u>VII.E5.AP-280</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.F1.AP-103</u> <u>VII.F2.AP-103</u> <u>VII.F3.AP-103</u> <u>VII.F4.AP-103</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>96.2</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, aluminum, copper alloy, stainless steel heat exchanger tubes exposed to condensation (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-419</u> <u>VII.F1.A-419</u> <u>VII.F2.A-419</u> <u>VII.F3.A-419</u> <u>VII.F4.A-419</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>96.4</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, aluminum, copper alloy, stainless steel heat exchanger components exposed to condensation (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel and copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-417</u> <u>VII.C1.A-418</u> <u>VII.F1.A-417</u> <u>VII.F1.A-418</u> <u>VII.F2.A-417</u> <u>VII.F2.A-418</u> <u>VII.F3.A-417</u> <u>VII.F3.A-418</u> <u>VII.F4.A-417</u> <u>VII.F4.A-418</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping components, reactor coolant pump oil collection system: tanks, reactor coolant pump</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32,</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-127</u> <u>VII.C2.AP-127</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
			<u>oil collection system: piping, tubing, valve bodies exposed to lubricating oil</u>		<u>"One-Time Inspection"</u>		<u>VII.E1.AP-127</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-127</u> <u>VII.F1.AP-127</u> <u>VII.F2.AP-127</u> <u>VII.F3.AP-127</u> <u>VII.F4.AP-127</u> <u>VII.G.AP-116</u> <u>VII.G.AP-117</u> <u>VII.G.AP-127</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-127</u>
-	<u>98</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel heat exchanger components exposed to lubricating oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.H2.AP-131</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy, aluminum piping, piping components exposed to lubricating oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (copper alloy only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-133</u> <u>VII.C2.AP-133</u> <u>VII.E1.AP-133</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-133</u> <u>VII.G.AP-133</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-133</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-162</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to lubricating oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-138</u> <u>VII.C2.AP-138</u> <u>VII.E1.AP-138</u> <u>VII.E4.AP-138</u> <u>VII.G.AP-138</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-138</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum heat exchanger tubes exposed to lubricating oil</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.H2.AP-154</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Boral®; boron steel, and other materials (excluding Boraflex) spent fuel storage racks: neutron-absorbing sheets (PWR), spent fuel storage racks: neutron-absorbing sheets (BWR) exposed to treated borated water, treated water</u>	<u>Reduction of neutron-absorbing capacity; change in dimensions and loss of material due to effects of SFP environment</u>	<u>AMP XI.M40, "Monitoring of Neutron-Absorbing Materials other than Boraflex"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A2.AP-235</u> <u>VII.A2.AP-236</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>103</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Reinforced concrete, asbestos cement piping, piping components exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to aggressive chemical attack and leaching; Changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-157</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>HDPE, fiberglass piping, piping components exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking, blistering, change in color due to water absorption</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-175</u> <u>VII.C1.AP-176</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>105</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete, concrete cylinder piping, asbestos cement pipe piping, piping components exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking, spalling, corrosion of rebar due to exposure of rebar</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-177</u> <u>VII.C1.AP-178</u> <u>VII.C1.AP-237</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>106</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel (with coating or wrapping) piping, piping components exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-198</u> <u>VII.C3.AP-198</u> <u>VII.G.AP-198</u> <u>VII.H1.AP-198</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>107</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, nickel alloy piping, piping components exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (soil environment only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-137</u> <u>VII.C3.AP-137</u> <u>VII.G.AP-137</u> <u>VII.H1.AP-137</u> <u>VII.H2.AP-137</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>108</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Titanium, super austenitic, aluminum, copper alloy, stainless steel, nickel alloy piping, piping components, bolting exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (soil environment only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-171</u> <u>VII.C1.AP-172</u> <u>VII.C1.AP-173</u> <u>VII.C1.AP-</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
							<u>174</u> <u>VII.I.AP-243</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel bolting exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (soil environment only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.AP-241</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>109a</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy, stainless steel, nickel alloy, steel underground piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, condensation, air – outdoor (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.AP-284</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to treated water >60°C (>140°F)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M7, "BWR Stress Corrosion Cracking," and AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.9)</u>	<u>VII.E4.A-61</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel structural steel exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A1.A-94</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping components exposed to concrete</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.11)</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-282</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>113</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping components exposed to gas</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-37</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (internal/external), air – dry, gas</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-144</u> <u>VII.J.AP-8</u> <u>VII.J.AP-9</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>115</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to air with borated water leakage</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-11</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>116</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Galvanized steel piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-13</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>117</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Glass piping elements exposed to air, air – indoor uncontrolled (external), lubricating oil, closed-cycle cooling water, air – outdoor, fuel oil, raw water, treated water, treated borated water, air with borated water leakage, condensation (internal/external), gas</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-14</u> <u>VII.J.AP-15</u> <u>VII.J.AP-166</u> <u>VII.J.AP-167</u> <u>VII.J.AP-48</u> <u>VII.J.AP-49</u> <u>VII.J.AP-50</u> <u>VII.J.AP-51</u> <u>VII.J.AP-52</u> <u>VII.J.AP-96</u> <u>VII.J.AP-97</u> <u>VII.J.AP-98</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>118</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Nickel alloy piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (external)</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-16</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>119</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Nickel alloy, PVC, glass piping, piping components exposed to air with borated water leakage, air – indoor uncontrolled, condensation</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-260</u> <u>VII.J.AP-268</u> <u>VII.J.AP-269</u> <u>VII.J.AP-277</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
			(internal), waste water, potable water, raw water				
<u>M</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (internal/external), air – indoor uncontrolled (external), air with borated water leakage, air – dry, gas</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-123</u> <u>VII.J.AP-17</u> <u>VII.J.AP-18</u> <u>VII.J.AP-20</u> <u>VII.J.AP-22</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>121</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping components, exposed to air – indoor controlled (external), air – dry, gas</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-2</u> <u>VII.J.AP-4</u> <u>VII.J.AP-6</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>122</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Titanium heat exchanger components, piping and piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-151</u> <u>VII.J.AP-160</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>123</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Titanium (ASTM Grades 1,2, 7, 11, or 12) heat exchanger components other than tubes, piping and piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.AP-152</u> <u>VII.C1.AP-161</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>124</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, steel (with stainless steel or nickel-alloy cladding) spent fuel storage racks (BWR), spent fuel storage racks (PWR), piping, piping components exposed to treated water >60°C (>140°F), treated borated water >60°C (>140°F)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A2.A-96</u> <u>VII.A2.A-97</u> <u>VII.A3.A-56</u> <u>VII.E1.A-103</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>125</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel (with stainless steel cladding); stainless steel spent fuel storage racks (BWR), spent fuel storage racks (PWR), piping, piping components exposed to treated water, treated borated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.12)</u>	<u>VII.A2.AP-79 VII.A3.AP-79 VII.E1.AP-79 VII.A2.A-98 VII.A2.A-99</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>126</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Any material piping, piping components exposed to treated water, treated borated water, raw water</u>	<u>Wall thinning due to erosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M17, "Flow-Accelerated Corrosion"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-409 VII.E1.A-407 VII.E3.A-408</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Metallic piping, piping components, tanks exposed to raw water, waste water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to recurring internal corrosion</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.7)</u>	<u>VII.A2.A-400 VII.A3.A-400 VII.A4.A-400 VII.C1.A-400 VII.C2.A-400 VII.C3.A-400 VII.D.A-400 VII.E1.A-400 VII.E2.A-400 VII.E3.A-400 VII.E4.A-400 VII.E5.A-400 VII.F1.A-400 VII.F2.A-400 VII.F3.A-400 VII.F4.A-400 VII.G.A-400 VII.H1.A-400 VII.H2.A-400</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>128</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to soil,</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C3.A-401 VII.E5.A-401 VII.H1.A-401</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
			<u>concrete, air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled, moist air, raw water, condensation</u>	<u>(soil, raw water environments only)</u>			
<u>M</u>	<u>129</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel tanks exposed to soil, concrete; air – indoor uncontrolled, raw water, treated water, waste water, condensation</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (soil, raw water, treated water, waste water environments only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.H1.A-402</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Metallic sprinklers exposed to air – indoor controlled, air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor, moist air, condensation, raw water, treated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (raw water and treated water environments only and all metals except for aluminum only), fouling that leads to corrosion; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-403</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>131</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, copper alloy, aluminum piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (internal), air – outdoor (internal), condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel, copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion, fouling that leads to corrosion; flow blockage due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-404</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>132</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated steel, copper alloy, copper alloy (> 15% Zn), aluminum piping, piping components, tanks exposed to condensation, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel, copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion; cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (copper alloy (>15% Zn) only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-405</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>133</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>HDPE underground piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, condensation, air – outdoor (external)</u>	<u>Cracking, blistering, change in color due to water absorption</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-406</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>134</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, copper alloy piping, piping components, and heat exchanger components exposed to a raw water environment (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel and copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC, fouling that leads to corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-727</u>
<u>-</u>	<u>135</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel pump casings submerged in a waste water (internal and external) environment</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E5.A-410 VII.E5.A-411</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>136</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel fire water storage tanks exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor, condensation, moist air, raw water, treated water, soil, concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (raw water, treated water, soil only),</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-412</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
				<u>fouling that leads to corrosion</u>			
<u>M</u>	<u>137</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, aluminum tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to treated water, treated borated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C3.A-413</u> <u>VII.E5.A-413</u> <u>VII.H1.A-413</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>138</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Any material piping, piping components, heat exchangers, tanks with internal coatings/linings exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, raw water, treated water, treated borated water, waste water, lubricating oil, fuel oil</u>	<u>Loss of coating or lining integrity due to blistering, cracking, flaking, peeling, delamination, rusting, or physical damage, and spalling for cementitious coatings/linings</u>	<u>AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A2.A-416</u> <u>VII.A3.A-416</u> <u>VII.A4.A-416</u> <u>VII.C1.A-416</u> <u>VII.C2.A-416</u> <u>VII.C3.A-416</u> <u>VII.D.A-416</u> <u>VII.E1.A-416</u> <u>VII.E2.A-416</u> <u>VII.E3.A-416</u> <u>VII.E4.A-416</u> <u>VII.E5.A-416</u> <u>VII.F1.A-416</u> <u>VII.F2.A-416</u> <u>VII.F3.A-416</u> <u>VII.F4.A-416</u> <u>VII.G.A-416</u> <u>VII.H1.A-416</u> <u>VII.H2.A-416</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>139</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Any material piping, piping components, heat exchangers, tanks with internal coatings/linings exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, raw water,</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion;</u>	<u>AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components,</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A2.A-414</u> <u>VII.A3.A-414</u> <u>VII.A4.A-414</u> <u>VII.C1.A-414</u> <u>VII.C2.A-414</u> <u>VII.C3.A-414</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
			<u>treated water, treated borated water, lubricating oil, waste water</u>	<u>cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>Heat Exchangers, and Tanks"</u>		<u>VII.D.A-414 VII.E1.A-414 VII.E2.A-414 VII.E3.A-414 VII.E4.A-414 VII.E5.A-414 VII.F1.A-414 VII.F2.A-414 VII.F3.A-414 VII.F4.A-414 VII.G.A-414 VII.H1.A-414 VII.H2.A-414</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>140</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Gray cast iron piping components with internal coatings/linings exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, raw water, treated water, waste water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to selective leaching</u>	<u>AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-415 VII.C2.A-415 VII.C3.A-415 VII.D.A-415 VII.E1.A-415 VII.E2.A-415 VII.E3.A-415 VII.E4.A-415 VII.E5.A-415 VII.F1.A-415 VII.F2.A-415 VII.F3.A-415 VII.F4.A-415 VII.G.A-415 VII.H1.A-415 VII.H2.A-415</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>141</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel bolting exposed to condensation, fuel oil, lubricating oil</u>	<u>Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, or self-loosening</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-421 VII.I.A-422</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>142</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy bolting exposed to raw water, waste water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-423</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>143</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel bolting exposed to lubricating oil, fuel oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-424</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>144</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, aluminum piping, piping components exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-425</u> <u>VII.C3.A-425</u> <u>VII.E5.A-425</u> <u>VII.G.A-425</u> <u>VII.H1.A-425</u> <u>VII.H2.A-425</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>145</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel bolting exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-426</u> <u>VII.C3.A-426</u> <u>VII.E5.A-426</u> <u>VII.G.A-426</u> <u>VII.H1.A-426</u> <u>VII.H2.A-426</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>146</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel underground piping, piping components, tanks exposed to air – outdoor, raw water, condensation</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.3)</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-714</u> <u>VII.C2.A-714</u> <u>VII.C3.A-714</u> <u>VII.D.A-714</u> <u>VII.E1.A-714</u> <u>VII.E4.A-714</u> <u>VII.F1.A-714</u> <u>VII.F2.A-714</u> <u>VII.F4.A-714</u> <u>VII.G.A-714</u> <u>VII.H1.A-714</u> <u>VII.H2.A-714</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>147</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Nickel alloy and nickel alloy cladding piping, piping components exposed to closed cycle cooling water, closed cycle cooling water >60°C (>140°F)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C2.A-471</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>148</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer piping, ducting components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-427</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>149</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Fiberglass piping and ducting, piping and ducting components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking, blistering, change in color due to water absorption</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-428</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Fiberglass piping and ducting, piping and ducting components exposed to air – indoor</u>	<u>Change in material properties due to exposure to ultraviolet light, ozone, radiation, temperature</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-720</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>151</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, steel, aluminum, copper alloy, titanium heat exchanger components exposed to air, condensation (external)</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-716</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>153</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-708</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
					<u>Mechanical Components"</u>		
<u>N</u>	<u>154</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer, fiberglass piping, piping components, ducting, ducting components exposed to air-outdoor, air-indoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-719</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>155</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to waste water greater than 140° F</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E5.A-721</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>156</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to condensation, waste water, gas, fuel oil, lubricating oil</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E1.A-504</u> <u>VII.E2.A-504</u> <u>VII.E3.A-504</u> <u>VII.E4.A-504</u> <u>VII.E5.A-504</u> <u>VII.F1.A-504</u> <u>VII.F2.A-504</u> <u>VII.F3.A-504</u> <u>VII.F4.A-504</u> <u>VII.G.A-504</u> <u>VII.H1.A-660</u> <u>VII.H2.A-677</u> <u>VII.E5.A-728</u> <u>VII.D.A-729</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>157</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel piping, piping components, heat exchanger components exposed to air-outdoor (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E1.A-722</u> <u>VII.E2.A-722</u> <u>VII.E3.A-722</u> <u>VII.E4.A-722</u> <u>VII.E5.A-722</u> <u>VII.F1.A-722</u> <u>VII.F2.A-722</u> <u>VII.F3.A-722</u> <u>VII.F4.A-722</u> <u>VII.G.A-722</u> <u>VII.H1.A-722</u> <u>VII.H2.A-722</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>158</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Nickel alloy piping, piping components heat exchanger components (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13) exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-454</u> <u>VII.C2.A-454</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>159</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Fiberglass piping, piping components, ducting and components exposed to air – indoor (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.D.A-495</u> <u>VII.E5.A-495</u> <u>VII.F1.A-495</u> <u>VII.F2.A-495</u> <u>VII.F3.A-495</u> <u>VII.F4.A-495</u> <u>VII.G.A-495</u> <u>VII.H1.A-495</u> <u>VII.H2.A-495</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy (>15% Zn or >8% Al) piping, piping components, heat exchanger components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C2.A-473</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>161</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy heat exchanger components exposed to condensation</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.F1.A-565 VII.F2.A-565 VII.F3.A-565 VII.F4.A-565 VII.G.A-565 VII.H1.A-565 VII.H2.A-565</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>162</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to air-outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-637</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>164</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Gray cast iron piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor, moist air, condensation, raw water, treated water, waste water (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (raw water, waste water, and treated water environments only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-455</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>165</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Gray cast iron piping, piping components exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor, moist air, condensation, raw water, treated water, waste water (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (raw water, waste water, and treated water environments only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-456 VII.C2.A-456 VII.C3.A-456 VII.D.A-456 VII.E5.A-456 VII.G.A-456 VII.H1.A-456 VII.H2.A-456</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>166</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to concrete</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.A-711</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>167</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Zinc piping components exposed to air-indoor</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.A-712</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>169</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to steam</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.F1.A-566 VII.F2.A-566 VII.F3.A-566 VII.F4.A-566</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>170</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to steam</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.F1.A-567 VII.F2.A-567 VII.F3.A-567 VII.F4.A-567</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>171</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel bolting exposed to raw water, waste water, treated water, treated borated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-725 VII.I.A-723 VII.I.A-726</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>172</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>PVC piping, piping components exposed to sunlight</u>	<u>Reduction in impact strength due to photolysis</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.8)</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-458 VII.E5.A-458 VII.G.A-458</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>173</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to raw water, raw water (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13), waste water, treated water, fuel oil, lubricating oil, condensation</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-457 VII.C2.A-477 VII.D.A-498 VII.E5.A-548 VII.G.A-641 VII.H1.A-667 VII.H2.A-667 VII.A2.A-749 VII.A3.A-749 VII.A4.A-749 VII.C1.A-749</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
							VII.C2.A-749 VII.C3.A-749 VII.D.A-749 VII.E1.A-749 VII.E2.A-749 VII.E3.A-749 VII.E4.A-749 VII.E5.A-749 VII.F1.A-749 VII.F2.A-749 VII.F3.A-749 VII.F4.A-749 VII.G.A-749 VII.H1.A-749 VII.H2.A-749
N	174	BWR/PWR	Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to raw water, raw water (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13), waste water	Loss of material due to wear	AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.C1.A-459 VII.E5.A-550
N	175	BWR/PWR	Fiberglass piping, piping components, tanks exposed to raw water, raw water (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13), waste water	Cracking, blistering, change in color due to water absorption	AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.C1.A-460 VII.E5.A-551 VII.G.A-644
N	176	BWR/PWR	Fiberglass piping, piping components, tanks exposed to raw water, raw water environment (for components	Loss of material due to wear	AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in	No	VII.C1.A-461 VII.E5.A-552 VII.G.A-645

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
			<u>not covered by NRC GL 89-13), waste water</u>		<u>Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>		
<u>N</u>	<u>177</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Fiberglass piping, piping components exposed to soil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-462 VII.E5.A-462 VII.G.A-462</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>178</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Fiberglass piping and piping components exposed to concrete</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.A-710</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>179</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Masonry walls: structural fire barriers exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to restraint shrinkage, creep, aggressive environment</u>	<u>AMP XI.M26, "Fire Protection," and AMP XI.S5, "Masonry Walls"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-626</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>180</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Masonry walls: structural fire barriers exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw</u>	<u>AMP XI.M26, "Fire Protection," and AMP XI.S5, "Masonry Walls"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-627</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>181</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, nickel alloy, aluminum, titanium piping, piping components, exposed to condensation (External)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-700 VII.I.A-701 VII.I.A-702 VII.I.A-703</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>182</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Jacketed thermal insulation in an air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor environment, air with borated water leakage, air with reactor coolant</u>	<u>Reduced thermal insulation resistance due to moisture intrusion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-704</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
			<u>leakage, air with steam or water leakage</u>				
<u>N</u>	<u>184</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>PVC piping, piping components, tanks exposed to concrete</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.A-709</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>185</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum fire water storage tanks exposed to air – outdoor, raw water, condensation, soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VII.G.A-623</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>186</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to air – outdoor, air – indoor controlled, air – indoor uncontrolled, raw water, waste water, condensation, soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VII.C3.A-482</u> <u>VII.E5.A-482</u> <u>VII.H1.A-482</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>187</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated aluminum tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to air – outdoor, air – indoor controlled, air – indoor uncontrolled, condensation</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VII.G.A-654</u> <u>VII.H1.A-654</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>189</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks, piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor, raw water, waste water, condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VII.A2.A-429</u> <u>VII.A3.A-429</u> <u>VII.A4.A-429</u> <u>VII.C1.A-451</u> <u>VII.C2.A-451</u> <u>VII.C3.A-451</u> <u>VII.D.A-451</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
					<u>Ducting Components"</u>		<u>VII.E1.A-451</u> <u>VII.E2.A-451</u> <u>VII.E3.A-451</u> <u>VII.E4.A-451</u> <u>VII.E5.A-451</u> <u>VII.F1.A-451</u> <u>VII.F2.A-451</u> <u>VII.F3.A-451</u> <u>VII.F4.A-451</u> <u>VII.G.A-451</u> <u>VII.H1.A-451</u> <u>VII.H2.A-451</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>190</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping components, tanks exposed to raw water, waste water, condensation (external)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VII.I.A-452</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>191</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping components, tanks exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.I.A-707</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>192</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum underground piping, piping components, tanks exposed to air – outdoor, raw water, condensation</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VII.I.A-706</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>193</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel components exposed to treated water, raw water, waste water</u>	<u>Long-term loss of material due to general corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.A4.A-439</u> <u>VII.C1.A-469</u> <u>VII.E1.A-439</u> <u>VII.E3.A-439</u> <u>VII.E4.A-532</u> <u>VII.E5.A-469</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
							<u>VII.G.A-651</u> <u>VII.H2.A-651</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>194</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>PVC piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material due to wear</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.E5.A-537</u> <u>VII.G.A-537</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>195</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete, cementitious material piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-647</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>196</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>HDPE piping, piping components exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Cracking, blistering, change in color due to water absorption</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-648</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>197</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Fire water system piping, piping components, heat exchanger, heat exchanger components (any material) with only a leakage boundary (spatial) or structural integrity (attached) intended function</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel and copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-649</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>198</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Fire water system piping, piping components, heat exchanger, heat exchanger components (any material) with only a leakage boundary (spatial) or structural integrity (attached) intended function</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel and copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC, fouling that leads to corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-650</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>199</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel structural bolting exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of preload due to self-loosening</u>	<u>AMP XI.M23, "Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.B.A-730</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>200</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>High-strength steel structural bolting exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M23, "Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.B.A-731</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>202</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to concrete</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.11)</u>	<u>VII.J.AP-19</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>203</u>	<u>BWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel; steel with stainless steel cladding, piping, piping components, heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, sodium pentaborate solution</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.12)</u>	<u>VII.A4.AP-110 VII.E3.AP-110 VII.E4.AP-110 VII.A4.AP-111 VII.E2.AP-141</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>204</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, steel, aluminum, copper alloy, titanium heat exchanger components internal to components exposed to air (external), condensation</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-733 VII.C2.A-733 VII.D.A-733 VII.F1.A-733 VII.F2.A-733 VII.F3.A-733 VII.F4.A-733 VII.H2.A-733</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>205</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated stainless steel piping, piping components, tanks exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – indoor controlled, condensation, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.3)</u>	<u>VII.I.A-734</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>206</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy ($\leq 8\%$ Al) piping, piping components exposed to air with borated water leakage</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.J.A-735</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>207</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, copper alloy, titanium heat exchanger tubes exposed to raw water (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-736</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>208</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Reinforced concrete, asbestos cement piping, piping components exposed to raw water (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Cracking due to aggressive chemical attack and leaching; changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-737</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>209</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Fiberglass piping, piping components exposed to raw water (internal) (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Cracking, blistering, change in color due to water absorption</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-738</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>210</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>HDPE piping, piping components exposed to raw water (internal) (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Cracking, blistering, change in color due to water absorption</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-739</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>211</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete, cementitious material piping, piping components exposed to raw water (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Cracking due to settling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-740</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>212</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete, cementitious material piping, piping components exposed to raw water (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to abrasion, cavitation, aggressive chemical attack, leaching</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-741</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>213</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete, cementitious material piping, piping components exposed to raw water (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-742</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>214</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy (>15% Zn or >8% Al) piping, piping components exposed to soil ground water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to selective leaching</u>	<u>AMP XI.M33, "Selective Leaching"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-743 VII.C2.A-743 VII.C3.A-743 VII.D.A-743 VII.E4.A-743 VII.E5.A-743 VII.G.A-743 VII.H1.A-743 VII.H2.A-743</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>215</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum fire water storage tanks exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor, condensation, moist air, raw water, treated water, soil, concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-744</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>216</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel fire water storage tanks exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.3)</u>	<u>VII.G.A-745</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>217</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel fire water storage tanks exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.4)</u>	<u>VII.G.A-746</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>218</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel fire water storage tanks exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, condensation, moist air, raw</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M27, "Fire Water System"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.G.A-747</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
			<u>water, treated water, soil, concrete</u>				
<u>N</u>	<u>219</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to steam</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.F1.A-748 VII.F2.A-748 VII.F3.A-748 VII.F4.A-748</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>220</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to moist air, condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.H2.A-23</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>221</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VII.C1.A-750 VII.C2.A-750 VII.C3.A-750 VII.D.A-750 VII.E1.A-750 VII.E4.A-750 VII.F1.A-750 VII.F2.A-750 VII.F4.A-750 VII.G.A-750 VII.H1.A-750 VII.H2.A-750</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>222</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.4)</u>	<u>VII.I.A-751</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>223</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum underground piping, piping components exposed to air (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.13)</u>	<u>VII.I.A-752</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>224</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor (external)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VII.I.A-753</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>225</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VII.I.A-754</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>226</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C3.A-755</u> <u>VII.E5.A-755</u> <u>VII.H1.A-755</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>227</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to air (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.13)</u>	<u>VII.C3.A-756</u> <u>VII.E5.A-756</u> <u>VII.H1.A-756</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>228</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled, moist air, raw water, condensation</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (raw water environment only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.4)</u>	<u>VII.C3.A-757</u> <u>VII.E5.A-757</u> <u>VII.H1.A-757</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>229</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C3.A-758</u> <u>VII.E5.A-758</u> <u>VII.H1.A-758</u>

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>GALL-SLR Item</u>
			Tanks") exposed to soil, ground water				
<u>N</u>	<u>230</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VII.C3.A-759</u> <u>VII.E5.A-759</u> <u>VII.H1.A-759</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>231</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled, air – indoor controlled, condensation</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.3)</u>	<u>VII.C3.A-760</u> <u>VII.E5.A-760</u> <u>VII.H1.A-760</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>232</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated stainless steel piping, piping components, tanks exposed to condensation, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.4)</u>	<u>VII.I.A-761</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>233</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated aluminum piping, piping components, tanks exposed to condensation, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VII.I.A-762</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>234</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping components exposed to air – dry, air– indoor uncontrolled, air– indoor controlled</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.3.2.2.13)</u>	<u>VII.J.A-763</u>

Table 3.3-2. AMPs and Additional Guidance Appendices Recommended for Aging Management of Auxiliary Systems

<u>GALL-SLR Report Chapter/AMP</u>	<u>Program Name</u>
<u>AMP XI.M1</u>	<u>ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD</u>
<u>AMP XI.M2</u>	<u>Water Chemistry</u>
<u>AMP XI.M7</u>	<u>Boiling Water Reactor Stress Corrosion Cracking</u>
<u>AMP XI.M10</u>	<u>Boric Acid Corrosion</u>
<u>AMP XI.M17</u>	<u>Flow-Accelerated Corrosion</u>
<u>AMP XI.M18</u>	<u>Bolting Integrity</u>
<u>AMP XI.M20</u>	<u>Open-Cycle Cooling Water System</u>
<u>AMP XI.M21A</u>	<u>Closed Treated Water Systems</u>
<u>AMP XI.M22</u>	<u>Boraflex Monitoring</u>
<u>AMP XI.M23</u>	<u>Inspection of Overhead Heavy and Light Loads (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems</u>
<u>AMP XI.M24</u>	<u>Compressed Air Monitoring</u>
<u>AMP XI.M25</u>	<u>Boiling Water Reactor Cleanup System</u>
<u>AMP XI.M26</u>	<u>Fire Protection</u>
<u>AMP XI.M27</u>	<u>Fire Water System</u>
<u>AMP XI.M29</u>	<u>Aboveground Metallic Tanks</u>
<u>AMP XI.M30</u>	<u>Fuel Oil Chemistry</u>
<u>AMP XI.M32</u>	<u>One-Time Inspection</u>
<u>AMP XI.M33</u>	<u>Selective Leaching</u>
<u>AMP XI.M36</u>	<u>External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components</u>
<u>AMP XI.M38</u>	<u>Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components</u>
<u>AMP XI.M39</u>	<u>Lubricating Oil Analysis</u>
<u>AMP XI.M40</u>	<u>Monitoring of Neutron-Absorbing Materials Other than Boraflex</u>
<u>AMP XI.M41</u>	<u>Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks</u>
<u>AMP XI.M42</u>	<u>Internal Coatings/Linings for In Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks</u>
<u>AMP XI.S6</u>	<u>Structures Monitoring</u>
<u>Appendix for GALL-SLR</u>	<u>Quality Assurance for Aging Management Programs</u>
<u>GALL-SLR Report Appendix B</u>	<u>Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs</u>
<u>SRP-SLR Appendix A</u>	<u>Aging Management Review—Generic (Branch Technical Position RLSB-1)</u>

3.4 Aging Management of Steam and Power Conversion System

Review Responsibilities

Primary—Branch assigned responsibility by Project Manager (PM) as described in Section 3.0 of this Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-SLR).

3.4.1 Areas of Review

This section addresses the aging management review (AMR) and the associated aging management programs (AMPs) of the steam and power conversion system. For a recent vintage plant, the information related to the steam and power conversion system is contained in Chapter 10, “Steam and Power Conversion System,” of the plant’s Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), consistent with the “Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants” (NUREG–0800). The steam and power conversion systems contained in this review plan section are generally consistent with those contained in NUREG–0800 except for the condenser circulating water and the condensate storage systems. For older plants, the location of applicable information is plant-specific because an older plant’s FSAR may have predated NUREG–0800.

Typical steam and power conversion systems that are subject to an AMR for subsequent license renewal (SLR) are steam turbine, main steam, extraction steam, feedwater, condensate, steam generator blowdown, and auxiliary feedwater (AFW). This review plan section also includes structures and components (SCs) in nonsafety-related systems that are not connected to safety-related systems, structures, and components (SSCs) but have a spatial relationship such that their failure could adversely impact the performance of a safety-related SSC-intended function. Examples of such nonsafety-related systems may be extraction steam, plant heating steam/auxiliary boilers and hot water heating systems.

The aging management for the steam generator is reviewed following the guidance in Section 3.1 of this SRP-SLR. The aging management for portions of the boiling water reactor (BWR) main steam and main feedwater systems, extending from the reactor vessel to the outermost containment isolation valve, is reviewed separately following the guidance in Section 3.1 of this SRP-SLR.

The responsible review organization is to review the following subsequent license renewal application (SLRA) AMR and AMP items assigned to it, per SRP-SLR Section 3.0:

AMRs

- AMR results consistent with the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
- AMR results for which further evaluation is recommended
- AMR results not consistent with or not addressed in the GALL-SLR Report

AMPs

- Consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMPs
- Plant-specific AMPs

FSAR Supplement

- The responsible review organization is to review the FSAR Supplement associated with each assigned AMP.

3.4.2 Acceptance Criteria

The acceptance criteria for the areas of review describe methods for determining whether the applicant has met the requirements of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulations in 10 CFR 54.21.

3.4.2.1 Aging Management Review Results Consistent With the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

The AMR and the AMPs applicable to the steam and power conversion system are described and evaluated in Chapter VIII of the GALL-SLR Report.

The applicant's SLRA should provide sufficient information so that the NRC reviewer is able to confirm that the specific SLRA AMR item and the associated SLRA AMP are consistent with the cited GALL-SLR Report AMR item. The reviewer should then confirm that the SLRA AMR item is consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMR item to which it is compared.

When the applicant is crediting a different AMP than recommended in the GALL-SLR Report, the reviewer should confirm that the alternate AMP is valid to use for aging management and will be capable of managing the effects of aging as adequately as the AMP recommended by the GALL-SLR Report.

3.4.2.2 Aging Management Review Results for Which Further Evaluation Is Recommended by the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

The basic acceptance criteria, defined in Subsection 3.4.2.1, need to be applied first for all of the AMRs and AMPs reviewed as part of this section. In addition, if the GALL-SLR Report AMR item to which the SLRA AMR item is compared identifies that "further evaluation is recommended," then additional criteria apply as identified by the GALL-SLR Report for each of the following aging effect/aging mechanism combinations. Refer to Table 3.4-1, comparing the "Further Evaluation Recommended" and the "GALL-SLR Item" column, for the AMR items that reference the following subsections.

3.4.2.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage

Fatigue is a time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) as defined in Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 54.3. TLAA's are required to be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). This TLAA is addressed separately in Section 4.3, "Metal Fatigue Analysis," of this SRP-SLR. The related GALL-SLR Report items invoked by Table 3.4-1 item 1 are VIII.D1.S-11, VIII.D2.S-11, VIII.G.S-11, VIII.B1.S-08, VIII.B2.S-08.

3.4.2.2.2 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking

Cracking due to SCC could occur for SS piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to outdoor air or any air environment when the component is insulated. The possibility of cracking also extends to indoor components located in close proximity to sources of outdoor air (e.g., components near intake vents). Cracking is known to occur in environments containing sufficient halides (e.g., chlorides) and in which moisture is possible.

Applicable outdoor air environments (and associated local indoor air environments) include, but are not limited to, those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, within 1/2 mile of a road which is treated with salt in the wintertime, areas in which the soil contains more than trace chlorides, plants having cooling towers where the water is treated with chlorine or chlorine compounds, and areas subject to chloride contamination from other agricultural or industrial sources.

Insulated SS components exposed to indoor air environments and outdoor air environments are susceptible to SCC if the insulation contains certain contaminants. Leakage of fluids through bolted connections (e.g., flanges, valve packing) can result in contaminants present in the insulation leaching onto the component surface. For outdoor insulated SS components, rain and changing weather conditions can result in moisture intrusion of the insulation.

The applicant may demonstrate that SCC is not expected to occur by one or more of the following applicable means.

- For outdoor uninsulated components, describing the outdoor air environment present at the plant and demonstrating that SCC is not expected.
- For underground components, the applicant may demonstrate that SCC due to exposure to in-leakage to the vault as a result of external precipitation or groundwater is not expected.
- For insulated components, determining that the insulation does not contain sufficient contaminants to cause SCC. One acceptable means to demonstrate this is provided by Regulatory Guide 1.36, "Nonmetallic Thermal Insulation for Austenitic Stainless Steel."
- For indoor components, determining that there are no liquid-filled systems with threaded or bolted connections (e.g., flanges, valve packing) that could leak onto the component.
- For all components, demonstrating that the aggressive environment is not present by isolating the component from the environment using a barrier to prevent loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion. An acceptable barrier includes tightly-adhering coatings that have been demonstrated to be impermeable to aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for isolating a component from a potentially aggressive environment then the barrier coating is evaluated to verify that it is impervious to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage the integrity of a barrier coating for internal or external coatings.

The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to determine whether an AMP is needed to manage this aging effect based on the environmental conditions applicable to the plant and requirements applicable to the components. GALL-SLR AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring," GALL-SLR AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks," or AMP XI.M41, "Buried

and Underground Piping and Tanks,” (for underground components) are acceptable methods to manage cracking of SS due to SCC in piping, piping components, and tanks.

3.4.2.2.3 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion

Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion could occur in SS piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to outdoor air or any air environment when the component is insulated or where the component is in the vicinity of insulated components. The possibility of pitting and crevice corrosion also extends to indoor components located in close proximity to sources of outdoor air (e.g., components near intake vents). Pitting and crevice corrosion is known to occur in environments containing sufficient halides (e.g., chlorides) and in which the presence of moisture is possible.

Applicable outdoor air environments (and associated local indoor air environments) include, but are not limited to, those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, within 1/2 mile of a road which is treated with salt in the wintertime, areas in which the soil contains more than trace chlorides, plants having cooling towers where the water is treated with chlorine or chlorine compounds, and areas subject to chloride contamination from other agricultural or industrial sources.

Insulated SS components exposed to indoor air environments and outdoor air environments are susceptible to loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion if the insulation contains certain contaminants. Leakage of fluids through mechanical connections such as bolted flanges and valve packing can result in contaminants leaching onto the component surface. For outdoor insulated SS components, rain and changing weather conditions can result in moisture intrusion of the insulation.

The applicant may demonstrate that loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion is not expected to occur by one or more of the following applicable means.

- For outdoor uninsulated components, describing the outdoor air environment present at the plant and demonstrating that external pitting or crevice corrosion is not expected.
- For underground components, the applicant may demonstrate that loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion due to exposure to in-leakage to the vault as a result of external precipitation or groundwater is not expected.
- For insulated components, determining that the insulation does not contain sufficient contaminants to cause loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion. One acceptable means to demonstrate this is provided by Regulatory Guide 1.36, “Nonmetallic Thermal Insulation for Austenitic Stainless Steel.”
- For indoor components, determining that there are no liquid-filled systems with threaded or bolted connections (e.g., flanges, valve packing) that could leak onto the component.
- For all components, demonstrating that the aggressive environment is not present by isolating the component from the environment using a barrier to prevent loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion. An acceptable barrier includes coatings that have been demonstrated to be impermeable to aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for isolating a component from a potentially aggressive environment, then the barrier coating is evaluated to verify that it is impervious to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M42, “Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers,

and Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage the integrity of a barrier coating for internal or external coatings.

The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to determine whether an AMP is needed to manage this aging effect based on the environmental conditions applicable to the plant and requirements applicable to the components. GALL-SLR AMP XI.M36, “External Surfaces Monitoring,” GALL-SLR AMP XI.M29, “Aboveground Metallic Tanks,” or AMP XI.M41, “Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks,” (for underground components) are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion in SS piping, piping components, and tanks.

3.4.2.2.4 Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components

The applicant’s AMPs for SLR should contain the elements of corrective actions, the confirmation process, and administrative controls. Safety related components are covered by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, which is adequate to address these program elements. However, Appendix B does not apply to nonsafety-related components that are subject to an AMP for SLR. Nevertheless, the applicant has the option to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B program to include these components and address these program elements. If the applicant chooses this option, the reviewer verifies that the applicant has documented such a commitment in the FSAR Supplement. If the applicant chooses alternative means, the branch responsible for quality assurance (QA) should be requested to review the applicant’s proposal on a case-by-case basis.

Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical Position (BTP) IQMB-1 (Appendix A.2, of this SRP-SLR Report).

3.4.2.2.5 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience

Acceptance criteria are described in Appendix A.4, “Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs.”

3.4.2.2.6 Loss of Material Due to Recurring Internal Corrosion

Recurring internal corrosion can result in the need to augment AMPs beyond the recommendations in the GALL-SLR Report. During the search of plant-specific operating experience conducted during the SLRA development, recurring internal corrosion can be identified by the number of occurrences of aging effects and the extent of degradation at each localized corrosion site. This further evaluation item is applicable if the search of plant-specific operating experience reveals repetitive occurrences (e.g., one per refueling outage cycle that has occurred: (a) in any three or more cycles for a 10-year operating experience search, or (b) in any two or more cycles for a 5-year operating experience search) of aging effects with the same aging mechanism in which the aging effect resulted in the component either not meeting plant-specific acceptance criteria or experiencing a reduction in wall thickness greater than 50 percent (regardless of the minimum wall thickness).

The GALL-SLR Report recommends that a plant-specific AMP, or a new or existing AMP, be evaluated for inclusion of augmented requirements to ensure the adequate management of any recurring aging effect(s). Potential augmented requirements include: (i) alternative examination methods (e.g., volumetric versus external visual); (ii) augmented inspections (e.g., a greater number of locations, additional locations based on risk insights based on susceptibility to aging effect and consequences of failure, a greater frequency of inspections), and (iii) additional

trending parameters and decision points where increased inspections would be implemented. Acceptance criteria are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report)."

The applicant states: (a) why the program's examination methods will be sufficient to detect the recurring aging effect before affecting the ability of a component to perform its intended function, (b) the basis for the adequacy of augmented or lack of augmented inspections, (c) what parameters will be trended as well as the decision points where increased inspections would be implemented (e.g., the extent of degradation at individual corrosion sites, the rate of degradation change), (d) how inspections of components that are not easily accessed (i.e., buried, underground) will be conducted, and (e) how leaks in any involved buried or underground components will be identified.

Plant-specific operating experience examples should be evaluated to determine if the chosen AMP should be augmented even if the thresholds for significance of aging effect or frequency of occurrence of aging effect have not been exceeded. For example, during a 10-year search of plant specific operating experience, two instances of 360 degree 30 percent wall loss occurred at copper alloy to steel joints. Neither the significance of the aging effect nor the frequency of occurrence of aging effect threshold has been exceeded. Nevertheless, the operating experience should be evaluated to determine if the AMP that is proposed to manage the aging effect is sufficient (e.g., method of inspection, frequency of inspection, number of inspections) to provide reasonable assurance that the current licensing basis (CLB) intended functions of the component will be met throughout the subsequent period of extended operation. Likewise, the GALL-SLR Report AMR items associated with the new further evaluation items only cite raw water and waste water environments because operating experience indicates that these are the predominant environments associated with recurring internal corrosion; however, if the search of plant-specific operating experience reveals recurring internal corrosion in other water environments (e.g., treated water), the aging effect should be addressed in a similar manner.

3.4.2.2.7 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking in Aluminum Alloys

SCC is a form of environmentally assisted cracking which is known to occur in high and moderate strength aluminum alloys. The three conditions necessary for SCC to occur in a component are a sustained tensile stress, aggressive environment, and material with a susceptible microstructure. The aging effect of cracking due to SCC can be mitigated by eliminating one of the three necessary conditions. For the purposes of SLR, acceptance criteria for this further evaluation is being provided for demonstrating that the specific material is not susceptible to SCC or an aggressive environment is not present. The susceptibility of the material is to be established prior to evaluating the environment. This further evaluation item is applicable unless it is demonstrated by the applicant that one of the two necessary conditions discussed below is absent.

Susceptible Material: If the material that a component is constructed of is not susceptible to SCC then the aging effect is not applicable. The microstructure of an aluminum alloy, of which alloy composition is only one factor, is what determines if the alloy is susceptible to SCC. Therefore, providing guidance based on alloy composition will not always successfully protect against SCC in aluminum alloys. The temper, condition, and product form of the alloy is considered when assessing if a material is susceptible to SCC. Aluminum alloys that are susceptible to SCC include:

- 2xxx series alloys in the F, W, Ox, T3x, T4x, or T6x temper
- 5xxx series alloys with a magnesium content of 3.5 weight percent or greater

- 6xxx series alloys in the F temper
- 7xxx series alloys in the F, T5x, or T6x temper
- 2xx.x and 7xx.x series alloys
- 3xx.x series alloys that contain copper
- 5xx.x series alloys with a magnesium content of greater than 8 weight percent

The material is evaluated to verify that it is not susceptible to SCC and that the basis used to make the determination is technically substantiated. Tempers have been specifically developed to improve the SCC resistance for some aluminum alloys. Aluminum alloy and temper combination which are not susceptible to SCC when used in piping, piping component, and tank applications include 1xxx series, 3xxx series, 6061-T6x, and 5454-x.

GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in tanks. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components," is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in piping and piping components. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in piping and tanks which are buried or underground. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components" is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in components that are not included in other AMPs. Additional acceptance criteria are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report).

Aggressive Environment: If the environment that an aluminum alloy is exposed to is not aggressive, such as dry gas, controlled indoor air, or treated water, then cracking due to SCC will not occur and the aging effect is not applicable. Aggressive environments that are known to result in cracking of susceptible aluminum alloys due to SCC are aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that contain halides (e.g., chloride). Halide concentrations should generally be considered high enough to facilitate SCC of aluminum alloys in uncontrolled or untreated aqueous solutions and atmospheric air, such as outdoor air, raw water, waste water, and condensation, unless demonstrated otherwise. If an aluminum component is encapsulated in a secondary material, such as insulation or concrete, the composition of the encapsulating material is evaluated for halides. The environment that the aluminum alloy is exposed to is evaluated to verify that it is either controlled or treated and free of halides.

An alternative strategy to demonstrating that an aggressive environment is not present is to isolate the aluminum alloy from the environment using a barrier to prevent SCC. Acceptable barriers include tightly adhering coatings that have been demonstrated to be impermeable to aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for isolating an aluminum alloy from a potentially aggressive environment then the barrier coating is evaluated to verify that it is imperially to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks," or equivalent program is an acceptable method to manage the integrity of a barrier coating.

3.4.2.2.8 *Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice or Pitting Corrosion and Microbiologically-Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking*

Loss of material due to general (steel only), crevice, or pitting corrosion and microbiologically-induced corrosion and cracking due to SCC (SS only) can occur in steel and SS piping and

pipng components exposed to concrete. Concrete provides a high alkalinity environment that can mitigate the effects of loss of material for steel piping, thereby significantly reducing the corrosion rate. However, if water intrudes through the concrete, the pH can be reduced and ions that promote loss of material such as chlorides, which can penetrate the protective oxide layer created in the high alkalinity environment, can reach the surface of the metal. Carbonation can reduce the pH within concrete. The rate of carbonation is reduced by using concrete with a low water-to-cement ratio and low permeability. Concrete with low permeability also reduces the potential for the penetration of water. Adequate air entrainment improves the ability of the concrete to resist freezing and thawing cycles and therefore reduces the potential for cracking and intrusion of water. Intrusion of water can also bring bacteria to the surface of the metal, potentially resulting in microbiologically-induced corrosion in steel or SS. Cracking due to SCC, as well as pitting and crevice corrosion can occur due to halides present in the water that penetrates to the surface of the metal.

If the following conditions are met, loss of material is not considered to be an applicable aging effect for steel: (a) attributes of the concrete are consistent with American Concrete Institute (ACI) 318 or ACI 349 (low water-to-cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air entrainment) as cited in NUREG-1557; (b) plant-specific operating experience indicates no degradation of the concrete that could lead to penetration of water to the metal surface; and (c) the piping is not potentially exposed to groundwater. For SS components loss of material and cracking due to SCC are not considered to be applicable aging effects as long as the piping is not potentially exposed to groundwater. Where these conditions are not met, loss of material due to general (steel only), crevice, or pitting corrosion, and microbiologically-induced corrosion and cracking due to SCC (SS only) are identified as applicable aging effects. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage these aging effects.

3.4.2.2.9 *Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion and Microbiologically-Induced Corrosion in Components Exposed to Treated Water, Treated Borated Water, or Sodium Pentaborate Solution*

Loss of material due to crevice corrosion can occur in steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks, and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, treated borated water, or sodium pentaborate solution if oxygen levels are greater than 100 parts per billion (ppb). In addition, loss of material due to pitting can occur if oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb, halides or sulfates levels are greater than 150 ppb, and stagnant flow conditions exist. Loss of material due to microbiologically-induced corrosion can occur with steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks, and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water, treated borated water, or sodium pentaborate solution if the pH is less than 10.5 and temperature is less than 99 °C [210 °F].

Where oxygen levels are less than or equal to 100 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion. Where oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to crevice corrosion. Where stagnant flow conditions exist, and oxygen levels are greater than 100 ppb and halides or sulfates levels are greater than 150 ppb, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry,"

and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion.

Where the pH is greater than or equal to 10.5 and the temperature is greater than or equal to 99 °C [210 °F], GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to loss of material due to microbiologically-induced corrosion. Where the pH is less than 10.5 and temperature is less than 99 °C [210 °F], GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components," are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to microbiologically-induced corrosion.

3.4.2.2.10 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys

Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion could occur in aluminum piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to an air environment for a sufficient duration of time. Air environments known to result in pitting and/or crevice corrosion of aluminum alloys are those that contain halides (e.g., chloride) and periodic moisture. The moisture level and halide concentration in atmospheric and uncontrolled air are greatly dependent on geographical location and site-specific conditions. Moisture level and halide concentration should generally be considered high enough to facilitate pitting and/or crevice corrosion of aluminum alloys in atmospheric and uncontrolled air, unless demonstrated otherwise. The periodic introduction of moisture or halides into an air environment from secondary sources should also be considered. Leakage of fluids from mechanical connections, such as bolted flanges and valve packing, through insulation onto a component in indoor controlled air is an example of a secondary source that should be considered. The operating experience (OE) and condition of aluminum alloy components are evaluated to determine if the plant-specific air environment is aggressive enough to result in pitting and crevice corrosion after prolonged exposure. The aging effect of loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion in aluminum alloys is not applicable and does not require management if: (a) the plant-specific OE does not reveal a history of pitting or crevice corrosion and (b) a one-time inspection demonstrates that the aging effect is not occurring or that loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion is occurring so slowly that it will not affect the intended function of the components.

The internal surfaces of aluminum components do not need to be inspected if: (a) the review of OE does not reveal a history of pitting or crevice corrosion; and (b) inspection results for external surfaces demonstrate that the aging effect is not applicable. Inspection results associated with the periodic introduction of moisture or halides from secondary sources may be treated as a separate population of components. In the environment of air-indoor controlled, pitting and crevice corrosion is only expected to occur as the result of secondary source of moisture or halides. Alloy susceptibility may be considered when reviewing OE and interpreting inspection results. Inspections focus on the most susceptible alloys and locations.

The GALL-SLR Report recommends the further evaluation of aluminum piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to an air environment to determine whether an AMP is needed to manage the aging effect of loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," is an acceptable method to demonstrate that the aging effect of loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion is not occurring at a rate that affects the intended function of the components. If loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion has occurred and is sufficient to potentially affect the intended function of an

aluminum SSC, the following AMPs are acceptable methods to manage loss of material due to pitting or crevice corrosion: (i) GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks," for tanks; (ii) GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components," for external surfaces of piping and piping components; (iii) GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," for underground piping, piping components and tanks; and (iv) GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components" for internal surfaces of components that are not included in other aging management programs.

3.4.2.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

Acceptance criteria are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report).

3.4.2.4 Aging Management Programs

For those AMPs that will be used for aging management and are based on the program elements of an AMP in the GALL-SLR Report, the NRC reviewer performs an audit of AMPs credited in the SLRA to confirm consistency with the GALL-SLR AMPs identified in the GALL-SLR Report, Chapters X and XI.

If the applicant identifies an exception to any of the program elements of the cited GALL-SLR Report AMP, the SLRA AMP should include a basis demonstrating how the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3) would still be met. The NRC reviewer should then confirm that the SLRA AMP with all exceptions would satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If, while reviewing the SLRA AMP, the reviewer identifies a difference between the SLRA AMP and the GALL-SLR Report AMP that should have been identified as an exception to the GALL-SLR Report AMP, the difference should be reviewed and properly dispositioned. The reviewer should document the disposition of all SLRA-defined exceptions and NRC staff-identified differences.

The SLRA should identify any enhancements that are needed to permit an existing SLRA AMP to be declared consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMP to which the SLRA AMP is compared. The reviewer is to confirm both that the enhancement, when implemented, would allow the existing SLRA AMP to be consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMP and also that the applicant has a commitment in the FSAR Supplement to implement the enhancement prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. The reviewer should document the disposition of all enhancements.

If the applicant chooses to use a plant-specific program that is not a GALL-SLR AMP, the NRC reviewer should confirm that the plant-specific program satisfies the criteria of ~~Branch Technical Position~~BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1.2.3 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

3.34.2.5 FSAR Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

The summary description of the programs and activities for managing the effects of aging for the subsequent period of extended operation in the FSAR Supplement should be sufficiently comprehensive, ~~such~~ that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description should contain information associated with the bases for determining that aging effects will be managed during the subsequent period of extended operation. The description should also contain any future aging management activities, including enhancements and commitments, to be completed before the subsequent period of extended operation. Table 3.0-1 of this SRP-

~~LRSLR~~ provides examples of the type of information to be included in the FSAR Supplement. Table 3.34-2 lists the programs that are applicable for this SRP-~~LRSLR~~ subsection.

~~1.1.2~~ ~~3.3.3~~ **Review Procedures**

~~For each area of review, the following review procedures are to be followed.~~

~~1.1.2.1~~ ~~3.3.3.1~~ *AMR Results Consistent with the GALL Report*

~~The applicant may reference the GALL Report in its LRA, as appropriate, and demonstrate that the AMRs and AMPs at its facility are consistent with those reviewed and approved in the GALL Report. The reviewer should not conduct a re-review of the substance of the matters described in the GALL Report. If the applicant has provided the information necessary to adopt the finding of program acceptability as described and evaluated in the GALL Report, the reviewer should find acceptable the applicant's reference to the GALL Report in its LRA. In making this determination, the reviewer confirms that the applicant has provided a brief description of the system, components, materials, and environment. The reviewer also confirms that the applicant has stated that the applicable aging effects and industry and plant-specific operating experience have been reviewed by the applicant and are evaluated in the GALL Report.~~

~~Furthermore, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has addressed operating experience identified after the issuance of the GALL Report. Performance of this review requires the reviewer to confirm that the applicant has identified those aging effects for the auxiliary system components that are contained in the GALL Report as applicable to its plant.~~

~~1.1.2.2~~ ~~3.3.3.2~~ *AMR Results Report for Which Further Evaluation is Recommended by the GALL Report*

~~The basic review procedures defined in Subsection 3.3.3.1 need to be applied first for all of the AMRs and AMPs provided in this section. In addition, if the GALL Report AMR item to which the LRA AMR item is compared identifies that "further evaluation is recommended," then additional criteria apply as identified by the GALL Report for each of the following aging effect/aging mechanism combinations. Refer to Table 3.3-1 for the items that reference the following subsections.~~

~~1.1.2.2.1~~ ~~3.3.3.2.1~~ *Cumulative Fatigue Damage*

~~Fatigue is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAs are required to be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The evaluation of this TLAA is addressed separately in Section 4.3 of this SRP-LR.~~

~~1.1.2.2.2~~ ~~3.3.3.2.2~~ *Cracking due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Cyclic Loading*

~~The GALL Report also recommends further evaluation of programs to manage cracking due to SCC and cyclic loading in the stainless steel non-regenerative heat exchangers in the chemical and volume control system (PWR) exposed to treated borated water >60°C (>140°F). The water chemistry program relies on monitoring and control of water chemistry to manage the aging effects of cracking due to SCC and cyclic loading. The GALL Report recommends the effectiveness of the chemistry control program be verified to ensure that cracking is not occurring. The absence of cracking due to SCC and cyclic loading is to be verified. An acceptable verification program is to include temperature and radioactivity monitoring of the~~

shell side water, and eddy current testing of tubes. The reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed program on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program will be in place for the management of these aging effects.

~~1.1.2.2.3 — 3.3.3.2.3 — Cracking due to Stress Corrosion Cracking~~

~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation to manage cracking due to stress corrosion cracking of stainless steel piping, piping components, piping elements, and tanks exposed to outdoor air environments containing sufficient halides (primarily chlorides) and in which condensation or deliquescence is possible. The possibility of cracking also extends to components exposed to air which has recently been introduced into buildings, i.e., components near intake vents.~~

~~The reviewer should determine whether an adequate program is used to manage the aging effect based on the applicable environmental conditions and ASME Code requirements. Cracking is only known to occur in environments containing sufficient halides (primarily chlorides) and in which condensation or deliquescence is possible. Condensation or deliquescence should generally be assumed to be possible. Applicable outdoor air environments (and associated indoor air environments) include, but are not limited to, those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, those within 1/2 mile of a highway which is treated with salt in the wintertime, those areas in which the soil contains more than trace chlorides, those plants having cooling towers where the water is treated with chlorine or chlorine compounds, and those areas subject to chloride contamination from other agricultural or industrial sources. This item is applicable for the environments described above. GALL AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring," is an acceptable method to manage the aging effect.~~

~~1.1.2.2.4 — 3.3.3.2.4 — Loss of Material due to Cladding Breach~~

~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation of programs to manage loss of material due to cladding breach for PWR steel charging pump casings with stainless steel cladding. The GALL Report references NRC Information Notice 94-63, Boric Acid Corrosion of Charging Pump Casings Caused by Cladding Cracks and recommends further evaluation on a plant-specific basis to ensure that the aging effect is adequately managed. The reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed programs on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program will be in place for the management of general corrosion of these components.~~

~~1.1.2.2.5 — 3.3.3.2.5 — Loss of Material due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion~~

~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation to manage loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion of stainless steel piping, piping components, piping elements, and tanks exposed to outdoor air environments containing sufficient halides (primarily chlorides) and in which condensation or deliquescence is possible. The possibility of pitting and crevice corrosion also extends to components exposed to air which has recently been introduced into buildings, i.e., components near intake vents. The reviewer should determine whether an adequate program is used to manage the aging effect based on the applicable environmental conditions and ASME Code requirements. Pitting and crevice corrosion is only known to occur in environments containing sufficient halides (primarily chlorides) and in which condensation or deliquescence is possible. Condensation or deliquescence should generally be assumed to be possible. Applicable outdoor air environments (and associated indoor air environments) include, but are not limited to, those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, those within 1/2 mile of a highway which is treated with salt in the wintertime, those areas in which the soil~~

contains more than trace chlorides, those plants having cooling towers where the water is treated with chlorine or chlorine compounds, and those areas subject to chloride contamination from other agricultural or industrial sources. This item is applicable for the environments described above. GALL AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring," is an acceptable method to manage the aging effect.

~~1.1.2.2.6~~ ~~3.3.3.2.6~~ *Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components*

The applicant's aging management programs for license renewal should contain the elements of corrective actions, the confirmation process, and administrative controls. Safety-related components are covered by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, which is adequate to address these program elements. However, Appendix B does not apply to nonsafety-related components that are subject to an AMR for license renewal. Nevertheless, the applicant has the option to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B program to include these components and address the associated program elements. If the applicant chooses this option, the reviewer verifies that the applicant has documented such a commitment in the FSAR Supplement. If the applicant chooses alternative means, the branch responsible for quality assurance should be requested to review the applicant's proposal on a case-by-case basis.

~~1.1.2.3~~ ~~3.3.3.3~~ *AMR Results Not Consistent with or Not Addressed in the GALL Report*

The reviewer should confirm that the applicant, in its LRA, has identified applicable aging effects, listed the appropriate combination of materials and environments, and has credited AMPs that will adequately manage the aging effects. The AMP credited by the applicant could be an AMP that is described and evaluated in the GALL Report or a plant-specific program. Review procedures are described in Branch Technical Position RSLB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LR).

~~1.1.2.4~~ ~~3.3.3.4~~ *Aging Management Programs*

The reviewer confirms that the applicant has identified the appropriate AMPs as described and evaluated in the GALL Report. If the applicant commits to an enhancement to make its LRA AMP consistent with a GALL Report AMP, then the reviewer is to confirm that this enhancement, when implemented, will make the LRA AMP consistent with the GALL Report AMP. If the applicant identifies, in the LRA AMP, an exception to any of the program elements of the GALL Report AMP, the reviewer is to confirm that the LRA AMP with the exception will satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If the reviewer identifies a difference, not identified by the LRA, between the LRA AMP and the GALL Report AMP with which the LRA claims to be consistent, the reviewer should confirm that the LRA AMP with this difference satisfies 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). The reviewer should document the basis for accepting enhancements, exceptions or differences. The AMPs evaluated in the GALL Report pertinent to the auxiliary systems components are summarized in Table 3.3-1 of this SRP-LR. The "Rev 2 Item" (for 2010) and "Rev1 Item" (for 2005 counterpart) columns identify the AMR item numbers in the GALL Report, Chapter VII, presenting detailed information summarized by this row.

~~1.1.2.5~~ ~~3.3.3.5~~ *FSAR Supplement*

The reviewer confirms that the applicant has provided in its FSAR supplement information equivalent to that in Table 3.0-1 for aging management of the auxiliary systems. Table 3.3-2 lists the AMPs that are applicable for this SRP-LR subsection. The reviewer also confirms that

~~the applicant has provided information for subsection 3.3.3.3, “AMR Results Not Consistent with or Not Addressed in the GALL Report,” equivalent to that in Table 3.0-1.~~

~~The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR Supplement at the next update required pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license condition until the FSAR update is complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR Supplement without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change and finds it acceptable pursuant to the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the FSAR to include the final FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, no condition will be necessary.~~

~~As noted in Table 3.0-1, an applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has identified and committed in the LRA to any future aging management activities, including enhancements and commitments, to be completed before entering the period of extended operation. The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.~~

~~1.1.3~~ ——— ~~3.3.4~~ **Evaluation Findings**

~~If the reviewer determines that the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the provisions of this section, then an evaluation finding similar to the following text should be included in the staff’s safety evaluation report:~~

~~On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the staff concludes that the applicant has demonstrated that the aging effects associated with the auxiliary systems components will be adequately managed so that the intended functions will be maintained consistent with the CLB for the period of extended operation, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3).~~

~~The staff also reviewed the applicable FSAR Supplement program summaries and concludes that they adequately describe the AMPs credited for managing aging of the auxiliary systems, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(d).~~

~~1.1.4~~ ——— ~~3.3.5~~ **Implementation**

~~Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for complying with specified portions of the NRC’s regulations, the method described herein will be used by the staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.~~

~~1.1.5~~ ——— ~~3.3.6~~ **References**

- ~~1. NUREG-0800, “Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants,” U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 2007.~~
- ~~2. NUREG-1801, “Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report,” U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Revision 2, 2010.~~
- ~~3. NEI 95-10, “Industry Guideline for Implementing the Requirements of 10 CFR Part 54—The License Renewal Rule,” Nuclear Energy Institute, Revision 6.~~

- ~~4. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components," The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, 2004 edition as approved in 10 CFR 50.55a, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, NY.~~
- ~~5. ASTM D95-83, Standard Test Method for Water in Petroleum Products and Bituminous Materials by Distillation, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA, 1990.~~

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
1	BWR/ PWR	Steel Cranes: structural girders exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (External)	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue	Fatigue is a time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) to be evaluated for the period of extended operation for structural girders of cranes that fall within the scope of 10 CFR 54 (Standard Review Plan, Section 4.7, "Other Plant-Specific Time-Limited Aging Analyses," for generic guidance for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1))	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.3.2.2.1)	VII.B.A-06	VII.B-2(A-06)
2	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel, Steel Heat exchanger components and tubes, Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated berated water, Air—indoor, uncontrolled, Treated water	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue	Fatigue is a time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) to be evaluated for the period of extended operation. See the SRP, Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.3.2.2.1)	VII.E1.A-100 VII.E1.A-34 VII.E1.A-57 VII.E3.A-34 VII.E3.A-62 VII.E4.A-62	VII.E1-4(A-100) VII.E1-18(A-34) VII.E1-16(A-57) VII.E3-17(A-34) VII.E3-14(A-62) VII.E4-13(A-62)
3	PWR	Stainless steel Heat exchanger components, non-regenerative exposed to Treated berated water >60°C (>140°F)	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking; cyclic loading	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" The AMP is to be augmented by verifying the absence of cracking due to stress corrosion cracking and cyclic loading. An acceptable verification program is to include	Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.3.2.2.2)	VII.E1.A-69	VII.E1-9(A-69)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
				temperature and radioactivity monitoring of the shell side water, and eddy current testing of tubes.			
4	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed to Air—outdoor	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	Yes, environmental conditions need to be evaluated (See subsection 3.3.2.2.3)	VII.C1.AP-209 VII.C2.AP-209 VII.C3.AP-209 VII.D.AP-209 VII.E1.AP-209 VII.E4.AP-209 VII.F1.AP-209 VII.F2.AP-209 VII.F4.AP-209 VII.G.AP-209 VII.H1.AP-209 VII.H2.AP-209	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
5	PWR	Steel (with stainless steel or nickel alloy cladding) Pump	Loss of material due to cladding breach	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated.	Yes, verify that plant-specific program addresses clad	VII.E1.AP-85	VII.E1-21(AP-85)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
7	PWR	Stainless-steel High-pressure pump, casing exposed to Treated borated water	Cracking due to cyclic loading	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD"	No	VII.E1.AP-115	VII.E1-7(A-76)
8	PWR	Stainless-steel Heat exchanger components and tubes exposed to Treated borated water >60°C (>140°F)	Cracking due to cyclic loading	Chapter XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD"	No	VII.E1.AP-119	N/A
9	PWR	Steel, Aluminum, Copper alloy (>15% Zn or >8% Al) External surfaces, Piping, piping components, and piping elements, Bolting exposed to Air with borated water leakage	Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion	Chapter XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion"	No	VII.A3.A-79 VII.A3.AP-1 VII.E1.A-79 VII.E1.AP-1 VII.I.A-102 VII.I.A-79 VII.I.AP-66	VII.A3-2(A-79) VII.A3-4(AP-1) VII.E1-1(A-79) VII.E1-10(AP-1) VII.I-2(A-102) VII.I-10(A-79) VII.I-12(AP-66)
10	BWR/ PWR	Steel, high-strength Closure bolting exposed to Air with	Cracking due to stress corrosion	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	No	VIII.A-04	VII.I-3(A-04)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report							
ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		steam or water leakage	cracking; cyclic loading				
11	BWR/ PWR	Steel, high-strength High-pressure pump, closure bolting exposed to Air with steam or water leakage	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking; cyclic loading	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	No	VII.E1.AP-122	VII.E1-8(A-104)
12	BWR/ PWR	Steel; stainless steel Closure bolting, Bolting exposed to Condensation, Air—indoor, uncontrolled (External), Air—outdoor (External)	Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	No	VII.D.AP-121 VII.I.AP-125 VII.I.AP-126	VII.D-1(A-103) VII.I-4(AP-27) VII.I-1(AP-28)
13	BWR/ PWR	Steel Closure bolting exposed to Air with steam or water leakage	Loss of material due to general corrosion	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	No	VII.I.A-03	VII.I-6(A-03)
14	BWR/ PWR	Steel, Stainless Steel Bolting exposed to Soil	Loss of preload	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	No	VII.I.AP-242 VII.I.AP-244	N/A N/A
15	BWR/ PWR	Steel; stainless steel, Copper alloy, Nickel alloy, Stainless steel	Loss of preload	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	No	VII.I.AP-124	VII.I-5(AP-26)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		Closure bolting, Bolting exposed to Air —indoor, uncontrolled (External), Air— environment, Air— outdoor (External), Raw water, Treated borated water, Fuel oil, Treated water	due to thermal effects, gasket creep, and self- loosening			VII.I.AP-261 VII.I.AP-262 VII.I.AP-263 VII.I.AP-264 VII.I.AP-265 VII.I.AP-266 VII.I.AP-267	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
16	BWR	Stainless-steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated water >60°C (>140°F)	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M25, "BWR Reactor Water Cleanup System"	No	VII.E3.AP-283	VII.E3-16(A-60)
17	BWR	Stainless-steel Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Treated water	Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.A4.AP-139	VII.A4-4(AP-62)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
18	BWR/ PWR	Stainless-steel High-pressure pump, casing, Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated borated water >60°C (>140°F), Sodium pentaborate solution >60°C (>140°F)	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.E1.AP-114 VII.E2.AP-181	VII.E1-7(A-76) VII.E2-2(A-59)
19	BWR/ PWR	Stainless-steel Regenerative heat exchanger components exposed to Treated water >60°C (>140°F)	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.E3.AP-120	VII.E3-19(A-85)
20	BWR/ PWR	Stainless-steel, Stainless-steel; steel with stainless-steel cladding Heat exchanger components exposed to Treated borated water >60°C (>140°F), Treated water >60°C (>140°F)	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.E1.AP-118 VII.E3.AP-112	VII.E1-5(A-84) VII.E3-3(A-71)
21	BWR	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements	Loss of material	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and	No	VII.E3.AP-106	VII.E3-18(A-35)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		exposed to Treated water	due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"		VII.E4.AP-106	VII.E4-17(A-35)
22	BWR	Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated water	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and galvanic corrosion	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.A4.AP-140 VII.E3.AP-140 VII.E4.AP-140	VII.A4-7(AP-64) VII.E3-9(AP-64) VII.E4-7(AP-64)
23	BWR/ PWR	Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated water	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.C2.AP-257 VII.H2.AP-258	N/A N/A
24	BWR	Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated water	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.E4.AP-130	VII.E4-4(AP-38)
25	BWR	Stainless steel, Stainless steel; steel with stainless steel cladding, Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements, Heat exchanger	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.A4.AP-110 VII.A4.AP-111 VII.A4.AP-130 VII.E2.AP-141	VII.A4-11(A-58) VII.A4-2(A-70) VII.A4-5(AP-38) VII.E2-1(AP-73)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		components exposed to Treated water, Sodium pentaborate solution				VII.E3.AP-110 VII.E3.AP-130 VII.E4.AP-110	VII.E3-15(A-58) VII.E3-7(AP-38) VII.E4-14(A-58)
26	BWR/ PWR	Steel (with elastomer lining), Steel (with elastomer lining or stainless steel cladding) Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated water	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion (only for steel after lining/cladding degradation)	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.A3.AP-107 VII.A4.AP-108	VII.A3-9(A-39) VII.A4-12(A-40)
27	BWR	Stainless steel Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Treated water	Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.E3.AP-139	VII.E3-6(AP-62)
28	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel, Steel (with stainless steel or nickel-alloy cladding) Spent fuel storage racks (BWR), Spent fuel storage racks (PWR), Piping, piping components, and piping elements,	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	No	VII.A2.A-96 VII.A2.A-97 VII.A3.A-56 VII.E1.AP-82	VII.A2-6(A-96) VII.A2-7(A-97) VII.A3-10(A-56) VII.E1-20(AP-82)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed Treated water >60°C (>140°F), Treated borated water >60°C (>140°F)					
29	BWR/ PWR	Steel (with stainless steel cladding); stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated borated water	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	No	VII.A2.AP-79 VII.A3.AP-79 VII.E1.AP-79	VII.A2-1(AP-79) VII.A3-8(AP-79) VII.E1-17(AP-79)
30	BWR/ PWR	Concrete; cementitious material Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw Water	Changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.AP-250	N/A
	BWR/ PWR	Fiberglass, HDPE Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water (internal)	Cracking, blistering, change in color due to water absorption	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.AP-238 VII.C1.AP-239	N/A N/A

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
31	BWR/ PWR	Concrete; cementitious material Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw Water	Cracking -due to settling	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.AP-248	N/A
32	BWR/ PWR	Reinforced concrete, asbestos-cement Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water	Cracking due to aggressive chemical attack and leaching; Changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.AP-155	N/A
	BWR/ PWR	Elastomer seals and components exposed to raw water	Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation; loss of material due to erosion	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.AP-75 VII.C1.AP-76	VII.C1-1(AP-75) VII.C1-2(AP-76)
33	BWR/ PWR	Concrete; cementitious material Piping, piping components, and piping elements	Loss of material due to abrasion, cavitation, aggressive	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.AP-249	N/A

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		exposed to Raw Water	chemical attack, and leaching				
34	BWR/ PWR	Nickel alloy, Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.AP-206 VII.C3.AP-195 VII.C3.AP-206	VII.C1-13(AP-53) VII.C3-2(A-43) VII.C3-6(AP-53)
35	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and microbiologically-influenced corrosion	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.H2.AP-193	VII.H2-11(AP-45)
36	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and microbiologically-influenced corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.AP-196	VII.C1-9(A-44)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
37	BWR/ PWR	Steel (with coating or lining) Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and microbiologically-influenced corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion; lining/coating degradation	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.AP-194 VII.C3.AP-194 VII.H2.AP-194	VII.C1-19(A-38) VII.C3-10(A-38) VII.H2-22(A-38)
38	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy, Steel Heat exchanger components exposed to Raw water	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, galvanic, and microbiologically-influenced corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.AP-179 VII.C1.AP-183	VII.C1-3(A-65) VII.C1-5(A-64)
39	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C3.A-53	VII.C3-7(A-53)
40	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Piping, piping components,	Loss of material	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.A-54	VII.C1-15(A-54)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		and piping elements exposed to Raw water	due to pitting and crevice corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion				
41	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water	Loss of material due to pitting, crevice, and microbiologically- influenced corrosion	Chapter XI.M20, "Open Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.H2.AP-55	VII.H2-18(AP- 55)
42	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy, Titanium, Stainless steel Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Raw water	Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling	Chapter XI.M20, "Open Cycle Cooling Water System"	No	VII.C1.A-72 VII.C1.AP-153 VII.C1.AP-187 VII.C3.AP-187 VII.G.AP-187 VII.H2.AP-187	VII.C1-6(A-72) N/A VII.C1-7(AP-61) VII.C3-1(AP-61) VII.G-7(AP-61) VII.H2-6(AP-61)
43	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Closed-	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	No	VII.C2.AP-186 VII.E3.AP-186 VII.E4.AP-186	VII.C2-11(AP- 60) VII.E3-13(AP- 60)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		cycle-cooling-water >60°C (>140°F)					VII.E4-11(AP-60)
44	BWR/ PWR	Stainless-steel; steel with stainless-steel cladding Heat exchanger components exposed to Closed-cycle cooling-water >60°C (>140°F)	Cracking due to stress corrosion-cracking	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	No	VII.E3.AP-192	VII.E3-2(A-68)
45	BWR/ PWR	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed to Closed- cycle-cooling-water	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	No	VII.C2.AP-202 VII.F1.AP-202 VII.F2.AP-202 VII.F3.AP-202 VII.F4.AP-202 VII.H2.AP-202	VII.C2-14(A-25) VII.F1-20(A-25) VII.F2-18(A-25) VII.F3-20(A-25) VII.F4-16(A-25) VII.H2-23(A-25)
46	BWR/ PWR	Steel, Copper alloy Heat exchanger components, Piping, piping components, and piping elements	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice,	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	No	VII.A3.AP-189 VII.A3.AP-199 VII.A4.AP-189	VII.A3-3(A-63) VII.A3-5(AP-12) VII.A4-3(A-63)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water	and galvanic corrosion			VII.A4.AP-199 VII.C2.AP-189 VII.C2.AP-199 VII.E1.AP-189 VII.E1.AP-199 VII.E1.AP-203 VII.E3.AP-189 VII.E3.AP-199 VII.E4.AP-189 VII.E4.AP-199 VII.F1.AP-189 VII.F1.AP-199 VII.F1.AP-203 VII.F2.AP-189 VII.F2.AP-199 VII.F3.AP-189 VII.F3.AP-199 VII.F3.AP-203	VII.A4-6(AP-12) VII.C2-1(A-63) VII.C2-4(AP-12) VII.E1-6(A-63) VII.E1-11(AP-12) VII.E1-2(AP-34) VII.E3-4(A-63) VII.E3-8(AP-12) VII.E4-2(A-63) VII.E4-5(AP-12) VII.F1-11(A-63) VII.F1-15(AP-12) VII.F1-8(AP-34) VII.F2-9(A-63) VII.F2-13(AP-12) VII.F3-11(A-63)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
						VII.F4.AP-189 VII.F4.AP-199 VII.H1.AP-199 VII.H2.AP-199	VII.F3-15(AP-12) VII.F3-8(AP-34) VII.F4-8(A-63) VII.F4-11(AP-12) VII.H1-2(AP-12) VII.H2-8(AP-12)
47	BWR	Stainless steel; steel with stainless steel cladding Heat exchanger components exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water	Loss of material due to microbiologically-influenced corrosion	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	No	VII.E3.AP-191 VII.E4.AP-191	VII.E3-1(A-67) VII.E4-1(A-67)
48	BWR/ PWR	Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	No	VII.C2.AP-254 VII.H2.AP-255	N/A N/A
49	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements	Loss of material	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	No	VII.C2.A-52	VII.C2-10(A-52)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water	due to pitting and crevice corrosion				
50	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel, Copper Alloy, Steel Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Closed- cycle cooling water	Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	No	VII.C2.AP-188 VII.C2.AP-205 VII.E3.AP-188 VII.E4.AP-188 VII.F1.AP-204 VII.F1.AP-205 VII.F2.AP-204 VII.F2.AP-205 VII.F3.AP-204 VII.F3.AP-205 VII.F4.AP-204	VII.C2-3(AP-63) VII.C2-2(AP-80) VII.E3-5(AP-63) VII.E4-3(AP-63) VII.F1-13(AP-77) VII.F1-12(AP-80) VII.F2-11(AP-77) VII.F2-10(AP-80) VII.F3-13(AP-77) VII.F3-12(AP-80) VII.F4-9(AP-77)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
51	BWR/ PWR	Boraflex Spent fuel storage racks: neutron-absorbing sheets (PWR), Spent fuel storage racks: neutron-absorbing sheets (BWR) exposed to Treated borated water, Treated water	Reduction of neutron-absorbing capacity due to boraflex degradation	Chapter XI.M22, "Boraflex Monitoring"	No	VII.A2.A-86 VII.A2.A-87	VII.A2-4(A-86) VII.A2-2(A-87)
52	BWR/ PWR	Steel Cranes: rails and structural girders exposed to Air—indoor, uncontrolled (External)	Loss of material due to general corrosion	Chapter XI.M23, "Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems"	No	VII.B.A-07	VII.B-3(A-07)
53	BWR/ PWR	Steel Cranes— rails exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (External)	Loss of material due to wear	Chapter XI.M23, "Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems"	No	VII.B.A-05	VII.B-1(A-05)
54	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Condensation	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M24, "Compressed Air Monitoring"	No	VII.D.AP-240	N/A
55	BWR/ PWR	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements: compressed air	Loss of material	Chapter XI.M24, "Compressed Air Monitoring"	No	VII.D.A-26	VII.D-2(A-26)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report							
ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		system exposed to Condensation (Internal)	due to general and pitting corrosion				
56	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Condensation (Internal)	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M24, "Compressed Air Monitoring"	No	VII.D.AP-81	VII.D-4(AP-81)
57	BWR/ PWR	Elastomers Fire barrier penetration seals exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled, Air—outdoor	Increased hardness; shrinkage; loss of strength due to weathering	Chapter XI.M26, "Fire Protection"	No	VII.G.A-19 VII.G.A-20	VII.G-1(A-19) VII.G-2(A-20)
58	BWR/ PWR	Steel Halon/carbon dioxide fire suppression system piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (External)	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M26, "Fire Protection"	No	VII.G.AP-150	N/A
59	BWR/ PWR	Steel Fire rated doors exposed to Air—	Loss of material due to wear	Chapter XI.M26, "Fire Protection"	No	VII.G.A-21 VII.G.A-22	VII.G-3(A-21) VII.G-4(A-22)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		indoor, uncontrolled, Air—outdoor					
60	BWR/ PWR	Reinforced concrete Structural fire barriers: walls, ceilings and floors exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled	Concrete cracking and spalling due to aggressive chemical attack, and reaction with aggregates	Chapter XI.M26, "Fire Protection," and Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	No	VII.G.A-90	VII.G-28(A-90)
61	BWR/ PWR	Reinforced concrete Structural fire barriers: walls, ceilings and floors exposed to Air— outdoor	Cracking, loss of material due to freeze- thaw, aggressive chemical attack, and reaction with aggregates	Chapter XI.M26, "Fire Protection," and Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	No	VII.G.A-92	VII.G-30(A-92)
62	BWR/ PWR	Reinforced concrete Structural fire barriers: walls, ceilings and floors exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled, Air—outdoor	Loss of material due to corrosion of embedded steel	Chapter XI.M26, "Fire Protection," and Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	No	VII.G.A-91 VII.G.A-93	VII.G-29(A-91) VII.G-31(A-93)
63	BWR/ PWR	Steel Fire Hydrants exposed to Air— outdoor	Loss of material	Chapter XI.M27, "Fire Water System"	No	VII.G.AP-149	N/A

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
			due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion				
64	BWR/ PWR	Steel, Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and microbiologically- influenced corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion	Chapter XI.M27, "Fire Water System"	No	VII.G.A-33 VII.G.AP-197	VII.G-24(A-33) VII.G-12(A-45)
65	BWR/ PWR	Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M27, "Fire Water System"	No	VII.G.AP-180	VII.G-8(AP-83)
66	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion	Chapter XI.M27, "Fire Water System"	No	VII.G.A-55	VII.G-19(A-55)
67	BWR/ PWR	Steel Tanks exposed to Air—outdoor (External)	Loss of material	Chapter XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"	No	VII.H1.A-95	VII.H1-11(A-95)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
			due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion				
68	BWR/ PWR	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Fuel oil	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Chemistry", and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.G.AP-234	VII.G-21(A-28)
69	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Fuel oil	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and microbiologically- influenced corrosion	Chapter XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.G.AP-132 VII.H1.AP-132 VII.H2.AP-132	VII.G-10(AP- 44) VII.H1-3(AP-44) VII.H2-9(AP-44)
70	BWR/ PWR	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed to Fuel oil	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and microbiologically- influenced corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion	Chapter XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.H1.AP-105 VII.H2.AP-105	VII.H1-10(A-30) VII.H2-24(A-30)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
71	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel, Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Fuel oil	Loss of material due to pitting, crevice, and microbiologically- influenced corrosion	Chapter XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.G.AP-136 VII.H1.AP-129 VII.H1.AP-136 VII.H2.AP-129 VII.H2.AP-136	VII.G-17(AP- 54) VII.H1-1(AP-35) VII.H1-6(AP-54) VII.H2-7(AP-35) VII.H2-16(AP- 54)
72	BWR/ PWR	Gray cast iron, Copper alloy (>15% Zn or >8% Al) Piping, piping components, and piping elements, Heat exchanger components exposed to Treated water, Closed-cycle cooling water, Soil, Raw water	Loss of material due to selective leaching	Chapter XI.M33, "Selective Leaching"	No	VII.A3.AP-31 VII.A3.AP-43 VII.A4.AP-31 VII.A4.AP-32 VII.A4.AP-43 VII.C1.A-02 VII.C1.A-47 VII.C1.A-51 VII.C1.A-66 VII.C2.A-50 VII.C2.AP-31	VII.A3-7(AP-31) VII.A3-6(AP-43) VII.A4-10(AP- 31) VII.A4-9(AP-32) VII.A4-8(AP-43) VII.C1-12(A-02) VII.C1-10(A-47) VII.C1-11(A-51) VII.C1-4(A-66) VII.C2-8(A-50)

Table 3.3-1— Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
						VII.C2.AP-32	VII.C2-9(AP-31)
						VII.C2.AP-43	VII.C2-7(AP-32)
						VII.C3.A-02	VII.C2-6(AP-43)
						VII.C3.A-47	VII.C3-5(A-02)
						VII.C3.A-51	VII.C3-3(A-47)
						VII.E1.AP-31	VII.C3-4(A-51)
						VII.E1.AP-43	VII.E1-14(AP-31)
						VII.E1.AP-65	VII.E1-13(AP-43)
						VII.E3.AP-31	VII.E1-3(AP-65)
						VII.E3.AP-32	VII.E3-12(AP-31)
						VII.E3.AP-43	VII.E3-11(AP-32)
						VII.E4.AP-31	VII.E3-10(AP-43)
						VII.E4.AP-32	VII.E4-10(AP-31)
						VII.E4.AP-43	VII.E4-9(AP-32)
						VII.F1.AP-31	VII.E4-8(AP-43)
						VII.F1.AP-43	
						VII.F1.AP-65	
						VII.F2.AP-31	

Table 3.3-1— Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
						VII.F2.AP-43 VII.F3.A-50 VII.F3.AP-43 VII.F3.AP-65 VII.F4.AP-31 VII.F4.AP-43 VII.G.A-02 VII.G.A-47 VII.G.A-51 VII.G.AP-31 VII.H1.A-02 VII.H1.AP-43 VII.H2.A-02 VII.H2.A-47 VII.H2.A-51 VII.H2.AP-43	VII.F1-18(AP-31) VII.F1-17(AP-43) VII.F1-9(AP-65) VII.F2-16(AP-31) VII.F2-15(AP-43) VII.F3-18(A-50) VII.F3-17(AP-43) VII.F3-9(AP-65) VII.F4-14(AP-31) VII.F4-13(AP-43) VII.G-15(A-02) VII.G-13(A-47) VII.G-14(A-51) VII.G-16(AP-31)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
							VII.H1-5(A-02) VII.H1-4(AP-43) VII.H2-15(A-02) VII.H2-13(A-47) VII.H2-14(A-51) VII.H2-12(AP-43)
73	BWR/ PWR	Concrete; cementitious material Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air- outdoor	Changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	No	VII.C1.AP-253	N/A
74	BWR/ PWR	Concrete; cementitious material Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air- outdoor	Cracking due to settling	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	No	VII.C1.AP-254	N/A

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
75	BWR/ PWR	Reinforced concrete, asbestos-cement Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air— outdoor	Cracking due to aggressive chemical attack and leaching; Changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	No	VII.C1.AP-156	N/A
76	BWR/ PWR	Elastomers-Elastomer: seals and components exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (Internal/External)	Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	No	VII.F1.AP-102 VII.F2.AP-102 VII.F3.AP-102 VII.F4.AP-102	VII.F1-7(A-17) VII.F2-7(A-17) VII.F3-7(A-17) VII.F4-6(A-17)
77	BWR/ PWR	Concrete; cementitious material Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air— outdoor	Loss of material due to abrasion, cavitation, aggressive chemical attack, and leaching	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	No	VII.C1.AP-252	N/A

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
78	BWR/ PWR	Steel Piping and components (External surfaces), Ducting and components (External surfaces), Ducting; closure bolting exposed to Air—indoor, uncontrolled (External), Air—indoor, uncontrolled (External), Air—outdoor (External), Condensation (External)	Loss of material due to general corrosion	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	No	VII.D.A-80 VII.F1.A-10 VII.F1.A-105 VII.F2.A-10 VII.F2.A-105 VII.F3.A-10 VII.F3.A-105 VII.F4.A-10 VII.F4.A-105 VII.I.A-105 VII.I.A-77 VII.I.A-78 VII.I.A-81	VII.D-3(A-80) VII.F1-2(A-10) VII.F1-4(A-105) VII.F2-2(A-10) VII.F2-4(A-105) VII.F3-2(A-10) VII.F3-4(A-105) VII.F4-1(A-10) VII.F4-3(A-105) VII.I-7(A-105) VII.I-8(A-77) VII.I-9(A-78) VII.I-11(A-81)
79	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Condensation (External)	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	No	VII.F1.AP-109 VII.F2.AP-109 VII.F3.AP-109 VII.F4.AP-109	VII.F1-16(A-46) VII.F2-14(A-46) VII.F3-16(A-46) VII.F4-12(A-46)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
80	BWR/ PWR	Steel Heat exchanger components, Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air—indoor, uncontrolled (External), Air—outdoor (External)	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	No	VII.F1.AP-41 VII.F2.AP-41 VII.F3.AP-41 VII.F4.AP-41 VII.G.AP-40 VII.G.AP-41 VII.H1.A-24 VII.H2.AP-40 VII.H2.AP-41	VII.F1-10(AP-41) VII.F2-8(AP-41) VII.F3-10(AP-41) VII.F4-7(AP-41) VII.G-6(AP-40) VII.G-5(AP-41) VII.H1-8(A-24) VII.H2-4(AP-40) VII.H2-3(AP-41)
81	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy, Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air—outdoor (External), Air—outdoor	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	No	VII.I.AP-159 VII.I.AP-256	N/A N/A

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
82	BWR/ PWR	Elastomers Elastomer: seals and components exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (External)	Loss of material due to wear	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	No	VII.F1.AP-113 VII.F2.AP-113 VII.F3.AP-113 VII.F4.AP-113	VII.F1-5(A-73) VII.F2-5(A-73) VII.F3-5(A-73) VII.F4-4(A-73)
83	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Diesel engine exhaust piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Diesel exhaust	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.H2.AP-128	VII.H2-1(AP-33)
85	BWR/ PWR	Elastomers Elastomer seals and components exposed to Closed- cycle cooling water	Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.C2.AP-259	N/A
86	BWR/ PWR	Elastomers Elastomers, linings, Elastomer: seals and components exposed to Treated borated water, Treated water, Raw water	Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.A3.AP-100 VII.A4.AP-101	VII.A3-1(A-15) VII.A4-1(A-16)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
88	BWR/ PWR	Steel; stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements; Piping, piping components, and piping elements; diesel engine exhaust exposed to Raw water (potable), Diesel exhaust	Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.E5.AP-270 VII.H2.AP-104	N/A VII.H2-2(A-27)
89	BWR/ PWR	Steel, Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Moist air or condensation (Internal)	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.G.A-23 VII.G.AP-143 VII.H2.A-23	VII.G-23(A-23) VII.G-9(AP-78) VII.H2-21(A-23)
90	BWR/ PWR	Steel Ducting and components (Internal surfaces) exposed to Condensation (Internal)	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and (for drip pans and drain lines) microbiologically-influenced corrosion	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.F1.A-08 VII.F2.A-08 VII.F3.A-08 VII.F4.A-08	VII.F1-3(A-08) VII.F2-3(A-08) VII.F3-3(A-08) VII.F4-2(A-08)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
91	BWR/ PWR	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed to Waste Water	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and microbiologically-influenced corrosion	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.E5.AP-281	N/A
92	BWR/ PWR	Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Condensation (Internal)	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.F1.AP-142 VII.F2.AP-142 VII.F3.AP-142 VII.F4.AP-142	VII.F1-14(AP-74) VII.F2-12(AP-74) VII.F3-14(AP-74) VII.F4-10(AP-74)
93	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water (potable)	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.E5.AP-271	N/A
94	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Ducting and components exposed to Condensation	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.F1.AP-99 VII.F2.AP-99	VII.F1-1(A-09) VII.F2-1(A-09)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
						VII.F3.AP-99	VII.F3-1(A-09)
95	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy, Stainless steel, Nickel alloy, Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements, Heat exchanger components, Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed to Waste water, Condensation (Internal)	Loss of material due to pitting, crevice, and microbiologically- influenced corrosion	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.E5.AP-272 VII.E5.AP-273 VII.E5.AP-274 VII.E5.AP-275 VII.E5.AP-276 VII.E5.AP-278 VII.E5.AP-279 VII.E5.AP-280	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
96	BWR/ PWR	Elastomers-Elastomer: seals and components exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (Internal)	Loss of material due to wear	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No	VII.F1.AP-103 VII.F2.AP-103 VII.F3.AP-103 VII.F4.AP-103	VII.F1-6(A-18) VII.F2-6(A-18) VII.F3-6(A-18) VII.F4-5(A-18)
97	BWR/ PWR	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements,	Loss of material	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and	No	VII.C1.AP-127	VII.C1-17(AP- 30)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		Reactor coolant pump oil collection system: tanks, Reactor coolant pump oil collection system: piping, tubing, valve bodies exposed to Lubricating oil	due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"		VII.C2.AP-127 VII.E1.AP-127 VII.E4.AP-127 VII.F1.AP-127 VII.F2.AP-127 VII.F3.AP-127 VII.F4.AP-127 VII.G.AP-116 VII.G.AP-117 VII.G.AP-127 VII.H2.AP-127	VII.C2-13(AP-30) VII.E1-19(AP-30) VII.E4-16(AP-30) VII.F1-19(AP-30) VII.F2-17(AP-30) VII.F3-19(AP-30) VII.F4-15(AP-30) VII.G-27(A-82) VII.G-26(A-83) VII.G-22(AP-30) VII.H2-20(AP-30)
98	BWR/ PWR	Steel Heat exchanger components exposed to Lubricating oil	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice,	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and	No	VII.H2.AP-134	VII.H2-5(AP-39)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
			and microbiologically- influenced corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion	Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"			
99	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy, Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Lubricating oil	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.C1.AP-133 VII.C2.AP-133 VII.E1.AP-133 VII.E4.AP-133 VII.G.AP-133 VII.H2.AP-133 VII.H2.AP-162	VII.C1-8(AP-47) VII.C2-5(AP-47) VII.E1-12(AP-47) VII.E4-6(AP-47) VII.G-11(AP-47) VII.H2-10(AP-47) N/A
100	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Lubricating oil	Loss of material due to pitting, crevice, and microbiologically- influenced corrosion	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.C1.AP-138 VII.C2.AP-138 VII.E1.AP-138 VII.E4.AP-138	VII.C1-14(AP-59) VII.C2-12(AP-59) VII.E1-15(AP-59)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
						VII.G.AP-138 VII.H2.AP-138	VII.E4-12(AP-59) VII.G-18(AP-59) VII.H2-17(AP-59)
101	BWR/ PWR	Aluminum Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Lubricating oil	Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No	VII.H2.AP-154	N/A
102	BWR/ PWR	Boral [®] ; boron steel, and other materials (excluding Boraflex) Spent fuel storage racks: neutron-absorbing sheets (PWR), Spent fuel storage racks: neutron-absorbing sheets (BWR) exposed to Treated borated water, Treated water	Reduction of neutron-absorbing capacity; change in dimensions and loss of material due to effects of SFP environment	Chapter XI.M40, "Monitoring of Neutron-Absorbing Materials other than Boraflex"	No	VII.A2.AP-235 VII.A2.AP-236	VII.A2-5(A-88) VII.A2-3(A-89)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
103	BWR/ PWR	Reinforced concrete, asbestos-cement Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Soil or concrete	Cracking due to aggressive chemical attack and leaching; Changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack	Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"	No	VII.C1.AP-157	N/A
104	BWR/ PWR	HDPE, Fiberglass Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Soil or concrete	Cracking, blistering, change in color due to water absorption	Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"	No	VII.C1.AP-175 VII.C1.AP-176	N/A N/A
105	BWR/ PWR	Concrete cylinder piping, Asbestos cement pipe Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Soil or concrete	Cracking, spalling, corrosion of rebar due to exposure of rebar	Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"	No	VII.C1.AP-177 VII.C1.AP-178 VII.C1.AP-237	N/A N/A N/A
106	BWR/ PWR	Steel (with coating or wrapping) Piping, piping components, and piping elements	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and	Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"	No	VII.C1.AP-198 VII.C3.AP-198	VII.C1-18(A-01) VII.C3-9(A-01)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
		exposed to Soil or concrete	microbiologically-influenced corrosion			VII.G.AP-198 VII.H1.AP-198	VII.G-25(A-01) VII.H1-9(A-01)
107	BWR/ PWR	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Soil or concrete	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"	No	VII.C1.AP-137 VII.C3.AP-137 VII.G.AP-137 VII.H1.AP-137 VII.H2.AP-137	VII.C1-16(AP-56) VII.C3-8(AP-56) VII.G-20(AP-56) VII.H1-7(AP-56) VII.H2-19(AP-56)
108	BWR/ PWR	Titanium, Super austenitic, Aluminum, Copper Alloy, Stainless Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements, Bolting exposed to Soil or concrete	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"	No	VII.C1.AP-171 VII.C1.AP-172 VII.C1.AP-173 VII.C1.AP-174 VII.I.AP-243	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
109	BWR/ PWR	Steel Bolting exposed to Soil or concrete	Loss of material -due to general, pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"	No	VII.I.AP-241	N/A
	BWR/ PWR	Underground Aluminum, Copper Alloy, Stainless Steel and Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements	Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"	No	VII.I.AP-284	N/A
110	BWR	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated water >60°C (>140°F)	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Chapter XI.M7, "BWR Stress Corrosion Cracking," and Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry"	No	VII.E4.A-61	VII.E4-15(A-61)
111	BWR/ PWR	Steel Structural steel exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (External)	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	No	VII.A1.A-94	VII.A1-1(A-94)
112	BWR/ PWR	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Concrete	None	None, provided 1) attributes of the concrete are consistent with ACI 318 or ACI 349 (low water-to-cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate	No, if conditions are met.	VII.J.AP-282	VII.J-21(AP-3)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
				air entrainment) as cited in NUREG-1557, and 2) plant OE indicates no degradation of the concrete			
113	BWR/ PWR	Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air—dry (Internal/External), Air —indoor, uncontrolled (Internal/External), Air —indoor, controlled (External), Gas	None	None	NA—No AEM or AMP	VII.J.AP-134 VII.J.AP-135 VII.J.AP-36 VII.J.AP-37	N/A N/A VII.J-1(AP-36) VII.J-2(AP-37)
114	BWR/ PWR	Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (Internal/External), Air —dry, Gas	None	None	NA—No AEM or AMP	VII.J.AP-144 VII.J.AP-8 VII.J.AP-9	N/A VII.J-3(AP-8) VII.J-4(AP-9)
115	PWR	Copper alloy ($\leq 15\%$ Zn and $\leq 8\%$ Al) Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air with borated water leakage	None	None	NA—No AEM or AMP	VII.J.AP-11	VII.J-5(AP-11)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
116	BWR/ PWR	Galvanized steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air—indoor, uncontrolled	None	None	NA—No AEM or AMP	VII.J.AP-13	VII.J-6(AP-13)
117	BWR/ PWR	Glass Piping elements exposed to Air—indoor, uncontrolled (External), Lubricating oil, Closed-cycle cooling water, Air—outdoor, Fuel oil, Raw water, Treated water, Treated borated water, Air with borated water leakage, Condensation (Internal/External) Gas	None	None	NA—No AEM or AMP	VII.J.AP-14 VII.J.AP-15 VII.J.AP-166 VII.J.AP-167 VII.J.AP-48 VII.J.AP-49 VII.J.AP-50 VII.J.AP-51 VII.J.AP-52 VII.J.AP-96 VII.J.AP-97 VII.J.AP-98	VII.J-8(AP-14) VII.J-10(AP-15) N/A N/A VII.J-7(AP-48) VII.J-9(AP-49) VII.J-11(AP-50) VII.J-13(AP-51) VII.J-12(AP-52) N/A N/A N/A

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
118	BWR/ PWR	Nickel-alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (External)	None	None	NA—No AEM or AMP	VII.J.AP-16	VII.J-14(AP-16)
119	BWR/ PWR	Nickel-alloy, PVC, Glass Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air with borated water leakage, Air—indoor, uncontrolled, Condensation (Internal), Waste Water	None	None	NA—No AEM or AMP	VII.J.AP-260 VII.J.AP-268 VII.J.AP-269 VII.J.AP-277	N/A N/A N/A N/A
120	BWR/ PWR	Stainless-steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled (Internal/External), Air —indoor, uncontrolled (External), Air with borated water leakage, Concrete, Air —dry, Gas	None	None	NA—No AEM or AMP	VII.J.AP-123 VII.J.AP-17 VII.J.AP-18 VII.J.AP-19 VII.J.AP-20 VII.J.AP-22	N/A VII.J-15(AP-17) VII.J-16(AP-18) VII.J-17(AP-19) VII.J-18(AP-20) VII.J-19(AP-22)

Table 3.3-1—Summary of Aging Management Programs for Auxiliary Systems Evaluated in Chapter VII of the GALL Report

ID	Type	Component	Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Aging Management Programs	Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev2 Item	Rev1 Item
121	BWR/ PWR	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air—indoor, controlled (External), Air—dry, Gas	None	None	NA—No AEM or AMP	VII.J.AP-2 VII.J.AP-4 VII.J.AP-6	VII.J-20(AP-2) VII.J-22(AP-4) VII.J-23(AP-6)
122	BWR/ PWR	Titanium Heat exchanger components, Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air—indoor, uncontrolled or Air—outdoor	None	None	NA—No AEM or AMP	VII.J.AP-151 VII.J.AP-160	N/A N/A
123	BWR/ PWR	Titanium (ASTM Grades 1,2, 7, 11, or 12 that contains >5% aluminum or more than 0.20% oxygen or any amount of tin) Heat exchanger components other than tubes, Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water	None	None	NA—No AEM or AMP	VII.C1.AP-152 VII.C1.AP-161	N/A N/A

Table 3.3-2—Aging Management Programs Recommended for Aging Management of Auxiliary Systems

GALL Report Chapter/AMP	Program Name
Chapter XI.M1	ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD
Chapter XI.M2	Water Chemistry
Chapter XI.M7	BWR Stress Corrosion Cracking
Chapter XI.M10	Boric Acid Corrosion
Chapter XI.M18	Bolting Integrity
Chapter XI.M20	Open Cycle Cooling Water System
Chapter XI.M21A	Closed Treated Water Systems
Chapter XI.M22	Boraflex Monitoring
Chapter XI.M23	Inspection of Overhead Heavy and Light Loads (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems
Chapter XI.M24	Compressed Air Monitoring
Chapter XI.M25	BWR Reactor Cleanup System
Chapter XI.M26	Fire Protection
Chapter XI.M27	Fire Water System
Chapter XI.M29	Aboveground Metallic Tanks
Chapter XI.M30	Fuel Oil Chemistry
Chapter XI.M32	One-Time Inspection
Chapter XI.M33	Selective Leaching
Chapter XI.M36	External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components
Chapter XI.M38	Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components
Chapter XI.M39	Lubricating Oil Analysis
Chapter XI.M40	Monitoring of Neutron-Absorbing Materials Other than Boraflex
Chapter XI.M41	Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks
Chapter XI.S6	Structures Monitoring
Appendix for GALL	Quality Assurance for Aging Management Programs
SRP-LR Appendix A	Plant-specific AMP

1 ~~1.~~ ~~3.4~~ **AGING MANAGEMENT OF STEAM AND POWER CONVERSION**
2 **SYSTEM**

3 **Review Responsibilities**

4 **Primary** – Branch assigned responsibility by PM as described in Section 3.0 of this SRP-LR.

5 ~~1.1.6~~ ~~3.4.1~~ **Areas of Review**

6 This section addresses the aging management review (AMR) and the associated aging
7 management program (AMP) of the steam and power conversion system. For a recent vintage
8 plant, the information related to the steam and power conversion system is contained in Chapter
9 10, “Steam and Power Conversion System,” of the plant’s FSAR, consistent with the “Standard
10 Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants” (NUREG-
11 0800). The steam and power conversion systems contained in this review plan section are
12 generally consistent with those contained in NUREG-0800 except for the condenser circulating
13 water and the condensate storage systems. For older plants, the location of applicable
14 information is plant-specific because an older plant’s FSAR may have predated NUREG-0800.

15 Typical steam and power conversion systems that are subject to an AMR for license renewal
16 are steam turbine, main steam, extraction steam, feedwater, condensate, steam generator
17 blowdown, and auxiliary feedwater. This review plan section also includes structures and
18 components in nonsafety-related systems that are not connected to safety-related SSCs but
19 have a spatial relationship such that their failure could adversely impact the performance of a
20 safety-related SSC-intended function. Examples of such nonsafety-related systems may be
21 extraction steam, plant heating steam/auxiliary boilers and hot water heating systems.

22 The aging management for the steam generator is reviewed following the guidance in
23 Section 3.1 of this SRP-LR. The aging management for portions of the BWR main steam and
24 main feedwater systems, extending from the reactor vessel to the outermost containment
25 isolation valve, is reviewed separately following the guidance in Section 3.1 of this SRP-LR.

26 The responsible review organization is to review the following LRA AMR and AMP items
27 assigned to it, per SRP-LR Section 3.0:

28 **AMRs**

- 29 • ~~AMR results consistent with the GALL Report~~
- 30 • ~~AMR results for which further evaluation is recommended by the GALL Report~~
- 31 • ~~AMR results not consistent with or not addressed in the GALL Report~~

32 **AMPs**

- 33 • ~~Consistent with GALL Report AMPs~~
- 34 • ~~Plant-specific AMPs~~

35 **FSAR Supplement**

- 36 • ~~The responsible review organization is to review the FSAR Supplement associated with~~
37 ~~each assigned AMP.~~

1 ~~1.1.7~~ ~~3.4.2~~ **Acceptance Criteria**

2 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review describe methods for determining whether the
3 applicant has met the requirements of the NRC's regulations in 10 CFR 54.21.

4 ~~1.1.7.1~~ ~~3.4.2.1~~ *AMR Results Consistent with the GALL Report*

5 The AMR and the AMPs applicable to the steam and power conversion system are described
6 and evaluated in Chapter VIII of NUREG-1801 (GALL Report).

7 The applicant's LRA should provide sufficient information so that the NRC reviewer is able to
8 confirm that the specific LRA AMR item and the associated LRA AMP are consistent with the
9 cited GALL Report AMR item. The reviewer should then confirm that the LRA AMR item is
10 consistent with the GALL Report AMR item to which it is compared.

11 When the applicant is crediting a different aging management program than recommended in
12 the GALL Report, the reviewer should confirm that the alternate aging management program is
13 valid to use for aging management and will be capable of managing the effects of aging as
14 adequately as the aging management program recommended by the GALL Report.

15 ~~1.1.7.2~~ ~~3.4.2.2~~ *AMR Results for Which Further Evaluation is Recommended by the GALL*
16 *Report*

17 The basic acceptance criteria, defined in Subsection 3.4.2.1, need to be applied first for all of
18 the AMRs and AMPs reviewed as part of this section. In addition, if the GALL Report AMR item
19 to which the LRA AMR item is compared identifies that "further evaluation is recommended,"
20 then additional criteria apply as identified by the GALL Report for each of the following aging
21 effect/aging mechanism combinations. Refer to Table 3.4-1, comparing the "Further Evaluation
22 Recommended" and the "Rev2 Item" columns, for the AMR items that reference the following
23 subsections. The 2005 AMR item counterpart is provided in the "Rev1 Item" column.

24 ~~1.1.7.2.1~~ ~~3.4.2.2.1~~ *Cumulative Fatigue Damage*

25 Fatigue is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAs are required to be evaluated in
26 accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). This TLAA is addressed separately in Section 4.3, "Metal
27 Fatigue Analysis," of this SRP-LR. The related GALL Report items invoked by the subsection
28 are VIII.D1.S-11, VIII.D2.S-11, VIII.G.S-11, VIII.B1.S-08, VIII.B2.S-08.

29 ~~1.1.7.2.2~~ ~~3.4.2.2.2~~ *Cracking due to Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC)*

30 Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking could occur for stainless steel piping, piping
31 components, piping elements, and tanks exposed to outdoor air. The possibility of cracking also
32 extends to components exposed to air which has recently been introduced into buildings, i.e.,
33 components near intake vents. Cracking is only known to occur in environments containing
34 sufficient halides (primarily chlorides) and in which condensation or deliquescence is possible.
35 Condensation or deliquescence should generally be assumed to be possible. Applicable
36 outdoor air environments (and associated indoor air environments) include, but are not limited
37 to, those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, those within 1/2 mile of a
38 highway which is treated with salt in the wintertime, those areas in which the soil contains more
39 than trace chlorides, those plants having cooling towers where the water is treated with chlorine

1 or chlorine compounds, and those areas subject to chloride contamination from other
2 agricultural or industrial sources. This item is applicable for the environments described above.

3 GALL AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring," is an acceptable method to manage the
4 aging effect. The applicant may demonstrate that this item is not applicable by describing the
5 outdoor air environment present at the plant and demonstrating that external chloride stress
6 corrosion cracking is not expected. The GALL Report recommends further evaluation to
7 determine whether an adequate aging management program is used to manage this aging
8 effect based on the environmental conditions applicable to the plant and ASME Code Section XI
9 requirements applicable to the components.

10 ~~1.1.7.2.3~~ ~~3.4.2.2.3~~ ~~Loss of Material due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion~~

11 Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion could occur for stainless steel piping, piping
12 components, piping elements, and tanks exposed to outdoor air. The possibility of pitting and
13 crevice corrosion also extends to components exposed to air which has recently been
14 introduced into buildings, i.e., components near intake vents. Pitting and crevice corrosion is
15 only known to occur in environments containing sufficient halides (primarily chlorides) and in
16 which condensation or deliquescence is possible. Condensation or deliquescence should
17 generally be assumed to be possible. Applicable outdoor air environments (and associated
18 indoor air environments) include, but are not limited to, those within approximately 5 miles of a
19 saltwater coastline, those within 1/2 mile of a highway which is treated with salt in the
20 wintertime, those areas in which the soil contains more than trace chlorides, those plants having
21 cooling towers where the water is treated with chlorine or chlorine compounds, and those areas
22 subject to chloride contamination from other agricultural or industrial sources. This item is
23 applicable for the environments described above.

24 GALL AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring," is an acceptable method to manage the
25 aging effect. The applicant may demonstrate that this item is not applicable by describing the
26 outdoor air environment present at the plant and demonstrating that external pitting or crevice
27 corrosion is not expected. The GALL Report recommends further evaluation to determine
28 whether an adequate aging management program is used to manage this aging effect based on
29 the environmental conditions applicable to the plant and ASME Code Section XI requirements
30 Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components.

31 ~~3.4.2.2.4 Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components~~

32 Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical Position IQMB-1 (Appendix A.2, of this
33 SRP-LR).

34 ~~1.1.7.3~~ ~~3.4.2.3 AMR Results Not Consistent with or Not Addressed in the GALL Report~~

35 Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this
36 SRP-LR).

37 ~~1.1.7.4~~ ~~3.4.2.4 Aging Management Programs~~

38 For those AMPs that will be used for aging management and are based on the program
39 elements of an AMP in the GALL Report, the NRC reviewer performs an audit of aging
40 management programs credited in the LRA to confirm consistency with the GALL AMPs
41 identified in the GALL Report, Chapters X and XI.

1 ~~If the applicant identifies an exception to any of the program elements of the cited GALL Report~~
2 ~~AMP, the LRA AMP should include a basis demonstrating how the criteria of 10 CFR~~
3 ~~54.21(a)(3) would still be met. The NRC reviewer should then confirm that the LRA AMP with all~~
4 ~~exceptions would satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If, while reviewing the LRA AMP, the~~
5 ~~reviewer identifies a difference between the LRA AMP and the GALL Report AMP that should~~
6 ~~have been identified as an exception to the GALL Report AMP, the difference should be~~
7 ~~reviewed and properly dispositioned. The reviewer should document the disposition of all LRA-~~
8 ~~defined exceptions and staff-identified differences.~~

9 ~~The LRA should identify any enhancements that are needed to permit an existing LRA AMP to~~
10 ~~be declared consistent with the GALL Report AMP to which the LRA AMP is compared. The~~
11 ~~reviewer is to confirm both that the enhancement, when implemented, would allow the existing~~
12 ~~LRA AMP to be consistent with the GALL Report AMP and also that the applicant has a~~
13 ~~commitment in the FSAR Supplement to implement the enhancement prior to the period of~~
14 ~~extended operation. The reviewer should document the disposition of all enhancements.~~

15 ~~If the applicant chooses to use a plant-specific program that is not a GALL AMP, the NRC~~
16 ~~reviewer should confirm that the plant-specific program satisfies the criteria of Branch Technical~~
17 ~~Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1.2.3 of this SRP-LR).~~

18 ~~1.1.7.5~~ ~~3.4.2.5 FSAR Supplement~~

19 ~~The summary description of the programs and activities for managing the effects of aging for the~~
20 ~~period of extended operation in the FSAR Supplement should be sufficiently comprehensive~~
21 ~~that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description should contain~~
22 ~~information associated with the bases for determining that aging effects will be managed during~~
23 ~~the period of extended operation. The description should also contain any future aging~~
24 ~~management activities, including enhancements and commitments, to be completed before the~~
25 ~~period of extended operation. Table 3.0-1 of this SRP-LR provides examples of the type of~~
26 ~~information to be included in the FSAR Supplement. Table 3.4-2 lists the programs that are~~
27 ~~applicable for this SRP-LR subsection.~~

28 **3.4.3 Review Procedures**

29 For each area of review, the following review procedures discussed below are to be followed.

30 **3.4.3.1** *AMR Aging Management Review Results Consistent With the GALL Generic* 31 *Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report*

32 The applicant may reference the GALL-SLR Report in its LRA-SLRA, as appropriate, and
33 demonstrate that the AMRs and AMPs at its facility are consistent with those reviewed and
34 approved in the GALL-SLR Report. The reviewer should not conduct a re-review of the
35 substance of the matters described in the GALL-SLR Report. If the applicant has provided the
36 information necessary to adopt the finding of program acceptability as described and evaluated
37 in the GALL-SLR Report, the reviewer should find acceptable the applicant's reference to the
38 GALL-SLR Report in its LRA-SLRA. In making this determination, the reviewer confirms that
39 the applicant has provided a brief description of the system, components, materials, and
40 environment. The reviewer also confirms that the ~~applicant has stated that the~~ applicable aging
41 effects and have been addressed based on the NRC staff's review of industry and plant-specific
42 operating experience ~~have been reviewed by the applicant and are evaluated in the GALL~~
43 ~~Report.~~ Report.

1 Furthermore, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has addressed operating
2 experience identified after the issuance of the GALL-SLR Report. Performance of this review
3 requires the reviewer to confirm that the applicant has identified those aging effects for the
4 steam and power conversion system components that are contained in the GALL-SLR Report
5 as applicable to its plant.

6 3.4.3.2 AMR Aging Management Review Results for Which Further Evaluation Is
7 Recommended by the GALL Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
8 License Renewal Report

9 The basic review procedures defined in Subsection 3.4.3.1 need to be applied first for all of the
10 AMRs and AMPs provided in this section. In addition, if the GALL-SLR Report AMR item to
11 which the LRASLRA AMR item is compared identifies that “further evaluation is recommended,”
12 then additional criteria apply as identified by the GALL-SLR Report for each of the following
13 aging effect/aging mechanism combinations. Refer to Table 3.4-1 for the Rev-2 item references
14 for the following subsections.

15 3.4.3.2.1 Cumulative Fatigue Damage

16 Fatigue is a TLAAs as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAs are required to be evaluated in
17 accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The reviewer reviews the evaluation of this TLAAs separately
18 following the guidance in Section 4.3 of this SRP-LRSLR.

19 3.4.3.2.2 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking

20 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to manage cracking due to stress
21 corrosion cracking SCC of stainless steel SS and aluminum piping, piping components, piping
22 elements, and tanks exposed to outdoor air environments containing sufficient halides
23 (primarilye.g., chlorides) and in which condensation or deliquescence is possible. The
24 possibility of cracking also extends to components exposed to air which has recently been
25 introduced into buildings, (i.e., components near intake vents.)

26 ~~The If the applicant claims that neither the environment nor composition of insulation will result~~
27 ~~in stress corrosion cracking, the reviewer should determine whether an adequate program is~~
28 ~~used to manage the aging effect based on the applicable environmental conditions and ASME~~
29 ~~Code requirements. Cracking is only known to occur in environments containing evaluate the~~
30 ~~applicant’s data to verify that sufficient halides (primarily chlorides) and in which condensation~~
31 ~~or deliquescence is possible. Condensation or deliquescence should generally be assumed to~~
32 ~~be possible. Applicable outdoor air environments (and associated indoor air environments)~~
33 ~~include, but are will not limited to, those within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline,~~
34 ~~those within 1/2 mile be present on the surface of a highway which is treated with salt in the~~
35 ~~wintertime, those areas in which the soil contains more than trace chlorides, those plants having~~
36 ~~cooling towers where the water is treated with chlorine or chlorine compounds, and those areas~~
37 ~~subject to chloride contamination from other agricultural or industrial sources. This item is~~
38 ~~applicable for the environments described above. GALL AMP XI.M36, “External Surfaces~~
39 ~~Monitoring,” is an acceptable method to manage the aging effect.~~

40 ~~1.1.7.5.1 — 3.4.3.2.3 — Loss of Material due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion~~

41 ~~The GALL Report recommends further evaluation to manage loss of material due to pitting and~~
42 ~~crevice corrosion of stainless steel piping the SS piping, piping components, piping elements,~~

1 ~~and tanks exposed to outdoor air environments containing sufficient halides (primarily chlorides)~~
2 ~~and in which condensation or deliquescence is possible. The possibility of pitting and crevice~~
3 ~~corrosion also extends to components exposed to air which has recently been introduced into~~
4 ~~buildings, i.e., components near intake vents.~~

5 or tanks. If the applicant elects to manage stress corrosion cracking, the reviewer should
6 determine whether an adequate program is used/credited to manage the aging effect based on
7 the applicable environmental conditions and ASME Code requirements. Pitting and crevice
8 corrosion is only known to occur in environments containing sufficient halides (primarily
9 chlorides) and in which condensation or deliquescence is possible. Condensation or
10 deliquescence should generally be assumed to be possible. Applicable outdoor air
11 environments (and associated indoor air environments) include, but are not limited to, those
12 within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, those within 1/2 mile of a highway which is
13 treated with salt in the wintertime, those areas in which the soil contains more than trace
14 chlorides, those plants having cooling towers where the water is treated with chlorine or chlorine
15 compounds, and those areas subject to chloride contamination from other agricultural or
16 industrial sources. This item is applicable for the environments described above. GALL AMP
17 XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring," is an acceptable method to manage the aging effect.

18 3.4.3.2.3 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion

19 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to manage loss of material due to pitting
20 and crevice corrosion of SS piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to outdoor air or any
21 air environment when the component is insulated where the presence of sufficient halides
22 (e.g., chlorides) and moisture is possible. The possibility of pitting and crevice corrosion
23 also extends to indoor components located in close proximity to sources of outdoor air
24 (e.g., components near intake vents).

25 If the applicant claims that neither the environment nor composition of the insulation will result in
26 loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion, the reviewer should evaluate the applicant's
27 data to verify that sufficient halides will not be present on the surface of the SS piping, piping
28 components, or tanks. If the applicant elects to manage loss of material due to pitting or crevice
29 corrosion, the reviewer should determine whether an adequate program is credited to manage
30 the aging effect based on the applicable environmental conditions.

31 3.4.3.2.4 Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components

32 The applicant's ~~aging management programs~~AMPs for ~~license renewal~~SLR should contain the
33 elements of corrective actions, the confirmation process, and administrative controls. Safety-
34 related components are covered by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, which is adequate to address
35 these program elements. However, Appendix B does not apply to nonsafety-related
36 components that are subject to an ~~aging management review for license renewal~~AMP for SLR.
37 Nevertheless, the applicant has the option to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50,
38 Appendix B program to include these components and address these program elements. If the
39 applicant chooses this option, the reviewer ~~verifies~~confirms that the applicant has documented
40 such a commitment in the FSAR Supplement. An example description is under "Quality
41 Assurance" in Table 3.0-1, "FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems."
42 If the applicant chooses alternative means, the branch responsible for ~~quality assurance~~QA
43 should be requested to review the applicant's proposal on a case-by-case basis.

44 3.4.3.2.5 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience

1 The applicant's AMPs should contain the element of operating experience. The reviewer
2 verifies that the applicant has appropriate programs or processes for the ongoing review of both
3 plant-specific and industry operating experience concerning age-related degradation and aging
4 management. Such reviews are used to ensure that the AMPs are effective to manage the
5 aging effects for which they are created. The AMPs are either enhanced or new AMPs are
6 developed, as appropriate, when it is determined through the evaluation of operating experience
7 that the effects of aging may not be adequately managed. Additional information is in
8 Appendix A.4, "Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs."

9 In addition, the reviewer confirms that the applicant has provided an appropriate summary
10 description of these activities in the FSAR supplement. The GALL-SLR Report provides
11 examples of the type of information to be included in the FSAR Supplement

12 3.4.3.2.6 AMR.4.3.2.6 Loss of Material Due to Recurring Internal Corrosion

13 The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation to manage recurring internal corrosion
14 aging effects. The reviewer conducts an independent review of plant-specific operating
15 experience to determine whether the plant is currently experiencing recurring internal corrosion.
16 The scope of this further evaluation AMR item includes recurring aging effects in which the
17 plant-specific operating experience review reveals repetitive occurrences (e.g., one per refueling
18 outage that has occurred: (a) in any three or more cycles for a 10-year operating experience
19 search, or (b) in any two or more cycles for a 5-year operating experience search) of aging
20 effects with the same aging mechanism as a result of which the component either did not meet
21 plant-specific acceptance criteria or experienced a reduction in wall thickness greater than
22 50 percent (regardless of the minimum wall thickness).

23 The reviewer should evaluate plant-specific operating experience examples to determine if the
24 chosen AMP should be augmented. For example, during a 10-year search of plant specific
25 operating experience, two instances of 360 degree 30 percent wall loss occurred at copper alloy
26 to steel joints. Neither the significance of the aging effect nor the frequency of occurrence of
27 aging effect threshold has been exceeded. Nevertheless, the operating experience should be
28 evaluated to determine if the AMP that is proposed to manage the aging effect is sufficient
29 (e.g., method of inspection, frequency of inspection, number of inspections) to provide
30 reasonable assurance that the CLB intended functions of the component will be met throughout
31 the subsequent period of extended operation. Likewise, the GALL-SLR Report AMR items
32 associated with the new further evaluation items only cite raw water and waste water
33 environments because operating experience indicates that these are the predominant
34 environments associated with recurring internal corrosion; however, if the search of
35 plant-specific operating experience reveals recurring internal corrosion in other water
36 environments (e.g., treated water), the aging effect should be addressed in a similar manner.

37 3.4.3.2.7 Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking in Aluminum Alloys

38 The GALL-SLR Report recommends the further evaluation of aluminum components
39 (i.e., piping, piping components, and tanks) exposed to atmospheric air or aqueous solutions
40 that contain halides to manage cracking due to SCC. The reviewer must first determine if the
41 aging effect of cracking due to SCC is applicable and requires aging management. The aging
42 effect of cracking is to be considered applicable unless it is demonstrated that one of the two
43 acceptance criteria are met by demonstrating that an aggressive environment is not present or
44 the specific material is not susceptible, as discussed in Section 3.4.2.2.7. Additionally, guidance

1 is also provided on the review of the third condition necessary for SCC to occur, a sustained
2 tensile stress. Each of three conditions is evaluated based on the review procedures below.

3 Susceptible Material: If the material used to fabricate the component being evaluated is not
4 susceptible to SCC then the aging effect of cracking due to SCC is not applicable and does not
5 require aging management. When determining if an aluminum alloy is susceptible to SCC the
6 reviewer is to verify the material's (a) alloy composition, (b) condition or temper, and (c) product
7 form. Additionally, if the material was produced using a process specifically developed to
8 provide a SCC resistant microstructure then the reviewer will consider the effects of this
9 processing in the review. Once the material information has been established the reviewer is to
10 evaluate the technical justification used to substantiate that the material is not susceptible to
11 SCC when exposed to an aggressive environment and sustained tensile stress. The reviewer
12 will evaluate all documentation and references used by the applicant as part of a
13 technical justification.

14 Aggressive Environment: If the environment that an aluminum alloy is exposed to is not
15 aggressive, such as dry gas, controlled indoor air, or treated water, then the aging effect of
16 cracking due to SCC is not applicable and does not require aging management. The
17 environments cited in the AMR line items in the GALL-SLR Report that reference this further
18 evaluation are considered to be aggressive and potentially containing halide concentrations that
19 facilitate SCC of aluminum alloys. The reviewer is to verify that components are not also
20 periodically exposed to nontypical environments that would be categorized as aggressive, such
21 as outdoor air which has recently been introduced into a building and the leakage/seepage of
22 untreated aqueous solutions into a building or underground vault. Using information provided
23 by the applicant, the reviewer will also evaluate the chemical composition of applicable
24 encapsulating materials (e.g., concrete, insulation) for halides.

25 If a barrier coating is employed to effectively isolate the aluminum alloy from an aggressive
26 environment then the aging effect of cracking due to SCC is not applicable and does not require
27 aging management. The reviewer is to verify that the barrier coating is impermeable to the
28 plant-specific aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that the coating is intended to protect the
29 alloy from being exposed to. If operating experience is cited as a technical justification for the
30 effectiveness of a barrier coating the reviewer is to verify that the applicant has a program to
31 manage loss of coating integrity equivalent to the GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M42.

32 Sustained Tensile Stress: If the sustained tensile stress being experienced by a component is
33 below the SCC threshold value then cracking will not occur and the aging effect is not
34 applicable. Many aluminum alloys do not have a true SCC threshold stress, although a practical
35 SCC threshold value can be determined based on the material, service environment, and
36 duration of intended function. The basis for the SCC threshold value is to be evaluated to
37 determine its applicability. The magnitude of the maximum tensile service stress (applied and
38 residual) experienced by the component is to be evaluated to verify that the stress levels are
39 bounded by the SCC threshold value.

40 The information necessary to eliminate the aging effect of SCC based on the sustained service
41 stress is often not readily available. The SCC threshold stress level is dependent on both the
42 alloy (e.g., chemical composition, processing history, and microstructure) and service
43 environment. Furthermore, the magnitude and state of the residual stress sustained by a
44 component is typically not fully characterized. The reviewer must determine the adequacy of
45 both the SCC threshold value being used by the applicant and the magnitude of the tensile
46 stress being experienced by the component. The evaluation of the SCC threshold value

1 includes the verification that the (a) test method used to establish the threshold value is
2 standardized and recognized by the industry, (b) data are statistically significant or conservative,
3 and (c) data are for a relevant alloy, temper, product form, and environment. The evaluation of
4 the tensile stress being experienced by the component includes the verification that the stress
5 analysis accounts for (e) all applied and residual stresses and (f) stress raiser that can initiate
6 SCC cracks, such as corrosion pits and fabrication defects.

7 Documentation that may assist the reviewer in determining if the aging effect of cracking due to
8 SCC is applicable and requires aging management include (a) component drawings,
9 (b) applicable Codes or specifications used in the design, fabrication, and installation of the
10 component, (c) material-specific material certification data and lot release data, and
11 (d) maintenance records and plant-specific operating experience.

12 If it is determined that the aging effect of cracking due to SCC is applicable the reviewer is to
13 evaluate the applicants proposed AMP to ensure that the effects of aging on components are
14 adequately managed so that their intended functions will be maintained consistent with the CLB
15 for the subsequent period of extended operation. The GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M29,
16 “Aboveground Metallic Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to
17 SCC in tanks. The GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, “External Surfaces Monitoring of
18 Mechanical Components,” is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to
19 SCC in piping, and piping components. The GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, “Buried and
20 Underground Piping and Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due
21 to SCC in piping and tanks which are buried or underground. The GALL-SLR Report
22 AMP XI.M38, “Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting
23 Components” is an acceptable method to manage cracking of aluminum due to SCC in
24 components that are not included in other AMPs.

25 3.4.3.2.8 *Loss of Material Due to General, Crevice or Pitting Corrosion and*
26 *Microbiologically-Induced Corrosion and Cracking Due to Stress*
27 *Corrosion Cracking*

28 The GALL-SLR Report recommends that for steel piping and piping components exposed to
29 concrete, if the following conditions are met, loss of material is not considered to be an
30 applicable aging effect for steel: (a) attributes of the concrete are consistent with ACI 318 or
31 ACI 349 (low water-to-cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air entrainment) as cited in
32 NUREG–1557; (b) plant-specific operating experience indicates no degradation of the concrete
33 that could lead to penetration of water to the metal surface; and (c) the piping is not potentially
34 exposed to groundwater. For SS piping and piping components, loss of material and cracking
35 due to SCC are not considered to be applicable aging effects as long as the piping is not
36 potentially exposed to groundwater. Where these conditions are not met, loss of material due to
37 general (steel only), crevice or pitting corrosion and microbiologically-induced corrosion and
38 cracking due to SCC (SS only) are identified as applicable aging effects. GALL-SLR Report
39 AMP XI.M41, “Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks,” is an acceptable method to manage
40 these aging effects.

41 The reviewer verifies that the concrete was specified to meet ACI 318 or ACI 349 (low water-to-
42 cement ratio, low permeability, and adequate air entrainment) as cited in NUREG–1557. The
43 reviewer should evaluate plant-specific operating experience to determine whether concrete
44 degradation sufficient to allow water intrusion has occurred.

1 3.4.3.2.9 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion and Microbiologically-
2 Induced Corrosion in Components Exposed to Treated Water, Treated Borated
3 Water, or Sodium Pentaborate Solution

4 The GALL-SLR Report recommends that loss of material due to crevice corrosion can occur in
5 steel with SS cladding, SS, and nickel alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger
6 components, spent fuel storage racks, tanks, and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to
7 treated water, treated borated water, or sodium pentaborate solution if oxygen levels are greater
8 than 100 ppb. In addition, loss of material due to pitting can occur if oxygen levels are greater
9 than 100 ppb, halides or sulfates levels are greater than 150 ppb, and stagnant flow conditions
10 exist. Loss of material due to microbiologically-induced corrosion can occur with steel with SS
11 cladding, SS, and nickel alloy piping, piping components, heat exchanger components, spent
12 fuel storage racks, tanks, and PWR heat exchanger components exposed to treated water,
13 treated borated water, or sodium pentaborate solution if the pH is less than 10.5 and
14 temperature is less than 99 °C [210 °F].

15 The reviewer verifies the applicant's chemistry control parameters to determine whether
16 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and a one-time inspection program is
17 implemented (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection") or GALL-SLR
18 Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and a periodic inspection program is implemented
19 (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping
20 and Ducting Components") to manage loss of material.

21 3.4.3.2.10 Loss of Material Due to Pitting and Crevice Corrosion in Aluminum Alloys

22 The GALL-SLR Report recommends a further evaluation to determine whether an AMP is
23 needed to manage the aging effect of loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion of
24 aluminum piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to an air environment. If the applicant
25 claims that a search of 10 years of plant-specific did not reveal any instances of loss of material
26 due to pitting and crevice corrosion exposed to air environments, the staff conducts an
27 independent review of plant-specific operating experience during the AMP audit.

28 An alternative strategy to demonstrating that pitting and crevice corrosion is not applicable is to
29 isolate the aluminum alloy from the air environment using a barrier. Acceptable barriers include
30 anodization and tightly adhering coatings that have been demonstrated to be impermeable to
31 aqueous solutions and atmospheric air that contain halides. If a barrier coating is credited for
32 isolating an aluminum alloy from a potentially aggressive environment then the barrier coating is
33 evaluated to verify that it is impermeable to the plant-specific environment. GALL-SLR Report
34 AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat
35 Exchangers, and Tanks," is an acceptable method to manage the integrity of internal and
36 external barrier coatings.

37 The reviewer is to verify that the SLRA cites the use of GALL-SLR AMP XI.M32, "One-Time
38 Inspection," for all aluminum piping, piping components, and tanks exposed to air environments.
39 Alternatively, if the applicant states that it will utilize a strategy of isolating the aluminum
40 components from the environment, verify that the aluminum components are coated and
41 GALL-SLR AMP XI.M42 has been cited to manage loss of coating integrity.

42 3.4.3.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the
43 GALL in the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal
44 Report

1 The reviewer should confirm that the applicant, in its LRASLRA, has identified applicable aging
2 effects, listed the appropriate combination of materials and environments, and has credited
3 AMPs that will adequately manage the aging effects. The AMP credited by the applicant
4 could be an AMP that is described and evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report or a
5 plant-specific program. Review procedures are described in BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of
6 this SRP-SLR Report).

7 3.4.3.4 Aging Management Programs

8 The reviewer confirms that the applicant has identified the appropriate AMPs as described and
9 evaluated in the GALL Report or a plant-specific program. Review procedures are described in
10 Branch Technical Position RSLB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LR).

11 ~~1.1.7.6~~ 3.4.3.4 Aging Management Programs

12 ~~The reviewer confirms that the applicant has identified the appropriate AMPs as described and~~
13 ~~evaluated in the GALL Report. SLR Report.~~ If the applicant commits to an enhancement to
14 make its LRASLRA AMP consistent with a GALL-SLR Report AMP, then the reviewer is to
15 confirm that this enhancement, when implemented, will make the LRASLRA AMP consistent
16 with the GALL-SLR Report AMP. If the applicant identifies, in the LRASLRA AMP, an exception
17 to any of the program elements of the GALL-SLR Report AMP, the reviewer is to confirm that
18 the LRASLRA AMP with the exception will satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If the
19 reviewer identifies a difference, not identified by the LRASLRA, between the LRASLRA AMP
20 and the GALL-SLR Report AMP with which the LRASLRA claims to be consistent, the reviewer
21 should confirm that the LRASLRA AMP with this difference satisfies 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). The
22 reviewer should document the basis for accepting enhancements, exceptions, or differences.
23 The AMPs evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report pertinent to the steam and power conversion
24 system are summarized in Table 3.4-1 of this SRP-LR-SLR. The "Rev-2GALL-SLR Item" (~~for~~
25 ~~2010)~~ and "Rev1 Item" (~~for 2005 counterpart)~~ columns identify column identifies the AMR item
26 numbers in the GALL-SLR Report, Chapter VIII, presenting detailed information summarized by
27 this row.

28 Table 3.4-1 of this SRP-LRSLR may identify a plant-specific ~~aging management program AMP.~~
29 If the applicant chooses to use a plant-specific program that is not a GALL-SLR AMP, the NRC
30 reviewer should confirm that the plant-specific program satisfies the criteria of ~~Branch Technical~~
31 ~~Position~~BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1.2.3 of this SRP-LR-SLR Report).

32 3.4.3.5 FSAR Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

33 The reviewer confirms that the applicant has provided in the FSAR supplement information
34 equivalent to that in ~~Table 3.0-4~~GALL-SLR for aging management of the steam and power
35 conversion systems. Table 3.4-2 lists the AMPs that are applicable for this SRP-LRSLR
36 subsection. The reviewer also confirms that the applicant has provided information for
37 Subsection 3.4.3.3, "AMR Results Not Consistent with or Not Addressed in the GALL-SLR
38 Report," equivalent to that in Table 3.0-1.

39 The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the
40 applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR Supplement at the next update required
41 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license condition until the FSAR update is
42 complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR Supplement
43 without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change and finds it

1 acceptable pursuant to the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the
2 FSAR to include the final FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, no condition will
3 be necessary.

4 As noted in Table 3.0-1, the applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its
5 FSAR. However, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has identified and committed in
6 the LRASLRA to any future aging management activities, including enhancements and
7 commitments, to be completed before entering the subsequent period of extended operation.
8 The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the
9 applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.

10 **3.4.4 Evaluation Findings**

11 If the reviewer determines that the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the
12 provisions of this section, then an evaluation finding similar to the following text should be
13 included in the NRC staff's safety evaluation report:

14 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that the
15 applicant has demonstrated that the aging effects associated with the steam and
16 power conversion system components will be adequately managed so that the
17 intended functions will be maintained consistent with the CLB for the subsequent
18 period of extended operation, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3).

19 The NRC staff also reviewed the applicable FSAR Supplement program
20 summaries and concludes that they adequately describe the AMPs credited for
21 managing aging of the steam and power conversion system, as required by
22 10 CFR 54.21(d).

23 **3.4.5 Implementation**

24 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for
25 complying with specified portions of the NRC's regulations, the method described herein will be
26 used by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.

27 **3.4.6 References**

28 1. NRC. NUREG--0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports
29 for Nuclear Power Plants," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
30 March 2007.

31 ~~2. NUREG-1801, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL)," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
32 Commission, Revision 2, 2010.~~

33 ~~3.2. NEI. NEI 95-10, "Industry Guideline for Implementing the Requirements of
34 10 CFR Part 54 --The License Renewal Rule," Revision 6. Washington, DC: Nuclear
35 Energy Institute, Revision 6. 1995.~~

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev4GA LL-SLR Item
<u>1M</u>	BWR/PWR <u>1</u>	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Steam or Treated water <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue <u>Steel piping, piping components exposed to steam, treated water</u>	Fatigue is a time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) to be evaluated for the period of extended operation. See the SRP, Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue," for acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1). <u>Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue</u>	Yes, TLAA. (See subsection 3.4.2.2.1)3 <u>SRP-SLR Section 4.2.2.1</u> 3 <u>"Metal Fatigue"</u>	VIII.B1.S-08 VIII.B2.S-08 VIII.D1.S-11 VIII.D2.S-11 VIII.G.S-11 <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.4.2.2.1)</u>	VIII.B1-40(S-08) } VIII.B2-5(S-08) } VIII.D1-7(S-11) } VIII.D2-6(S-11) } VIII.G-37(S-11)
<u>2M</u>	BWR/PWR <u>2</u>	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed to Air – outdoor <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking <u>Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components" <u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	Yes, environmental conditions need to be evaluated (See subsection 3.4.2.2.2) <u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of</u>	VIII.A.SP-118 VIII.B1.SP-118 VIII.B2.SP-118 VIII.C.SP-118 VIII.D1.SP-118 VIII.D2.SP-118	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
					Mechanical Components"	VIII.E.SP-118 VIII.F.SP-118 VIII.G.SP-118 Yes (SRP- SLR Section 3.4.2.2.2)	N/A N/A N/A VIII.A.SP- 118 VIII.B1.S P-118 VIII.B2.S P-118 VIII.C.SP -118 VIII.D1.S P-118 VIII.D2.S P-118 VIII.E.SP- 118 VIII.F.SP- 118 VIII.G.SP -118
<u>3M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>3</u>	<u>Stainless-steel Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements;</u> <u>tanks exposed to Air</u> <u>—outdoor</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to pitting and</u> <u>crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Stainless steel</u> <u>piping, piping</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M36,</u> <u>"External Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u> <u>Loss of</u>	<u>Yes,</u> <u>environmental</u> <u>conditions need</u> <u>to be evaluated</u> <u>(See subsection</u> <u>3.4.2.2.3)</u> <u>AMP</u>	VIII.A.SP-127 VIII.B1.SP-127 VIII.B2.SP-127	N/A N/A N/A

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>components exposed to</u> <u>air – outdoor</u>	<u>material due to pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion</u>	<u>XI.M36,</u> <u>"External</u> <u>Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>VIII.C.SP-127</u> <u>VIII.D1.SP-127</u> <u>VIII.D2.SP-127</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-127</u> <u>VIII.F.SP-127</u> <u>VIII.G.SP-127</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u>
						<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.3)</u>	<u>VIII.A.SP-</u> <u>127</u> <u>VIII.B1.S</u> <u>P-127</u> <u>VIII.B2.S</u> <u>P-127</u> <u>VIII.C.SP</u> <u>-127</u> <u>VIII.D1.S</u> <u>P-127</u> <u>VIII.D2.S</u> <u>P-127</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-</u> <u>127</u> <u>VIII.F.SP-</u> <u>127</u> <u>VIII.G.SP</u> <u>-127</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
4	PWR4	Steel-External surfaces, Bolting exposed to Air with borated water leakage PWR	Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion Steel external surfaces, bolting exposed to air with borated water leakage	Chapter XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion" Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion	No AMP XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion"	VIII.H.S-30 VIII.H.S-40 No	VIII.H-9(S-30) VIII.H-2(S-40)
5M	BWR/PWR5	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Steam, Treated water BWR/PWR	Wall thinning due to flow-accelerated corrosion Steel piping, piping components exposed to steam, treated water	Chapter XI.M17, "Flow-Accelerated Corrosion" Wall thinning due to flow-accelerated corrosion	No AMP XI.M17, "Flow-Accelerated Corrosion"	VIII.A.S-15 VIII.B1.S-15 VIII.B2.S-15 VIII.C.S-15 VIII.D1.S-16 VIII.D2.S-16 VIII.E.S-16 VIII.F.S-16 VIII.G.S-16 No	VIII.A-17(S-15) VIII.B1-9(S-15) VIII.B2-4(S-15) VIII.C-5(S-15) VIII.D1-9(S-16)

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> , <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> , <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> (D) <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							VIII.D- 8(S-16) VIII.E- 35(S-16) VIII.F- 26(S-16) VIII.G- 39(S-16)
<u>6M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>6</u>	<u>Steel, Stainless Steel</u> <u>Bolting exposed to</u> <u>Soil</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of preload</u> <u>Steel,</u> <u>stainless steel bolting</u> <u>exposed to soil</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M18,</u> <u>"Bolting Integrity"</u> <u>Loss</u> <u>of preload due to</u> <u>thermal effects,</u> <u>gasket creep, or self-</u> <u>loosening</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M18,</u> <u>"Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>VIII.H.SP-142</u> <u>VIII.H.SP-144</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>VIII.H.SP</u> <u>-142</u> <u>VIII.H.SP</u> <u>-144</u>
<u>7</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>7</u>	<u>High-strength steel</u> <u>Closure bolting</u> <u>exposed to Air with</u> <u>steam or water</u> <u>leakage</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cracking</u> <u>due to cyclic loading,</u> <u>stress corrosion</u> <u>cracking</u> <u>High-strength</u> <u>steel closure bolting</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M18,</u> <u>"Bolting</u> <u>Integrity"</u> <u>Cracking due</u> <u>to cyclic loading,</u> <u>stress corrosion</u> <u>cracking</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M18,</u> <u>"Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-03</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.H-</u> <u>3(S-03)</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			exposed to air with steam or water leakage				
8	BWR/PWR 8	Steel; stainless steel Bolting, Closure bolting exposed to Air – outdoor (External), Air – indoor, uncontrolled (External) BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, and crevice corrosion Steel; stainless steel bolting, closure bolting exposed to air – outdoor (external), air – indoor uncontrolled (external)	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity" Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	VIII.H.SP-82 VIII.H.SP-84 No	VIII.H-1(S-32) SP-82 VIII.H-4(S-34) SP-84
9	BWR/PWR 9	Steel Closure bolting exposed to Air with steam or water leakage BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general corrosion Steel closure bolting exposed to air with steam or water leakage	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity" Loss of material due to general corrosion	No AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	VIII.H.S-02 No	VIII.H-6(S-02)
10M	BWR/PWR 10	Copper alloy, Nickel alloy, Steel; stainless steel, Steel; stainless steel Bolting, Closure bolting exposed to Any environment, Air – outdoor (External), Air – indoor,	Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, and self-loosening Copper alloy, nickel alloy, steel; stainless steel bolting, closure bolting exposed to any environment, air	Chapter XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity" Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, or self-loosening	No AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"	VIII.H.SP-149 VIII.H.SP-150 VIII.H.SP-154 VIII.H.SP-83 No	N/A N/A N/A VIII.H-5(S-33)

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Co</u> <u>mponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		<u>uncontrolled</u> <u>(External)</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>- outdoor (external), air</u> <u>- indoor uncontrolled</u> <u>(external)</u>				<u>VIII.H.SP</u> <u>-149</u> <u>VIII.H.SP</u> <u>-150</u> <u>VIII.H.SP</u> <u>-151</u> <u>VIII.H.SP</u> <u>-83</u>
<u>14M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>11</u>	<u>Stainless steel Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements,</u> <u>Tanks, Heat</u> <u>exchanger</u> <u>components exposed</u> <u>to Steam, Treated</u> <u>water >60°C</u> <u>(>140°F)</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cracking</u> <u>due to stress corrosion</u> <u>cracking</u> <u>Stainless steel</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components, tanks,</u> <u>heat exchanger</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>steam, treated water</u> <u>>60°C (>140°F)</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M2, "Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>Chapter XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u> <u>Inspection"</u> <u>Cracking</u> <u>due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u> <u>Inspection"</u>	<u>VIII.A.SP-98</u> <u>VIII.B1.SP-88</u> <u>VIII.B1.SP-98</u> <u>VIII.B2.SP-98</u> <u>VIII.C.SP-88</u> <u>VIII.D1.SP-88</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-88</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-97</u> <u>VIII.F.SP-85</u> <u>VIII.F.SP-88</u> <u>VIII.G.SP-88</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.A-</u> <u>11(SP-45)</u> <u>98</u> <u>VIII.B1-</u> <u>5(SP-17)</u> <u>88</u> <u>VIII.B1-</u> <u>2(SP-44)</u> <u>98</u> <u>VIII.B2-</u> <u>1(SP-45)</u> <u>98</u> <u>VIII.C-</u> <u>2(SP-17)</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Co</u> <u>mponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							<u>88</u> VIII.D1- 5(<u>SP-17</u>) <u>88</u> VIII.E- 30(<u>SP-17</u>) <u>88</u> VIII.E- 38(<u>SP-42</u>) <u>97</u> VIII.F-3(<u>S-</u> <u>39</u>) <u>SP-85</u> VIII.F- 24(<u>SP-17</u>) <u>88</u> VIII.G- 33(<u>SP-17</u>) <u>88</u>
<u>12M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>12</u>	<u>Steel; stainless steel</u> <u>Tanks exposed to</u> <u>Treated</u> <u>water</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general (steel</u> <u>only), pitting, and</u> <u>crevice corrosion</u> <u>Steel</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M2, "Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>Chapter XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M32,</u>	<u>VIII.E.SP-75</u> <u>VIII.G.SP-75</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E-</u> <u>40(S-13)</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			tanks exposed to treated water	Inspection"Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC	"One-Time Inspection"		.SP-75 VIII.G-41(S-13) .SP-75
13M	PWR13	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Treated waterPWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosionSteel piping, piping components exposed to treated water	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC	NoAMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	VIII.B1.SP-74 VIII.D1.SP-74 VIII.F.SP-74 VIII.G.SP-74 No	VIII.B1-41(S-10) .SP-74 VIII.D1-8(S-10) .SP-74 VIII.F-25(S-10) .SP-74 VIII.G-38(S-10) .SP-74
14M	BWR/PWR 14	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements, PWR heat exchanger components exposed to Steam, Treated waterBWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosionSteel piping, piping components, PWR heat exchanger components exposed to steam, treated water	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (treated water only)	NoAMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	VIII.A.SP-71 VIII.B1.SP-71 VIII.B2.SP-160 VIII.B2.SP-73 VIII.C.SP-71	VIII.A-15(S-04) .SP-71 VIII.B1-8(S-07)

Table 3.4-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Steam and Power Conversion System Evaluated in Chapter VIII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Co</u> <u>mponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						<p>VIII.C.SP-73</p> <p>VIII.D2.SP-73</p> <p>VIII.E.SP-73</p> <p>VIII.E.SP-78</p> <p>VIII.F.SP-78</p> <p><u>No</u></p>	<p><u>.SP-71</u> <u>VIII.B2-</u> <u>3(S-05)</u></p> <p><u>.SP-160</u> <u>VIII.B2-</u> <u>6(S-09)</u></p> <p><u>.SP-73</u> <u>VIII.C-3(S-</u> <u>04)</u></p> <p><u>.SP-71</u> <u>VIII.C-6(S-</u> <u>09)</u></p> <p><u>.SP-73</u> <u>VIII.D2-</u> <u>7(S-09)</u></p> <p><u>.SP-73</u> <u>VIII.E-</u> <u>33(S-09)</u></p> <p><u>.SP-73</u> <u>VIII.E-</u> <u>37(S-19)</u></p>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Co</u> <u>mponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							<u>.SP-78</u> <u>VIII.F-</u> <u>28(S-19)</u>
<u>15M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>15</u>	<u>Steel Heat exchanger</u> <u>components exposed</u> <u>to Treated</u> <u>water</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice, and galvanic</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Steel heat</u> <u>exchanger components</u> <u>exposed to treated</u> <u>water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M2, "Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>Chapter XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u> <u>Inspection"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u> <u>Inspection"</u>	<u>VIII.E.SP-77</u> <u>No</u>	<u>.SP-78</u> <u>VIII.E-7(S-</u> <u>18)</u> <u>.SP-77</u>
<u>16M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>16</u>	<u>Copper alloy,</u> <u>Stainless steel, Nickel</u> <u>alloy, Aluminum</u> <u>Piping, piping</u> <u>components, and</u> <u>piping elements, Heat</u> <u>exchanger</u> <u>components and</u> <u>tubes, PWR heat</u> <u>exchanger</u> <u>components exposed</u> <u>to Treated water,</u> <u>Steam</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to pitting and</u> <u>crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Copper alloy,</u> <u>aluminum piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>treated water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M2, "Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>Chapter XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u> <u>Inspection"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>general (copper alloy</u> <u>only), pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion, MIC</u> <u>(copper alloy only)</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u> <u>Inspection"</u>	<u>VIII.A.SP-104</u> <u>VIII.A.SP-155</u> <u>VIII.B1.SP-155</u> <u>VIII.B1.SP-157</u> <u>VIII.B1.SP-87</u> <u>VIII.B2.SP-155</u> <u>VIII.C.SP-87</u> <u>VIII.D1.SP-87</u>	<u>VIII.A-</u> <u>5(SP-61)</u> <u>VIII.A-</u> <u>12(SP-43)</u> <u>VIII.B1-</u> <u>3(SP-43)</u> <u>VIII.B1-</u> <u>1(SP-18)</u> <u>VIII.B1-</u> <u>4(SP-16)</u> <u>VIII.B2-</u> <u>2(SP43)</u>

Table 3.4-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Steam and Power Conversion System Evaluated in Chapter VIII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>Type ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4 GALL-SLR Item</u>
						VIII.D1.SP-90 VIII.D2.SP-87 VIII.D2.SP-90 VIII.E.SP-80 VIII.E.SP-81 VIII.E.SP-87 VIII.E.SP-90 VIII.F.SP-104 VIII.F.SP-81 VIII.F.SP-87 VIII.F.SP-90 VIII.G.SP-87 VIII.G.SP-90 No	VIII.C-1(SP-16) <u>101</u> VIII.D1-4(SP-16) VIII.D1-1(SP-24) <u>90</u> VIII.D2-4(SP-16) VIII.D2-1(SP-24) <u>90</u> VIII.E-4(S-21) VIII.E-36(S-22) VIII.E-29(SP-16)

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Co</u> <u>mponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							VIII.E- 15(SP-24) 90 VIII.F- 15(SP-64) 101 VIII.F- 27(S-22) VIII.F- 23(SP-16) VIII.F- 12(SP-24) 90 VIII.G- 32(SP-16) VIII.G- 17(SP-24) 90
17	PWR17	Copper alloy Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Treated water:PWR	Reduction of Copper alloy heat transfer	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32,	VIII.F.SP-100 No	VIII.F- 7(SP-58) 100

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>due</u> <u>exchanger tubes</u> <u>exposed to</u> <u>fouling</u> <u>treated water</u>	Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling	"One-Time Inspection"		
18	BWR/PWR 18	Copper alloy, Stainless steel Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Treated water BWR/PWR	Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling Copper alloy, stainless steel heat exchanger tubes exposed to treated water	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	VIII.E.SP-100 VIII.E.SP-96 VIII.F.SP-96 VIII.G.SP-100 No	VIII.E- 10(SP-58) 100 VIII.E- 13(SP-40) 96 VIII.F- 10(SP-40) 96 VIII.G- 10(SP-58) 100
19M	BWR/PWR 19	Stainless steel, Steel Heat exchanger components exposed to Raw water BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, galvanic, and microbiologically- influenced corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion Stainless steel, steel heat	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System" Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion; flow	No AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"	VIII.E.SP-117 VIII.E.SP-146 VIII.F.SP-146 VIII.G.SP-117 VIII.G.SP-146	VIII.E-3(S- 26) .SP-117 VIII.E-6(S- 24)

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Co</u> <u>mponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>exchanger components</u> <u>exposed to raw water</u>	<u>blockage due to</u> <u>fouling</u>		<u>No</u>	<u>.SP-146</u> <u>VIII.F-5(S-</u> <u>24)</u> <u>.SP-146</u> <u>VIII.F.SP-</u> <u>117</u> <u>VIII.G-4(S-</u> <u>26)</u> <u>.SP-117</u> <u>VIII.G-7(S-</u> <u>24)</u> <u>.SP-146</u>
<u>20M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>20</u>	<u>Copper alloy,</u> <u>Stainless steel Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Raw</u> <u>water</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to pitting, crevice,</u> <u>and microbiologically-</u> <u>influenced</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Copper alloy,</u> <u>stainless steel piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to raw water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M20,</u> <u>"Open-Cycle Cooling</u> <u>Water System"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>general (copper alloy</u> <u>only), pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion, MIC; flow</u> <u>blockage due to</u> <u>fouling</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M20,</u> <u>"Open-Cycle</u> <u>Cooling Water</u> <u>System"</u>	<u>VIII.A.SP-31</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-31</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-36</u> <u>VIII.F.SP-31</u> <u>VIII.F.SP-36</u> <u>VIII.G.SP-31</u> <u>VIII.G.SP-36</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.A-</u> <u>4(SP-31</u> <u>)</u> <u>VIII.E-</u> <u>18(SP-31</u> <u>)</u> <u>VIII.E-</u> <u>27(SP-36</u> <u>)</u> <u>VIII.F-</u> <u>14(SP-31</u> <u>)</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Co</u> <u>mponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							VIII.F- 22(SP-36) VIII.G- 20(SP-31) VIII.G- 30(SP- 36)
<u>24</u> <u>D</u>	<u>PWR</u> <u>21</u>	Stainless steel Heat exchanger components exposed to Raw water	Loss of material due to pitting, crevice, and microbiologically- influenced corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle-Cooling Water System"	No	VIII.F.SP-117	VIII.F-2(S- 26)
<u>22</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>22</u>	Stainless steel, Copper alloy, Steel Heat exchanger tubes, Heat exchanger components exposed to Raw water <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling <u>Stainless steel, copper alloy, steel heat exchanger tubes, heat exchanger components exposed to raw water</u>	Chapter XI.M20, "Open-Cycle-Cooling Water System" <u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	No <u>AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System"</u>	VIII.E.S-28 VIII.E.SP-56 VIII.F.S-28 VIII.F.SP-56 VIII.G.S-27	VIII.E- 12(S-28) VIII.E- 9(SP-56)

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						VIII.G-S-28 VIII.G.SP-56 No	VIII.F- 9(S-28) VIII.F- 6(SP-56) VIII.G- 16(S-27) VIII.G- 13(S-28) VIII.G- 9(SP-56)
23M	BWR/PWR 23	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Closed- cycle cooling water >60°C (>140°F) BWR/PWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water >60°C (>140°F)	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	No AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	VIII.E.SP-54 VIII.F.SP-54 VIII.G.SP-54 No	VIII.E- 25(SP-54) VIII.F- 24(SP-54)

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Co</u> <u>mponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							VIII.G-28(S-54)
<u>24</u> <u>D</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>24</u>	<u>Steel Heat</u> <u>exchanger</u> <u>components exposed</u> <u>to Closed-cycle</u> <u>cooling water</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice, and galvanic</u> <u>corrosion</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M21A,</u> <u>"Closed Treated</u> <u>Water Systems"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.A.S-23</u>	<u>VIII.A-1(S-</u> <u>23)</u>
<u>25</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>25</u>	<u>Steel Heat exchanger</u> <u>components exposed</u> <u>to Closed-cycle</u> <u>cooling</u> <u>water</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice, and galvanic</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Steel heat</u> <u>exchanger components</u> <u>exposed to closed-cycle</u> <u>cooling water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M21A,</u> <u>"Closed Treated</u> <u>Water Systems"</u> <u>Loss</u> <u>of material due to</u> <u>general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>XI.M21A,</u> <u>"Closed Treated</u> <u>Water Systems"</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-23</u> <u>VIII.F.S-23</u> <u>VIII.G.S-23</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.A.S-</u> <u>23</u> <u>VIII.E-</u> <u>5(S-23</u> <u>)</u> <u>VIII.F-</u> <u>4(S-23</u> <u>)</u> <u>VIII.G-</u> <u>5(S-23)</u>
<u>26</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>26</u>	<u>Stainless steel Heat</u> <u>exchanger</u> <u>components, Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Closed-</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to pitting and</u> <u>crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Stainless steel</u> <u>heat exchanger</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M21A,</u> <u>"Closed Treated</u> <u>Water Systems"</u> <u>Loss</u> <u>of material due to</u> <u>pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>XI.M21A,</u> <u>"Closed Treated</u> <u>Water Systems"</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-25</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-39</u> <u>VIII.F.S-25</u>	<u>VIII.E-</u> <u>2(S-25</u> <u>)</u>

Table 3.4-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Steam and Power Conversion System Evaluated in Chapter VIII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> , <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> , <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		cycle-cooling water <u>BWR/PWR</u>	components, piping, piping components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water			VIII.F.SP-39 VIII.G.S-25 VIII.G.SP-39 <u>No</u>	VIII.E- 24(<u>SP-39</u>) VIII.F- 14(<u>S-25</u>) VIII.F- 20(<u>SP-39</u>) VIII.G- 2(<u>S-25</u>) VIII.G- 27(<u>SP-39</u>)
27M	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>27</u>	Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Closed- cycle-cooling water <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Loss of material due to pitting, crevice, and galvanic corrosion Copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems" Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC	<u>No</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>XI.M21A,</u> <u>"Closed Treated</u> <u>Water Systems"</u>	VIII.E.SP-8 VIII.F.SP-8 VIII.G.SP-8 <u>No</u>	VIII.E- 16(<u>SP-8</u>) VIII.F- 13(<u>SP-8</u>)

Table 3.4-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Steam and Power Conversion System Evaluated in Chapter VIII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u> <u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item</u> <u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4 GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							VIII.G-18(SP-8)
28M	BWR/PWR 28	Steel, Stainless steel, Copper alloy Heat exchanger components and tubes, Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Closed-cycle cooling water BWR/PWR	Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling Steel, stainless steel, copper alloy heat exchanger components and tubes exposed to closed-cycle cooling water	Chapter XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems" Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling	No AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems"	VIII.A.SP-64 VIII.E.SP-41 VIII.E.SP-57 VIII.E.SP-64 VIII.F.SP-41 VIII.F.SP-64 VIII.G.SP-41 VIII.G.SP-64 No	VIII.A-2(SP-64) VIII.E-11(SP-41) VIII.E-8(SP-57) VIII.E-14(SP-64) VIII.F-8(SP-41) VIII.F-11(SP-64)

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Co</u> <u>mponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							VIII.G- 44(SP-41) VIII.G- 44(SP- 64)
29	BWR/PWR 29	Steel Tanks exposed to Air—outdoor (External)BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosionSteel tanks exposed to air – outdoor (external)	Chapter XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	NoAMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"	VIII.E.S-31 VIII.G.S-31 No	VIII.E- 39(S-31) VIII.G- 40(S-31)
30M	BWR/PWR 30	Steel, Stainless Steel, Aluminum Tanks exposed to Soil or Concrete, Air— outdoor (External)BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosionSteel tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to soil, concrete, air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled, moist air, condensation (external)	Chapter XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (soil environment only)	NoAMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"	VIII.E.SP-115 VIII.E.SP-138 VIII.E.SP-140 VIII.G.SP-116 No	N/A N/A N/A N/A VIII.E.SP- 115 VIII.G.SP -116

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Furthe r Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev4GA LL-SLR Item
<u>31D</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>31</u>	<u>Stainless steel,</u> <u>Aluminum Tanks</u> <u>exposed to Soil or</u> <u>Concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to pitting, and</u> <u>crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M29,</u> <u>"Aboveground Metallic</u> <u>Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E.SP-137</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-139</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u>
<u>32M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>32</u>	<u>Gray cast iron Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to</u> <u>Soil</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to selective</u> <u>leaching</u> <u>Gray cast iron</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>soil, ground water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M33,</u> <u>"Selective</u> <u>Leaching"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>selective leaching</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M33,</u> <u>"Selective</u> <u>Leaching"</u>	<u>VIII.E.SP-26</u> <u>VIII.G.SP-26</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E-</u> <u>22(SP-26</u> <u>)</u> <u>VIII.G-</u> <u>25(SP-</u> <u>26)</u>
<u>33M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>33</u>	<u>Gray cast iron,</u> <u>Copper alloy (>15%</u> <u>Zn or >8% Al) Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Treated</u> <u>water, Raw water,</u> <u>Closed-cycle cooling</u> <u>water</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to selective</u> <u>leaching</u> <u>Gray cast iron,</u> <u>copper alloy (>15% Zn</u> <u>or >8% Al) piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to treated</u> <u>water, raw water,</u> <u>closed-cycle cooling</u> <u>water, soil, ground</u> <u>water</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M33,</u> <u>"Selective</u> <u>Leaching"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>selective leaching</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M33,</u> <u>"Selective</u> <u>Leaching"</u>	<u>VIII.A.SP-27</u> <u>VIII.A.SP-28</u> <u>VIII.A.SP-30</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-27</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-29</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-30</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-55</u> <u>VIII.F.SP-27</u> <u>VIII.F.SP-29</u>	<u>VIII.A-</u> <u>8(SP-27</u> <u>)</u> <u>VIII.A-</u> <u>7(SP-28</u> <u>)</u> <u>VIII.A-</u> <u>6(SP-30</u> <u>)</u> <u>VIII.E-</u> <u>23(SP-27</u> <u>)</u>

Table 3.4-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Steam and Power Conversion System Evaluated in Chapter VIII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						VIII.F.SP-30 VIII.F.SP-55 VIII.G.SP-27 VIII.G.SP-28 VIII.G.SP-29 VIII.G.SP-30 VIII.G.SP-55 No	.S-440 VIII.E- 19(SP-29) 27 VIII.E- 20(SP-30) 29 VIII.E- 24(SP-30) VIII.E.SP- 55 VIII.F- 19(SP-27) .S-440 VIII.F- 16(SP-29) 27 VIII.F- 17(SP-30) 29 VIII.F- 18(SP-30) VIII.F.SP-

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							55 } VIII.G- 26(<u>S-440</u> <u>VIII.G.SP</u> -27 } VIII.G- 24(<u>SP-28</u>) VIII.G- 24(<u>SP-28</u> <u>VIII.G.SP</u> -29 } VIII.G- 22(<u>SP-30</u>) VIII.G- 23(<u>SP-30</u> <u>VIII.G.SP</u> -55)
<u>34M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>34</u>	<u>Steel External</u> <u>surfaces exposed to</u> <u>Air—indoor,</u> <u>uncontrolled</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Steel external</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M36,</u> <u>"External Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u>	<u>No AMP XI.M36,</u> <u>"External</u> <u>Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-29</u> <u>VIII.H.S-41</u>	<u>VIII.H-</u> <u>7(<u>S-29</u></u> <u>)</u>

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GALL-SLR Item
		(External), Air – outdoor (External), Condensation (External) BWR/PWR	surfaces exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled (external), air – outdoor (external), condensation (external)	Components "Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	Mechanical Components"	VIII.H.S-42 No	VIII.H-8(S-41) VIII.H-10(S-42)
35M	BWR/PWR 35	Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air – outdoor BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Aluminum piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor	Chapter XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components" "Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"	VIII.H.SP-147 No	N/A VIII.H.SP-147
36M	PWR36	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air – outdoor (Internal) PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion Steel piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor (internal)	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components" "Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	VIII.B1.SP-59 No	VIII.B1-6(SP-59)
37M	PWR37	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion Steel piping,	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting	No AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and	VIII.B1.SP-60 VIII.G.SP-60 No	VIII.B1-7(SP-60)

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GALL-SLR Item
		Condensation (Internal) PWR	piping components exposed to condensation (internal)	Components "Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	Ducting Components"		VIII.G- 34(SP- 60)
38M	PWR38	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Raw water-PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, galvanic, and microbiologically- influenced corrosion; fouling that leads to corrosion Steel piping, piping components exposed to raw water	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components" Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC; fouling that leads to corrosion	No AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	VIII.G.SP-136 No	VIII.G- 36(S-12) .SP-136
39M	BWR/PWR 39	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Condensation (Internal) BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to condensation (internal)	Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components" Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	VIII.B1.SP-110 VIII.B2.SP-110 No	N/A N/A VIII.B1.S P-110 VIII.B2.S P-110
40M	BWR/PWR 40	Steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Lubricating oil BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion Steel piping, piping components	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	No AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	VIII.A.SP-91 VIII.D1.SP-91 VIII.D2.SP-91	VIII.A- 14(SP-25)

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>exposed to lubricating</u> <u>oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion, MIC</u>		<u>VIII.E.SP-94</u> <u>VIII.G.SP-94</u> <u>No</u>	<u>91</u> <u>VIII.D1-</u> <u>6(SP-25)</u> <u>91</u> <u>VIII.D2-</u> <u>5(SP-25)</u> <u>91</u> <u>VIII.E-</u> <u>32(SP-25)</u> <u>91</u> <u>VIII.G-</u> <u>35(SP-25)</u> <u>91</u>
<u>41</u>	<u>PWR41</u>	<u>Steel Heat exchanger</u> <u>components exposed</u> <u>to Lubricating oil</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice, and</u> <u>microbiologically-</u> <u>influenced</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Steel heat</u> <u>exchanger components</u> <u>exposed to lubricating</u> <u>oil</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M39,</u> <u>"Lubricating Oil</u> <u>Analysis," and</u> <u>Chapter XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time Inspection"</u> <u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M39,</u> <u>"Lubricating Oil</u> <u>Analysis," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u> <u>Inspection"</u>	<u>VIII.G.SP-76</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.G-6(S-</u> <u>17)</u> <u>.SP-76</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>42M</u>	<u>PWR42</u>	Aluminum Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Lubricating oil <u>PWR</u>	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Aluminum piping, piping components exposed to lubricating oil	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	VIII.G.SP-114 No	N/A <u>VIII.G.SP</u> <u>-114</u>
<u>43M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>43</u>	Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Lubricating oil <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to lubricating oil	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	VIII.A.SP-92 VIII.D1.SP-92 VIII.D2.SP-92 VIII.E.SP-92 VIII.G.SP-92 No	VIII.A- 3(<u>SP-32</u>) <u>92</u> VIII.D1- 2(<u>SP-32</u>) <u>92</u> VIII.D2- 2(<u>SP-32</u>) <u>92</u> VIII.E- 17(<u>SP-32</u>) <u>92</u> VIII.G- 19(<u>SP-32</u>) <u>92</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
44M	BWR/PWR 44	Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements, Heat exchanger components exposed to Lubricating oil BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to pitting, crevice, and microbiologically-influenced corrosion Stainless steel piping, piping components, heat exchanger components exposed to lubricating oil	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC	No AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	VIII.A.SP-95 VIII.D1.SP-95 VIII.D2.SP-95 VIII.E.SP-95 VIII.G.SP-79 VIII.G.SP-95 No	VIII.A-9(SP-38) 95 VIII.D1-3(SP-38) 95 VIII.D2-3(SP-38) 95 VIII.E-26(SP-38) 95 VIII.G-3(S-20) .SP-79 VIII.G-29(SP-38) 95
45	PWR45	Aluminum Heat exchanger components and tubes exposed to Lubricating oil PWR	Reduction of Aluminum heat transfer due exchanger components and tubes	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time	No AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	VIII.G.SP-113 No	N/A VIII.G.SP-113

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			exposed to fouling lubricating oil	Inspection "Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling"			
46	PWR46	Stainless steel, Steel, Copper alloy Heat exchanger tubes exposed to Lubricating oil PWR	Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling Stainless steel, steel, copper alloy heat exchanger tubes exposed to lubricating oil	Chapter XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and Chapter XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection" Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling	No AMP XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," and AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"	VIII.G.SP-102 VIII.G.SP-103 VIII.G.SP-99 No	VIII.G- 12(SP-62) 102 VIII.G- 15(SP-63) 103 VIII.G- 8(SP-53) 99
47M	BWR/PWR 47	Steel (with coating or wrapping) Piping, piping components, and piping elements; tanks exposed to Soil or Concrete BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and microbiologically- influenced corrosion Steel (with coating or wrapping), stainless steel, nickel- alloy piping, piping components, tanks exposed to soil, concrete	Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks" Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC	No AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"	VIII.E.SP-145 VIII.G.SP-145 No	VIII.E-1(S- 04) .SP-145 VIII.G-1(S- 04) .SP-145

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GALL-SLR Item
<u>48M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>48</u>	<u>Stainless Steel Bolting exposed to Soil</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion</u> <u>Stainless steel, nickel alloy bolting exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u> <u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (soil environment only)</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>VIII.H.SP-143</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>VIII.H.SP-143</u>
<u>49M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>49</u>	<u>Stainless steel Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Soil or Concrete</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion</u> <u>Stainless steel, nickel alloy piping, piping components exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u> <u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (soil environment only)</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>VIII.E.SP-94</u> <u>VIII.G.SP-94</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E-28</u> <u>(SP-37)</u> <u>94</u> <u>VIII.G-34</u> <u>(SP-37)</u> <u>94</u>
<u>50M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>50</u>	<u>Steel Bolting exposed to Soil</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material -due to general, pitting and crevice corrosion</u> <u>Steel bolting exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u> <u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC (soil environment only)</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>VIII.H.SP-141</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>VIII.H.SP-141</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>50x</u>	<u>Underground Stainless Steel and Steel Piping, piping components, and</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting and</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u> <u>Loss of</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground</u>	<u>VIII.H.SP-161</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>VIII.H.SP-161</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		<u>crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Underground</u> <u>steel, nickel alloy,</u> <u>copper alloy piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to air-indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled,</u> <u>condensation, air-</u> <u>outdoor (external)</u>	<u>material due to</u> <u>general (steel, copper</u> <u>alloy only), pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Piping and</u> <u>Tanks"</u>			
<u>51</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>51</u>	<u>Steel Piping, piping</u> <u>components, and</u> <u>piping elements</u> <u>exposed to</u> <u>Concrete</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Steel piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to concrete</u>	<u>None,</u> <u>provided</u> <u>1) attributes of the</u> <u>concrete are</u> <u>consistent with ACI</u> <u>318 or ACI 349 (low</u> <u>water-to-cement ratio,</u> <u>low permeability, and</u> <u>adequate air</u> <u>entrainment) as cited</u> <u>in NUREG-1557, and</u> <u>2) plant OE indicates</u> <u>no degradation of the</u> <u>concrete</u>	<u>No, if conditions</u> <u>are met.</u> <u>None</u>	<u>VIII.I.SP-154</u> <u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.8)</u>	<u>VIII.I-</u> <u>14(</u> <u>SP-2)</u> <u>154</u>
<u>52</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>52</u>	<u>Aluminum Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Gas, Air—</u> <u>indoor, uncontrolled</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Aluminum piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to gas</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>NA—No AEM or</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	<u>VIII.I.SP-23</u> <u>VIII.I.SP-93</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.I-</u> <u>14(</u> <u>SP-23)</u> <u>N/A</u>

Table 3.4-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Steam and Power Conversion System Evaluated in Chapter VIII of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u> (Internal/External) <u>BW</u> <u>R/PWR</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Co</u> <u>mponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
53M	PWR53	Copper alloy ($\leq 15\%$ Zn and $\leq 8\%$ Al) Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Air with borated water leakage <u>PWR</u>	None <u>Copper alloy</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air with borated water</u> <u>leakage</u>	None	NA – No AEM or <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	VIII.I.SP-104 <u>No</u>	N/A <u>VIII.I.SP-</u> <u>104</u>
54M	BWR/PWR 54	Copper alloy Piping, piping components, and piping elements exposed to Gas, Air – indoor, uncontrolled (External) <u>BWR/PWR</u>	None <u>Copper alloy</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>gas, air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled (external)</u>	None	NA – No AEM or <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	VIII.I.SP-5 VIII.I.SP-6 <u>No</u>	VIII.I- 3(<u>SP-5</u>) VIII.I- 2(<u>SP-6</u>)
55M	BWR/PWR 55	Glass Piping elements exposed to Lubricating oil, Air – outdoor, Condensation (Internal/External), Raw water, Treated water, Air with borated water leakage, Gas,	None <u>Glass piping</u> <u>elements exposed to</u> <u>lubricating oil, air, air –</u> <u>outdoor, condensation,</u> <u>raw water, treated</u> <u>water, air with borated</u> <u>water leakage, gas,</u> <u>closed-cycle cooling</u> <u>water, air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled (external)</u>	None	NA – No AEM or <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	VIII.I.SP-10 VIII.I.SP-108 VIII.I.SP-111 VIII.I.SP-33 VIII.I.SP-34	VIII.I- 6(<u>SP-10</u>) N/A N/A VIII.I- 4(<u>SP-33</u>)

Table 3.4-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Steam and Power Conversion System Evaluated in Chapter VIII of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		Closed-cycle cooling water, Air—indoor, uncontrolled (External) BWR/PWR				<p>VIII.I.SP-35</p> <p>VIII.I.SP-67</p> <p>VIII.I.SP-68</p> <p>VIII.I.SP-69</p> <p>VIII.I.SP-70</p> <p>VIII.I.SP-9</p> <p>No</p>	<p>108</p> <p>VIII.I-7(SP-34)</p> <p>33</p> <p>VIII.I-8(SP-35)</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>34</p> <p>VIII.I-5(SP-35)</p> <p>VIII.I.SP-67</p> <p>VIII.I.SP-68</p> <p>VIII.I.SP-69</p> <p>VIII.I.SP-70</p> <p>VIII.I.SP-9)</p>

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev4GA LL-SLR Item
<u>56M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>56</u>	<u>Nickel alloy Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Air—</u> <u>indoor, uncontrolled</u> <u>(External)</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Nickel alloy piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled (external)</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>NA – No AEM or</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	<u>VIII.I.SP-11</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.I-</u> <u>9(SP-11)</u>
<u>57M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>57</u>	<u>Nickel alloy, PVC</u> <u>Piping, piping</u> <u>components, and</u> <u>piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Air with</u> <u>borated water</u> <u>leakage, Air— indoor,</u> <u>uncontrolled,</u> <u>Condensation</u> <u>(Internal)</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Nickel alloy, PVC</u> <u>Piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air with borated water</u> <u>leakage, air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled,</u> <u>condensation (internal)</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>NA – No AEM or</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	<u>VIII.I.SP-148</u> <u>VIII.I.SP-152</u> <u>VIII.I.SP-153</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>VIII.I.SP-</u> <u>148</u> <u>VIII.I.SP-</u> <u>152</u> <u>VIII.I.SP-</u> <u>153</u>
<u>58M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>58</u>	<u>Stainless steel Piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>and piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Air—</u> <u>indoor, uncontrolled</u> <u>(External), Concrete,</u> <u>Gas, Air— indoor,</u> <u>uncontrolled</u> <u>(Internal)</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Stainless steel</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled (external),</u> <u>gas, air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled (internal)</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>NA – No AEM or</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	<u>VIII.I.SP-12</u> <u>VIII.I.SP-13</u> <u>VIII.I.SP-15</u> <u>VIII.I.SP-86</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.I-</u> <u>10(SP-12</u> <u>)</u> <u>VIII.I-</u> <u>11(SP-13)</u> <u>15</u> <u>VIII.I-</u> <u>12(SP-15)</u> <u>N/A</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> , <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M)</u> , <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							86
<u>59M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>59</u>	<u>Steel Piping, piping</u> <u>components, and</u> <u>piping elements</u> <u>exposed to Air—</u> <u>indoor-controlled</u> <u>(External),</u> <u>Gas</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>None</u> <u>Steel piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to air – indoor</u> <u>controlled (external),</u> <u>gas</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>NA—No AEM or</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>None</u>	<u>VIII.I.SP-1</u> <u>VIII.I.SP-4</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VIII.I-</u> <u>13(SP-1</u> <u>)</u> <u>VIII.I-</u> <u>15(SP-4)</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Any material piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to treated</u> <u>water</u>	<u>Wall thinning due to</u> <u>erosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M17,</u> <u>"Flow-</u> <u>Accelerated</u> <u>Corrosion"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.D1.S-</u> <u>408</u> <u>VIII.D2.S-</u> <u>408</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>408</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Metallic piping, piping</u> <u>components, tanks</u> <u>exposed to raw water,</u> <u>waste water</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to recurring internal</u> <u>corrosion</u>	<u>Plant-specific</u> <u>aging</u> <u>management</u> <u>program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.6)</u>	<u>VIII.A.S-</u> <u>400</u> <u>VIII.B1.S-</u> <u>400</u> <u>VIII.B2.S-</u> <u>400</u> <u>VIII.C.S-</u> <u>400</u> <u>VIII.D1.S-</u> <u>400</u> <u>VIII.D2.S-</u> <u>400</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							<u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>400</u> <u>VIII.F.S-</u> <u>400</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>400</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel or</u> <u>aluminum tanks (within</u> <u>the scope of AMP</u> <u>XI.M29, "Aboveground</u> <u>Metallic Tanks")</u> <u>exposed to treated</u> <u>water</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to general (steel only),</u> <u>pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29,</u> <u>"Aboveground</u> <u>Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>405</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>405</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated steel, copper</u> <u>alloy, copper alloy</u> <u>(> 15% Zn), aluminum</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components, tanks</u> <u>exposed to</u> <u>condensation, air –</u> <u>outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to general (steel,</u> <u>copper alloy only),</u> <u>pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion; cracking</u> <u>due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u> <u>(copper alloy (>15%</u> <u>Zn) only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36,</u> <u>"External</u> <u>Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-</u> <u>402</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Jacketed thermal</u> <u>insulation in an air –</u> <u>indoor uncontrolled, air</u> <u>– outdoor environment,</u> <u>air with borated water</u> <u>leakage, air with reactor</u> <u>coolant leakage, or air</u>	<u>Reduced thermal</u> <u>insulation resistance</u> <u>due to moisture</u> <u>intrusion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36,</u> <u>"External</u> <u>Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-</u> <u>403</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evalua</u> <u>tion</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>with steam or water</u> <u>leakage</u>				
<u>D</u>	<u>65</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>M</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Any material piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>heat exchangers, tanks</u> <u>with internal</u> <u>coatings/linings</u> <u>exposed to closed-cycle</u> <u>cooling water, raw</u> <u>water, treated water,</u> <u>treated borated water,</u> <u>lubricating oil</u>	<u>Loss of coating or</u> <u>lining integrity due to</u> <u>blistering, cracking,</u> <u>flaking, peeling,</u> <u>delamination, rusting,</u> <u>physical damage,</u> <u>spalling for</u> <u>cementitious</u> <u>coatings/linings</u>	<u>AMP XI.M42,</u> <u>"Internal</u> <u>Coatings/Linings</u> <u>for In-Scope</u> <u>Piping, Piping</u> <u>Components,</u> <u>Heat</u> <u>Exchangers, and</u> <u>Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.A.S-</u> <u>401</u> <u>VIII.B1.S-</u> <u>401</u> <u>VIII.B2.S-</u> <u>401</u> <u>VIII.C.S-</u> <u>401</u> <u>VIII.D1.S-</u> <u>401</u> <u>VIII.D2.S-</u> <u>401</u> <u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>401</u> <u>VIII.F.S-</u> <u>401</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>401</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Any material piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>heat exchangers, tanks</u> <u>with internal</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion,</u> <u>MIC; fouling that leads</u>	<u>AMP XI.M42,</u> <u>"Internal</u> <u>Coatings/Linings</u> <u>for In-Scope</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.A.S-</u> <u>414</u> <u>VIII.B1.S-</u> <u>414</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evalua</u> <u>tion</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>coatings/linings</u> <u>exposed to closed-cycle</u> <u>cooling water, raw</u> <u>water, treated water,</u> <u>treated borated water,</u> <u>lubricating oil</u>	<u>to corrosion; cracking</u> <u>due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>Piping, Piping</u> <u>Components,</u> <u>Heat</u> <u>Exchangers, and</u> <u>Tanks"</u>		<u>VIII.B2.S-</u> <u>414</u> <u>VIII.C.S-</u> <u>414</u> <u>VIII.D1.S-</u> <u>414</u> <u>VIII.D2.S-</u> <u>414</u> <u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>414</u> <u>VIII.F.S-</u> <u>414</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>414</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Gray cast iron piping</u> <u>components with</u> <u>internal coatings/linings</u> <u>exposed to closed-cycle</u> <u>cooling water, raw</u> <u>water, treated water,</u> <u>waste water</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to selective leaching</u>	<u>AMP XI.M42,</u> <u>"Internal</u> <u>Coatings/Linings</u> <u>for In-Scope</u> <u>Piping, Piping</u> <u>Components,</u> <u>Heat</u> <u>Exchangers, and</u> <u>Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.A.S-</u> <u>415</u> <u>VIII.B1.S-</u> <u>415</u> <u>VIII.B2.S-</u> <u>415</u> <u>VIII.C.S-</u> <u>415</u> <u>VIII.D1.S-</u> <u>415</u> <u>VIII.D2.S-</u> <u>415</u> <u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>415</u> <u>VIII.F.S-</u> <u>415</u>

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GALL-SLR Item
							<u>VIII.G.S-415</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel bolting exposed to condensation, lubricating oil</u>	<u>Loss of preload due to thermal effects, gasket creep, or self-loosening</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-416</u> <u>VIII.H.S-417</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy bolting exposed to raw water, waste water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-418</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel bolting exposed to lubricating oil</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-419</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, aluminum piping, piping components exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-420</u> <u>VIII.G.S-420</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel bolting exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-421</u> <u>VIII.G.S-421</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Underground stainless steel piping, piping components, tanks exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.4.2.2.2)</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-425</u>

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GALL-SLR Item
<u>N</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, steel, aluminum, copper alloy, titanium heat exchanger components exposed to air (external)</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-426</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-428</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Elastomer seals, piping, piping components exposed to condensation</u>	<u>Hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.D1.S-429</u> <u>VIII.D2.S-429</u> <u>VIII.E.S-429</u> <u>VIII.G.S-429</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, steel, nickel alloy, copper alloy, aluminum piping, piping components exposed to condensation</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel, copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-431</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel components exposed to treated water, raw water</u>	<u>Long-term loss of material due to general corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.A.S-432</u> <u>VIII.B1.S-432</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							<u>VIII.B2.S-</u> <u>432</u> <u>VIII.C.S-</u> <u>432</u> <u>VIII.D1.S-</u> <u>432</u> <u>VIII.D2.S-</u> <u>432</u> <u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>432</u> <u>VIII.F.S-</u> <u>432</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>432</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping,</u> <u>piping components</u> <u>exposed to concrete</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.8)</u>	<u>VIII.I.SP-</u> <u>13</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks</u> <u>exposed to treated</u> <u>water</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to general (steel only),</u> <u>pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>Plant-specific</u> <u>aging</u> <u>management</u> <u>program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.9)</u>	<u>VIII.E.SP-</u> <u>162</u> <u>VIII.G.SP</u> <u>-162</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, nickel</u> <u>alloy piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>steam</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>AMP XI.M32,</u> <u>"One-Time</u> <u>Inspection"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.A.SP-</u> <u>155</u> <u>VIII.B1.S</u> <u>P-155</u> <u>VIII.B2.S</u> <u>P-155</u> <u>VIII.B1.S</u> <u>P-157</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>PWR heat exchanger</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>treated water</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>Plant-specific</u> <u>aging</u> <u>management</u> <u>program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>LR Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.9).</u>	<u>VIII.E.SP-</u> <u>80</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-</u> <u>81</u> <u>VIII.F.SP-</u> <u>81</u> <u>VIII.B1.S</u> <u>P-87</u> <u>VIII.C.SP</u> <u>-87</u> <u>VIII.D1.S</u> <u>P-87</u> <u>VIII.D2.S</u> <u>P-87</u> <u>VIII.E.SP-</u> <u>87</u> <u>VIII.F.SP-</u> <u>87</u> <u>VIII.G.SP</u> <u>-87</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel, steel,</u> <u>aluminum, copper alloy,</u> <u>titanium heat exchanger</u> <u>components internal to</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air (external)</u>	<u>Reduction of heat</u> <u>transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38,</u> <u>"Inspection of</u> <u>Internal Surfaces</u> <u>in Miscellaneous</u> <u>Piping and</u> <u>Ducting</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>433</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>433</u>

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GALL-SLR Item
<u>N</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy ($\leq 8\%$ Al) piping, piping components exposed to air with borated water leakage</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.I.S-435</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, copper alloy piping, piping components exposed to raw water (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel and copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.A.S-436</u> <u>VIII.E.S-436</u> <u>VIII.F.S-436</u> <u>VIII.G.S-436</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, copper alloy heat exchanger components exposed to raw water (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Reduction of heat transfer due to fouling</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-437</u> <u>VIII.F.S-437</u> <u>VIII.G.S-437</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, copper alloy heat exchanger components exposed to raw water (for components not covered by NRC GL 89-13)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel and copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion, MIC</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-438</u> <u>VIII.F.S-438</u> <u>VIII.G.S-438</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Copper alloy (>15% Zn or >8% Al) piping, piping components exposed to soil ground water</u>	<u>Loss of material due to selective leaching</u>	<u>AMP XI.M33, "Selective Leaching"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.D1.S-439</u> <u>VIII.D2.S-439</u> <u>VIII.E.S-439</u> <u>VIII.F.S-439</u> <u>VIII.G.S-439</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.4.2.2.2)</u>	<u>VIII.A.S-441</u> <u>VIII.B1.S-441</u> <u>VIII.B2.S-441</u> <u>VIII.C.S-441</u> <u>VIII.D1.S-441</u> <u>VIII.D2.S-441</u> <u>VIII.E.S-441</u> <u>VIII.F.S-441</u> <u>VIII.G.S-441</u>

ID New (N), Modifi ed (M), Delete d (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mec hanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further r Evaluation Recommen ded	Rev4GA LL-SLR Item
<u>N</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Underground aluminum piping, piping components exposed to air (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.4.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-442</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Underground stainless steel piping, piping components exposed to air-indoor uncontrolled, condensation, air-outdoor (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.4.2.2.3)</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-443</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to soil, concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-444</u> <u>VIII.G.S-444</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to air (external)</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.4.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-445</u> <u>VIII.G.S-445</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks (within the scope of AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks") exposed to air, air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled,</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.4.2.2.3)</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-446</u> <u>VIII.G.S-446</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evalua</u> <u>tion</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>moist air, condensation</u> <u>(external)</u>				
<u>N</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks</u> <u>(within the scope of</u> <u>AMP XI.M29,</u> <u>"Aboveground Metallic</u> <u>Tanks") exposed to soil,</u> <u>concrete</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion, MIC (soil</u> <u>environment only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29,</u> <u>"Aboveground</u> <u>Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>447</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>447</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks</u> <u>(within the scope of</u> <u>AMP XI.M29,</u> <u>"Aboveground Metallic</u> <u>Tanks") exposed to air</u> <u>– outdoor, air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled, air –</u> <u>indoor controlled,</u> <u>condensation (external)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29,</u> <u>"Aboveground</u> <u>Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.2)</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>448</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>448</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel tanks</u> <u>(within the scope of</u> <u>AMP XI.M29,</u> <u>"Aboveground Metallic</u> <u>Tanks") exposed to soil,</u> <u>concrete</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29,</u> <u>"Aboveground</u> <u>Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>449</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>449</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks (within</u> <u>the scope of AMP</u> <u>XI.M29, "Aboveground</u> <u>Metallic Tanks")</u> <u>exposed to soil,</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M29,</u> <u>"Aboveground</u> <u>Metallic Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.7)</u>	<u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>450</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>450</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Furthe</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			concrete, air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled, air – indoor controlled, raw water, waste water, condensation				
<u>N</u>	<u>103</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated stainless steel piping, piping components, tanks exposed to condensation, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.4.2.2.3)</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-451</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated stainless steel tanks exposed to condensation, air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled, air – indoor controlled</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.4.2.2.2)</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-452</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>105</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Insulated aluminum tanks exposed to condensation, air – outdoor, air – indoor uncontrolled, air – indoor controlled</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.4.2.2.7)</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-453</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>106</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel, copper alloy, copper alloy (> 15% Zn), nickel alloy piping, piping components exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general (steel, copper alloy only), pitting, crevice corrosion; cracking due to stress</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-454</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d</u> <u>(D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>r</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				corrosion cracking (copper alloy (>15% Zn) only)			
<u>N</u>	<u>107</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Steel, stainless steel,</u> <u>copper alloy, copper</u> <u>alloy (> 15% Zn), nickel</u> <u>alloy tanks exposed to</u> <u>condensation</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to general (steel,</u> <u>copper alloy only),</u> <u>pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion; cracking</u> <u>due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u> <u>(copper alloy (>15%</u> <u>Zn) only)</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36,</u> <u>"External</u> <u>Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-</u> <u>455</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>108</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Stainless steel piping,</u> <u>piping components,</u> <u>tanks exposed to</u> <u>condensation</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36,</u> <u>"External</u> <u>Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.2)</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-</u> <u>456</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping</u> <u>components, tanks</u> <u>exposed to</u> <u>condensation, raw</u> <u>water, waste water</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M36,</u> <u>"External</u> <u>Surfaces</u> <u>Monitoring of</u> <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.7)</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-</u> <u>457</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air – outdoor, raw</u> <u>water, waste water,</u> <u>condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38,</u> <u>"Inspection of</u> <u>Internal Surfaces</u> <u>in Miscellaneous</u> <u>Piping and</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.7)</u>	<u>VIII.D1.S-</u> <u>458</u> <u>VIII.D2.S-</u> <u>458</u> <u>VIII.E.S-</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
					Ducting Components"		458 VIII.F.S- 458 VIII.G.S- 458
<u>N</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum tanks</u> <u>exposed to raw water,</u> <u>waste water,</u> <u>condensation (internal)</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M38,</u> <u>"Inspection of</u> <u>Internal Surfaces</u> <u>in Miscellaneous</u> <u>Piping and</u> <u>Ducting</u> <u>Components"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.7)</u>	<u>VIII.D1.S-</u> <u>459</u> <u>VIII.D2.S-</u> <u>459</u> <u>VIII.E.S-</u> <u>459</u> <u>VIII.F.S-</u> <u>459</u> <u>VIII.G.S-</u> <u>459</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Underground aluminum</u> <u>piping, piping</u> <u>components, tanks</u> <u>exposed to air –</u> <u>outdoor</u>	<u>Cracking due to stress</u> <u>corrosion cracking</u>	<u>AMP XI.M41,</u> <u>"Buried and</u> <u>Underground</u> <u>Piping and</u> <u>Tanks"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.7)</u>	<u>VIII.H.S-</u> <u>460</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>113</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Aluminum piping, piping</u> <u>components exposed to</u> <u>air – indoor</u> <u>uncontrolled</u>	<u>Loss of material due</u> <u>to pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u>	<u>Plant-specific</u> <u>aging</u> <u>management</u> <u>program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.4.2.2.10)</u>	<u>VIII.I.S-</u> <u>461</u>

Table 3.4-2—~~Aging Management Programs, AMPs and Additional Guidance Appendices~~ Recommended for Aging Management of Steam and Power Conversion System

GALL-SLR Report Chapter/AMP	Program Name
ChapterAMP XI.M2	Water Chemistry
ChapterAMP XI.M10	Boric Acid Corrosion
ChapterAMP XI.M17	Flow-Accelerated Corrosion
ChapterAMP XI.M18	Bolting Integrity
ChapterAMP XI.M20	Open-Cycle Cooling Water System
ChapterAMP XI.M21A	Closed Treated Water Systems
ChapterAMP XI.M29	Aboveground Metallic Tanks
ChapterAMP XI.M32	One-Time Inspection
ChapterAMP XI.M33	Selective Leaching
ChapterAMP XI.M36	External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components
ChapterAMP XI.M38	Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components
ChapterAMP XI.M39	Lubricating Oil Analysis
ChapterAMP XI.M41	Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks
AMP XI.M42	Internal Coatings/Linings for In Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks
GALL-SLR Report Appendix for GALLA	Quality Assurance for Aging Management Programs
GALL-SLR Report Appendix B	Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs
SRP-<u>LRSLR</u> Appendix A.1	Plant-specific AMP Aging Management Review—Generic (Branch Technical Position RLSB-1)

1 **3.5** **Aging Management of Containments, Structures, and**
2 **Component Supports**

3 **Review Responsibilities**

4 **Primary**— Branch assigned responsibility by Project Manager (PM) as described in SRP-LR
5 Section 3.0- of this Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal
6 Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-SLR).

7 **3.5.1** **Areas of Review**

8 This section addresses the aging management review (AMR) and the associated aging
9 management ~~program (AMP)~~programs (AMPs) for containments, structures, and
10 ~~component~~components (SC) supports. For a recent vintage plant, the information related to
11 containments, ~~structures, and component~~ supports is contained in Chapter 3, “Design of
12 Structures, Components, Equipment, and Systems,” of the plant’s final safety analysis report
13 (FSAR), consistent with the “Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports
14 for Nuclear Power Plants” (NUREG—0800). For older vintage plants, the location of applicable
15 information is plant-specific because an older plant’s FSAR may have predated NUREG—0800.
16 The scope of this section is ~~PWR and BWR~~ containment structures, and safety-related and
17 other ~~structures, and component~~SC supports.

18 The pressurized water reactor (PWR) containment structures consist of concrete (reinforced or
19 prestressed) and steel containments. The boiling water reactor (BWR) containment
20 structures consist of Mark I, Mark II, and Mark III steel and concrete (reinforced or ~~pre-stressed~~)
21 prestressed containments.

22 The safety-related structures (other than containments) are organized into nine groups:
23 Group 1: BWR reactor building, PWR shield building, control room/building; Group 2: BWR
24 reactor building with steel superstructure; Group 3: auxiliary building, diesel generator building,
25 radwaste building, turbine building, switchgear room, yard structures (~~{auxiliary feedwater~~
26 (AFW) pump house, utility/piping tunnels, security lighting poles, manholes, duct banks),
27 station blackout (SBO) structures (transmission towers, startup transformer circuit breaker
28 foundation, electrical enclosure); Group 4: containment internal structures, excluding refueling
29 canal; Group 5: fuel storage facility, refueling canal; Group 6: water-control structures (e.g.,
30 intake structure, cooling tower, and spray pond); Group 7: concrete tanks and missile barriers;
31 Group 8: steel tank foundations and missile barriers; and Group 9: BWR unit vent stack.

32 The component supports are organized into seven groups: Group B1.1: supports for American
33 Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Class 1 piping and components; Group B1.2:
34 supports for ASME Class 2 and 3 piping and components; Group B1.3: supports for
35 ASME Class MC components; Group B2: supports for cable tray, conduit, heating, ventilation,
36 and air conditioning (HVAC) ducts, TubeTrack[®], instrument tubing, non-ASME piping and
37 components; Group B3: anchorage of racks, panels, cabinets, and enclosures for electrical
38 equipment and instrumentation; Group B4: supports for miscellaneous equipment
39 (~~{e.g., emergency diesel generator (EDG)~~), HVAC components); and Group B5: supports for
40 miscellaneous structures (e.g., platforms, pipe whip restraints, jet impingement shields,
41 masonry walls).

1 The responsible review organization is to review the following subsequent license renewal
2 application (LRASLRA) AMR and AMP items assigned to it, per SRP-LRSLR Section 3.0, for
3 review:

4 **AMRs**

- 5 • AMR results consistent with the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
6 License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
- 7 • AMR results for which further evaluation is recommended ~~by the GALL Report~~
- 8 • AMR results that are not consistent with or not addressed in the GALL-SLR Report

9 **AMPs**

- 10 • Consistent with GALL-SLR AMPs
- 11 • Plant-specific AMPs

12 **FSAR Supplement**

- 13 • The responsible review organization is to review the FSAR Supplement associated with
14 each assigned AMP.

15 **3.5.2 Acceptance Criteria**

16 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review describe methods for determining whether the
17 applicant has met the requirements of the NRC's U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
18 regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21.

19 3.5.2.1 AMR Aging Management Review Results Consistent With the GALL Generic 20 Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

21 The AMRs and the AMPs applicable to structures and component supports are described and
22 evaluated in Chapters II and III of the NUREG-1801, (GALL-SLR Report).

23 The applicant's LRASLRA should provide sufficient information so that the reviewer is able to
24 confirm that the specific LRASLRA AMR item and the associated LRASLRA AMP are consistent
25 with the cited GALL-SLR Report AMR item. The ~~staff~~ reviewer should then confirm that the
26 LRASLRA AMR item is consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMR item to which it is compared.

27 When the applicant is crediting a different aging management program AMP than recommended
28 in the GALL-SLR Report, the reviewer should confirm that the alternate aging management
29 program AMP is valid to use for aging management and will be capable of managing the effects
30 of aging as adequately as the aging management program AMP recommended by the GALL-
31 SLR Report.

32 3.5.2.2 AMR Aging Management Review Results for Which Further Evaluation Is 33 Recommended by the GALL Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent 34 License Renewal Report

1 The basic acceptance criteria defined in Section 3.5.2.1 need to be applied first for all of the
2 AMRs and AMPs as part of this section. In addition, if the GALL-SLR Report AMR item to which
3 the LRSRA AMR item is compared identifies that “further evaluation is recommended,” then
4 additional criteria apply ~~as identified by the GALL Report~~ for each of the following aging
5 effect/aging mechanism combinations. Refer to Table 3.5-1, comparing the “Further Evaluation
6 Recommended” column and the “Rev2GALL-SLR Item” column, for the AMR items that
7 reference the following subsections. ~~The 2005 AMR item counterpart is provided in the “Rev1~~
8 ~~Item” column.~~

9 3.5.2.2.1 PWR Pressurized Water Reactor and BWR Boiling Water Reactor Containments

10 3.5.2.2.1.1 *Cracking and Distortion Due to Increased Stress Levels from Settlement;*
11 *Reduction of Foundation Strength, and Cracking Due to Differential Settlement*
12 *and Erosion of Porous Concrete Subfoundations*

13 Cracking and distortion due to increased stress levels from settlement could occur in PWR and
14 BWR concrete and steel containments. The existing program relies on ASME Section XI,
15 Subsection IWL to manage these aging effects. Also, reduction of foundation strength and
16 cracking, due to differential settlement and erosion of porous concrete subfoundations could
17 occur in all types of PWR and BWR containments. The existing program relies on the
18 structures monitoring program to manage these aging effects. However, some plants may rely
19 on a ~~de-wateringdewatering~~ system to lower the site ~~ground watergroundwater~~ level. If the
20 plant’s current licensing basis (CLB) credits a ~~de-wateringdewatering~~ system to control
21 settlement, ~~the GALL Report recommends~~ further evaluation is recommended to verify the
22 continued functionality of the ~~de-wateringdewatering~~ system during the subsequent period of
23 extended operation.

24 3.5.2.2.1.2 *Reduction of Strength and Modulus Due to Elevated Temperature*

25 Reduction of strength and modulus of concrete due to elevated temperatures could occur in
26 PWR and BWR concrete and steel containments. The implementation of 10 CFR 50.55a and
27 ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL would not be able to identify the reduction of strength and
28 modulus of concrete due to elevated temperature. Subsection CC-3440 of ASME Section III,
29 Division 2, specifies the concrete temperature limits for normal operation or any other long-term
30 period. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of a plant-specific
31 ~~aging management programAMP~~ if any portion of the concrete containment components
32 exceeds specified temperature limits, ~~[i.e., general area temperature greater than 66 °C (150~~
33 ~~°F)] and local area temperature greater than 93 °C (200 °F)].~~ Higher temperatures may be
34 allowed if tests and/or calculations are provided to evaluate the reduction in strength and
35 modulus of elasticity and these reductions are applied to the design calculations. Acceptance
36 criteria are described in Branch Technical Position (BTP) RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-
37 LRSR Report).

38 3.5.2.2.1.3 *Loss of Material Due to General, Pitting and Crevice Corrosion*

39 1. Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion could occur in steel
40 elements of inaccessible areas for all types of PWR and BWR containments. The
41 existing program relies on ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE, and 10 CFR Part 50,
42 Appendix J, to manage this aging effect. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further
43 evaluation is recommended of plant-specific programs to manage this aging effect if

1 corrosion is indicated from the IWE examinations. Acceptance criteria are described in
2 ~~Branch Technical Position~~BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

3 2. Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion could occur in steel torus
4 shell of Mark I containments. The existing program relies on ASME Section XI,
5 Subsection IWE, and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, to manage this aging effect. ~~The~~
6 ~~GALL Report recommends further evaluation of plant-specific programs to manage this~~
7 ~~aging effect~~ If corrosion is significant, recoating of the torus is recommended.
8 Acceptance criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position~~BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix
9 A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

10 3. Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion could occur in steel torus
11 ring girders and downcomers of Mark I containments, downcomers of Mark II
12 containments, and interior surface of suppression chamber shell of Mark III
13 containments. The existing program relies on ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE to
14 manage this aging effect. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is
15 recommended of plant-specific programs to manage this aging effect if corrosion is
16 significant. Acceptance criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position~~BTP RLSB-1
17 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

18 3.5.2.2.1.4 *Loss of Prestress Due to Relaxation, Shrinkage, Creep, and* 19 *Elevated Temperature*

20 Loss of prestress forces due to relaxation, shrinkage, creep, and elevated temperature for PWR
21 prestressed concrete containments and BWR Mark II prestressed concrete containments is a
22 time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAA's are required to be
23 evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The evaluation of this TLAA is addressed
24 separately in Section 4.5, "Concrete Containment Unbonded Tendon ~~Prestress~~Pre-stress
25 Analysis," of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report.

26 3.5.2.2.1.5 *Cumulative Fatigue Damage*

27 If included in the ~~current licensing basis~~CLB, fatigue analyses of metal liner, metal plates,
28 suppression pool steel shells (including welded joints) and penetrations (including personnel
29 airlock, equipment hatch, control rod drive (CRD) hatch, penetration sleeves, dissimilar metal
30 welds, and penetration bellows) for all types of PWR and BWR containments and BWR vent
31 header, vent line bellows, and downcomers are TLAA's as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAA's are
32 required to be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The evaluation of this TLAA is
33 addressed separately in Section 4.6, "Containment Liner Plates, Metal Containments, and
34 Penetrations Fatigue Analysis," of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report.

35 3.5.2.2.1.6 *Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking*

36 ~~Cracking due to~~ Stress corrosion cracking (SCC) of stainless steel (SS) penetration bellows and
37 dissimilar metal welds could occur in all types of PWR and BWR containments. The existing
38 program relies on ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, to
39 manage this aging effect. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of
40 additional appropriate examinations/evaluations implemented to detect these aging effects for
41 ~~stainless steel~~SS penetration bellows and dissimilar metal welds.

42 3.5.2.2.1.7 *Loss of Material (Scaling, Spalling) and Cracking Due to Freeze-Thaw*

1 Loss of material (scaling, spalling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw could occur in inaccessible
2 areas of PWR and BWR concrete containments. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further
3 evaluation is recommended of this aging effect for plants located in moderate to severe
4 weathering conditions.

5 3.5.2.2.1.8 *Cracking Due to Expansion From Reaction With Aggregates*

6 Cracking due to expansion from reaction with aggregates could occur in inaccessible areas of
7 concrete elements of PWR and BWR concrete and steel containments. ~~The GALL Report~~
8 ~~recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended to determine if a plant-specific aging
9 management program AMP is required to manage this aging effect. A plant-specific aging
10 management program is not required if (1) as described in NUREG-1557, investigations, tests,
11 and petrographic examinations of aggregates performed in accordance with American Society
12 for Testing and Materials (ASTM) C295 and other ASTM reactivity tests, as required, can
13 demonstrate that those aggregates do not adversely react within concrete, or (2) for potentially
14 reactive aggregates, aggregate concrete reaction is not significant if it is demonstrated that the
15 in-place concrete can perform its intended function. Acceptance criteria are described in
16 ~~Branch Technical Position~~ BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

17 3.5.2.2.1.9 *Increase in Porosity and Permeability Due to Leaching of Calcium Hydroxide*
18 *and Carbonation*

19 Increase in porosity and permeability due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation
20 could occur in inaccessible areas of concrete elements of PWR and BWR concrete and steel
21 containments. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended if leaching is
22 observed in accessible areas that impact intended functions. Acceptance criteria are described
23 in ~~Branch Technical Position~~ BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

24 3.5.2.2.2 *Safety-Related and Other Structures and Component Supports*

25 3.5.2.2.2.1 *Aging Management of Inaccessible Areas*

26 1. Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw could occur in
27 below-grade inaccessible concrete areas of Groups 1-3, 5 and 7-9 structures. ~~The~~
28 ~~GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of this aging effect for
29 inaccessible areas of these Groups of structures for plants located in moderate to severe
30 weathering conditions.

31 2. Cracking due to expansion and reaction with aggregates could occur in ~~below-grade~~
32 inaccessible concrete areas for Groups 1-5 and 7-9 structures. ~~The GALL Report~~
33 ~~recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of inaccessible areas of these Groups
34 of structures ~~if concrete was not constructed in accordance with the recommendations in~~
35 ~~the GALL Report~~ to determine if a plant-specific AMP is required to manage this aging
36 effect.

37 3. Cracking and distortion due to increased stress levels from settlement could occur in
38 below-grade inaccessible concrete areas of structures for all Groups, and reduction in
39 foundation strength, and cracking due to differential settlement and erosion of porous
40 concrete subfoundations could occur in below-grade inaccessible concrete areas of
41 Groups 1-3, 5-9 structures. The existing program relies on structure monitoring
42 programs to manage these aging effects. Some plants may rely on a ~~de-~~

1 ~~watering~~~~dewatering~~ system to lower the site ~~ground-water~~~~groundwater~~ level. If the
2 plant's CLB credits a ~~de-watering~~~~dewatering~~ system, ~~the GALL Report recommends~~
3 verification is recommended of the continued functionality of the ~~de-watering~~~~dewatering~~
4 system during the subsequent period of extended operation. ~~The GALL Report~~
5 ~~recommends~~ No further evaluation is recommended if this activity is included in the
6 scope of the applicant's structures monitoring program.

- 7 4. Increase in porosity and permeability, and loss of strength due to leaching of calcium
8 hydroxide and carbonation could occur in below-grade inaccessible concrete areas of
9 Groups 1–5 and 7–9 structures. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is
10 recommended if leaching is observed in accessible areas that impact intended functions.

11 3.5.2.2.2.2 *Reduction of Strength and Modulus Due to Elevated Temperature*

12 Reduction of strength and modulus of concrete due to elevated temperatures could occur in
13 PWR and BWR Group 1–5 concrete structures. For any concrete elements that exceed
14 specified temperature limits, further evaluations are recommended. Appendix A of American
15 Concrete Institute (ACI) 349-85 specifies the concrete temperature limits for normal operation or
16 any other long-term period. The temperatures shall not exceed 66 °C (~~{150 °F}~~) except for local
17 areas, which are allowed to have increased temperatures not to exceed 93 °C (~~{200°F}~~).~~The~~
18 ~~GALL Report recommends~~. Further evaluation is recommended of a plant-specific program if
19 any portion of the safety-related and other concrete structures exceeds specified temperature
20 limits, [i.e., general area temperature greater than 66 °C (~~{150°F}~~) and local area temperature
21 greater than 93 °C (~~{200 °F}~~)]. Higher temperatures may be allowed if tests and/or calculations
22 are provided to evaluate the reduction in strength and modulus of elasticity and these reductions
23 are applied to the design calculations. The acceptance criteria are described in Branch
24 Technical Position BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LRSLR Report~~).

25 3.5.2.2.2.3 *Aging Management of Inaccessible Areas for Group 6 Structures*

26 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended for inaccessible areas of
27 certain Group 6 structure/aging effect combinations as identified below, whether or not they are
28 covered by inspections in accordance with the GALL-SLR Report, Chapter AMP XI.S7,
29 "Regulatory Guide 1.127, Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power
30 Plants," or Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
31 (FERC/US)/U.S. Army Corp of Engineers dam inspection and maintenance procedures.

- 32 1. Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw could occur in
33 below-grade inaccessible concrete areas of Group 6 structures. ~~The GALL Report~~
34 ~~recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of this aging effect for inaccessible
35 areas for plants located in moderate to severe weathering conditions.
- 36 2. Cracking due to expansion and reaction with aggregates could occur in ~~below-grade~~
37 inaccessible ~~reinforced~~ concrete areas of Group 6 structures. ~~The GALL Report~~
38 ~~recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended to determine if a plant-specific aging
39 management program AMP is required to manage this aging effect. Acceptance criteria
40 are described in Branch Technical Position BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-
41 LRSLR Report).
- 42 3. Increase in porosity and permeability and loss of strength due to leaching of calcium
43 hydroxide and carbonation could occur in inaccessible areas of concrete elements of

1 Group 6 structures. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended
2 if leaching is observed in accessible areas that impact intended functions. Acceptance
3 criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position~~ BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this
4 SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

5 3.5.2.2.2.4 *Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking, and Loss of Material Due to Pitting*
6 *and Crevice Corrosion*

7 Cracking due to ~~stress corrosion crackingsystems, structures, and components (SSC)~~ and loss
8 of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion could occur for Group 7 and 8 ~~stainless steel~~ SS
9 tank liners exposed to standing water. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is
10 recommended of plant-specific programs to manage these aging effects. The acceptance
11 criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position~~ BTP RLSB--1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-
12 ~~LR~~SLR Report).

13 3.5.2.2.2.5 Cumulative Fatigue Damage Due to Fatigue

14 Fatigue of component support members, anchor bolts, and welds for Groups B1.1, B1.2, and
15 B1.3 component supports is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3 only if a CLB fatigue analysis
16 exists. TLAAs are required to be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The
17 evaluation of this TLAA is addressed separately in Section 4.3, "Metal Fatigue Analysis," of
18 this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report.

19 3.5.2.2.2.6 Reduction of Strength and Mechanical Properties of Concrete Due to Irradiation

20 Reduction of strength, loss of mechanical properties, and cracking due to irradiation could occur
21 in PWR and BWR Group 4 concrete structures that are exposed to high levels of neutron and
22 gamma radiation. These structures include the reactor (primary/biological) shield wall, the
23 sacrificial shield wall, and the reactor vessel support/pedestal structure. Data related to the
24 effects and significance of neutron and gamma radiation on concrete mechanical and physical
25 properties is limited, especially for conditions (dose, temperature, etc.) representative of
26 light-water reactor (LWR) plants. However, based on literature review of existing research,
27 radiation fluence limits of 1×10^{19} neutrons/cm² neutron radiation and 1×10^8 Gy [1×10^{10} rad]
28 gamma dose are considered conservative radiation exposure levels beyond which concrete
29 material properties may begin to degrade markedly (17, 18, 19).

30 Further evaluation is recommended of a plant-specific program to manage aging effects of
31 irradiation if the estimated (calculated) fluence levels or irradiation dose received by any portion
32 of the concrete from neutron (fluence cutoff energy $E > 0.1$ MeV) or gamma radiation exceeds
33 the respective threshold level during the subsequent period of extended operation or if
34 plant-specific operating experience of concrete irradiation degradation exists that may impact
35 intended functions. Higher fluence or dose levels may be allowed in the concrete if tests and/or
36 calculations are provided to evaluate the reduction in strength and/or loss of mechanical
37 properties of concrete from those fluence levels, at or above the operating temperature
38 experienced by the concrete, and the effects are applied to the design calculations. Supporting
39 calculations/analyses, test data, and other technical basis are provided to estimate and evaluate
40 fluence levels and the plant-specific program. The acceptance criteria are described in
41 BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-SLR Report).

42 3.5.2.2.3 *Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components*

1 Acceptance criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position~~BTP IQMB-1 (Appendix A.2 of
2 this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

3 3.5.2.2.4 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience

4 Acceptance criteria are described in Appendix A.4, “Operating Experience for AMPs.”

5 ~~3~~ AMR.5.2.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent With or Not
6 Addressed in the GALL-~~Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License~~
7 Renewal Report

8 Acceptance criteria are described in ~~Branch Technical Position~~BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of
9 this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

10 3.5.2.4 Aging Management Programs

11 For those AMPs that will be used for aging management and are based on the program
12 elements of an AMP in the GALL-SLR Report, the NRC reviewer performs an audit of aging
13 management programsAMPs credited in the LRASLRA to confirm consistency with the GALL-
14 SLR AMPs identified in the GALL-SLR Report, ~~Chapters~~Chapter X and, “Aging Management
15 Programs That May Be Used to Demonstrate Acceptability of Time-Limited Aging Analyses in
16 Accordance With Under 10 CFR 54.21(c) (1)(iii),” and Chapter XI, “Chapter XI—Aging
17 Management Programs.”

18 If the applicant identifies an exception to any of the program elements of the cited GALL-SLR
19 Report AMP, the LRASLRA AMP should include a basis demonstrating how the criteria of
20 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3) would still be met. The NRC reviewer should then confirm that the
21 LRASLRA AMP with all exceptions would satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If, while
22 reviewing the LRASLRA AMP, the reviewer identifies a difference from the GALL-SLR Report
23 AMP that should have been identified as an exception to the GALL-SLR Report AMP, this
24 difference should be reviewed and properly dispositioned. The reviewer should document the
25 disposition of all LRASLRA-defined exceptions and NRC staff-identified differences.

26 The LRASLRA should identify any enhancements that are needed to permit an existing
27 LRASLRA AMP to be declared consistent with the GALL-SLR AMP to which the LRASLRA
28 AMP is compared. The reviewer is to confirm both that the enhancement, when implemented,
29 would allow the existing LRASLRA AMP to be consistent with the GALL-SLR AMP and that the
30 applicant has a commitment in the FSAR supplement to implement the enhancement prior to
31 the subsequent period of extended operation. The reviewer should document the disposition of
32 all enhancements.

33 If the applicant chooses to use a plant-specific program that is not a GALL-SLR AMP, the NRC
34 reviewer should confirm that the plant-specific program satisfies the criteria of ~~Branch Technical~~
35 PositionBTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1.2.3 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report).

36 3.5.2.5 FSARFinal Safety Analysis Report Supplement

37 The summary description of the programs and activities for managing the effects of aging for the
38 subsequent period of extended operation in the FSAR supplement should be appropriate, such
39 that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description should contain
40 information associated with the bases for determining that aging effects are managed during the

1 subsequent period of extended operation. The description should also contain any future aging
2 management activities, including enhancements and commitments, to be completed before the
3 subsequent period of extended operation. Table 3.0-1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR provides examples
4 of the type of information to be included in the FSAR Supplement. Table 3.5-2 lists the
5 programs that are applicable for this SRP-~~LR~~SLR subsection.

6 3.5.3 Review Procedures

7 For each area of review, the review procedures below are to be followed.

8 3.5.3.1 AMR Aging Management Review Results Consistent With the GALL Generic 9 Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

10 The applicant may reference the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report in its ~~LRASLRA~~, as appropriate, and
11 demonstrate that the AMRs and AMPs at its facility are consistent with those reviewed and
12 approved in the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report. The reviewer should not conduct a ~~re~~-review of the
13 substance of the matters described in the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report. If the applicant has provided the
14 information necessary to adopt the finding of program acceptability as described and evaluated
15 in the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report, the reviewer should find acceptable the applicant's reference to
16 GALL-~~SLR~~ in its ~~LRA~~SLRA. In making this determination, the reviewer confirms that the
17 applicant has provided a brief description of the system, components, materials, and
18 environment. The reviewer also confirms that the ~~applicant has stated that the~~ applicable aging
19 effects ~~and have been addressed based on the staff's review of~~ industry and plant-specific
20 operating experience ~~have been reviewed by the applicant and are evaluated in the GALL~~
21 ~~Report.~~

22 Furthermore, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has addressed operating
23 experience identified after the issuance of the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report. Performance of this review
24 requires the reviewer to confirm that the applicant has identified those aging effects for the
25 ~~structures and component~~SC supports that are contained in the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report as
26 applicable to its plant.

27 3.5.3.2 AMR Aging Management Review Results for Which Further Evaluation Is 28 Recommended by the GALL Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent 29 License Renewal Report

30 The basic review procedures defined in Section 3.5.3.1 need to be applied first for all of the
31 AMRs and AMPs provided in this section. In addition, if the GALL-~~SLR~~ AMR item to which the
32 ~~LRASLRA~~ AMR item is compared identifies that further evaluation is recommended, then
33 additional criteria apply ~~as identified by the GALL Report~~ for each of the following aging
34 effect/aging mechanism combinations.

35 3.5.3.2.1 PWR Pressurized Water Reactor and BWR Boiling Water Reactor Containments

36 3.5.3.2.1.1 Cracking and Distortion Due to Increased Stress Levels From Settlement; 37 Reduction of Foundation Strength and Cracking Due to Differential Settlement 38 and Erosion of Porous Concrete Subfoundations

39 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of aging management of
40 (1) cracking and distortion due to increases in component stress level from settlement for PWR
41 and BWR concrete and steel containments and (2) reduction of foundation strength and

1 cracking due to differential settlement and erosion of porous concrete subfoundations for all
2 types of PWR and BWR containments if a ~~de-wateringdewatering~~ system is relied upon to
3 control settlement. The reviewer reviews and confirms that, if the applicant credits a ~~de-~~
4 ~~wateringdewatering~~ system in its CLB, the applicant has committed to monitor the functionality
5 of the ~~de-wateringdewatering~~ system under the applicant's ASME Code Section XI, Subsection
6 IWL or the structures monitoring program. If not, the reviewer evaluates the plant-specific
7 program for monitoring the ~~de-wateringdewatering~~ system during the subsequent period of
8 extended operation.

9 3.5.3.2.1.2 *Reduction of Strength and Modulus Due to Elevated Temperature*

10 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of programs to manage
11 reduction of strength and modulus of concrete due to elevated temperature for PWR and BWR
12 concrete and steel containments. ~~The GALL Report notes that~~ The implementation of ASME
13 Section XI, Subsection IWL examinations and 10 CFR 50.55a would not be able to detect the
14 reduction of concrete strength and modulus due to elevated temperature and also notes that no
15 mandated aging management exists for managing this aging effect.

16 ~~The GALL Report recommends that~~ A plant-specific evaluation should be performed if any
17 portion of the concrete containment components exceeds specified temperature limits, ~~(i.e.,~~
18 ~~general temperature greater than 66 °C ([150°F]) and local area temperature greater than 93 °C~~
19 ~~([200°F]. The GALL Report also states that)]~~. Higher temperatures may be allowed if tests
20 and/or calculations are provided to evaluate the reduction in strength and modulus of elasticity
21 and these reductions are applied to the design calculations. The reviewer reviews and confirms
22 that the applicant's discussion in the renewal application indicates that the affected PWR and
23 BWR containment components are not exposed to a temperature that exceeds the temperature
24 limits. If active cooling is relied upon to maintain acceptable temperatures, then the reviewer
25 ensures that the cooling system is being properly age-managed or temperatures are being
26 monitored to identify a problem with the cooling system. If the limits are exceeded the reviewer
27 reviews the technical basis (i.e., tests and/or calculations) provided by the applicant to justify the
28 higher temperature. Otherwise, the reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed programs to
29 ensure that the effects of elevated temperature will be adequately managed during the
30 subsequent period of extended ~~operation.~~

1 3.5.3.2.1.3 Loss of Material Due to General, Pitting, and Crevice Corrosion

- 2 1. The GALL-SLR Report identifies programs to manage loss of material due to general,
3 pitting, and crevice corrosion in inaccessible areas of the steel elements in drywell and
4 torus or the steel liner and integral attachments for all types of PWR and BWR
5 containments. The ~~aging management program~~AMP consists of ASME Section XI,
6 Subsection IWE, and
7 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, leak tests. Subsection IWE exempts from examination
8 portions of the containments that are inaccessible, such as embedded or inaccessible
9 portions of steel liners and steel elements in drywell and torus, and integral attachments.

10 To cover the inaccessible areas, 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2)(ix) requires that the applicant
11 ~~shall~~ evaluate the acceptability of inaccessible areas when conditions exist in accessible
12 areas that could indicate the presence of, or result in, degradation to such inaccessible
13 areas. In addition, ~~the GALL Report recommends~~ further evaluation of plant-specific
14 programs to manage the aging effects for inaccessible areas ~~if specific~~
15 ~~recommendations defined in the GALL Report~~is recommended if the following cannot be
16 satisfied: (1) concrete meeting the requirements of ACI 318 or 349 and the guidance of
17 201.2R was used for the containment concrete in contact with the embedded
18 containment shell or liner; (2) the moisture barrier, at the junction where the shell or liner
19 becomes embedded, is subject to aging management activities in accordance with
20 ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE requirements; (3) the concrete is monitored to ensure
21 that it is free of penetrating cracks that provide a path for water seepage to the surface
22 of the containment shell or liner; and (4) borated water spills and water ponding on the
23 concrete floor are common and when detected are cleaned up or diverted to a sump in a
24 timely manner. Operating experience has identified significant corrosion in some plants.
25 If any of the above conditions cannot be satisfied, then a plant-specific AMP for
26 corrosion is necessary. The reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed ~~aging~~
27 ~~management program~~AMP to confirm that, where appropriate, an effective inspection
28 program has been developed and implemented to ensure that the aging effects in
29 inaccessible areas are adequately managed.

- 30 2. The GALL-SLR Report identifies programs to manage loss of material due to general,
31 pitting, and crevice corrosion in steel torus shell of Mark I containments. The ~~aging~~
32 ~~management program~~AMP consists of ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE, and 10 CFR
33 Part 50, Appendix J, leak tests. In addition, ~~the GALL Report recommends~~ further
34 evaluation is recommended of plant-specific programs to manage the aging effects if
35 corrosion is significant. Further evaluation of torus shell corrosion is warranted as a
36 result of industry-wide operating experience that identified a number of incidences of
37 torus corrosion. The reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed ~~aging management~~
38 ~~program~~AMP to confirm that, where appropriate, an effective inspection program has
39 been developed and implemented to ensure that the aging effects are adequately
40 managed. A plant-specific program may include the ~~re-coating~~recoating of the torus, if
41 necessary.

- 42 3. The GALL-SLR Report identifies programs to manage loss of material due to general,
43 pitting, and crevice corrosion in steel torus ring girders and downcomers of Mark I
44 containments, suppression chambers and downcomers of Mark II containments, and
45 interior surface of suppression chamber shell of Mark III containments. ~~The GALL-SLR~~
46 ~~Report recommends~~ GLAL-AMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," is
47 recommended for aging management. In addition, ~~the GALL Report recommends~~

1 further evaluation of plant-specific programs is recommended to manage the aging
2 effects if plant operating experience identified significant corrosion of the torus ring
3 girders, downcomers and suppression chambers.

4 3.5.3.2.1.4 *Loss of Prestress Due to Relaxation, Shrinkage, Creep, and*
5 *Elevated Temperature*

6 Loss of prestress is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAA's are required to be evaluated in
7 accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The evaluation of this TLAA is addressed separately in
8 Section 4.5 of this SRP-~~LRSLR~~.

9 3.5.3.2.1.5 *Cumulative Fatigue Damage*

10 Fatigue analyses included in ~~current licensing basis~~ the CLB for the containment liner plate,
11 penetrations (including penetration sleeves, dissimilar metal welds, and penetration bellows) for
12 all types of PWR and BWR containments and BWR suppression pool steel shells, vent header,
13 vent line bellows, and downcomers are TLAA's as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAA's are required
14 to be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The evaluation of this TLAA is addressed
15 separately in Section 4.6 of this SRP-~~LRSLR~~ Report.

16 3.5.3.2.1.6 *Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking*

17 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of programs to manage
18 cracking due to SCC for ~~stainless steel~~ SS penetration sleeves, dissimilar metal welds, and
19 penetration bellows in all types of PWR and BWR containments. Transgranular stress corrosion
20 cracking (TGSCC) is a concern for dissimilar metal welds. In the case of bellows assemblies,
21 SCC may cause aging effects particularly if the material is not shielded from a corrosive
22 environment. Containment inservice inspection (ISI) IWE and leak rate testing may not be
23 sufficient to detect cracks, especially for dissimilar metal welds. Additional appropriate
24 examinations to detect SCC in bellows assemblies and dissimilar metal welds are
25 recommended to address this issue. The reviewer reviews and evaluates the applicant's
26 proposed programs to confirm that adequate inspection methods will be implemented to ensure
27 that cracks are detected.

28 3.5.3.2.1.7 *Loss of Material (Scaling, Spalling) and Cracking Due to Freeze-Thaw*

29 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of programs to manage
30 loss of material (scaling, spalling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw for concrete elements of
31 PWR and BWR containments. Containment ISI Subsection IWL may not be sufficient for plants
32 located in moderate to severe weathering conditions. Evaluation is needed for plants that are
33 located in moderate to severe weathering conditions (weathering index >100 day-inch/yr)
34 (NUREG--1557). The weathering index for the continental United States is shown in ASTM
35 C33-90, Fig. Figure 1. A plant-specific program is not required if documented evidence confirms
36 that ~~where~~ the existing concrete had air content of 3% percent to 8% percent (including
37 tolerance), and subsequent inspection of accessible areas did not exhibit degradation related to
38 freeze-thaw. Such inspections are considered a part of the evaluation. The reviewer reviews
39 and confirms that the applicant has satisfied the recommendations for inaccessible concrete ~~as~~
40 identified in the GALL Report. Otherwise, the reviewer reviews the applicant's proposed aging
41 management program AMP to verify that, where appropriate, an effective inspection program
42 has been developed and implemented to ensure that these aging effects in inaccessible areas
43 for plants located in moderate to severe weathering conditions are adequately managed.

1 3.5.3.2.1.8 *Cracking Due to Expansion from Reaction With Aggregates*

2 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of programs to manage
3 cracking due to expansion and reaction with aggregates in inaccessible areas of concrete
4 elements of PWR and BWR concrete and steel containments. A plant-specific aging
5 management program AMP is ~~not~~ necessary if (1) investigations, reactivity tests, and or
6 petrographic examinations of concrete samples identify reaction with aggregates ~~performed in~~
7 ~~accordance with ASTM C295 and other ASTM reactivity tests, as required, can demonstrate~~
8 ~~that those aggregates do not adversely react within concrete,~~ or (2) for potentially reactive
9 aggregates, visual inspections of accessible concrete have identified indications of aggregate
10 ~~concrete reaction is not significant if reactions, such as “map” or “patterned” cracking or the~~
11 ~~structure was constructed in accordance with ACI 318, presence of reaction byproducts (e.g.,~~
12 alkali-silica gel). The reviewer confirms that the applicant has ~~satisfied these not identified one~~
13 of the above conditions ~~for inaccessible concrete as identified in the GALL Report.~~ Otherwise,
14 the reviewer reviews the applicant’s proposed aging management program AMP to verify that,
15 where appropriate, an effective inspection program has been developed and implemented to
16 ensure that this aging effect in inaccessible areas is adequately managed.

17 3.5.3.2.1.9 *Increase in Porosity and Permeability Due to Leaching of Calcium Hydroxide*
18 *and Carbonation*

19 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of programs to manage
20 increase in porosity and permeability due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation in
21 inaccessible areas of PWR and BWR concrete and steel containments. A plant-specific aging
22 management program AMP is not required, even if reinforced concrete is exposed to flowing
23 water if (1) there is evidence in the accessible areas that the flowing water has not caused
24 leaching and carbonation, or (2) evaluation determined that the observed leaching of calcium
25 hydroxide and carbonation in accessible areas has no impact on the intended function of the
26 concrete structure. The reviewer confirms that the applicant has satisfied these conditions ~~as~~
27 identified in the GALL Report. Otherwise, the reviewer reviews the applicant’s proposed aging
28 management program AMP to verify that, where appropriate, an effective inspection program
29 has been developed and implemented to ensure that this aging effect in inaccessible areas is
30 adequately managed.

31 3.5.3.2.2 *Safety-Related and Other Structures, and Component Supports*

32 3.5.3.2.2.1 *Aging Management of Inaccessible Areas*

33 1. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of programs to
34 manage loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw in below-
35 grade inaccessible concrete areas of Groups 1–3, 5, and 7–9 structures. Structure
36 monitoring programs may not be sufficient for plants located in moderate to severe
37 weathering conditions. Further evaluation is needed for plants that are located in
38 moderate to severe weathering conditions (weathering index >100 day-inch/yr)
39 (NUREG–1557). The weathering index for the continental United States is shown in
40 ASTM C33-90, Fig. Figure 1. A plant-specific program is not required if documented
41 evidence confirms that ~~where~~ the existing concrete had air content of 3% percent to 8%
42 percent and subsequent inspection did not exhibit degradation related to freeze-thaw.
43 Such inspections should be considered a part of the evaluation. The reviewer confirms
44 that the applicant has satisfied these conditions ~~as identified in the GALL Report.~~
45 Otherwise, the reviewer reviews the applicant’s proposed aging management

1 ~~program~~AMP to verify that, where appropriate, an effective inspection program has been
2 developed and implemented to ensure that this aging effect in inaccessible areas for
3 plants located in moderate to severe weathering conditions is adequately managed.

- 4 2. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended to determine if a
5 plant-specific program is required to manage cracking due to expansion from reaction
6 with aggregates in ~~below-grade~~ inaccessible concrete areas of Groups 1–5 and 7–9
7 structures. A plant-specific program is ~~not~~ required if (1) ~~investigations, reactivity~~ tests,
8 ~~and or~~ petrographic examinations of concrete samples identify reaction with aggregates
9 ~~performed in accordance with ASTM C295 and other ASTM reactivity tests, as required,~~
10 ~~can demonstrate that these aggregates do not adversely react within reinforced~~
11 ~~concrete,~~ or (2) ~~for potentially reactive aggregates, aggregate-reinforced~~ visual
12 inspections of accessible concrete ~~reaction is not significant if~~ have identified indications
13 of aggregate reactions, such as “map” or “patterned” cracking or the structure was
14 constructed in accordance with AGI 318. ~~presence of reaction byproducts (e.g., alkali-~~
15 ~~silica gel).~~ The reviewer confirms that the applicant has ~~satisfied these~~ not identified one
16 of the above conditions ~~as identified in the GALL Report.~~ Otherwise, the reviewer
17 reviews the applicant’s proposed aging management programAMP to verify that, where
18 appropriate, an effective inspection program has been developed and implemented to
19 ensure that the aging effect is adequately managed.

- 20 3. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of aging
21 management of (a) cracking and distortion due to increased stress levels from
22 settlement for inaccessible concrete areas of structures for all Groups and (b) reduction
23 of foundation strength, and cracking due to differential settlement and erosion of porous
24 concrete subfoundations for inaccessible concrete areas of Groups 1–3, and 5–9
25 structures if a ~~de-watering~~dewatering system is relied upon to manage the aging effect.
26 The reviewer confirms that, if the applicant’s plant credits a ~~de-watering~~dewatering
27 system in its CLB, the applicant has committed to monitor the functionality of the ~~de-~~
28 ~~watering~~dewatering system under the applicant’s structures monitoring program. If not,
29 the reviewer reviews and evaluates the plant–specific program for monitoring the ~~de-~~
30 ~~watering~~dewatering system during the subsequent period of extended operation.

- 31 4. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of programs to
32 manage increase in porosity and permeability due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and
33 carbonation in below-grade inaccessible concrete areas of Groups 1–5, and 7–9
34 structures. A plant–specific aging management programAMP is not required for the
35 reinforced concrete exposed to flowing water if (1) there is evidence in the accessible
36 areas that the flowing water has not caused leaching of calcium hydroxide and
37 carbonation or (2) evaluation determined that the observed leaching of calcium
38 hydroxide and carbonation in accessible areas has no impact on the intended function of
39 the concrete structure. The reviewer confirms that the applicant has satisfied these
40 conditions ~~as identified in the GALL Report.~~ Otherwise, the reviewer reviews the
41 applicant’s proposed aging management programAMP to verify that, where appropriate,
42 an effective inspection program has been developed and implemented to ensure that
43 this aging effect in inaccessible areas is adequately managed.

44 3.5.3.2.2.2 *Reduction of Strength and Modulus Due to Elevated Temperature*

1 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of programs to manage
2 reduction of strength and modulus of concrete structures due to elevated temperature for PWR
3 and BWR safety-related and other structures.

4 ~~The GALL Report recommends that~~ A plant-specific evaluation should be performed if any
5 portion of the concrete Groups 1–5 structures exceeds specified temperature limits, ~~{i.e.,~~
6 general temperature greater than 66 °C ~~{[150 °F]}~~ and local area temperature greater than
7 93 °C ~~{[200 °F]. The GALL Report also states that}~~. Higher temperatures may be allowed if
8 tests and/or calculations are provided to evaluate the reduction in strength and modulus of
9 elasticity and these reductions are applied to the design calculations. The reviewer reviews and
10 confirms that the applicant’s discussion in the renewal application indicates that the affected
11 Groups 1–5 structures are not exposed to temperature that exceeds the temperature limits. If
12 active cooling is relied upon to maintain acceptable temperatures, then the reviewer ensures
13 that the cooling system is being properly age-managed or temperatures are being monitored to
14 identify a problem with the cooling system. If the limits are exceeded the reviewer reviews the
15 technical basis (i.e., tests and/or calculations) provided by the applicant to justify the higher
16 temperature. Otherwise the reviewer reviews the applicant’s proposed programs on a case-by-
17 case basis to ensure that the effects of elevated temperature will be adequately managed
18 during the subsequent period of extended operation.

1 3.5.3.2.2.3 Aging Management of Inaccessible Areas for Group 6 Structures

2 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended for inaccessible areas of
3 certain Group 6 structure/aging effect combinations as identified below, whether or not they are
4 covered by inspections in accordance with ~~the GALL-SLR Report, Chapter AMP XI.S7,~~
5 ~~“Regulatory Guide 1.127, Inspection of Water Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power~~
6 ~~Plants,”~~ or FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspection and maintenance procedures.

7 1. Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw could occur in
8 below-grade inaccessible concrete areas of Group 6 structures. Further evaluation is
9 needed for plants that are located in moderate to severe weathering conditions
10 (weathering index >100 day-inch/yr) (NUREG-1557, Ref. 7). The weathering index for
11 the continental U.S. is shown in ASTM C33-90, Fig-Figure 1. A plant-specific
12 program is not required if documented evidence confirms that ~~where~~ the existing
13 concrete had air content of 3% percent to 8% percent and subsequent inspection of
14 accessible areas did not exhibit degradation related to freeze-thaw. Such inspections
15 should be considered a part of the evaluation. The reviewer reviews and confirms that
16 the applicant has satisfied these conditions ~~as identified in the GALL Report.~~
17 Otherwise, the reviewer reviews the applicant’s proposed aging management
18 programAMP to determine that, where appropriate, an effective inspection program has
19 been developed and implemented to ensure that this aging effect in inaccessible areas
20 for plants located in moderate to severe weathering conditions will be adequately
21 managed.

22 2. Cracking due to expansion from reaction with aggregates could occur in below-grade
23 inaccessible concrete areas of Group 6 structures. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~
24 Further evaluation is recommended to determine if a plant-specific program is required
25 to manage the aging effect. A plant specific program is ~~not~~ required if (1)
26 ~~investigations, reactivity tests, and/or~~ petrographic examinations of concrete samples
27 identify reaction with aggregates ~~performed in accordance with ASTM C295 and other~~
28 ~~ASTM reactivity tests, as required, can demonstrate that those aggregates do not~~
29 ~~adversely react within reinforced concrete,~~ or (2) ~~for potentially reactive aggregates,~~
30 aggregate-reinforced visual inspections of accessible concrete ~~reaction is not significant~~
31 ~~if have identified indications of aggregate reactions, such as “map” or “patterned”~~
32 cracking or the structure was constructed in accordance with ACI 318-presence of
33 reaction byproducts (e.g., alkali-silica gel). The reviewer confirms that the applicant has
34 ~~satisfied these~~ not identified one of the above conditions ~~for inaccessible concrete as~~
35 ~~identified in the GALL Report.~~ Otherwise, the reviewer reviews the applicant’s proposed
36 aging management programAMP to verify that, where appropriate, an effective
37 inspection program has been developed and implemented to ensure that the aging effect
38 will be adequately managed.

39 3. Increase in porosity and permeability due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and
40 carbonation could occur in below-grade inaccessible concrete areas of Group 6
41 structures. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended to
42 determine if a plant-specific program is required to manage the aging effect. A plant-
43 specific program is not required for the reinforced structures exposed to flowing water if
44 (1) there is evidence in the accessible areas that the flowing water has not caused
45 leaching and carbonation, or (2) evaluation determined that the observed leaching of
46 calcium hydroxide and carbonation in accessible areas has no impact on the intended
47 function of the concrete structure. The reviewer confirms that the applicant has satisfied

1 these conditions ~~as identified in the GALL Report.~~ Otherwise, the reviewer reviews the
2 applicant's proposed ~~aging management program~~AMP to verify that, where appropriate,
3 an effective inspection program has been developed and implemented to ensure that
4 this aging effect in inaccessible areas will be adequately managed.

5 3.5.3.2.2.4 *Cracking Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking and Loss of Material Due to Pitting*
6 *and Crevice Corrosion*

7 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of plant-specific programs
8 to manage cracking due to SCC and loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion for
9 ~~stainless steel~~SS tank liners exposed to standing water. The reviewer reviews the applicant's
10 proposed ~~aging management program~~AMP on a case-by-case basis to ensure that the intended
11 functions will be maintained during the subsequent period of the extended operation.

12 3.5.3.2.2.5 *Cumulative Fatigue Damage*

13 Fatigue of support members, anchor bolts, and welds for Groups B1.1, B1.2, and B1.3
14 component supports is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3 only if a CLB fatigue analysis exists.
15 TLAA's are required to be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The evaluation of this
16 TLAA is addressed separately in Section 4.3 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR Report.

17 3.5.3.2.2.6 *Reduction of Strength and Mechanical Properties of Concrete Due to Irradiation*

18 Further evaluation is recommended of a plant-specific program to manage reduction of strength,
19 loss of mechanical properties, and of concrete due to irradiation in PWR and BWR Group 4
20 concrete structures, exposed to high levels of neutron and gamma radiation. These structures
21 include the reactor (primary/biological) shield wall, the sacrificial shield wall, and the reactor
22 vessel support/pedestal structure. The irradiation mechanism consists of radiation interactions
23 with the material and heating due to absorption of radiation energy at the operating temperature
24 experienced by the concrete. The intensity of radiation is typically characterized by the
25 measure of its field or fluence. Both neutron and gamma radiation produce internal heating
26 from absorption of radiation energy and, at high fluence levels, changes in microstructure and
27 certain mechanical properties of concrete (e.g., compressive strength, tensile strength, modulus
28 of elasticity) from radiation interactions with the material. Limited data are available in the
29 open literature related to the effects and significance of radiation fluences (neutron and
30 gamma radiation) on intended functions of concrete structures, especially for conditions
31 (dose, temperature, etc.) representative of existing LWR plants. However, based on literature
32 review of existing research, fluence limits of 1×10^{19} neutrons/cm² neutron radiation and
33 1×10^8 Gy [1×10^{10} rad] gamma dose are considered conservative radiation exposure levels
34 beyond which concrete material properties may begin to degrade markedly.

35 Plant-specific calculations/analyses should be performed to identify the neutron (fluence cutoff
36 energy $E > 0.1$ MeV) and gamma fields that develop in any portion of the concrete structures of
37 interest at 80 years of operation and compare them to the above threshold limits. The impact of
38 any plant-specific operating experience of concrete irradiation effects on intended functions are
39 evaluated. The reviewer reviews these analyses, operating experience and supporting
40 technical basis (e.g., calculations, test data) on a case-by-case basis. Higher fluence or dose
41 levels may be allowed in the concrete if tests and/or calculations are provided to evaluate the
42 reduction in strength and/or change in mechanical properties of concrete, if any, from those
43 fluence levels and the effects are applied to the design calculations. The reviewer confirms that
44 the applicant's discussion in the SLRA indicates that the affected PWR and BWR concrete

1 components are not exposed to neutron and gamma radiation fluence levels that exceed the
2 threshold limits. The reviewer also confirms that the impact of any plant-specific operating
3 experience of concrete irradiation degradation on intended functions is addressed. If the
4 limits are exceeded, the technical basis (i.e., tests and/or calculations) provided by the
5 applicant to justify higher fluence or dose limits is reviewed. Otherwise, the applicant's
6 proposed plant-specific program and the supporting technical basis is reviewed to ensure that
7 the effects of irradiation on the concrete components will be adequately managed during
8 the subsequent period of extended operation.

1 3.5.3.2.3 *Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components*

2 The applicant's ~~aging management programs~~AMP for subsequent license renewal (SLR) should
3 contain the elements of corrective actions, the confirmation process, and administrative
4 controls. Safety-related components are covered by 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix B, which is
5 adequate to address these program elements. However, Appendix B does not apply to
6 nonsafety-related components that are subject to an AMR for ~~license renewal~~.SLR.
7 Nevertheless, an applicant has the option to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix
8 B program to include these components and address these program elements. If the applicant
9 chooses this option, the reviewer verifies that the applicant has documented such a commitment
10 in the FSAR supplement. If the applicant chooses alternative means, the branch responsible for
11 quality assurance (QA) should be requested to review the applicant's proposal on a case-by-
12 case basis.

13 3.5.3.2.4 *Ongoing Review of Operating Experience*

14 The applicant's AMPs should contain the element of operating experience. The reviewer
15 verifies that the applicant has appropriate programs or processes for the ongoing review of both
16 plant-specific and industry operating experience concerning age-related degradation and aging
17 management. Such reviews are used to ensure that the AMPs are effective to manage the
18 aging effects for which they are created. The AMPs are either enhanced or new AMPs are
19 developed, as appropriate, when it is determined through the evaluation of operating experience
20 that the effects of aging may not be adequately managed. Additional information is in
21 Appendix A.4, "Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs."

22 In addition, the reviewer confirms that the applicant has provided an appropriate summary
23 description of these activities in the FSAR supplement. An example description is under
24 "Operating Experience" in Table 3—AMR.0-1, "FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of
25 Applicable Systems."

26 3.5.3.3 *Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the*
27 GALL-*Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal* Report

28 The reviewer should confirm that the applicant, in their LRASLRA, has identified applicable
29 aging effects, listed the appropriate combination of materials and environments, and credited
30 AMPs that will adequately manage the aging effects. The AMP credited by the applicant could
31 be an AMP that is described and evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report or a plant-specific
32 program. Review procedures are described in Branch Technical PositionBTP RLSB-1
33 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LRSLR Report).

34 3.5.3.4 *Aging Management Programs*

35 The reviewer confirms that the applicant has identified the appropriate AMPs as described and
36 evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report. If the applicant commits to an enhancement to make its
37 LRASLRA AMP consistent with a GALL-SLR Report AMP, then the reviewer is to confirm that
38 this enhancement, when implemented, will make the LRASLRA AMP consistent with the GALL-
39 SLR Report AMP. If the applicant identifies, in the LRASLRA AMP, an exception to any of the
40 program elements of the GALL-SLR Report AMP, the reviewer is to confirm that the LRASLRA
41 AMP with the exception will satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If the reviewer identifies a
42 difference, not identified by the LRASLRA, between the LRA AMP and the GALL ReportSLRA
43 AMP and the GALL-SLR Report AMP, with which the LRASLRA claims to be consistent, the

1 reviewer should confirm that the LRASLRA AMP with this difference satisfies 10 CFR
2 54.21(a)(3). The reviewer should document the basis for accepting enhancements, exceptions,
3 or differences. The AMPs evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report pertinent to the containments,
4 ~~structures, and component~~SC supports are summarized in Table 3.5-1 of this SRP-LR-SLR.
5 The "~~Rev 2~~GALL-SLR Item" (~~for 2010~~) and "~~Rev 1~~ Item" (~~for 2005 counterpart~~) columns identify
6 ~~the column identifies the~~ AMR item numbers in the GALL-SLR Report, Chapters II and III,
7 presenting detailed information summarized by this row.

8 Table 3.5-1 of this SRP-LRSLR may identify a plant-specific ~~aging management program~~AMP.
9 If the applicant chooses to use a plant-specific program that is not a GALL-SLR AMP, the NRC
10 reviewer should confirm that the plant-specific program satisfies the criteria of ~~Branch Technical~~
11 ~~Position~~BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1.2.3 of this SRP-LR-SLR Report).

12 3.5.3.5 FSAR Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

13 The reviewer confirms that the applicant has provided in its FSAR supplement information
14 equivalent to that in Table 3.0-1 for aging management of the containments, ~~structures, and~~
15 ~~component~~SC supports. Table 3.5-2 lists the AMPs that are applicable for this SRP-LRSLR
16 subsection. The reviewer also confirms that the applicant has provided information for
17 Subsection 3.5.3.3, "AMR Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the GALL-SLR
18 Report," equivalent to that in Table 3.0-1.

19 The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the
20 applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR supplement at the next update required
21 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license condition until the FSAR update is
22 complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR supplement
23 without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change and finds it
24 acceptable pursuant to the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the
25 FSAR to include the final FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, no condition will
26 be necessary.

27 As noted in Table 3.0-1, an applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its
28 FSAR. However, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has identified and committed in
29 the ~~license renewal~~SLR application to any future aging management activities, including
30 enhancements and commitments, to be completed before the subsequent period of extended
31 operation. The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to
32 ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.

33 3.5.4 Evaluation Findings

34 If the reviewer determines that the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the
35 provisions of this section, then an evaluation finding similar to the following text should be
36 included in the NRC staff's safety evaluation report:

37 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that the
38 applicant has demonstrated that the aging effects associated with the
39 containments, structures, and component supports components will be
40 adequately managed so that the intended functions will be maintained consistent
41 with the CLB for the subsequent period of extended operation, as required by
42 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3).

1 The NRC staff also reviewed the applicable FSAR Supplement program
2 summaries and concludes that they adequately describe the AMPs credited
3 for managing aging of the containments, structures, and component supports, as
4 required by 10 CFR 54.21(d).

5 **3.5.5 Implementation**

6 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for
7 complying with specified portions of the NRC's regulations, the method described herein will be
8 used by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.

9 **3.5.6 References**

- 10 1. 10 CFR Part 50, ~~Appendix B~~, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants,"
11 ~~Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, 2009.~~
12 Appendix B. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 13 2. 10 CFR Part 50.55a, "Codes and Standards," ~~Office of the Federal Register, National~~
14 ~~Archives and Records Administration, 2009.~~ Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
15 Commission. 2015.
- 16 3. 10 CFR Part 50.59, "Changes, Tests, and Experiments," ~~Office of the Federal Register,~~
17 ~~National Archives and Records Administration, 2009.~~ Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
18 Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 19 4. 10 CFR Part 50, ~~Appendix J~~, "Primary Reactor Containment Leakage Testing for Water-
20 Cooled Power Reactors," ~~Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records~~
21 ~~Administration, 2009.~~ Appendix J. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
22 Commission. 2015.
- 23 5. 10 CFR Part 50.71, "Maintenance of Record, Making of Reports," ~~Office of the Federal~~
24 ~~Register, National Archives and Records Administration, 2009.~~ Washington, DC: U.S.
25 Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 26 6. 10 CFR Part 50.65, "Requirements for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at
27 Nuclear Power Plants," ~~Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records~~
28 ~~Administration, 2009.~~ Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 29 7. 10 CFR 54.4, "Scope," ~~Office of the Federal Register,~~ ~~National Archives and Records~~
30 ~~Administration, 2009.~~ Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 31 ~~8.~~ NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.127, "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with
32 Nuclear Power Plants," Revision 1, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 1978.
- 33 ~~9.8.~~ NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for
34 Nuclear Power Plants," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
35 March 2007/1978.
- 36 ~~10.~~ NUREG-1801, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL)," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
37 Commission, Revision 2, 2010.

1 ~~41.9.~~ NEI. NEI 95-10, "Industry Guideline for Implementing the Requirements of
2 10 CFR Part 54—~~The License Renewal Rule,"~~ Revision 6. Washington, DC:
3 Nuclear Energy Institute, ~~Revision 6. 1995.~~

4 ~~42.10.~~ ASME. Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant
5 Components," Subsection IWL, "Requirements for Class CC Concrete Components of
6 Light-Water Cooled Power Plants," ~~The,~~ ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, 2004
7 Edition ~~as approved in 10 CFR 50.55a, The, New York City, New York:~~ American
8 Society of Mechanical Engineers, ~~New York, NY.~~

9 ~~43.11.~~ ASME. Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant
10 Components," Subsection IWE, "Requirements for Class MC and Metallic Liners of
11 Class CC Components of Light-Water Cooled Power Plants," ~~The,~~ ASME Boiler and
12 Pressure Vessel Code, 2004 Edition ~~as approved in 10 CFR 50.55a, the, New York~~
13 City, New York: American Society of Mechanical Engineers, ~~New York, NY.~~

- 1 ~~14.12.~~ ASME, Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant
2 Components," Subsection IWF, "Requirements for Class 1, 2, 3, and MC Component
3 Supports of Light-Water Cooled Power Plants," ~~The.~~ ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel
4 Code, 2004 Edition ~~as approved in 10 CFR 50.55a, The.~~ New York City, New York:
5 American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, NY.
- 6 ~~15.13.~~ NEI. NUMARC 93-01, ~~Rev. 2,~~ "Industry Guideline for Monitoring the Effectiveness of
7 Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants" ~~[Line-In/Line-Out Version],~~ Revision 2.
8 Washington, DC: Nuclear Energy Institute, April 1996.
- 9 ~~16.14.~~ NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.160, ~~Revision 2,~~ "Monitoring the Effectiveness of
10 Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants," Revision 2. ML003761662. March 31 1997.
- 11 ~~17.15.~~ NRC. NUREG--1557, ~~October 1996,~~ "Summary of Technical Information and
12 Agreements from Nuclear Management and Resource Council Industry Report
13 addressing License Renewal." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
14 October 1996.
- 15 ~~18.16.~~ ACI. Standard 318, "Building Code Requirements for Reinforced Concrete and
16 Commentary," Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute.
- 17 17. Hilsdorf, H.K., J. Kropp, and H.J. Koch. "The Effects of Nuclear Radiation on the
18 Mechanical Properties of Concrete." ACI SP 55-10. pp 223-251. 1978.
- 19 18. NRC. NUREG/CR-7171, "A Review of the Effects of Radiation on Microstructure and
20 Properties of Concretes Used in Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
21 Regulatory Commission. November 2013
- 22 19. Field, K.G., Y. Le Pape, and I. Remec. "Perspectives on Radiation Effects in Concrete
23 for Nuclear Power Plants--Part I: Quantification of Radiation Exposure and Radiation
24 Effects." Nuclear Engineering and Design. Vol 285. pp 126-143. February 2015.

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>M</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Concrete: dome; wall;</u> <u>basemat; ring girders;</u> <u>buttresses, concrete</u> <u>elements, all</u>	<u>PWR Concrete</u> <u>(Reinforced and</u> <u>Prestressed) and Steel</u> <u>Containments</u> <u>BWR Concrete and</u> <u>Steel (Mark I, II, and</u> <u>III) Containments</u> <u>Cracking and</u> <u>distortion due to</u> <u>increased stress levels</u> <u>from settlement</u>	<u>AMP XI.S2,</u> <u>"ASME Section</u> <u>XI, Subsection</u> <u>IWL," and/or</u> <u>AMP XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.5.2.2.1.1)</u>	<u>II.A1.CP-</u> <u>101</u> <u>II.A2.CP-</u> <u>69</u> <u>II.B1.2.C</u> <u>P-105</u> <u>II.B2.2.C</u> <u>P-105</u> <u>II.B3.1.C</u> <u>P-69</u> <u>II.B3.2.C</u> <u>P-105</u>
<u>4M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R2</u>	<u>Concrete: dome;</u> <u>wall; basemat; ring</u> <u>girders; buttresses,</u> <u>Concrete elements,</u> <u>a#BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cracking and distortion</u> <u>due to increased stress</u> <u>levels from</u> <u>settlementConcrete:</u> <u>foundation;</u> <u>subfoundation</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S2, "ASME</u> <u>Section XI, Subsection</u> <u>IWL" or Chapter XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structure Monitoring"</u> <u>If a de-watering</u> <u>system is relied upon</u> <u>for control of</u> <u>settlement, then the</u> <u>licensee is to ensure</u> <u>proper functioning of</u> <u>the de-watering</u>	<u>Yes, if a de-</u> <u>watering system</u> <u>is relied upon to</u> <u>control</u> <u>settlement (See</u> <u>subsection</u> <u>3.5.2.2.1.1)AMP</u> <u>XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u>	<u>II.A1.CP-104</u> <u>II.A2.CP-69</u> <u>II.B4Yes</u> <u>(SRP-SLR</u> <u>Section</u> <u>3.5.2.CP-105</u> <u>II.B2.2.CP-105</u> <u>II.B3.1.CP-69</u>	<u>II.A1-</u> <u>5(C-37)</u> <u>07</u> <u>II.A2-</u> <u>5(C-36)</u> <u>07</u> <u>II.B1.2-</u> <u>4(C-06)</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				system through the period of extended operation. Reduction of foundation strength and cracking due to differential settlement and erosion of porous concrete subfoundation		II.B3.2.CP-105 1)	07 II.B2.2-4(C-06) 07 II.B3.1-2(C-36) 07 II.B3.2-4(C-06) 07
2M	BWR/PWR R3	Concrete: foundation; subfoundation BWR/PWR	Reduction of foundation strength and cracking due to differential settlement and erosion of porous concrete subfoundation Concrete: dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses, concrete: containment; wall; basemat, concrete: basemat, concrete fill-in annulus	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" If a de-watering system is relied upon for control of erosion, then the licensee is to ensure proper functioning of the de-watering system through the period of extended operation. Reduction of strength and modulus of elasticity due to elevated temperature	Yes, if a de-watering system is relied upon to control settlement (See subsection 3.5.2.2.1.1) Plant-specific aging management program	II.A1.C-07 II.A2.C-07 II.B4 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.G-07 II.B2.2.C-07 II.B3.1.C-07 II.B3.2.C-07 1)	II.A1-8(C-07) II.A2-8(C-07) CP-34 II.B1.2-7(C-07) CP-57 II.B2.2-7(C-07) CP-57 II.B3.1-7(C-07)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				(>150°F general; >200°F local)			<u>.CP-65</u> <u>II.B3.2-</u> <u>8(C-07)</u> <u>.CP-108</u>
<u>3M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R4</u>	<u>Concrete: dome;</u> <u>wall; basemat; ring</u> <u>girders; buttresses;</u> <u>Concrete:</u> <u>containment; wall;</u> <u>basemat;</u> <u>Concrete:</u> <u>basemat, concrete</u> <u>fill in annulus</u> <u>BWR</u>	<u>Reduction of strength</u> <u>and modulus</u> <u>due to elevated</u> <u>temperature (>150°F</u> <u>general; >200°F</u> <u>local)</u> <u>Steel elements</u> <u>(inaccessible areas):</u> <u>drywell shell; drywell</u> <u>head</u>	<u>A plant-specific aging</u> <u>management program</u> <u>is to be</u> <u>evaluated.</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Yes, if</u> <u>temperature</u> <u>limits are</u> <u>exceeded (See</u> <u>subsection</u> <u>3.5.2.2.1.2)</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>XI.S1, "ASME</u> <u>Section XI,</u> <u>Subsection IWE,"</u> <u>and AMP XI.S4,</u> <u>"10 CFR Part 50,</u> <u>Appendix J"</u>	<u>II.A1.CP-34</u> <u>II.B1.2.3(C-</u> <u>35)</u> <u>II.B2.2.3(C-</u> <u>35)</u> <u>II.B2.2.CP-57</u> <u>II.B2.2.CP-57</u> <u>II.B3.1.CP-65</u> <u>II.B3.2.CP-108</u> <u>3.1)</u>	<u>II.A1.4(C-</u> <u>08)</u> <u>II.B1.2.3(C-</u> <u>35)</u> <u>II.B2.2.3(C-</u> <u>35)</u> <u>II.B3.1-</u> <u>4(C-50)</u> <u>II.B3.2-2(C-</u> <u>33)</u> <u>.CP-113</u>
<u>4M</u>	<u>BWR5</u>	<u>Steel elements</u> <u>(inaccessible</u> <u>areas): drywell</u> <u>shell; drywell head;</u> <u>and drywell</u> <u>shell</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general, pitting,</u> <u>and crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Steel elements</u> <u>(inaccessible areas):</u> <u>liner; liner anchors;</u> <u>integral attachments,</u> <u>steel elements</u> <u>(inaccessible areas):</u> <u>suppression chamber;</u> <u>drywell; drywell head;</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S1, "ASME</u> <u>Section XI, Subsection</u> <u>IWE," and</u> <u>Chapter XI.S4,</u> <u>"10 CFR Part 50,</u> <u>Appendix J"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Yes, if corrosion</u> <u>is indicated from</u> <u>the IWE</u> <u>examinations</u> <u>(See subsection</u> <u>3.5.2.2.1.3.1)</u> <u>AM</u> <u>P XI.S1, "ASME</u> <u>Section XI,</u> <u>Subsection IWE,"</u> <u>and AMP XI.S4,</u> <u>"10 CFR Part 50,</u> <u>Appendix J"</u>	<u>II.B3.1.CP-113</u> <u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.5.2.2.1.3.1</u> <u>)</u>	<u>II.B3.1-8(C-</u> <u>19)</u> <u>II.A1.CP-</u> <u>98</u> <u>II.A2.CP-</u> <u>98</u> <u>II.B1.2.C</u> <u>P-63</u> <u>II.B2.1.C</u> <u>P-63</u> <u>II.B2.2.C</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>embedded shell; region shielded by diaphragm floor (as applicable)</u>				<u>P-63</u> <u>II.B3.2.C</u> <u>P-98</u>
<u>5M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R6</u>	<u>Steel elements (inaccessible areas): liner; liner anchors; integral attachments, Steel elements (inaccessible areas): suppression chamber; drywell; drywell head; embedded shell; region shielded by diaphragm floor (as applicable)</u> <u>BWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion</u> <u>Steel elements: torus shell</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE" and</u> <u>Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Yes, if corrosion is indicated from the IWE examinations (See subsection 3.5.2.2.1.3.1)AM P XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and AMP XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"</u>	<u>II.A1.CP-98</u> <u>II.A2.CP-98</u> <u>II.B4Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.CP-63</u> <u>II.B2.1.CP-63</u> <u>II.B2.2.CP-63</u> <u>II.B3.2.CP-98</u>	<u>II.A1-11(C-09)</u> <u>II.A2-9(C-09)</u> <u>II.B1.2-8(C-46)</u> <u>II.B2.1-4(C-46)</u> <u>II.B2.2-10(C-46)</u> <u>II.B3.2-9(C-09)</u> <u>CP-48</u>
<u>6M</u>	<u>BWR7</u>	<u>Steel elements: torus shell</u> <u>BWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion</u> <u>Steel elements: torus ring girders; downcomers; Steel elements: suppression chamber shell (interior surface)</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE" and</u> <u>Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"Loss of material due to</u>	<u>Yes, if corrosion is significant</u> <u>Recoating of the torus is recommended. (See subsection 3.5.2.2.1.3.2)AM P XI.S1, "ASME</u>	<u>II.B1.1.CP-48</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.1.3.3</u> <u>)</u>	<u>II.B1.1-2(C-19)</u> <u>CP-109</u> <u>II.B3.1.C</u> <u>P-158</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				<u>general, pitting,</u> <u>crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Section XI,</u> <u>Subsection IWE"</u>		
<u>7M</u>	<u>BWR8</u>	<u>Steel elements:</u> <u>torus ring girders;</u> <u>downcomers;</u> <u>Steel elements:</u> <u>suppression</u> <u>chamber shell</u> <u>(interior</u> <u>surface)</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general, pitting,</u> <u>and crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Prestressing</u> <u>system: tendons</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S1, "ASME</u> <u>Section XI, Subsection</u> <u>IWE"</u> <u>Loss of prestress</u> <u>due to relaxation;</u> <u>shrinkage; creep;</u> <u>elevated temperature</u>	<u>Yes, if corrosion</u> <u>is significant</u> <u>(See subsection</u> <u>3.5.2.2.1.3.3)</u> <u>TLA</u> <u>A, SRP-SLR</u> <u>Section 4.5,</u> <u>"Concrete</u> <u>Containment</u> <u>Tendon</u> <u>Prestress"</u>	<u>II.B1.1.CP-109</u> <u>II.B3.1.CP-158</u> <u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.5.2.2.1.4)</u>	<u>II.B1.1-</u> <u>2(A1.C-</u> <u>19)</u> <u>11</u> <u>II.B3.1-</u> <u>8(B2.2.C-</u> <u>19)</u> <u>11</u>
<u>8M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R9</u>	<u>Prestressing</u> <u>system:</u> <u>tendons</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of prestress</u> <u>due to relaxation;</u> <u>shrinkage; creep;</u> <u>elevated</u> <u>temperature</u> <u>Personnel</u> <u>airlock, equipment</u> <u>hatch, CRD hatch,</u> <u>penetration sleeves;</u> <u>penetration bellows,</u> <u>steel elements: torus;</u> <u>vent line; vent header;</u> <u>vent line bellows;</u> <u>downcomers,</u> <u>suppression pool shell;</u> <u>unbraced downcomers,</u>	<u>Yes, TLAA</u> <u>Cumulative</u> <u>fatigue damage due to</u> <u>fatigue (Only if CLB</u> <u>fatigue analysis exists)</u>	<u>Yes, TLAA (See</u> <u>subsection</u> <u>3.5.2.2.1.4)</u> <u>TLAA</u> <u>, SRP-SLR</u> <u>Section 4.6,</u> <u>"Containment</u> <u>Liner Plate and</u> <u>Penetration</u> <u>Fatigue Analysis"</u>	<u>II.A1.C-14</u> <u>II.B2.2.C-14</u> <u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.5.2.2.1.5)</u>	<u>II.A1-</u> <u>9(A3.C-</u> <u>14)</u> <u>13</u> <u>II.B1.1.C-</u> <u>21</u> <u>II.B2.1.C-</u> <u>45</u> <u>II.B2.2-</u> <u>8(C-14)</u> <u>48</u> <u>II.B4.C-</u> <u>13</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			steel elements: vent header; downcomers				
9M	BWR/PWR R10	Penetration sleeves; penetration bellows; Steel elements: torus; vent line; vent header; vent line bellows; downcomers; Suppression pool shell; unbraced downcomers; Steel elements: vent header; downcomers BWR/PWR	Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue (Only if CLB fatigue analysis exists) Penetration sleeves; penetration bellows	Yes, TLAA Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.5.2.2.1.5) AMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and AMP XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"	II.A3.C-13 II.B1.1.C-24 II.B2.1.C-45 II.B2.2.C-48 II.B4.C-13 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.1.6)	II.A3-4(C-13) II.B1.1-4(C-24) II.B2.1-4(C-45) II.B2.2-4(C-48) CP-38 II.B4-4(C-13) CP-38
10M	PWR11	Penetration sleeves; penetration bellows BWR/PWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking Concrete (inaccessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J" Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw	Yes, detection of aging effects is to be evaluated (See subsection 3.5.2.2.1.6) Plant-specific aging management program	II.A3.CP-38 II.B4.CP-38 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.1.7)	II.A3-2(C-15) A1.CP-147 II.B4-2(C-15) A2.CP-70 II.B3.2.C P-135

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev1 GA LL-SLR Item
11M	BWR/PWR R12	Concrete (inaccessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses, Concrete (inaccessible areas): basemat, Concrete (inaccessible areas): dome; wall; basemat BWR/PWR	Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw Concrete (inaccessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses, containment, concrete fill-in annulus	Further evaluation is needed for plants that are located in moderate to severe weathering conditions (weathering index >100-day-inch/yr) (NUREG-1557). Cracking due to expansion from reaction with aggregates	Yes, for plants located in moderate to severe weathering conditions (See subsection 3.5.2.2.1.7) Plant-specific aging management program	II.A1-CP-147 II.A2-CP-70 II.B3.2-CP-135 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.1.8)	II.A1-2(C-04) CP-67 II.A2-CP-104 II.B1.2.CP-99 II.B2.2(C-28) CP-99 II.B3.1.CP-83 II.B3.2-3(C-29) CP-121
12D	BWR/PWR R13	Concrete (inaccessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses, Concrete (inaccessible areas): basemat, Concrete (inaccessible areas): containment; wall; basemat, Concrete	Cracking due to expansion from reaction with aggregates	Further evaluation is required to determine if a plant-specific aging management program is needed.	Yes, if concrete is not constructed as stated function (See subsection 3.5.2.2.1.8)	II.A1-CP-67 II.A2-CP-104 II.B1.2-CP-99 II.B2.2-CP-99 II.B3.1-CP-83 II.B3.2-CP-121	II.A1-3(C-04) II.A2-3(C-38) II.B1.2-4(C-39) II.B2.2-4(C-39) II.B3.1-5(C-54)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		(inaccessible areas): basemat, concrete fill-in annulus					II.B3.2-4(C-40)
13M	BWR/PWR R14	Concrete (inaccessible areas): basemat, Concrete (inaccessible areas): dome; wall; basemat BWR/PWR	Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation Concrete (inaccessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses, containment	Further evaluation is required to determine if a plant-specific aging management program is needed. Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation	Yes, if leaching is observed in accessible areas that impact intended function (See subsection 3.5.2.2.1.9) Plant-specific aging management program	II.A2.CP-53 II.B3.1.CP-53 II.B3.2.CP-122 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.1.9)	II.A1.CP-102 II.A2-6(C-30) .CP-53 II.B1.2.CP-110 II.B2.2.CP-110 II.B3.1-3(C-30) .CP-53 II.B3.2-6(C-32) .CP-122
14D	BWR/PWR R15	Concrete (inaccessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses, Concrete (inaccessible areas):	Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation	Further evaluation is required to determine if a plant-specific aging management program is needed.	Yes, if leaching is observed in accessible areas that impact intended function (See subsection 3.5.2.2.1.9)	II.A1.CP-102 II.B1.2.CP-110 II.B2.2.CP-110	II.A1-6(C-02) II.B1.2-6(C-31) II.B2.2-6(C-31)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		containment; wall; basemat					
<u>15M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R16</u>	Concrete (accessible areas); basemat <u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R</u>	Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation Concrete (accessible areas): basemat, concrete: containment; wall	Chapter XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL" Increase in porosity and permeability; cracking; loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to aggressive chemical attack	No AMP XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL," and/or AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	II.A2.CP-155 II.B3.1.CP-156 No	II.A1.CP-87 II.A2-6(C-30) CP-72 II.B1.2.C P-106 II.B2.2.C P-106 II.B3.1-3(C-30) CP-72
<u>16D</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R17</u>	Concrete (accessible areas); basemat, Concrete: containment; wall; basemat	Increase in porosity and permeability; cracking; loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to aggressive chemical attack	Chapter XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL," or Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	No	II.A2.CP-72 II.B1.2.CP-106 II.B2.2.CP-106 II.B3.1.CP-72	II.A2-4(C-25) II.B1.2-5(C-26) II.B2.2-5(C-26) II.B3.1-1(C-25)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>17M</u>	<u>BWR18</u>	Concrete (<u>accessible areas</u>): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses <u>BWR/P</u> <u>WR</u>	Increase in porosity and permeability; cracking; loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to aggressive chemical attack Concrete (<u>accessible areas</u>): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses	Chapter XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL" Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw	No AMP XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL" and/or AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	II.A1-CP-87 <u>No</u>	II.A1-4(C-03) <u>.CP-31</u> <u>II.A2.CP-51</u> <u>II.B3.2.CP-52</u>
<u>18M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR19</u>	Concrete (<u>accessible areas</u>): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses; Concrete (<u>accessible areas</u>): basemat <u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R</u>	Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw Concrete (<u>accessible areas</u>): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses, containment; concrete fill-in annulus	Chapter XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL" Cracking due to expansion from reaction with aggregates	No AMP XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL" and/or AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	II.A1-CP-31 II.A2-CP-54 II.B3.2-CP-52 <u>No</u>	II.A1-2(C-04) <u>.CP-33</u> <u>II.A2-CP-58</u> <u>II.B1.2.CP-59</u> <u>II.B2.2(C-28)</u> <u>.CP-59</u> <u>II.B3.1.CP-66</u> <u>II.B3.2-3(C-29)</u> <u>.CP-60</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
19M	BWR/PWR R20	Concrete (accessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses, Concrete (accessible areas): basemat, Concrete (accessible areas): containment; wall; basemat, Concrete (accessible areas): basemat, concrete fill-in annulus BWR/PWR	Cracking due to expansion from reaction with aggregates Concrete (accessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses, containment	Chapter XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL" Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation	No AMP XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL"	II.A1-CP-33 II.A2-CP-58 II.B1.2-CP-59 II.B2.2-CP-59 II.B3.1-CP-66 II.B3.2-CP-60 No	II.A1-3(C- 04) .CP-32 II.A2-3(C- 38) .CP-155 II.B1.2- 4(C-39) .CP-54 II.B2.2- 4(C-39) .CP-54 II.B3.1- 5(C-51) .CP-156 II.B3.2- 4(C-40) .CP-55
20M	BWR/PWR R21	Concrete (accessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses, Concrete (accessible areas): containment; wall;	Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation Concrete (accessible areas):	Chapter XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL" Cracking; loss of bond; and loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to corrosion of embedded steel	No AMP XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL" and/or AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	II.A1-CP-32 II.B1.2-CP-54 II.B2.2-CP-54 II.B3.2-CP-55 No	II.A1-6(C- 02) .CP-68 II.A2-CP- 74

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		basemat BWR/PW R	dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses; reinforcing steel				II.B1.2- 6(C-34) .CP-79 II.B2.2- 6(C-34) .CP-79 II.B3.1.C P-74 II.B3.2- 6(C-32) .CP-88
21 D	BWR/PW R 22	Concrete (accessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; ring girders; buttresses; reinforcing steel, Concrete (accessible areas): basemat; reinforcing steel, Concrete (accessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; reinforcing steel	Cracking; loss of bond; and loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to corrosion of embedded steel	Chapter XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL"	No	II.A1.CP-68 II.A2.CP-74 II.B1.2.CP-79 II.B2.2.CP-79 II.B3.1.CP-74 II.B3.2.CP-88	II.A1-7(C- 05) II.A2-7(C- 43) II.B1.2-2(C- 41) II.B2.2-2(C- 41) II.B3.1-6(C- 43) II.B3.2-7(C- 42)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>22M</u>	<u>BWR</u> <u>23</u>	Concrete (inaccessible areas): basemat; reinforcing steel <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Cracking; loss of bond; and loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to corrosion of embedded steel <u>Concrete (inaccessible areas): basemat; reinforcing steel, dome; wall</u>	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" Cracking; loss of bond; and loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to corrosion of embedded steel	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL," and/or AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</u>	<u>II.B1.2.CP-80</u> <u>II.B2.2.CP-80</u> <u>No</u>	<u>II.A1.CP-97</u> <u>II.A2.CP-75</u> <u>II.B1.2(C-41)</u> <u>.CP-80</u> <u>II.B2.2.CP-80</u> <u>II.B3.1.CP-75</u> <u>II.B3.2(C-41)</u> <u>.CP-89</u>
<u>23M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R24</u>	Concrete (inaccessible areas): basemat; reinforcing steel; Concrete (inaccessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; reinforcing steel <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Cracking; loss of bond; and loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to corrosion of embedded steel <u>Concrete (inaccessible areas): dome; wall; basemat; reinforcing steel; ring girders; buttresses, concrete (accessible areas): dome; wall; basemat</u>	Chapter XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL," or Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" Increase in porosity and permeability; cracking; loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to aggressive chemical attack	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL," and/or AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</u>	<u>II.A2.CP-75</u> <u>II.B3.1.CP-75</u> <u>II.B3.2.CP-89</u> <u>No</u>	<u>II.A1.CP-100</u> <u>II.A2.7(C-43)</u> <u>.CP-71</u> <u>II.B3.1-6(C-43)</u> <u>.CP-71</u> <u>II.B3.2-7(C-42)</u> <u>.CP-73</u> <u>II.B3.2.CP-84</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			defects Penetration sleeves; penetration bellows, steel elements; torus; vent line; vent header; vent line bellows; downcomers, suppression pool shell	fatigue analysis does not exist)	Part 50, Appendix J"		II.B2.1.C P-107 II.B4-7(C-18) .CP-37
27	BWR/PWR R28	penetration sleeves; penetration bellows, Steel elements; torus; vent line; vent header; vent line bellows; downcomers, Suppression pool shell BWR/PWR	Cracking due to cyclic loading (CLB fatigue analysis does not exist) Personnel airlock, equipment hatch, CRD hatch	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and AMP XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"	II.A3-CP-37 II.B1.1-CP-49 II.B2.1-CP-107 II.B4-CP-37 No	II.A3-3(C-14) II.B1.1-3(C-20) II.B2.1-3(C-44) 16 II.B4-3(C-14) 16
28M	BWR/PWR R29	Personnel airlock, equipment hatch, CRD hatch BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion Personnel airlock, equipment hatch, CRD hatch; locks, hinges, and closure mechanisms	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"Loss of leak tightness due to mechanical wear	No AMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and AMP XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"	II.A3-C-16 II.B4-C-16 No	II.A3-6(C-16) .CP-39 II.B4-6(C-16) .CP-39

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u> <u>Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item</u> <u>Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
29	BWR/PWR R30	Personnel airlock, equipment hatch, CRD hatch: locks, hinges, and closure mechanisms BWR/PWR	Loss of leak tightness due to mechanical wear of locks, hinges and closure mechanisms Pressure-retaining bolting	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J" Loss of preload due to self-loosening	No AMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and AMP XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"	II.A3.CP-39 II.B4.CP-39 No	II.A3-5(C-17) CP-150 II.B4-5(C-17) CP-150
30	BWR/PWR R31	Pressure-retaining bolting BWR/PWR	Loss of preload due to self-loosening Pressure-retaining bolting, steel elements: downcomer pipes	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J" Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE"	II.A3.CP-150 II.B4.CP-150 No	N/A N/A II.A3.CP-148 II.B1.2.CP-117 II.B2.1.CP-117 II.B2.2.CP-117 II.B4.CP-148
34	BWR/PWR R32	Pressure-retaining bolting, Steel elements: downcomer pipes BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion Prestressing system: tendons; anchorage components	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE" Loss of material due to corrosion	No AMP XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL"	II.A3.CP-148 II.B1.2.CP-117 II.B2.1.CP-117 II.B2.2.CP-117 II.B4.CP-148	N/A II.B1.2-8(A1.C-46) 10 II.B2.1-1(2.C-46)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						No	II.B2.2-10(C-46) N/A
32	BWR/PWR R33	Prestressing system: tendons; anchorage components BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to corrosion Seals and gaskets	Chapter XI.S2, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL" Loss of sealing due to wear, damage, erosion, tear, surface cracks, other defects	No AMP XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J "	II.A1.C-10 II.B2.2.C-10 No	II.A1-10(C-10) A3.CP-41 II.B2.2-9(C-10) B4.CP-41
33	BWR/PWR R34	Seals and gaskets BWR/PWR	Loss of sealing due to wear, damage, erosion, tear, surface cracks, or other defects Service Level I coatings	Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J" Loss of coating or lining integrity due to blistering, cracking, flaking, peeling, delamination, rusting, or physical damage	No AMP XI.S8, "Protective Coating Monitoring and Maintenance"	II.A3.CP-41 II.B4.CP-41 No	II.A3-7(C-18) .CP-152 II.B4-7(C-18) .CP-152
34M	BWR/PWR R35	Service Level I coatings BWR/PWR	Loss of coating integrity due to blistering, cracking, flaking, peeling, or physical damage Steel elements	Chapter XI.S8, "Protective Coating Monitoring and Maintenance" Loss of material due to general pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and AMP XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"	II.A3.CP-152 II.B4.CP-152 No	N/A N/A II.A1.CP-35 II.A2.CP-

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			(accessible areas): liner; liner anchors; integral attachments, penetration sleeves, drywell shell; drywell head; drywell shell in sand pocket regions; suppression chamber; drywell; embedded shell; region shielded by diaphragm floor (as applicable)				35 <u>II.A3.CP-36</u> <u>II.B1.1.CP-43</u> <u>II.B1.2.CP-46</u> <u>II.B2.1.CP-46</u> <u>II.B2.2.CP-46</u> <u>II.B3.1.CP-43</u> <u>II.B3.2.CP-35</u> <u>II.B4.CP-36</u>
35M	BWR/PWR <u>R36</u>	Steel elements (accessible areas): liner; liner anchors; integral attachments, Penetration sleeves, Steel elements (accessible areas): drywell shell; drywell head; drywell shell in sand pocket regions;; Steel	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosionSteel elements: drywell head; downcomers	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"Loss of material due to mechanical wear, including fretting	NoAMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE"	II.A1.CP-35 II.A2.CP-35 II.A3.CP-36 II.B1.1.CP-43 II.B1.2.CP-46 II.B2.1.CP-46 II.B2.2.CP-46	II.A1-11(C-09) II.A2-9(C-09) II.A3-1(C-12) II.B1.1-2(C-19)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		elements (accessible areas): suppression chamber; drywell; drywell head; embedded shell; region shielded by diaphragm floor (as applicable), Steel elements (accessible areas): drywell shell; drywell headBWR				II.B3.1.CP-43 II.B3.2.CP-35 II.B4.CP-36 <u>No</u>	<u>23</u> II.B1.2- 8(C-46) <u>23</u> II.B2.1- 4(C-46) <u>23</u> II.B2.2- 10(C-46) II.B3.1-8(C- 19) II.B3.2-9(C- 09) II.B4.1(C- 12) <u>23</u>
36	BWR37	Steel elements: drywell head; downcomersBWR	Fretting or lockup due to mechanical wearSteel elements: suppression chamber (torus) liner (interior surface)	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE"Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, crevice corrosion	NoAMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and AMP XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"	II.B1.1.C-23 II.B1.2.C-23 II.B2.1.C-23 II.B2.2.C-23 <u>No</u>	II.B1.1-1(C- 23) II.B1.2- 9(C-23) <u>49</u> II.B2.4- 2(C-23)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							II.B2.2-41(C-23) 49
37	<u>BWR38</u>	Steel elements: suppression chamber (torus) liner (interior surface) <u>BWR</u>	Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, and crevice corrosion <u>Steel elements: suppression chamber shell (interior surface)</u>	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	NoAMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and AMP XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"	II.B1.2.C-49 II.B2.2.C-49 No	II.B1.2-10(B3.1.C-49) 24 II.B2B3.2-12(C-49) 24
38	<u>BWR39</u>	Steel elements: suppression chamber shell (interior surface) <u>BWR</u>	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking <u>Steel elements: vent line bellows</u>	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking	NoAMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and AMP XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"	II.B3.1.C-24 II.B3.2.C-24 No	II.B3B1.1-9(C-24) II.B3.2-10(C-24) .CP-50
39	<u>BWR40</u>	Steel elements: vent line bellows <u>BWR</u>	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking <u>Unbraced downcomers, steel elements: vent header; downcomers</u>	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE," and Chapter XI.S4, "10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J"Cracking due to cyclic loading	NoAMP XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE"	II.B1.1.CP-50 No	II.B1B2.1-5(C-22) .CP-142 II.B2.2.CP-64

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				(CLB fatigue analysis does not exist)			
<u>40M</u>	<u>BWR41</u>	Unbraced downcomers, Steel elements: vent header; downcomers <u>BWR</u>	Cracking due to cyclic loading (CLB fatigue analysis does not exist) Steel elements: drywell support skirt, steel elements (inaccessible areas): support skirt	Chapter XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE" <u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>II.B2.1.CP-142</u> <u>II.B2.2.CP-64</u> <u>No</u>	<u>II.B1.1.C</u> <u>P-44</u> <u>II.B1.2.C</u> <u>P-114</u> <u>II.B2.1-</u> <u>3(C-44)</u> <u>.CP-114</u> <u>II.B2.2-</u> <u>13(C-47)</u> <u>.CP-114</u>
<u>41M</u>	<u>BWR42</u>	Steel elements: drywell support skirt, Steel elements (inaccessible areas): support skirt <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>None</u> Groups 1-3, 5, 7-9: concrete (inaccessible areas): foundation	<u>None</u> Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw	<u>NA – No AEM or AMP</u> Plant-specific aging management program	<u>II.B1.1.CP-44</u> <u>II.B1.2.CP-44</u> Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.CP-114 <u>II.B2.1.CP-114</u> <u>II.B2.2.CP-114</u> <u>2.1.1)</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>III.A1.TP-108</u> <u>III.A2.TP-108</u> <u>III.A3.TP-108</u> <u>III.A5.TP-108</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							III.A7.TP-108 III.A8.TP-108 III.A9.TP-108
<u>Safety-Related and Other Structures and Component Supports</u> <u>M</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>All Groups except Group 6: concrete (inaccessible areas): all</u>	<u>Cracking due to expansion from reaction with aggregates</u>	<u>Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.2.1.2)</u>	III.A1.TP-204 III.A2.TP-204 III.A3.TP-204 III.A4.TP-204 III.A5.TP-204 III.A7.TP-204 III.A8.TP-204 III.A9.TP-204
<u>42</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>44</u>	<u>Groups 1-3, 5, 7-9: Concrete (inaccessible areas): foundation</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking</u> <u>due to freeze-thaw</u> <u>All Groups: concrete: all</u>	<u>Further evaluation is required for plants that are located in moderate to severe weathering conditions (weathering index >100 day-inch/yr) (NUREG-1557)Cracking and</u>	<u>Yes, for plants located in moderate to severe weathering conditions (See subsection 3.5.2.2.2.1.1)AM P XI.S6,</u>	III.A1.TP-108 III.A2.TP-108 III.A3.TP-108 III.A5.TP-108 III.A7.TP-108	III.A1-6(T-01) TP-30 III.A2-6(T-01)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				<u>distortion due to</u> <u>increased stress levels</u> <u>from settlement</u>	<u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u>	<u>III.A8.TP-108</u> <u>III.A9.TP-108</u> <u>Yes (SRP-</u> <u>SLR Section</u> <u>3.5.2.2.2.1.3</u> <u>)</u>	<u>.TP-30</u> <u>III.A3-6(T-</u> <u>04)</u> <u>.TP-30</u> <u>III.A4.TP-</u> <u>304</u> <u>III.A5-6(T-</u> <u>04)</u> <u>.TP-30</u> <u>III.A6.TP-</u> <u>30</u> <u>III.A7-5(T-</u> <u>04)</u> <u>.TP-30</u> <u>III.A8-5(T-</u> <u>04)</u> <u>.TP-30</u> <u>III.A9-5(T-</u> <u>04)</u> <u>.TP-30</u>
<u>43D</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R45</u>	<u>All Groups except</u> <u>Group 6:Concrete</u> <u>(inaccessible</u> <u>areas):- all</u>	<u>Cracking</u> <u>due to expansion from</u> <u>reaction with aggregates</u>	<u>Further evaluation is</u> <u>required to determine</u> <u>if a plant-specific aging</u> <u>management program</u> <u>is needed.</u>	<u>Yes, if concrete</u> <u>is not</u> <u>constructed as</u> <u>stated (See</u> <u>subsection</u> <u>3.5.2.2.2.1.2)</u>	<u>III.A1.TP-204</u> <u>III.A2.TP-204</u> <u>III.A3.TP-204</u>	<u>III.A1-2(T-</u> <u>03)</u> <u>III.A2-2(T-</u> <u>03)</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report							
<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						<p>III.A4.TP-204</p> <p>III.A5.TP-204</p> <p>III.A7.TP-204</p> <p>III.A8.TP-204</p> <p>III.A9.TP-204</p>	<p>III.A3-2(T-03)</p> <p>III.A4-2(T-03)</p> <p>III.A5-2(T-03)</p> <p>III.A7-1(T-03)</p> <p>III.A8-1(T-03)</p> <p>III.A9-1(T-03)</p>
44M	BWR/PWR R46	All Groups: concrete: a BWR/PWR	Cracking and distortion due to increased stress levels from settlement Groups 1-3, 5-9: concrete: foundation; subfoundation	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" If a de-watering system is relied upon for control of settlement, then the licensee is to ensure proper functioning of the de-watering system through the period of extended	Yes, if a de-watering system is relied upon to control settlement (See subsection 3.5.2.2.2.1.3)AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	<p>III.A1.TP-30</p> <p>III.A2.TP-30</p> <p>III.A3.TP-30</p> <p>III.A4.TP-304</p> <p>III.A5.TP-30</p> <p>III.A6.TP-30</p> <p>III.A7.TP-30</p>	<p>III.A1-3(T-08)</p> <p>.TP-31</p> <p>III.A2-3(T-08)</p> <p>.TP-31</p> <p>III.A3-3(T-08)</p> <p>N/A</p>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				operation. Reduction of foundation strength and cracking due to differential settlement and erosion of porous concrete subfoundation		III.A8.TP-30 III.A9.TP-30 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.2.1.3)	.TP-31 III.A5-3(T-08) .TP-31 III.A6-4(T-08) .TP-31 III.A7-2(T-08) .TP-31 III.A8-2(T-08) .TP-31 III.A9-2(T-08) .TP-31
45M	BWR47	Groups 1-3, 5-9: concrete: foundation; subfoundation BWR/PWR	Reduction in foundation strength, cracking due to differential settlement, erosion of porous concrete subfoundation Groups 1-5, 7-9: concrete (inaccessible areas): exterior above- and below-grade; foundation	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" If a de-watering system is relied upon for control of settlement, then the licensee is to ensure proper functioning of	Yes, if a de-watering system is relied upon to control settlement (See subsection 3.5.2.2.2.1.3) Plant-specific aging management program	III.A9.TP-31 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.2.1.4)	III.A9-7(T-09) III.A1.TP-67 III.A2.TP-67 III.A3.TP-67 III.A4.TP-305

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				the de-watering system through the period of extended operation. Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation			III.A5.TP-67 III.A7.TP-67 III.A8.TP-67 III.A9.TP-67
46M	BWR/PWR R48	Groups 1-3, 5-9: concrete: foundation; subfoundation BWR/PWR	Reduction of foundation strength and cracking due to differential settlement and erosion of porous concrete subfoundation Groups 1-5: concrete: all	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" If a de-watering system is relied upon for control of settlement, then the licensee is to ensure proper functioning of the de-watering system through the period of extended operation. Reduction of strength and modulus due to elevated temperature (>150°F general; >200°F local)	Yes, if a de-watering system is relied upon to control settlement (See subsection 3.5.2.2.2.1.3) Plant-specific aging management program	III.A1.TP-31 III.A2.TP-31 III.A3.TP-31 III.A5.TP-31 III.A6.TP-31 III.A7.TP-31 III.A8.TP-31 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.2.2)	III.A1-8(T-09) .TP-114 III.A2-8(T-09) .TP-114 III.A3-8(T-09) .TP-114 III.A4.TP-114 III.A5-8(T-09) III.A6-8(T-09)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							III.A7-7(T-09) III.A8-7(T-09) .TP-114
47M	BWR/PWR R49	Groups 1-5, 7-9: concrete (inaccessible areas): exterior above and below-grade; foundation BWR/PWR	Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation Groups 6 - concrete (inaccessible areas): exterior above and below-grade; foundation; interior slab	Further evaluation is required to determine if a plant-specific aging management program is needed. Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw	Yes, if leaching is observed in accessible areas that impact intended function (See subsection 3.5.2.2.2.1.4) Plant-specific aging management program	III.A1.TP-67 III.A2.TP-67 III.A3.TP-67 III.A4.TP-305 III.A5.TP-67 III.A7.TP-67 III.A8.TP-67 III.A9.TP-67 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.2.3.1)	III.A1-7(T-02) III.A2-7(T-02) III.A3-7(T-02) N/A III.A5-7(T-02) III.A7-6(T-02) III.A8-6(T-02) III.A9-6(T-02) A6.TP-110

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
48M	BWR/PWR R50	Groups 1-5: concrete: all BWR/PWR	Reduction of strength and modulus due to elevated temperature (>150°F general; >200°F local) Groups 6: concrete (inaccessible areas): all	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated. Cracking due to expansion from reaction with aggregates	Yes, if temperature limits are exceeded (See subsection 3.5.2.2.2.2) Plant-specific aging management program	III.A1.TP-114 III.A2.TP-114 III.A3.TP-114 III.A4.TP-114 III.A5.TP-114 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.2.3.2)	III.A1-1(T-10) III.A2-1(T-10) III.A3-1(T-10) III.A4-1(T-10) III.A5-1(T-10) A6.TP-220
49M	BWR/PWR R51	Groups 6- concrete (inaccessible areas): exterior above- and below-grade; foundation; interior slab BWR/PWR	Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw Groups 6: concrete (inaccessible areas): exterior above- and below-grade; foundation; interior slab	Further evaluation is required for plants that are located in moderate to severe weathering conditions (weathering index >100 day-inch/yr) (NUREG-1557) Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation	Yes, for plants located in moderate to severe weathering conditions (See subsection 3.5.2.2.2.3.1) Plant-specific aging management program	III.A6.TP-110 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.2.3.3)	III.A6-5(T-15) .TP-109

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>50M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>R52</u>	Groups 6: concrete (inaccessible areas): <u>all BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cracking</u> due to expansion from reaction with aggregates <u>Groups 7, 8 - steel components: tank liner</u>	Further evaluation is required to determine if a plant-specific aging management program is needed. <u>Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking; Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion</u>	Yes, if concrete is not constructed as stated See subsection <u>3.5.2.2.2.3.2) Plant-specific aging management program</u>	<u>III.A6.TP-220</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.2.4)</u>	<u>III.A6-2(A7.T-17)</u> <u>23</u> <u>III.A8.T-23</u>
<u>51M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>R53</u>	Groups 6: concrete (inaccessible areas): exterior above and below-grade; foundation; interior slab <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength</u> due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation <u>Support members; welds; bolted connections; support anchorage to building structure</u>	Further evaluation is required to determine if a plant-specific aging management program is needed. <u>Cumulative fatigue damage due to fatigue (Only if CLB fatigue analysis exists)</u>	Yes, if leaching is observed in accessible areas that impact intended function (See subsection <u>3.5.2.2.2.3.3) TLAA, SRP-SLR Section 4.3 "Metal Fatigue"</u>	<u>III.A6.TP-109</u> <u>Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.2.2.5)</u>	<u>III.A6-6(B1.1.T-16)</u> <u>26</u> <u>III.B1.2.T-26</u> <u>III.B1.3.T-26</u>
<u>52</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>R54</u>	Groups 7, 8 - steel components: tank liner <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cracking</u> due to stress corrosion cracking; <u>Loss of material</u> due to pitting and crevice corrosion <u>All groups except 6:</u>	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated. <u>Cracking due to expansion from reaction with aggregates</u>	Yes, plant-specific (See subsection <u>3.5.2.2.2.4) AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</u>	<u>III.A7.T-23</u> <u>III.A8.T-23</u> <u>No</u>	<u>III.A7-11(T-23)</u> <u>III.A8-9(T-23)</u> <u>III.A1.TP-25</u> <u>III.A2.TP-25</u> <u>III.A3.TP-25</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>concrete (accessible</u> <u>areas): all</u>				<u>III.A4.TP-</u> <u>25</u> <u>III.A5.TP-</u> <u>25</u> <u>III.A7.TP-</u> <u>25</u> <u>III.A8.TP-</u> <u>25</u> <u>III.A9.TP-</u> <u>25</u>
<u>53M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R55</u>	<u>Support members;</u> <u>welds; bolted</u> <u>connections;</u> <u>support anchorage</u> <u>to building</u> <u>structure</u> <u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R</u>	<u>Cumulative fatigue</u> <u>damage</u> <u>due to fatigue</u> <u>(Only if CLB fatigue</u> <u>analysis exists)</u> <u>Building</u> <u>concrete at locations of</u> <u>expansion and grouted</u> <u>anchors; grout pads for</u> <u>support base plates</u>	<u>Yes, TLAA</u> <u>Reduction</u> <u>in concrete anchor</u> <u>capacity due to local</u> <u>concrete degradation/</u> <u>service- induced</u> <u>cracking or other</u> <u>concrete aging</u> <u>mechanisms</u>	<u>Yes, TLAA (See</u> <u>subsection</u> <u>3.5.2.2.2.5)</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u>	<u>III.B1.1.T-26</u> <u>III.B1.2.T-26</u> <u>III.B1.3.T-26</u> <u>No</u>	<u>III.B1.1-</u> <u>12(T-26)</u> <u>.TP-42</u> <u>III.B1.2-</u> <u>9(T-26)</u> <u>.TP-42</u> <u>III.B1.3-</u> <u>9(T-26)</u> <u>.TP-42</u> <u>III.B2.TP-</u> <u>42</u> <u>III.B3.TP-</u> <u>42</u> <u>III.B4.TP-</u> <u>42</u>
<u>54M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R56</u>	<u>All groups except</u> <u>6: concrete</u>	<u>Cracking</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u> <u>Loss of</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S7,</u> <u>"Inspection of</u> <u>Water-Control</u>	<u>III.A1.TP-25</u> <u>III.A2.TP-25</u>	<u>III.A1-</u> <u>2(A6.T-</u> <u>03)</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		{accessible areas}; a# <u>BWR/PWR</u>	due to expansion from reaction with aggregatesConcrete: exterior above- and below- grade; foundation; interior slab	material due to abrasion; cavitation	Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants" or the FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspections and maintenance programs.	III.A3.TP-25 III.A4.TP-25 III.A5.TP-25 III.A7.TP-25 III.A8.TP-25 III.A9.TP-25 <u>No</u>	III.A2-2(T- 03) III.A3-2(T- 03) III.A4-2(T- 03) III.A5-2(T- 03) III.A7-1(T- 03) III.A8-1(T- 03) III.A9-1(T- 03) <u>20</u>
<u>55M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R57</u>	Building concrete at locations of expansion and grouted anchors; grout pads for support base plates <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Reduction in concrete anchor capacity due to local concrete degradation/ service- induced cracking or other concrete aging mechanismsConstant and variable load spring hangers; guides; stops	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"Loss of mechanical function due to corrosion, distortion, dirt or debris accumulation, overload, wear	<u>No</u> AMP XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF"	III.B1.1.TP-42 III.B1.2.TP-42 III.B1.3.TP-42 III.B2.TP-42 III.B3.TP-42 III.B4.TP-42	III.B1.1- 4(T-29) <u>28</u> III.B1.2- 4(T-29) <u>28</u> III.B1.3- 4(T-29)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						III.B5.TP-42 <u>No</u>	III.B2-1(T-29) III.B3-1(T-29) III.B4-1(T-29) III.B5-1(T-29) <u>28</u>
<u>56</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>58</u>	<u>Concrete: exterior</u> <u>above and below</u> <u>grade; foundation;</u> <u>interior</u> <u>slab</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to abrasion;</u> <u>cavitation</u> <u>Earthen water-</u> <u>control structures:</u> <u>dams; embankments;</u> <u>reservoirs; channels;</u> <u>canals and ponds</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S7,</u> <u>"Regulatory Guide</u> <u>1.427, Inspection of</u> <u>Water-Control</u> <u>Structures Associated</u> <u>with Nuclear Power</u> <u>Plants" or the</u> <u>FERC/US Army Corp</u> <u>of Engineers dam</u> <u>inspections and</u> <u>maintenance</u> <u>programs-Loss of</u> <u>material; loss of form</u> <u>due to erosion,</u> <u>settlement,</u> <u>sedimentation, frost</u> <u>action, waves,</u> <u>currents, surface</u> <u>runoff, seepage</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S7,</u> <u>"Inspection of</u> <u>Water-Control</u> <u>Structures</u> <u>Associated with</u> <u>Nuclear Power</u> <u>Plants" or the</u> <u>FERC/US Army</u> <u>Corp of</u> <u>Engineers dam</u> <u>inspections and</u> <u>maintenance</u> <u>programs.</u>	III.A6.T-20 <u>No</u>	III.A6- <u>7(T-20)</u> <u>22</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
57M	BWR/PWR R59	Constant and variable load spring hangers; guides; stops BWR/PWR	Loss of mechanical function due to corrosion, distortion, dirt, overload, fatigue due to vibratory and cyclic thermal loads Group 6: concrete (accessible areas): all	Chapter XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection WF" Cracking; loss of bond; and loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to corrosion of embedded steel	No AMP XI.S7, "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants" or the FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspections and maintenance programs.	III.B1.1.T-28 III.B1.2.T-28 III.B1.3.T-28 No	III.B1.1-2(T-28) III.B1.2-2(T-28) III.B1.3-2(T-28) A6.TP-38
58M	BWR/PWR R60	Earthen water-control structures: dams; embankments; reservoirs; channels; canals and ponds BWR/PWR	Loss of material; loss of form due to erosion, settlement, sedimentation, frost action, waves, currents, surface runoff, seepage Group 6: concrete (accessible areas): exterior above- and below-grade; foundation	Chapter XI.S7, "Regulatory Guide 1.127, Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants" or the FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspections and maintenance programs. Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw	No AMP XI.S7, "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants" or the FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspections and maintenance programs.	III.A6.T-22 No	III.A6-9(T-22) .TP-36

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
59M	BWR/PWR R61	Group 6: concrete (accessible areas): all BWR/PWR	Cracking; loss of bond; and loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to corrosion of embedded steel Group 6: concrete (accessible areas): exterior above- and below-grade; foundation; interior slab	Chapter XI.S7, "Regulatory Guide 1.127, Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants" or the FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspections and maintenance programs. Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation	No AMP XI.S7, "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants" or the FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspections and maintenance programs.	III.A6.TP-38 No	III.A6-4(T-18) .TP-37
60M	BWR/PWR R62	Group 6: concrete (accessible areas): exterior above and below-grade; foundation BWR/PWR	Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw Group 6: Wooden Piles; sheeting	Chapter XI.S7, "Regulatory Guide 1.127, Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants" or the FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspections and maintenance programs. Loss of material; change in	No AMP XI.S7, "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants" or the FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspections and maintenance programs.	III.A6.TP-36 No	III.A6-5(T-15) .TP-223

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				material properties due to weathering, chemical degradation, and insect infestation repeated wetting and drying, fungal decay			
61	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>R63</u>	<u>Group 6: concrete</u> <u>(accessible areas):</u> <u>exterior above- and</u> <u>below-grade;</u> <u>foundation; interior</u> <u>slab</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Increase in porosity and</u> <u>permeability; loss of</u> <u>strength</u> <u>due to leaching of</u> <u>calcium hydroxide and</u> <u>carbonation</u> <u>Groups 1-3,</u> <u>5, 7-9: concrete</u> <u>(accessible areas):</u> <u>exterior above- and</u> <u>below-grade; foundation</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S7,</u> <u>“Regulatory Guide</u> <u>1.127, Inspection of</u> <u>Water-Control</u> <u>Structures Associated</u> <u>with Nuclear Power</u> <u>Plants” or the</u> <u>FERC/US Army Corp</u> <u>of Engineers dam</u> <u>inspections and</u> <u>maintenance</u> <u>programs.</u> <u>Increase in</u> <u>porosity and</u> <u>permeability; loss of</u> <u>strength due to</u> <u>leaching of calcium</u> <u>hydroxide and</u> <u>carbonation</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S6,</u> <u>“Structures</u> <u>Monitoring”</u>	<u>III.A6.TP-37</u> <u>No</u>	<u>III.A6-6(T-</u> <u>16)</u> <u>III.A1.TP-</u> <u>24</u> <u>III.A2.TP-</u> <u>24</u> <u>III.A3.TP-</u> <u>24</u> <u>III.A5.TP-</u> <u>24</u> <u>III.A7.TP-</u> <u>24</u> <u>III.A8.TP-</u> <u>24</u> <u>III.A9.TP-</u> <u>24</u>
62	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>R64</u>	<u>Group 6: Wooden</u> <u>Piles;</u> <u>sheeting</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material; change</u> <u>in material properties</u> <u>due to weathering,</u> <u>chemical degradation,</u> <u>and insect infestation</u> <u>repeated wetting and</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S7,</u> <u>“Regulatory Guide</u> <u>1.127, Inspection of</u> <u>Water-Control</u> <u>Structures Associated</u> <u>with Nuclear Power</u> <u>Plants” or the</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S6,</u> <u>“Structures</u> <u>Monitoring”</u>	<u>III.A6.TP-223</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>III.A1.TP-</u> <u>23</u> <u>III.A2.TP-</u> <u>23</u> <u>III.A3.TP-</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			drying, fungal decay Groups 1-3, 5, 7-9: concrete (accessible areas): exterior above- and below-grade; foundation	FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspections and maintenance programs. Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw			<u>23</u> <u>III.A5.TP-</u> <u>23</u> <u>III.A7.TP-</u> <u>23</u> <u>III.A8.TP-</u> <u>23</u> <u>III.A9.TP-</u> <u>23</u>
63	BWR/PWR <u>R65</u>	Groups 1-3, 5, 7-9: concrete (accessible areas): exterior above- and below-grade; foundation <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Increase in porosity and permeability; loss of strength due to leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation Groups 1-3, 5, 7-9: concrete (inaccessible areas): below-grade exterior; foundation, Groups 1-3, 5, 7-9: concrete (accessible areas): below-grade exterior; foundation, Groups 6: concrete (inaccessible areas): all	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" Cracking; loss of bond; and loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to corrosion of embedded steel	No <u>AMP XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u>	III.A1.TP-24 III.A2.TP-24 III.A3.TP-24 III.A5.TP-24 III.A7.TP-24 III.A8.TP-24 III.A9.TP-24 No	III.A1-7(T-02) .TP-212 <u>III.A1.TP-</u> <u>27</u> III.A2-7(T-02) .TP-212 <u>III.A2.TP-</u> <u>27</u> III.A3-7(T-02) .TP-212 <u>III.A3.TP-</u> <u>27</u> III.A5-7(T-02) .TP-212 <u>III.A5.TP-</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							<u>27</u> <u>III.A6.TP-</u> <u>104</u> <u>III.A7-6(T-</u> <u>02)</u> <u>.TP-212</u> <u>III.A7.TP-</u> <u>27</u> <u>III.A8-6(T-</u> <u>02)</u> <u>.TP-212</u> <u>III.A8.TP-</u> <u>27</u> <u>III.A9-6(T-</u> <u>02)</u> <u>.TP-212</u> <u>III.A9.TP-</u> <u>27</u>
64	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R66</u>	Groups 1-3, 5, 7-9: concrete (accessible areas): exterior above- and below grade; foundation <u>BWR/P</u> <u>WR</u>	Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze- thaw Groups 1-5, 7, 9: concrete (accessible areas): interior and above-grade exterior	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" Cracking; loss of bond; and loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to corrosion of embedded steel	<u>No</u> <u>AMP</u> <u>XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u>	III.A1.TP-23 III.A2.TP-23 III.A3.TP-23 III.A5.TP-23 III.A7.TP-23	III.A1-6(T- 01) <u>.TP-26</u> III.A2-6(T- 01) <u>.TP-26</u> III.A3-6(T- 01)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						<p>III.A8.TP-23</p> <p>III.A9.TP-23</p> <p>No</p>	<p>.TP-26</p> <p>III.A4.TP-26</p> <p>III.A5-6(T-04)</p> <p>.TP-26</p> <p>III.A7-5(T-04)</p> <p>III.A8-5(T-04)</p> <p>.TP-26</p> <p>III.A9-5(T-04)</p> <p>.TP-26</p>
65	BWR/PWR R67	Groups 1-3, 5, 7-9: concrete (inaccessible areas): below-grade exterior; foundation, Groups 1-3, 5, 7-9: concrete (accessible areas): below-grade exterior; foundation, Groups 6: concrete (inaccessible	Cracking; loss of bond; and loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to corrosion of embedded steel Groups 1-5, 7, 9: Concrete: interior; above-grade exterior, Groups 1-3, 5, 7-9 - concrete (inaccessible areas): below-grade exterior; foundation, Group 6:	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" Increase in porosity and permeability; cracking; loss of material (spalling, scaling) due to aggressive chemical attack	No AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	<p>III.A1.TP-212</p> <p>III.A1.TP-27</p> <p>III.A2.TP-212</p> <p>III.A2.TP-27</p> <p>III.A3.TP-212</p> <p>III.A3.TP-27</p> <p>III.A5.TP-212</p>	<p>III.A1-4(T-05)</p> <p>.TP-28</p> <p>III.A1-4(T-05)</p> <p>.TP-29</p> <p>III.A2-4(T-05)</p>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		areas): a#BWR/PWR	concrete (inaccessible areas): all			<u>III.A5.TP-27</u> <u>III.A6.TP-104</u> <u>III.A7.TP-212</u> <u>III.A7.TP-27</u> <u>III.A8.TP-212</u> <u>III.A8.TP-27</u> <u>III.A9.TP-212</u> <u>III.A9.TP-27</u> <u>No</u>	<u>.TP-28</u> <u>III.A2-4(T-</u> <u>05)</u> <u>.TP-29</u> <u>III.A3-4(T-</u> <u>05)</u> <u>.TP-28</u> <u>III.A3-4(T-</u> <u>05)</u> <u>.TP-29</u> <u>III.A4.TP-</u> <u>28</u> <u>III.A5-4(T-</u> <u>05)</u> <u>.TP-28</u> <u>III.A5-4(T-</u> <u>05)</u> <u>.TP-29</u> <u>III.A6-4(T-</u> <u>18)</u> <u>.TP-107</u> <u>III.A7-3(T-</u> <u>05)</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							<u>.TP-28</u> <u>III.A7-3(T-</u> <u>05)</u> <u>.TP-29</u> <u>III.A8-3(T-</u> <u>05)</u> <u>III.A8-3(T-</u> <u>05)</u> <u>.TP-29</u> <u>III.A9-3(T-</u> <u>05)</u> <u>.TP-28</u> <u>III.A9-3(T-</u> <u>05)</u> <u>.TP-29</u>
66	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>R68</u>	<u>Groups 1-5, 7, 9:</u> <u>concrete</u> <u>(accessible areas):</u> <u>interior and above-</u> <u>grade</u> <u>exterior</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cracking; loss of bond;</u> <u>and loss of material</u> <u>(spalling, scaling)</u> <u>due to corrosion of</u> <u>embedded steel</u> <u>High-</u> <u>strength structural</u> <u>bolting</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u> <u>Cracking</u> <u>due to stress corrosion</u> <u>cracking</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S3,</u> <u>"ASME Section</u> <u>XI, Subsection</u> <u>IWF"</u>	<u>III.A1.TP-26</u> <u>III.A2.TP-26</u> <u>III.A3.TP-26</u> <u>III.A4.TP-26</u> <u>III.A5.TP-26</u> <u>III.A7.TP-26</u>	<u>III.A1-9(T-</u> <u>04)</u> <u>III.A2-9(T-</u> <u>04)</u> <u>III.A3-9(T-</u> <u>04)</u> <u>III.A4-3(T-</u> <u>04)</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						<p>III.A9.TP-26</p> <p>No</p>	<p>III.A5-9(T-04)</p> <p>III.A7-8(T-04)</p> <p>III.A9-8(T-04)</p> <p>B1.1.TP-41</p>
67M	BWR/PWR R69	<p>Groups 1-5, 7, 9: Concrete: interior; above-grade exterior, Groups 1-3, 5, 7-9 - concrete (inaccessible areas); below-grade exterior; foundation, Group 6: concrete (inaccessible areas); a#BWR/PWR</p>	<p>Increase in porosity and permeability; cracking; loss of material (spalling, scaling)</p> <p>due to aggressive chemical attack</p> <p>High-strength structural bolting</p>	<p>Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking</p>	<p>No AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</p>	<p>III.A1.TP-28</p> <p>III.A1.TP-29</p> <p>III.A2.TP-28</p> <p>III.A2.TP-29</p> <p>III.A3.TP-28</p> <p>III.A3.TP-29</p> <p>III.A4.TP-28</p> <p>III.A5.TP-28</p> <p>III.A5.TP-29</p> <p>III.A6.TP-107</p> <p>III.A7.TP-28</p>	<p>III.A1-10(T-06)</p> <p>III.A1-5(T-07)</p> <p>.TP-300</p> <p>III.A2-10(T-06)</p> <p>III.A2-5(T-07)</p> <p>.TP-300</p> <p>III.A3-10(T-06)</p> <p>III.A3-5(T-07)</p>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						III.A7.TP-29	<u>.TP-300</u>
						III.A8.TP-29	III.A4-4(T-06)
						III.A9.TP-28	<u>.TP-300</u>
						III.A9.TP-29	III.A5-10(T-06)
						<u>No</u>	III.A5-5(T-07)
							III.A6-3(T-19)
							<u>.TP-300</u>
							III.A7-9(T-06)
							III.A7-4(T-07)
							<u>.TP-300</u>
							III.A8-4(T-07)
							<u>.TP-300</u>
							III.A9-9(T-06)
							III.A9-4(T-07)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							.TP-300 III.B2.TP-300 III.B3.TP-300 III.B4.TP-300
68	BWR/PWR R70	High-strength structural bolting BWR/PWR	Cracking due to stress-corrosion cracking Masonry walls: all	Chapter XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF" Cracking due to restraint shrinkage, creep, aggressive environment	No AMP XI.S5, "Masonry Walls"	III.B1.1.TP-41 No	III.B1.1-3(T-27) III.A1.T-12 III.A2.T-12 III.A3.T-12 III.A5.T-12 III.A6.T-12
69M	BWR/PWR R71	High-strength structural bolting BWR/PWR	Cracking due to stress-corrosion cracking Masonry walls: all	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" Note: ASTM A 325, F 1852, and ASTM A 490 bolts used in civil structures have not	No AMP XI.S5, "Masonry Walls"	III.A1.TP-300 III.A2.TP-300 III.A3.TP-300 III.A4.TP-300 III.A5.TP-300	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				shown to be prone to SCC. SCC potential need not be evaluated for these bolts. Loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking due to freeze-thaw		<p>III.A7.TP-300</p> <p>III.A8.TP-300</p> <p>III.A9.TP-300</p> <p>III.B2.TP-300</p> <p>III.B3.TP-300</p> <p>III.B4.TP-300</p> <p>III.B5.TP-300</p> <p>No</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>III.A1.TP-34</p> <p>III.A2.TP-34</p> <p>III.A3.TP-34</p> <p>III.A5.TP-34</p> <p>III.A6.TP-34</p>
70M	BWR/PWR R72	Masonry walls: a#BWR/PWR	Cracking due to restraint shrinkage, creep, and aggressive environment Seals; gasket; moisture barriers (caulking,	Chapter XI.S5, "Masonry Walls" Loss of sealing due to wear, damage, erosion, tear, surface cracks, other defects	No AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	<p>III.A1.T-12</p> <p>III.A2.T-12</p> <p>III.A3.T-12</p> <p>III.A5.T-12</p>	<p>III.A1-11(T-12)</p> <p>III.A2-11(T-12)</p> <p>III.A3-11(T-12)</p>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>flashing, and other</u> <u>sealants)</u>			<u>III.A6.T-12</u> <u>No</u>	<u>III.A5-11(T-</u> <u>12)</u> <u>III.A6-</u> <u>10(T-12)</u> <u>.TP-7</u>
<u>71</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R73</u>	<u>Masonry walls:</u> <u>a</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>(spalling, scaling) and</u> <u>cracking</u> <u>due to freeze-</u> <u>thaw</u> <u>Service Level I</u> <u>coatings</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S5,</u> <u>"Masonry Walls"</u> <u>Loss</u> <u>of coating or lining</u> <u>integrity due to</u> <u>blistering, cracking,</u> <u>flaking, peeling,</u> <u>delamination, rusting,</u> <u>or physical damage</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S8,</u> <u>"Protective</u> <u>Coating</u> <u>Monitoring and</u> <u>Maintenance"</u>	<u>III.A5.TP-34</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>III.A4.TP-</u> <u>301</u>
<u>72</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R74</u>	<u>Seals; gasket;</u> <u>moisture barriers</u> <u>(caulking, flashing,</u> <u>and other</u> <u>sealants)</u> <u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R</u>	<u>Loss of sealing</u> <u>due to deterioration of</u> <u>seals, gaskets, and</u> <u>moisture barriers</u> <u>(caulking, flashing, and</u> <u>other sealants)</u> <u>Sliding</u> <u>support bearings; sliding</u> <u>support surfaces</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>mechanical function</u> <u>due to corrosion,</u> <u>distortion, dirt or debris</u> <u>accumulation,</u> <u>overload, wear</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u>	<u>III.A6.TP-7</u> <u>No</u>	<u>III.A6-</u> <u>12(B2.TP</u> <u>-7)</u> <u>46</u> <u>III.B2.TP-</u> <u>47</u> <u>III.B4.TP-</u> <u>46</u> <u>III.B4.TP-</u> <u>47</u>
<u>73</u> <u>M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R75</u>	<u>Service Level I</u> <u>coatings</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of coating integrity</u> <u>due to blistering,</u> <u>cracking, flaking,</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S8,</u> <u>"Protective Coating</u> <u>Monitoring and</u> <u>Maintenance"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>mechanical function</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S3,</u> <u>"ASME Section</u> <u>XI, Subsection</u> <u>IWF"</u>	<u>III.A4.TP-301</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>III.B1.1.T</u> <u>P-45</u> <u>III.B1.2.T</u> <u>P-45</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			peeling, physical damage Sliding surfaces	due to corrosion, distortion, dirt or debris accumulation, overload, wear			III.B1.3.T P-45
<u>74M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R76</u>	<u>Sliding support</u> <u>bearings; sliding</u> <u>support</u> <u>surfaces</u> <u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R</u>	<u>Loss of mechanical</u> <u>function</u> <u>due to corrosion,</u> <u>distortion, dirt, debris,</u> <u>overload, wear</u> <u>Sliding</u> <u>surfaces: radial beam</u> <u>seats in BWR drywell</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>mechanical function</u> <u>due to corrosion,</u> <u>distortion, dirt or debris</u> <u>accumulation,</u> <u>overload, wear</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u>	<u>III.B2.TP-46</u> <u>III.B2.TP-47</u> <u>III.B4.TP-46</u> <u>III.B4.TP-47</u> <u>No</u>	<u>III.B2-</u> <u>2(A4.TP-</u> <u>4)</u> <u>III.B2-3(TP-</u> <u>2)</u> <u>III.B4-2(TP-</u> <u>4)</u> <u>III.B4-3(TP-</u> <u>2)</u> <u>35</u>
<u>75M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R77</u>	<u>Sliding</u> <u>surfaces</u> <u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R</u>	<u>Loss of mechanical</u> <u>function</u> <u>due to corrosion,</u> <u>distortion, dirt, debris,</u> <u>overload, wear</u> <u>Steel</u> <u>components: all</u> <u>structural steel</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S3, "ASME</u> <u>Section XI, Subsection</u> <u>WF"</u> <u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to corrosion</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u>	<u>III.B1.1.TP-45</u> <u>III.B1.2.TP-45</u> <u>III.B1.3.TP-45</u> <u>No</u>	<u>III.B1.1-</u> <u>5(T-32)</u> <u>III.B1.2-</u> <u>3(T-32)</u> <u>III.B1.3-</u> <u>3(T-32)</u> <u>III.A1.TP-</u> <u>302</u> <u>III.A2.TP-</u> <u>302</u> <u>III.A3.TP-</u> <u>302</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							III.A4.TP-302 III.A5.TP-302 III.A7.TP-302 III.A8.TP-302
76M	BWR/PWR R78	Sliding surfaces: radial beam seats in BWR drywell BWR/PWR	Loss of mechanical function due to corrosion, distortion, dirt, overload, wear Stainless steel fuel pool liner	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking; Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and monitoring of the spent fuel pool water level and leakage from the leak chase channels.	III.A4.TP-35 No	III.A4- 6(A5.T- 13) 14
77	BWR/PWR R79	Steel components: all structural steel BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to corrosion Steel components: piles	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" If protective coatings are relied upon to manage the effects of aging, the structures monitoring program is to include provisions to address protective coating monitoring and maintenance. Loss of	No AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	III.A1.TP-302 III.A2.TP-302 III.A3.TP-302 III.A4.TP-302 III.A5.TP-302 III.A7.TP-302 III.A8.TP-302 No	III.A1-12(T- 11) III.A2-12(T- 11) III.A3- 12(T-11) III.A4-5(T- 11) III.A5-12(T- 11)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
				<u>material due to</u> <u>corrosion</u>			III.A7-10(T-11) III.A8-8(T-11) .TP-219
78M	BWR/PWR R80	Steel components: fuel pool liner BWR/PWR	Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking; Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Structural bolting	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Monitoring of the spent fuel pool water level in accordance with technical specifications and leakage from the leak chase channels. Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	No, unless leakages have been detected through the SFP liner that cannot be accounted for from the leak chase channels AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	III.A5.T-14 <u>No</u>	III.A5-13(T-14) III.A1.TP-248 III.A2.TP-248 III.A3.TP-248 III.A4.TP-248 III.A5.TP-248 III.A6.TP-248 III.A7.TP-248 III.A8.TP-248 III.A9.TP-248 III.B2.TP-248 III.B3.TP-248

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							III.B4.TP-248
79	BWR/PWR R81	Steel components: piles BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to corrosion Structural bolting	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF"	III.A3.TP-219 No	N/A III.B1.1.T P-226 III.B1.2.T P-226 III.B1.3.T P-226
80	M BWR/PWR R82	Structural bolting BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting and crevice corrosion Structural bolting	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	III.A1.TP-248 III.A2.TP-248 III.A3.TP-248 III.A4.TP-248 III.A5.TP-248 III.A6.TP-248 III.A7.TP-248 III.A8.TP-248 III.A9.TP-248 III.B2.TP-248	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						<u>III.B3.TP-248</u> <u>III.B4.TP-248</u> <u>III.B5.TP-248</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>III.A1.TP-</u> <u>274</u> <u>III.A2.TP-</u> <u>274</u> <u>III.A3.TP-</u> <u>274</u> <u>III.A4.TP-</u> <u>274</u> <u>III.A5.TP-</u> <u>274</u> <u>III.A7.TP-</u> <u>274</u> <u>III.A8.TP-</u> <u>274</u> <u>III.A9.TP-</u> <u>274</u> <u>III.B2.TP-</u> <u>274</u> <u>III.B3.TP-</u> <u>274</u> <u>III.B4.TP-</u> <u>274</u>
<u>84M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R83</u>	<u>Structural</u> <u>bolting</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S3, "ASME</u> <u>Section XI, Subsection</u> <u>WF"Loss of material</u>	<u>NoAMP XI.S7,</u> <u>"Inspection of</u> <u>Water-Control</u>	<u>III.B1.1.TP-226</u> <u>III.B1.2.TP-226</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion <u>Structural bolting</u>	due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion	Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants" or the FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspections and maintenance programs.	III.B1.3.TP-226 <u>No</u>	N/A <u>III.A6.TP-221</u>
<u>82D</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>R84</u>	<u>Structural bolting</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures Monitoring"</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>III.A1.TP-274</u> <u>III.A2.TP-274</u> <u>III.A3.TP-274</u> <u>III.A4.TP-274</u> <u>III.A5.TP-274</u> <u>III.A7.TP-274</u> <u>III.A8.TP-274</u> <u>III.A9.TP-274</u> <u>III.B2.TP-274</u> <u>III.B3.TP-274</u> <u>III.B4.TP-274</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
						III.B5.TP-274	N/A
83M	BWR/PWR R85	Structural boltingBWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosionStructural bolting	Chapter XI.S7, "Regulatory Guide 1.427, Inspection of Water Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants" or the FERC/US Army Corp of Engineers dam inspections and maintenance programs-Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion	NoAMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and AMP XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF"	III.A6.TP-224 No	N/A III.B1.1.T P-232 III.B1.2.T P-232 III.B1.3.T P-232
84	BWR/PWR R86	Structural boltingBWR/PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosionStructural bolting	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," and Chapter XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF"Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion	NoAMP XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF"	III.B1.3.TP-232 No	N/A III.B1.1.T P-235 III.B1.2.T P-235 III.B1.3.T P-235
85	BWR/PWR R87	Structural boltingBWR/PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," for BWR water, and	NoAMP XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF"	III.B1.1.TP-232 III.B1.2.TP-232 No	N/A N/A

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			corrosion <u>Structural</u> <u>bolting</u>	Chapter XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF" <u>Loss of preload</u> <u>due to self-loosening</u>			<u>III.B1.1.T</u> <u>P-229</u> <u>III.B1.2.T</u> <u>P-229</u> <u>III.B1.3.T</u> <u>P-229</u>
<u>86M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R88</u>	<u>Structural</u> <u>bolting</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material</u> <u>due to pitting and</u> <u>crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u> <u>Structural</u> <u>bolting</u>	Chapter XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF" <u>Loss of preload</u> <u>due to self-loosening</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u>	<u>III.B1.1.TP-235</u> <u>III.B1.2.TP-235</u> <u>III.B1.3.TP-235</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>III.A1.TP-</u> <u>261</u> <u>III.A2.TP-</u> <u>261</u> <u>III.A3.TP-</u> <u>261</u> <u>III.A4.TP-</u> <u>261</u> <u>III.A5.TP-</u> <u>261</u> <u>III.A6.TP-</u> <u>261</u> <u>III.A7.TP-</u> <u>261</u> <u>III.A8.TP-</u> <u>261</u> <u>III.A9.TP-</u> <u>261</u> <u>III.B2.TP-</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							<u>261</u> <u>III.B3.TP-</u> <u>261</u> <u>III.B4.TP-</u> <u>261</u>
<u>87M</u>	<u>BWR/PW</u> <u>R89</u>	<u>Structural</u> <u>bolting</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Loss of preload</u> <u>due to self-</u> <u>loosening</u> <u>Support</u> <u>members; welds; bolted</u> <u>connections; support</u> <u>anchorage to building</u> <u>structure</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S3, "ASME</u> <u>Section XI, Subsection</u> <u>WF"Loss of material</u> <u>due to boric acid</u> <u>corrosion</u>	<u>NoAMP XI.M10,</u> <u>"Boric Acid</u> <u>Corrosion"</u>	<u>III.B1.1.TP-229</u> <u>III.B1.2.TP-229</u> <u>III.B1.3.TP-229</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>III.B1.1.T</u> <u>-25</u> <u>III.B1.1.T</u> <u>P-3</u> <u>III.B1.2.T</u> <u>-25</u> <u>III.B1.3.T</u> <u>P-3</u> <u>III.B1.2.T</u> <u>P-3</u> <u>III.B2.T-</u> <u>25</u> <u>III.B2.TP-</u> <u>3</u> <u>III.B3.T-</u> <u>25</u> <u>III.B3.TP-</u> <u>3</u> <u>III.B4.T-</u> <u>25</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							<u>III.B4.TP-3</u>
<u>88M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>R90</u>	<u>Structural</u> <u>bolting</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of preload</u> <u>due to self-</u> <u>loosening</u> <u>Support</u> <u>members; welds; bolted</u> <u>connections; support</u> <u>anchorage to building</u> <u>structure</u>	<u>Chapter XI.S6,</u> <u>"Structures</u> <u>Monitoring"</u> <u>Loss of</u> <u>material due to</u> <u>general (steel only),</u> <u>pitting, crevice</u> <u>corrosion</u>	<u>No</u> <u>AMP XI.M2,</u> <u>"Water</u> <u>Chemistry," and</u> <u>AMP XI.S3,</u> <u>"ASME Section</u> <u>XI, Subsection</u> <u>IWF"</u>	<u>III.A1.TP-264</u> <u>III.A2.TP-264</u> <u>III.A3.TP-264</u> <u>III.A4.TP-264</u> <u>III.A5.TP-264</u> <u>III.A6.TP-264</u> <u>III.A7.TP-264</u> <u>III.A8.TP-264</u> <u>III.A9.TP-264</u> <u>III.B2.TP-264</u> <u>III.B3.TP-264</u> <u>III.B4.TP-264</u> <u>III.B5.TP-264</u> <u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>III.B1.1.T</u> <u>P-10</u>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modified</u> <u>(M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D)</u> Item	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
89	PWR91	Support members; welds; bolted connections; support anchorage to building structure <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion Support members; welds; bolted connections; support anchorage to building structure	Chapter XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion" Loss of material due to general, pitting corrosion	No AMP XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF"	<p>III.B1.1.T-25</p> <p>III.B1.1.TP-3</p> <p>III.B1.2.T-25</p> <p>III.B1.3.TP-3</p> <p>III.B1.2.TP-3</p> <p>III.B2.T-25</p> <p>III.B2.TP-3</p> <p>III.B3.T-25</p> <p>III.B3.TP-3</p> <p>III.B4.T-25</p> <p>III.B4.TP-3</p> <p>III.B5.T-25</p> <p>III.B5.TP-3</p> <p>No</p>	<p>III.B1.1-14(T-25)</p> <p>III.B1.1-8(TP-3)</p> <p>24</p> <p>III.B1.2-11(T-25)</p> <p>24</p> <p>III.B1.3-6(TP-3)</p> <p>III.B1.2-6(TP-3)</p> <p>III.B2-11(T-25)</p> <p>III.B2-6(TP-3)</p> <p>III.B3-8(T-25)</p> <p>III.B3-4(TP-3)</p> <p>III.B4-11(T-25)</p>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							<p>III.B4-6(TP-3)</p> <p>III.B5-8(T-25)</p> <p>III.B5-4(TP-3)</p> <p>24</p>
90M	BWR/PW R92	Support members; welds; bolted connections; support anchorage to building structure BWR/PW R	Loss of material due to general (steel only), pitting, and crevice corrosion Support members; welds; bolted connections; support anchorage to building structure	Chapter XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," for BWR water, and Chapter XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF" Loss of material due to general, pitting corrosion	No AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	III.B1.1.TP-10 No	<p>III.B1.1-11(B2.TP-10)</p> <p>43</p> <p>III.B3.TP-43</p> <p>III.B4.TP-43</p>
91	BWR/PW R93	Support members; welds; bolted connections; support anchorage to building structure BWR/PW R	Loss of material due to general and pitting corrosion Support members; welds; bolted connections; support anchorage to building structure	Chapter XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF" Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion	No AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	<p>III.B1.1.T-24</p> <p>III.B1.2.T-24</p> <p>III.B1.3.T-24</p> <p>No</p>	<p>III.B1.1-13(T-24)</p> <p>B2.TP-6</p> <p>III.B1.2-10(T-24)</p> <p>III.B1.3-10(T-24)</p> <p>B4.TP-6</p>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>Type ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4 GALL-SLR Item</u>
92M	BWR/PWR94	Support members; welds; bolted connections; support anchorage to building structure BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to general and pitting corrosion Vibration isolation elements	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" Reduction or loss of isolation function due to radiation hardening, temperature, humidity, sustained vibratory loading	No AMP XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF" and/or AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"	III.B2.TP-43 III.B3.TP-43 III.B4.TP-43 III.B5.TP-43 No	III.B2-40(B1.1.T-30) 33 III.B3-7(B1.2.T-30) 33 III.B1.3.T-33 III.B4-40(T-30) III.B5-7(T-30) .TP-44
93M	BWR/PWR95	Support members; welds; bolted connections; support anchorage to building structure BWR/PWR	Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion Aluminum, galvanized steel and stainless steel support members; welds; bolted connections; support anchorage to building structure exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled	Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring" None	No None	III.B2.TP-6 III.B4.TP-6 No	III.B2-7(TP-6) III.B4-7(TP-6) III.B1.1.T P-4 III.B1.1.T P-8 III.B1.2.T P-4 III.B1.2.T

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							<p>P-8 <u>III.B1.3.T</u> P-4 <u>III.B1.3.T</u> P-8 <u>III.B2.TP-</u> <u>4</u> <u>III.B2.TP-</u> <u>8</u> <u>III.B3.TP-</u> <u>4</u> <u>III.B3.TP-</u> <u>8</u> <u>III.B4.TP-</u> <u>4</u> <u>III.B4.TP-</u> <u>8</u></p>
94N	BWR/PW R96	Vibration isolation elements BWR/PW R	Reduction or loss of isolation function due to radiation hardening, temperature, humidity, sustained vibratory loading Groups 6: concrete (accessible areas): all	Chapter XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF" <u>Cracking due to expansion from reaction with aggregates</u>	No AMP XI.S7, "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants"	<u>III.B1.1.T-33</u> <u>III.B1.2.T-33</u> <u>III.B1.3.T-33</u> <u>III.B4.TP-44</u> No	<u>III.B1.1-</u> <u>15(A6.T-</u> <u>33)</u> <u>III.B1.2-</u> <u>12(T-33)</u> <u>III.B1.3-</u> <u>11(T-33)</u> <u>III.B4.12(T-</u> <u>34)</u> 34

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>Type ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev1 GALL-SLR Item</u>
95N	BWR/PWR R97	Aluminum, galvanized steel and stainless steel Support members; welds; bolted connections; support anchorage to building structure exposed to Air—indoor, uncontrolled BWR/PWR	None Group 4: Concrete (reactor cavity area proximate to the reactor vessel); reactor (primary/biological) shield wall; sacrificial shield wall; reactor vessel support/pedestal structure	None Reduction of strength; loss of mechanical properties due to irradiation (i.e., radiation interactions with material and radiation-induced heating)	NA—No AEM or AMP Plant-specific aging management program	<p>III.B1.1.TP-4</p> <p>III.B1.1.TP-8</p> <p>III.B1.1 Yes (SRP-SLR Section 3.5.2.TP-4</p> <p>III.B1.2.TP-8</p> <p>III.B1.3.TP-4</p> <p>III.B1.3.TP-8</p> <p>III.B2.TP-4</p> <p>III.B2.TP-8</p> <p>III.B3.TP-4</p> <p>III.B3.TP-8</p> <p>III.B4.TP-4</p> <p>III.B4.TP-8</p> <p>III.B5.TP-4</p> <p>III.B5.TP-8</p> <p>2.6)</p>	<p>III.B1.1-10(TP-4)</p> <p>III.B1.1-6(TP-8)</p> <p>III.B1.1-7(TP-11)</p> <p>III.B.1.1-9(TP-5)</p> <p>III.B1.2-8(TP-4)</p> <p>III.B1.2-4(TP-8)</p> <p>III.B1.2-5(TP-11)</p> <p>III.B1.2-7(TP-5)</p> <p>III.B1.3-8(TP-4)</p> <p>III.B1.3-4(TP-8)</p> <p>III.B1.3-5(TP-11)</p> <p>III.B1.3-7(TP-5)</p>

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M),</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GALL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							III.B2-9(TP-4) III.B2-4(TP-8) III.B2-8(TP-5)-III.B2-5(TP-11) III.B3-6(TP-4) III.B3-2(TP-8) III.B3-5(TP-5)-III.B3-3(TP-11) III.B4-9(TP-4) III.B4-4(TP-8) III.B4-8(TP-5)-III.B4-5(TP-11)

Table 3.5-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for Containments, Structures and Component Supports Evaluated in Chapters II and III of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N)</u> <u>Modifie</u> <u>d (M)</u> <u>Deleted</u> <u>(D) Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mec</u> <u>hanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev1</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
							III.B5-6(TP-4) III.B5-2(TP-8) III.B5-5(TP-5)-III.B5-3(TP-11) A4.T-35

Table 3.5-2—Aging Management Programs, AMPs and Additional Guidance Appendices Recommended for Containments, Structures, and Component Supports	
GALL-SLR Report Chapter/AMP	Program Name
Chapter XI.M2	Water Chemistry
Chapter XI.M10	Boric Acid Corrosion
Chapter XI.M18	Bolting Integrity
Chapter XI.S1	ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE Inservice Inspection (IWE)
Chapter XI.S2	ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL Inservice Inspection (IWL)
Chapter XI.S3	ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF Inservice inspection (IWF)
Chapter XI.S4	10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J
Chapter XI.S5	Masonry Walls
Chapter XI.S6	Structures Monitoring
Chapter XI.S7	R.G. 1.127 , Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants
Chapter XI.S8	Protective Coating Monitoring and Maintenance
GALL-SLR Report Appendix for GALLA	Quality Assurance for Aging Management Programs
GALL-SLR Report Appendix B	Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs
SRP- LR SLR Appendix A.1	Plant-specific AMP Aging Management Review— Generic (Branch Technical Position RLSB-1)

1 **3.6 Aging Management of Electrical and Instrumentation and Controls**

2 **Review Responsibilities**

3 **Primary**—Branches assigned responsibility by Project Manager (PM) as described in this
4 Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear
5 Power Plants (SRP-LRSLR) Section 3.0 ~~of this SRP-LR.~~

6 **3.6.1 Areas of Review**

7 This section addresses the aging management review (AMR) and the associated AMPaging
8 management programs (AMPs) of the electrical and instrumentation and ~~controls~~control (I&C).
9 For a recent vintage plant, the information related to the electrical and I&C is contained in
10 Chapter 7, “Instrumentation and Controls,” and Chapter 8, “Electric Power,” of the plant’s Final
11 Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), consistent with the “Standard Review Plan for the Review of
12 Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants” (NUREG—0800) (Ref. 1). For older plants,
13 the location of applicable information is plant—specific because an older plant’s FSAR may have
14 predated NUREG—0800. Typical electrical and I&C components that are subject to an AMR for
15 subsequent license renewal (SLR) are electrical cables and connections, metal enclosed buses,
16 cable bus, fuse holders, high-voltage insulators, transmission conductors and connections, and
17 switchyard bus and connections.

18 The responsible review organization is to review the following LRAsubsequent license renewal
19 application (SLRA) AMR and AMP items assigned to it, per SRP-LRSLR Section 3.0:

20 **AMRs**

- 21 • AMR results consistent with the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
22 License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
- 23 • AMR results for which further evaluation is recommended ~~by the GALL Report~~
- 24 • AMR results not consistent with or not addressed in the GALL-SLR Report

25 **AMPs**

- 26 • Consistent with GALL-SLR Report AMPs
- 27 • Plant-specific AMPs

28 **FSAR Supplement**

- 29 • The responsible review organization is to review the FSAR Supplement associated with
30 each assigned AMP.

31 **3.6.2 Acceptance Criteria**

32 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review describe methods for determining whether the
33 applicant has met the requirements of the NRG’s U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commissions (NRC)
34 regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21.

1 3.6.2.1 AMR Aging Management Review Results Consistent With the GALL Generic
2 Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

3 The AMRs and the AMPs applicable to the electrical and I&C components are described and
4 evaluated in Chapter VI of ~~NUREG-1801~~, “the Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL-SLR)
5 Report” ~~(Ref. 2)~~.

6 The applicant’s LRASLRA should provide sufficient information for the NRC reviewer to confirm
7 that the specific LRASLRA AMR item and the associated LRASLRA AMP are consistent with
8 the cited GALL-SLR Report AMR item. The ~~staff~~ reviewer should then confirm that the
9 LRASLRA AMR item is consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMR item to which it is compared.

10 When the applicant is crediting a different AMP than recommended in the GALL-SLR Report,
11 the reviewer should confirm that the alternate AMP is valid to use for aging management and
12 will be capable of managing the effects of aging as adequately as the AMP recommended by
13 the GALL-SLR Report.

14 3.6.2.2 AMR Aging Management Review Results for Which Further Evaluation Is
15 Recommended by the GALL Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
16 License Renewal Report

17 The basic acceptance criteria defined in Section 3.6.2.1 need to be applied first for all of the
18 AMRs and AMPs reviewed as part of this section. In addition, if the GALL-SLR Report AMR
19 item to which the LRASLRA AMR item is compared identifies that “further evaluation is
20 recommended,” then additional criteria apply as identified by the GALL-SLR Report for each of
21 the following aging effect/aging mechanism combinations. Refer to Table 3.6-1, comparing the
22 “Further Evaluation Recommended” and the “Rev2 GALL-SLR Item” ~~columns~~ column, for the
23 AMR items that reference the following subsections. ~~The 2005 AMR item counterpart is~~
24 ~~provided in the “Rev1 Item” column.~~

25 3.6.2.2.1 Electrical Equipment Subject to Environmental Qualification

26 Environmental qualification is a time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) as defined in 10 CFR 54.3.
27 TLAAAs are required to be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1). The evaluation of
28 this TLAA is addressed separately in Section 4.4, “Environmental Qualification (EQ) of Electrical
29 Equipment,” of this SRP-LRSLR.

30 ~~1.1.7.6.1~~ 3.6.2.2.2 Reduced Insulation Resistance Due to ~~Presence of Any Salt~~
31 ~~Deposits and Surface Contamination, and Loss of Material Due to Mechanical~~
32 ~~Wear General, Pitting, and Crevice Corrosion, Loosening of Bolts Caused by~~
33 ~~Wind Blowing on Transmission Conductors~~

34 ~~Reduced insulation resistance due to presence~~ Thermal Cycling and Ohmic Heating,
35 Degradation Caused Thermal/Thermoxidative Degradation of any salt
36 deposits Organics and surface contamination Photolysis (UV Sensitive Materials
37 Only) of Organics, Moisture/Debris Intrusion and Ohmic Heating

38 Reduced insulation resistance due to loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice
39 corrosion, loosening of bolts caused by thermal cycling and ohmic heating, degradation caused
40 thermal/thermoxidative degradation of organics and photolysis [ultraviolet (UV) sensitive
41 materials only] of organics and moisture/debris intrusion could occur in high-voltage

1 ~~insulators-cable bus assemblies.~~ The GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation of a
2 plant-specific AMP for plants located such that the potential exists for salt deposits or surface
3 contamination (e.g., in the vicinity of salt water bodies or industrial pollution). ~~Loss of material~~
4 ~~due to mechanical wear caused by wind blowing on transmission conductors could occur in~~
5 ~~high-voltage insulators. The GALL Report recommends further evaluation of a plant-~~
6 ~~specific~~Cable Bus AMP to ensure that this aging effect is adequately managed. Acceptance
7 criteria are described in Branch Technical Position (BTP) RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-
8 LRSLR).

9 3.6.2.2.3 *Loss of Material Due to Wind-Induced Abrasion, Loss of Conductor Strength Due*
10 *to Corrosion, and Increased Resistance of Connection Due to Oxidation or Loss*
11 *of ~~Pre-load~~Preload*

12 Loss of material due to wind-induced abrasion, loss of conductor strength due to corrosion, and
13 increased resistance of connection due to oxidation or loss of ~~pre-load~~preload could occur in
14 transmission conductors and connections, and in switchyard bus and connections. The
15 GALL-SLR Report recommends further evaluation of a plant-specific AMP to ensure that this
16 aging effect is adequately managed. Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical
17 PositionBTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LRSLR).

18 3.6.2.2.4 *Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components*

19 Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical PositionBTP IQMB-1 (Appendix A.2 of
20 this SRP-LRSLR).

21 3.6.2.3 ~~AMR~~2.5 *Ongoing Review of Operating Experience*

22 Acceptance criteria are described in Appendix A.4, "Operating Experience for AMPs."

23 3.6.2.3 *Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the*
24 *GALL-Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report*

25 Acceptance criteria are described in Branch Technical PositionBTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of
26 this SRP-LRSLR).

27 3.6.2.4 *Aging Management Programs*

28 For those AMPs that will be used for aging management and that are based on the program
29 elements of an AMP in the GALL-SLR Report, the NRC reviewer performs an audit of AMPs
30 credited in the LRASLRA to confirm consistency with the GALL-SLR AMPs identified in the
31 GALL-SLR Report, Chapters X and XI.

32 If the applicant identifies an exception to any of the program elements of the cited GALL-SLR
33 Report AMP, the LRASLRA AMP should include a basis demonstrating how the criteria of
34 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3) would still be met. The NRC reviewer should then confirm that the
35 LRASLRA AMP, with all exceptions, would satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If, while
36 reviewing the LRASLRA AMP, the reviewer identifies a difference between the LRASLRA AMP
37 and the GALL-SLR Report AMP that should have been identified as an exception to the GALL-
38 SLR Report AMP, the difference should be reviewed and properly dispositioned. The reviewer
39 should document the disposition of all LRASLRA-defined exceptions and NRC staff-identified
40 differences.

1 The LRASLRA should identify any enhancements that are needed to permit an existing AMP to
2 be declared consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMP to which the LRASLRA AMP is
3 compared. The reviewer is to confirm both that the enhancement, when implemented, would
4 allow the existing plant AMP to be consistent with the GALL-SLR Report AMP and also that the
5 applicant has a commitment in the FSAR supplement to implement the enhancement prior to
6 the subsequent period of extended operation. The reviewer should review and document the
7 disposition of all enhancements.

8 If the applicant chooses to use a plant-specific program that is not a GALL-SLR AMP, the NRC
9 reviewer should confirm that the plant-specific program satisfies the criteria of ~~Branch Technical~~
10 ~~Position~~BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-LRSLR).

11 3.6.2.5 FSAR Final Safety Analysis Review Supplement

12 The summary description of the programs and activities for managing the effects of aging for the
13 subsequent period of extended operation in the FSAR supplement should be sufficiently
14 comprehensive, such that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description
15 should contain information associated with the bases for determining that aging effects are
16 managed during the subsequent period of extended operation. The description should also
17 contain any future aging management activities, including commitments, license conditions,
18 enhancements, and commitments exceptions, to be ~~completed before entering implemented prior~~
19 ~~to or during~~ the subsequent period of extended operation. Table 3.0-1 of this SRP-LRSLR
20 provides examples of the type of information to be included in the FSAR Supplement. Table
21 3.6-2 lists the programs that are applicable for this SRP-LRSLR subsection.

22 3.6.3 Review Procedures

23 For each area of review, the following review procedures are to be followed:

24 3.6.3.1 AMR Aging Management Review Results Consistent With the GALL Generic 25 Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

26 The applicant may reference the GALL-SLR Report in its LRASLRA, as appropriate, and
27 demonstrate that the AMRs and AMPs at its facility are consistent with those reviewed and
28 approved in the GALL-SLR Report. The reviewer should not conduct a re-review of the
29 substance of the matters described in the GALL-SLR Report. If the applicant has provided the
30 information necessary to adopt the finding of program acceptability as described and evaluated
31 in the GALL-SLR Report, the reviewer should find acceptable the applicant's reference to the
32 GALL-SLR Report in its LRA-SLRA. In making this determination, the reviewer confirms that
33 the applicant has provided a brief description of the system, components, materials, and
34 environment. The reviewer also confirms that the ~~applicant has stated that the~~ applicable aging
35 effects, ~~and that have been addressed based on the staff's review of~~ industry and plant-specific
36 operating experience ~~have been reviewed by the applicant and are evaluated in the GALL~~
37 ~~Report.~~

38 Furthermore, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has addressed operating
39 experience identified after the issuance of the GALL-SLR Report. Performance of this review
40 includes confirming that the applicant has identified those aging effects for the electrical and I&C
41 components that are contained in the GALL-SLR Report as applicable to its plant.

1 3.6.3.2 AMR Aging Management Review Results for Which Further Evaluation Is
2 Recommended by the GALL Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
3 License Renewal Report

4 The basic review procedures defined in Section 3.6.3.1 need to be applied first for all of the
5 AMRs and AMPs provided in this section. In addition, if the GALL-SLR AMR item to which the
6 LRASLRA AMR item is compared identifies that “further evaluation is recommended,” then
7 additional criteria apply as identified by the GALL-SLR Report for each of the following aging
8 effect/aging mechanism combinations.

9 3.6.3.2.1 *Electrical Equipment Subject to Environmental Qualification*

10 Environmental qualification is a TLAA as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. TLAAs are required to be
11 evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1). The NRC staff reviews the evaluation of this
12 TLAA separately following the guidance in Section 4.4 of this SRP-LRSLR.

13 3.6.3.2.2 *Reduced Insulation Resistance Due to ~~Presence of Any Salt Deposits and~~*
14 *~~Surface Contamination, and Loss of Material due to Mechanical Wear Caused by~~*
15 *~~Wind Blowing on Transmission Conductors~~ Loss of Material Due to General,*
16 *Pitting, and Crevice Corrosion, Loosening of Bolts Caused by Thermal Cycling*
17 *and Ohmic Heating, Degradation Caused by Thermal/Thermoxidative*
18 *Degradation of Organics and Photolysis (UV Sensitive Materials Only) of*
19 *Organics, Moisture/Debris Intrusion and Ohmic Heating*

20 The GALL SLR Report recommends a plant-specific Cable Bus AMP for the management of
21 reduced insulation resistance due to ~~presence of any salt deposits and surface contamination~~
22 ~~for plants located such that the potential exists for salt deposits or surface contamination (e.g.,~~
23 ~~in the vicinity of salt water bodies or industrial pollution), and loss of material due to mechanical~~
24 ~~wear caused by wind blowing on transmission conductors in high-voltage insulators.~~ general,
25 pitting, and crevice corrosion, loosening of bolts caused by thermal cycling and ohmic heating,
26 degradation caused thermal/thermoxidative degradation of organics and photolysis (UV
27 sensitive materials only) of organics and moisture/debris intrusion. The reviewer reviews the
28 applicant’s proposed program on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program will
29 be in place for the management of these aging effects.

30 3.6.3.2.3 *Loss of Material Due to Wind-Induced Abrasion, Loss of Conductor Strength Due*
31 *to Corrosion, and Increased Resistance of Connection Due to Oxidation or Loss*
32 *of ~~Pre-load~~ Preload*

33 The GALL-SLR Report recommends a plant-specific AMP for the management of loss of
34 material due to wind-induced abrasion, loss of conductor strength due to corrosion, and
35 increased resistance of connection due to oxidation or loss of ~~pre-load~~ preload in transmission
36 conductors and connections, and in switchyard bus and connections. The reviewer reviews the
37 applicant’s proposed program on a case-by-case basis to ensure that an adequate program will
38 be in place for the management of these aging effects.

39 3.6.3.2.4 *Quality Assurance for Aging Management of Nonsafety-Related Components*

40 The applicant’s AMPs for ~~license renewal~~ SLR should contain the elements of corrective actions,
41 the confirmation process, and administrative controls. Safety-related components are covered
42 by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, which is adequate to address these program elements.

1 However, Appendix B does not apply to nonsafety-related components that are subject to an
2 AMR for ~~license renewal~~SLR. Nevertheless, the applicant has the option to expand the scope
3 of its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B program to include these components and address these
4 program elements. If the applicant chooses this option, the reviewer confirms that the applicant
5 has documented such a commitment in the FSAR supplement. If the applicant chooses
6 alternative means, the branch responsible for quality assurance (QA) should be requested to
7 review the applicant's proposal on a case-by-case basis.

8 ~~3.6.3.3~~ AMR2.5 Ongoing Review of Operating Experience

9 The applicant's AMPs should contain the element of operating experience. The reviewer
10 verifies that the applicant has appropriate programs or processes for the ongoing review of both
11 plant-specific and industry operating experience concerning age-related degradation and aging
12 management. Such reviews are used to ensure that the AMPs are effective to manage the
13 aging effects for which they are created. The AMPs are either enhanced or new AMPs are
14 developed, as appropriate, when it is determined through the evaluation of operating experience
15 that the effects of aging may not be adequately managed. Additional information is in
16 Appendix A.4, "Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs."

17 In addition, the reviewer confirms that the applicant has provided an appropriate summary
18 description of these activities in the FSAR supplement. An example description is under
19 "Operating Experience" in Table 3.0-1, "FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of
20 Applicable Systems."

21 3.6.3.3 Aging Management Review Results Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the 22 GALL-~~Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal~~ Report

23 The reviewer should confirm that the applicant, in the ~~license renewal~~SLR application, has
24 identified applicable aging effects, listed the appropriate combination of materials and
25 environments, and has credited AMPs that will adequately manage the aging effects. The AMP
26 credited by the applicant could be an AMP that is described and evaluated in the GALL-~~SLR~~
27 Report or in a plant-specific program. Review procedures are described in ~~Branch Technical~~
28 ~~Position~~BTP RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR).

29 3.6.3.4 Aging Management Programs

30 The reviewer confirms that the applicant has identified the appropriate AMPs as described and
31 evaluated in the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report. If the applicant commits to an enhancement to make its
32 ~~LRASLRA~~AMP consistent with a GALL-~~SLR~~ Report AMP, then the reviewer is to confirm that
33 this enhancement, when implemented, will make the ~~LRASLRA~~AMP consistent with the GALL-~~SLR~~
34 Report AMP. If the applicant identifies, in the ~~LRASLRA~~AMP, an exception to any of the
35 program elements of the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report AMP, the reviewer is to confirm that the ~~LRASLRA~~AMP
36 with the exception will satisfy the criteria of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). If the reviewer identifies a
37 difference, not identified by the ~~LRASLRA~~, between the ~~LRASLRA~~AMP and the GALL-~~SLR~~
38 Report AMP with which the ~~LRASLRA~~ claims to be consistent, the reviewer should confirm that
39 the ~~LRASLRA~~AMP with this difference satisfies 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). The reviewer should
40 document the basis for accepting enhancements, exceptions, or differences. The AMPs
41 evaluated in the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report pertinent to the electrical and I&C components are
42 summarized in Table 3.6-1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR. The "Rev-2GALL-~~SLR~~ Item" (~~for 2010~~) and
43 "Rev1 Item" (~~for 2005 counterpart~~) columns identifycolumn identifies the AMR item numbers in
44 the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report, Chapters VI, presenting detailed information summarized by this row.

1 Table 3.6-1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR may identify a plant-specific AMP. If the applicant chooses to
2 use a plant-specific program that is not a GALL-SLR AMP, the NRC reviewer should confirm
3 that the plant-specific program satisfies the criteria of ~~Branch Technical Position~~BTP RLSB-1
4 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR).

5 3.6.3.5 FSAR~~Final Safety Analysis Report~~ Supplement

6 The reviewer confirms that the applicant has provided in its FSAR supplement information
7 equivalent to that in Table 3.0-1 for aging management of the Electrical and I&C System.
8 Table 3.6-2 lists the AMPs that are applicable for this SRP-~~LR~~SLR subsection. The reviewer
9 also confirms that the applicant has provided information for Subsection 3.6.3.3, "AMR Results
10 Not Consistent With or Not Addressed in the GALL-SLR Report," equivalent to that in Table 3.0-
11 1.

12 ~~The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require~~The applicant
13 ~~to update~~updates its FSAR to include this FSAR supplement at the next update required
14 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). ~~As part of the license condition, until the FSAR update is~~
15 ~~complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR supplement~~
16 ~~without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change pursuant to~~
17 ~~the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the FSAR to include the final~~
18 ~~FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, no condition will be necessary.~~

19 As noted in Table 3.0-1, an applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its
20 FSAR. However, the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has identified and committed in
21 the ~~license renewal application~~SLRA to any future aging management activities, including
22 enhancements, exception, and commitments to be completed ~~before~~prior to or during the
23 subsequent period of extended operation.

~~The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.~~

3.6.4 Evaluation Findings

If the reviewer determines that the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the provisions of this section, then an evaluation finding similar to the following text should be included in the NRC staff's safety evaluation report:

On the basis of its review, ~~as discussed above~~, the NRC staff concludes that the applicant has demonstrated that the aging effects associated with the electrical and ~~instrumentation and controls~~I&C components will be adequately managed so that the intended functions will be maintained consistent with the ~~GLB~~current licensing basis for the subsequent period of extended operation, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3).

The NRC staff also reviewed the applicable FSAR Supplement program ~~summaries~~summary descriptions and concludes that they adequately describe the AMPs credited for managing aging of electrical and ~~instrumentation and controls~~I&C, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(d).

3.6.5 Implementation

Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for complying with specified portions of the NRC's regulations, the method described herein will be used by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.

3.6.6 References

1. NRC. NUREG--0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants, ~~LWR Edition~~." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 2007.

- ~~2. NUREG-1801, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Revision 2, 2010.~~
- ~~3. NEI 95-10, "Industry Guideline for Implementing the Requirements of 10 CFR Part 54—The License Renewal Rule," Nuclear Energy Institute, Revision 6.~~

Table 3.6-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for the Electrical Components Evaluated in Chapter VI of the GALL-SLR Report

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GA LL-SLR Item
4M	BWR/PWR R1	Electrical equipment subject to 10 CFR 50.49 EQ requirements composed of various polymeric and metallic materials exposed to Adverse localized environment caused by heat, radiation, oxygen, moisture, or voltage BWR/PWR	Various aging effects due to various mechanisms in accordance with 10 CFR 50.49 Electrical equipment subject to 10 CFR 50.49 EQ requirements composed of various polymeric and metallic materials in plant areas subject to a harsh environment (i.e., loss of coolant accident (LOCA), high energy line break (HELB), or post LOCA environment or; An adverse localized environment for the most limiting qualified condition for temperature, radiation, or moisture for the component material (e.g., cable or connection insulation).	EQ is a time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) to be evaluated for the period of extended operation. See the Standard Review Plan, Section 4.4, "Environmental Qualification (EQ) of Electrical Equipment," for acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) and (ii). See Chapter X.E1, "Environmental Qualification (EQ) of Electric Components," of this report for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). Various aging effects due to various mechanisms in accordance with 10 CFR 50.49	Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.6.2.2.1) EQ is a time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) to be evaluated for the subsequent period of extended operation. See the Standard Review Plan, Section 4.4, "Environmental Qualification (EQ) of Electrical Equipment," for acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) and (ii). See AMP X.E1, "Environmental Qualification (EQ) of Electric Components," of this report for	VI.B.L-05 Yes, TLAA (See subsection 3.6.2.2.1)	VI.B-4(L-05)

Table 3.6-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for the Electrical Components Evaluated in Chapter VI of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
					meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)-(iii). See Standard Review Plan, Section 4.4, "Environmental Qualification (EQ) of Electrical Equipment and AMP X.E1, "Environmental Qualification (EQ) of Electric Components," of this report for meeting the requirements of 10CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).		
<u>2M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>R2</u>	High-voltage insulators composed of Porcelain; malleable iron; aluminum; galvanized steel; cement exposed to Air—	Loss of material due to mechanical wear caused by wind blowing on transmission conductors High-voltage electrical insulators composed of porcelain; malleable iron;	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated Loss of material due to mechanical wear caused by movement of transmission conductors due to significant wind	Yes, AMP XI.E7, "High Voltage Insulators" A plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.2) aging management program is to be evaluated	VI.A.LP-32 <u>No</u>	VI.A-10(LP-11) <u>32</u>

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GA LL-SLR Item
		outdoor BWR/PWR	aluminum; galvanized steel; cement exposed to air – outdoor				
3M	BWR/PWR3	High-voltage insulators composed of Porcelain; malleable iron; aluminum; galvanized steel; cement exposed to Air— outdoor BWR/PWR	Reduced insulation resistance due to presence of salt deposits or surface contamination High-voltage insulators composed of porcelain; malleable iron; aluminum; galvanized steel; cement exposed to air – outdoor	A plant-specific aging management program is Reduced electrical insulation resistance due to be evaluated for plants located such that the potential exists for salt presence of salt deposits or surface contamination (e.g., in the vicinity of salt water bodies or industrial pollution)	Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.2) AMP XI.E7, "High Voltage Insulators"	VI.A.LP-28 No)	VI.A-9(LP-07) 28
4	BWR/PWR4	Transmission conductors composed of Aluminum; steel exposed to Air— outdoor BWR/PWR	Loss of conductor strength due to corrosion Transmission conductors composed of aluminum; steel exposed to air – outdoor	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated for ACSR Loss of conductor strength due to corrosion	Yes, A plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.3) aging management program is to be evaluated for ACSR	VI.A.LP-38 Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.3)	VI.A-16(LP-08) 38
5M	BWR/PWR5	Transmission connectors composed of Aluminum; steel exposed to Air—	Increased resistance of connection due to oxidation or loss of pre-load Transmission connectors composed of	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated Increased electrical resistance of connection due to	Yes, A plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.3) aging management	VI.A.LP-48 Yes, plant-specific (See	VI.A-16(LP-08) 48

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GA LL-SLR Item
		outdoor <u>BWR/PWR</u>	aluminum; steel exposed to air – outdoor	oxidation or loss of pre-load	program is to be evaluated	subsection 3.6.2.2.3)	
<u>6M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR6</u>	Switchyard bus and connections composed of Aluminum; copper; bronze; stainless steel; galvanized steel exposed to Air— outdoor <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Loss of material due to wind-induced abrasion; Increased resistance of connection due to oxidation or loss of pre-load <u>Switchyard bus and connections composed of Aluminum; copper; bronze; stainless steel; galvanized steel exposed to air – outdoor</u>	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated Loss of material due to wind-induced abrasion; Increased electrical resistance of connection due to oxidation or loss of pre-load	Yes, A plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.3) <u>aging management program is to be evaluated</u>	VI.A.LP-39 <u>Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.3)</u>	VI.A-15(LP-09) <u>39</u>
<u>7</u>	<u>BWR/PWR7</u>	Transmission conductors composed of Aluminum; Steel exposed to Air— outdoor <u>BWR/PWR</u>	Loss of material due to wind-induced abrasion Transmission conductors composed of aluminum; steel exposed to air – outdoor	A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated for ACAR and ACSR Loss of material due to wind-induced abrasion	Yes, A plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.3) <u>aging management program is to be evaluated for ACAR and ACSR</u>	VI.A.LP-47 <u>Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.3)</u>	VI.A-16(LP-08) <u>47</u>
<u>8M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR8</u>	Insulation material for electrical cables and connections	Reduced insulation resistance	Chapter XI.E1, "Insulation Material for Electrical Cables and Connections Not	No <u>AMP XI.E1, "Electrical Insulation for Electrical Cables</u>	VI.A.LP-33 <u>No</u>	VI.A-2(L-04) <u>.LP-33</u>

Table 3.6-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for the Electrical Components Evaluated in Chapter VI of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New</u> <u>(N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		(including terminal blocks, fuse holders, etc.) composed of Various organic polymers (e.g., EPR, SR, EPDM, XLPE) exposed to Adverse localized environment caused by heat, radiation, or moisture <u>BWR/PWR</u>	due to thermal/thermooxidative degradation of organics, radiolysis, and photolysis (UV sensitive materials only) of organics; radiation-induced oxidation; moisture intrusion <u>Electrical insulation for electrical cables and connections (including terminal blocks, etc.) composed of various organic polymers (e.g., EPR, SR, EPDM, XLPE) exposed to an adverse localized environment caused by heat, radiation, or moisture</u>	Subject to 10-CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements "Reduce d electrical insulation resistance due to thermal/thermooxidative degradation of organics, radiolysis, and photolysis (UV sensitive materials only) of organics; radiation-induced oxidation; moisture intrusion	and Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements"		
<u>9M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>R9</u>	Insulation material for electrical cables and connections used in instrumentation circuits that are sensitive to reduction in	Reduced insulation resistance due to thermal/thermooxidative degradation of organics, radiolysis, and photolysis	Chapter XI.E2, "Insulation Material for Electrical Cables and Connections Not Subject to 10-CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification	No AMP XI.E2, "Electrical Insulation for Electrical Cables and Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental	VI.A.LP-34 <u>No</u>	VI.A-3(L-02) <u>.LP-34</u>

Table 3.6-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for the Electrical Components Evaluated in Chapter VI of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		conductor insulation resistance (IR) composed of Various organic polymers (e.g., EPR, SR, EPDM, XLPE) exposed to Adverse localized environment caused by heat, radiation, or moisture <u>BWR/PWR</u>	(UV sensitive materials only) of organics; radiation-induced oxidation; moisture intrusion <u>Electrical insulation for electrical cables and connections used in instrumentation circuits that are sensitive to reduction in conductor insulation resistance (IR) composed of various organic polymers (e.g., EPR, SR, EPDM, XLPE) exposed to an adverse localized environment caused by heat, radiation, or moisture</u>	Requirements Used in Instrumentation Circuits "Reduced electrical insulation resistance due to thermal/thermooxidative degradation of organics, radiolysis, and photolysis (UV sensitive materials only) of organics; radiation-induced oxidation; moisture intrusion	<u>Qualification Requirements Used in Instrumentation Circuits</u>		
<u>10M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u> <u>10</u>	Conductor insulation for inaccessible power cables greater than or equal to 400 volts (e.g., installed in conduit or direct buried) composed of Various organic	Reduced insulation resistance due to moisture <u>Electrical conductor insulation for inaccessible power, instrumentation, and control cables (e.g., installed in conduit or direct buried) composed</u>	Chapter XI.E3, "Inaccessible Power Cables Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements" Reduce electrical insulation resistance due to moisture	No AMPs XI.E3A, XI.E3B, and XI.E3C, "Inaccessible Power Instrumentation, and Control Cables Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49	VI.A.LP-35 No	VI.A-4(L-03) <u>.LP-35</u>

Table 3.6-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for the Electrical Components Evaluated in Chapter VI of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		polymers (e.g., EPR, SR, EPDM, XLPE) exposed to Adverse localized environment caused by significant moisture	of various organic polymers (e.g., EPR, SR, EPDM, XLPE) exposed to an adverse localized environment caused by significant moisture		Environmental Qualification Requirements"		
11	BWR/PWR R11	Metal enclosed bus: enclosure assemblies composed of Elastomers exposed to Air— indoor, controlled or uncontrolled or Air— outdoor	Surface cracking, crazing, scuffing, dimensional change (e.g. "ballooning" and "necking"), shrinkage, discoloration, hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation	Chapter XI.E4, "Metal Enclosed Bus," or Chapter XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	No AMP XI.E4, "Metal Enclosed Bus," or AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components"	VI.A.LP-29 No	VI.A-12(LP-10) 29
12	BWR/PWR R12	Metal enclosed bus: bus/connections composed of	Increased resistance of connection	Chapter XI.E4, "Metal Enclosed Bus" Increased electrical resistance of	No AMP XI.E4, "Metal Enclosed Bus"	VI.A.LP-25 No	VI.A-14(LP-04) 25

Table 3.6-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for the Electrical Components Evaluated in Chapter VI of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>Type ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4 GALL-SLR Item</u>
		Various metals used for electrical bus and connections exposed to Air— indoor, controlled or uncontrolled or Air— outdoor <u>BWR/PWR</u>	due to the loosening of bolts caused by thermal cycling and ohmic heating <u>Metal enclosed bus: bus/connections composed of various metals used for electrical bus and connections exposed to air – indoor controlled or uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>connection due to the loosening of bolts caused by thermal cycling and ohmic heating</u>			
13M	<u>BWR/PWR13</u>	<u>Metal enclosed bus: insulation; insulators composed of Porcelain; xenoy; thermo-plastic organic polymers exposed to Air— indoor, controlled or uncontrolled or Air— outdoor BWR/PWR</u>	Reduced insulation resistance due to thermal/thermooxidative degradation of organics/thermoplastics, radiation-induced oxidation, moisture/debris intrusion, and ohmic heating <u>Metal enclosed bus: electrical insulation; insulators composed of porcelain; xenoy; thermo-plastic organic polymers exposed to air – indoor controlled or</u>	Chapter XI.E4, "Metal Enclosed Bus" <u>Reduced electrical insulation resistance due to thermal/thermooxidative degradation of organics/thermoplastics, radiation-induced oxidation, moisture/debris intrusion, and ohmic heating</u>	No <u>AMP XI.E4, "Metal Enclosed Bus"</u>	VI.A.LP-26 <u>No</u>	VI.A-14(LP-05) <u>26</u>

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GA LL-SLR Item
			<u>uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>				
14	<u>BWR/PWR14</u>	<u>Metal enclosed bus: external surface of enclosure assemblies composed of Steel exposed to Air – indoor, uncontrolled or Air – outdoor</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion</u> <u>Metal enclosed bus: external surface of enclosure assemblies composed of steel exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Chapter XI.E4, "Metal Enclosed Bus," or Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</u> <u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>No AMP XI.E4, "Metal Enclosed Bus," or AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</u>	<u>VI.A.LP-43</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VI.A-13(LP-06)</u> <u>43</u>
15	<u>BWR/PWR15</u>	<u>Metal enclosed bus: external surface of enclosure assemblies composed of Galvanized steel; aluminum exposed to Air – outdoor</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion</u> <u>Metal enclosed bus: external surface of enclosure assemblies composed of galvanized steel; aluminum exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Chapter XI.E4, "Metal Enclosed Bus," or Chapter XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</u> <u>Loss of material due to pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>No AMP XI.E4, "Metal Enclosed Bus," or AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring"</u>	<u>VI.A.LP-42</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VI.A-13(LP-06)</u> <u>42</u>
16	<u>M</u> <u>BWR/PWR16</u>	<u>Fuse holders (not part of active equipment); metallic clamps</u>	<u>Increased resistance of connection</u>	<u>Chapter XI.E5, "Fuse Holders"</u> <u>Increased electrical resistance of connection due to</u>	<u>No AMP XI.E5, "Fuse Holders"</u> <u>No aging</u>	<u>VI.A.LP-23</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VI.A-8(LP-04)</u> <u>23</u>

Table 3.6-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for the Electrical Components Evaluated in Chapter VI of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
		composed of Various metals used for electrical connections exposed to Air— indoor, uncontrolled BWR/ PWR	due to chemical contamination, corrosion, and oxidation (in an air, indoor controlled environment, increased resistance of connection due to chemical contamination, corrosion and oxidation do not apply); fatigue due to ohmic heating, thermal cycling, electrical transients Fuse holders (not part of active equipment): metallic clamps composed of various metals used for electrical connections exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled	chemical contamination, corrosion, and oxidation. (in an air, indoor controlled environment, increased resistance of connection due to chemical contamination, corrosion and oxidation do not apply);	management program is required for those applicants who can demonstrate these fuse holders are located in an environment that does not subject them to environmental aging mechanisms and effects due to chemical contamination, corrosion, and oxidation.		
<u>N</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Fuse holders (not part of active equipment): metallic clamps composed of various metals used for electrical connections exposed to air-indoor controlled or uncontrolled</u>	<u>Increased electrical resistance of connection due to fatigue from ohmic heating, thermal cycling, electrical transients</u>	<u>AMP XI.E5, Fuse Holders"</u> <u>No aging management program is required for those applicants who</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VI.A.L-07</u>

Table 3.6-1. <u>Summary of Aging Management Programs for the Electrical Components Evaluated in Chapter VI of the GALL-SLR Report</u>							
<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
					can demonstrate these fuse holders are not subject to fatigue due to ohmic heating, thermal cycling, electrical transients		
17M	BWR/PWR18	Fuse holders (not part of active equipment): metallic clamps composed of Various metals used for electrical connections exposed to Air – indoor, controlled or uncontrolledBWR/PWR	Increased resistance of connection due to fatigue caused by frequent manipulation or vibrationFuse holders (not part of active equipment): metallic clamps composed of various metals used for electrical connections exposed to air – indoor controlled or uncontrolled	Chapter XI.E5, "Fuse Holders" No aging management program is required for those applicants who can demonstrate these fuse holders are located in an environment that does not subject themIncreased electrical resistance of connection due to environmental aging mechanisms or fatigue caused by frequent fuse removal/manipulation or vibration	NoAMP XI.E5, "Fuse Holders" No aging management program is required for those applicants who can demonstrate these fuse holders are not subject to fatigue caused by frequent fuse removal/manipulation or vibration	VI.A.LP-34 No	VI.A-8(LP-04) 31

Table 3.6-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for the Electrical Components Evaluated in Chapter VI of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item</u>	<u>Type ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Aging Effect/Mechanism Component</u>	<u>Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4 GALL-SLR Item</u>
<u>18M</u>	<u>BWR/PWR19</u>	<u>Cable connections (metallic parts) composed of Various metals used for electrical contacts exposed to Air – indoor, controlled or uncontrolled or Air – outdoor</u> <u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Increased resistance of connection due to thermal cycling, ohmic heating, electrical transients, vibration, chemical contamination, corrosion, and oxidation</u> <u>Cable connections (metallic parts) composed of various metals used for electrical contacts exposed to air – indoor controlled or uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Chapter XI.E6, "Electrical Cable Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements" Increase electrical resistance of connection due to thermal cycling, ohmic heating, electrical transients, vibration, chemical contamination, corrosion, and oxidation</u>	<u>No AMP XI.E6, "Electrical Cable Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements"</u>	<u>VI.A.LP-30</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VI.A-4(LP-12)</u> <u>30</u>
<u>19M</u>	<u>PWR20</u>	<u>Connector contacts for electrical connectors exposed to borated water leakage composed of Various metals used for electrical contacts exposed to Air with borated water leakage</u> <u>PWR</u>	<u>Increased resistance of connection due to corrosion of Electrical connector contact surfaces caused by intrusion of contacts for electrical connectors composed of various metals used for electrical contacts exposed to air with borated water leakage</u>	<u>Chapter XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion" Increased electrical resistance of connection due to corrosion of connector contact surfaces caused by intrusion of borated water</u>	<u>No AMP XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion"</u>	<u>VI.A.LP-36</u> <u>No</u>	<u>VI.A-5(L-04)</u> <u>.LP-36</u>

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GA LL-SLR Item
20M	BWR/PWR R21	Transmission conductors composed of Aluminum exposed to Air— outdoor BWR/PWR	Loss of conductor strength due to corrosion Transmission conductors composed of aluminum exposed to air – outdoor	None – for Aluminum Conductor Aluminum Alloy Reinforced (ACAR) Loss of conductor strength due to corrosion	None None - for Aluminum Conductor Aluminum Alloy Reinforced (ACAR) and All Aluminum Conductor (AAC)	VI.A.LP-46 None	VI.A-16(LP-08) 46
24M	BWR/PWR R22	Fuse holders (not part of active equipment); insulation material, Metal enclosed bus; external surface of enclosure assemblies composed of Insulation material: bakelite; phenolic melamine or ceramic; molded polycarbonate; other, Galvanized steel; aluminum, Steel exposed to Air— indoor, controlled or uncontrolled BWR/PWR	None Fuse holders (not part of active equipment): insulation material composed of electrical insulation material: bakelite; phenolic melamine or ceramic; molded polycarbonate, and other, exposed to air – indoor controlled or uncontrolled	None Reduced electrical insulation resistance due to thermal/thermooxidative degradation of organics, radiolysis, and photolysis (UV sensitive materials only) of organics; radiation-induced oxidation; moisture intrusion	NA – No AEM or AMP AMP XI.E5, "Fuse Holders" No aging management program is required for those applicants who can demonstrate these fuse holders are located in an environment that does not subject them to environmental aging mechanisms	VI.A.LP-24 VI.A.LP-41 VI.A.LP-44 No	VI.A-7(LP-02) VI.A-13(LP-06) VI.A-13(LP-06) 24

ID New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Type ID	Component Type	Aging Effect/Mechanism Component	Aging Management Programs Effect/Mechanism	Further Evaluation Recommended Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Rev2 Item Further Evaluation Recommended	Rev4 GA LL-SLR Item
<u>N</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Metal enclosed bus: external surface of enclosure assemblies. Galvanized steel; aluminum. air – indoor controlled or uncontrolled</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VI.A.LP-41</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Metal enclosed bus: external surface of enclosure assemblies. Steel air – indoor controlled</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VI.A.LP-44</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cable bus: enclosure assemblies composed of elastomers exposed to air – indoor controlled or uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Surface cracking, crazing, scuffing, dimensional change (e.g. "ballooning" and "necking"), shrinkage, discoloration, hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation</u>	<u>A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated</u>	<u>Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.2)</u>	<u>VI.A.L-08</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cable bus: external surface of enclosure assemblies galvanized steel; aluminum; air – indoor controlled or uncontrolled</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VI.A.L-09</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cable bus: bus/connections composed of various metals used for electrical</u>	<u>Increased electrical resistance of connection due to the loosening of bolts</u>	<u>A plant-specific aging management</u>	<u>Yes, plant-specific (See</u>	<u>VI.A.L-10</u>

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modifi</u> <u>ed (M),</u> <u>Delete</u> <u>d (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Com</u> <u>ponent</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mech</u> <u>anism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>A</u> <u>ging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommen</u> <u>ded</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
			<u>bus connections exposed to air – indoor controlled or uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>caused by thermal cycling and ohmic heating</u>	<u>program is to be evaluated</u>	<u>subsection 3.6.2.2.2)</u>	
<u>N</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cable bus: electrical insulation; insulators – exposed to air – indoor controlled or uncontrolled, air – outdoor</u>	<u>Reduced electrical insulation resistance due to degradation caused thermal/thermooxidative degradation of organics and photolysis (UV sensitive materials only) of organics, moisture/debris intrusion and ohmic heating</u>	<u>A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated</u>	<u>Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.2)</u>	<u>VI.A.L-11</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cable bus: external surface of enclosure assemblies composed of steel exposed to air – indoor uncontrolled or air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated</u>	<u>Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.2)</u>	<u>VI.A.L-12</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cable bus external surface of enclosure assemblies composed of galvanized steel; aluminum exposed to air – outdoor</u>	<u>Loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice corrosion</u>	<u>A plant-specific aging management program is to be evaluated</u>	<u>Yes, plant-specific (See subsection 3.6.2.2.2)</u>	<u>VI.A.L-13</u>

Table 3.6-1. Summary of Aging Management Programs for the Electrical Components Evaluated in Chapter VI of the GALL-SLR Report

<u>ID</u> <u>New (N),</u> <u>Modified (M),</u> <u>Deleted (D)</u> <u>Item</u>	<u>Type</u> <u>ID</u>	<u>Component</u> <u>Type</u>	<u>Aging</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u> <u>Component</u>	<u>Aging Management</u> <u>Programs</u> <u>Effect/Mechanism</u>	<u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Aging</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Program</u> <u>(AMP)/TLAA</u>	<u>Rev2</u> <u>Item</u> <u>Further</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Recommended</u>	<u>Rev4</u> <u>GA</u> <u>LL-SLR</u> <u>Item</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>BWR/PWR</u>	<u>Cable bus: external</u> <u>surface of enclosure</u> <u>assemblies: composed</u> <u>of steel; air – indoor</u> <u>controlled</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>VI.A.L-14</u>

Table 3.6-2—Aging Management Programs, AMPs and Additional Guidance Appendices Recommended for Electrical and Instrumentation and Control Systems	
GALL-SLR Report Chapter/AMP	Program Name
Chapter AMP X.E1	Environmental Qualification (EQ) of Electric Components (TLAA)
Chapter AMP XI.E1	Electrical Insulation Material for Electrical Cables and Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements
Chapter AMP XI.E2	Electrical Insulation Material for Electrical Cables and Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements Used in Instrumentation Circuits
Chapter AMP XI.E3E3A	Electrical Insulation for Inaccessible Medium Voltage Power Cables Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements
AMP XI.E3B	Electrical Insulation for Inaccessible Instrument and Control Cables Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements
AMP XI.E3C	Electrical Insulation for Inaccessible Low Voltage Power Cables Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements
Chapter AMP XI.E4	Metal Enclosed Bus
Chapter AMP XI.E5	Fuse Holders
Chapter AMP XI.E6	Electrical Cable Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements
AMP XI.E7	High Voltage Insulators
Chapter AMP XI.M10	Boric Acid Corrosion
Chapter AMP XI.M38	Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components
Chapter AMP XI.S6	Structures Monitoring
GALL-SLR Report Appendix for GALLA	Quality Assurance for Aging Management Programs
GALL-SLR Report Appendix B	Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs
SRP-LRSLR Appendix A.1	Plant-specific AMP Aging Management Review—Generic (Branch Technical Position RLBS-1)

|

CHAPTER 4 TIME-LIMITED AGING ANALYSES

4.1 Identification of Time-Limited Aging Analyses and Exemptions

Review Responsibilities

Primary — Branch responsible for the time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) issues

Secondary — Other branches responsible for engineering, as appropriate

4.1.1 Areas of Review

This review plan section addresses the identification of ~~time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs)~~. The technical review of TLAAs is addressed in Sections 4.2 through 4.7. As explained in more detail below, the list of TLAAs are certain plant-specific safety analyses that are based on an explicitly assumed 40-year plant life (for example, aspects of the reactor vessel design)-defined, in part, by the current operating term. Pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21(c)(1), a license renewal applicant is required to provide a list of TLAAs, as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. The area relating to the identification of TLAAs is reviewed.

TLAAs may have developed since issuance of a plant's operating license. As indicated in 10 CFR 54.30, the adequacy of the plant's current licensing basis (CLB), which includes TLAAs, is not an area within the scope of the license renewal review. Any questions regarding the adequacy of the CLB are addressed under the backfit rule (10 CFR 50.109) and are separate from the license renewal process.

In addition, pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(2), an applicant must provide a list of plant-specific exemptions granted under 10 CFR 50.12 that are based on TLAAs. ~~However, the initial license renewal applicants have found no such exemptions for their plants. It is an applicant's option to include more analyses than those required by 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).~~ The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff should focus its review to confirm that the applicant did not omit any TLAAs, as defined in 10 CFR 54.3.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(d), each application includes ~~an a final safety analysis report (FSAR), updated final safety analysis report (UFSAR), or updated safety analysis report (USAR), as appropriate for the CLB~~ supplement summary description for each TLAA that is identified in accordance with 10 CFR 54.3.

4.1.2 Acceptance Criteria

The acceptance criteria for the areas of review described in Subsection 4.1.1 of this review plan section delineate acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of the NRC's regulations in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1). For the applicant's list of exemptions to be acceptable, ~~the~~ under the requirement in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(2), the NRC staff should have reasonable assurance that there has been no omission of TLAAs from ~~that list~~ the subsequent license renewal application (SLRA) that were used as the basis for receiving NRC approval of regulatory exemptions granted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.12 requirements.

~~Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.3,~~ TLAAs are those licensee calculations and analyses that: meet all six of the following criteria, as defined in 10 CFR 54.3(a):

- 1 1. Involve systems, structures, and components within the scope of license
2 renewal, as delineated in 10 CFR 54.4(a);
- 3 2. Consider the effects of aging;
- 4 3. Involve time-limited assumptions defined by the current operating term, for
5 example, 40 years;
- 6 4. Were determined to be relevant by the licensee in making a safety determination;
- 7 5. Involve conclusions or provide the basis for conclusions related to the capability
8 of the system, structure, or component to perform its intended function(s), as
9 delineated in 10 CFR 54.4(b); and
- 10 6. Are contained or incorporated by reference in the CLB.

11 The TLAA identification criterion in Criterion 1 is based only on a comparison to the scoping
12 requirements in 10 CFR 54.4 and therefore does not limit the applicability of TLAA's only to
13 those components that would be required to be screened in for an AMP in accordance with the
14 requirement in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1). Thus, the possibility exists that, for a given CLB, a TLAA
15 may need to be identified for a given active component if the analysis in the CLB is determined
16 to be in conformance with all six of the criteria in 10 CFR 54.3(a) for identifying an analysis as a
17 TLAA. Fatigue flaw growth analyses of pressurized water reactor (PWR) reactor coolant pump
18 flywheels are examples of plant-specific analyses that apply to an active component type and
19 may need to be identified as a TLAA for a given application.

20 The applicant's FSAR (as updated) identifies TLAA's that were incorporated by reference into
21 the CLB. In addition, for subsequent license renewal (SLR) applications, there may be
22 situations where an analysis of record was not required to be identified as a TLAA for the
23 current operating period (as approved in the renewed operating license for the facility), but will
24 need to be identified as a TLAA for a proposed subsequent period of extended operation, as
25 required by the regulation in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1). Specifically, criterion 3 for TLAA's in
26 10 CFR 54.3(a) establishes that to be a TLAA the analysis has to involve time-limited
27 assumptions defined by the current operating term. In *Federal Register Notice (FRN)*
28 No. 95-11136, Volume 60, Number 88, dated May 8, 1995 (Ref. 3), the NRC identified that
29 TLAA's are those:

30 analyses with (i) time-related assumptions, (ii) utilized in determining the acceptability
31 of SSCs, within the scope of license renewal (as defined in 10 CFR 54.4), (iii) which
32 are based upon a period of plant operation equal to or greater than the current
33 license term, but less than the cumulative period of plant operation (viz., the existing
34 license term plus the period of extended operation requested in the renewed
35 application).

36 For example, for an existing analysis that is part of the CLB and is based on a 60-year time
37 assumption, the analysis would not necessarily have to be identified as a TLAA for the initial
38 license renewal request because it would not conform to the definition of a TLAA, as clarified in
39 FRN No. 95-11136; however, if the same analysis was left unchanged in the CLB and was
40 going to be relied upon for a proposed SLR period, the analysis would conform to the third
41 criterion for TLAA's in 10 CFR 54.3(a) because the 60-year assumed life would form the updated
42 current operating term basis for the proposed SLR period.

1 The reviewer reviews the FSAR supplement for each TLAA identified as being within the scope
2 of the LRASLRA, as defined in 10 CFR 54.3.

3 **4.1.3 Review Procedures**

4 For each area of review described in Subsection 4.1.1, the reviewer adheres to the following
5 review procedures:

6 The reviewer uses the plant UFSAR/FSAR (as updated) and other CLB documents, such as
7 NRC staff safety evaluation report (SERs), to perform the review. The reviewer selects
8 analyses that the applicant did not identify as TLAAAs that are likely to meet the six criteria
9 identified in Subsection 4.1.2. The reviewer verifies that the selected analyses, not identified by
10 the applicant as TLAAAs, do not meet at least one of the following criteria ~~(Ref. 1)~~:

11 Sections 4.2 through 4.6 identify typical types of TLAAAs for most plants. Information on the
12 applicant's methodology for identifying TLAAAs also may be useful in identifying calculations that
13 did not meet the six criteria below.

14 1. Involve systems, structures, and components within the scope of license renewal, as
15 delineated in 10 CFR 54.4(a). Chapter 2 of this SRP ~~LR-SLR~~ provides the reviewer
16 guidance on the scoping and screening methodology, and on plant-level and various
17 system-level scoping results.

18 2. Consider the effects of aging. The effects of aging include, but are not limited to, loss of
19 material, change in dimension, change in material properties, loss of toughness, loss of
20 prestress, settlement, cracking, and loss of dielectric properties.

21 3. Involve time-limited assumptions defined by the current operating term (e.g., 40 years).
22 The defined operating term should be explicit in the analysis. Simply asserting that a
23 component is designed for a service life or plant life is not sufficient. The assertion is
24 supported by calculations or other analyses that explicitly include a time limit.

25 4. Were determined to be relevant by the licensee in making a safety determination.
26 Relevancy is a determination that the applicant makes based on a review of the
27 information available. A calculation or analysis is relevant if it can be shown to have a
28 direct bearing on the action taken as a result of the analysis performed. Analyses are
29 also relevant if they provide the basis for a licensee's safety determination, and, in the
30 absence of the analyses, the applicant might have reached a different safety conclusion.

31 5. Show capability of the system, structure, or component to perform its intended
32 function(s), as delineated. Involve conclusions or provide the basis for conclusions
33 related to 10 CFR 54.4(b). Analyses that do not affect the intended functions of systems,
34 structures, ~~or~~ and components (SSCs) are not TLAAAs.

35 6. Are contained or incorporated by reference in the CLB. The CLB includes the technical
36 specifications as well as design basis information (as defined in 10 CFR 50.2), or
37 licensee commitments documented in the plant-specific documents contained or
38 incorporated by reference in the CLB, including but not limited to the FSAR, NRC SERs,
39 the fire protection plan/hazards analyses, correspondence to and from the NRC, the
40 quality assurance (QA) plan, and topical reports included as references to the FSAR.
41 Calculations and analyses that are not contained in the CLB or not incorporated by

1 reference in the CLB are not TLAAAs. If a code of record is in the FSAR for particular
2 groups of structures or components, reference material includes all calculations called for
3 by that code of record for those structures and components- (SCs).

4 TLAAAs that need to be addressed are not necessarily those analyses that have been previously
5 reviewed or approved by the NRC. The following examples illustrate TLAAAs that need to be
6 addressed that were not previously reviewed and approved by the NRC:

- 7 • The FSAR states that the design complies with a certain national code and standard. A
8 review of the code and standard reveals that it calls for an analysis or calculation. Some
9 of these calculations or analysis will be TLAAAs. The actual calculation was performed by
10 the applicant to meet the code and standard. The specific calculation was not
11 referenced in the FSAR. The NRC had not reviewed the calculation. In response to a
12 generic letter, (GL), a licensee submitted a letter to the NRC committing to perform a
13 TLAA that would address the concern in the generic letter, GL. The NRC had not
14 documented a review of the applicant's response and had not reviewed the actual
15 analysis.

16 The following examples illustrate analyses that are *not* TLAAAs and need not be addressed
17 under 10 CFR 54.21(c):

- 18 • Population projections (Section 2.1.3 of NUREG-0800) (Ref. 2).
- 19 • Cost-benefit analyses for plant modifications.
- 20 • Analysis with time-limited assumptions defined short of the current operating term of the
21 plant, for example, an analysis for a component based on a service life that would not
22 reach the end of the current operating term.

23 The number and type of TLAAAs vary depending on the plant-specific CLB. All six criteria set
24 forth in 10 CFR 54.3 (and repeated in Subsection 4.1.2) must be satisfied to conclude that a
25 calculation or analysis is a TLAA. Table 4.1-1 provides examples of how these six criteria may
26 be applied (Ref. 1). Table 4.1-2 provides a list of generic TLAAAs that are included in the SRP-
27 LR-SLR. Table 4.7-1-3 in SRP-SLR Section 4.7 provides a list of examples of other potential plant-
28 specific TLAAAs that have been identified by license renewal applicants- (LRA). It is not expected
29 that all applicants would identify all the analyses in these tables as TLAAAs for their plants. Also,
30 an applicant may perform specific TLAAAs for its plant that are not shown in these tables.

31 Criterion 3 for TLAAAs in 10 CFR 54.3(a) establishes that, as one of the six criteria that are used
32 to define a given analysis as a TLAA, the analysis has to involve time-limited assumptions
33 defined by the current operating term (e.g., 40 years). Therefore, for proposed SLR
34 applications, there may be instances where an existing, time-dependent analysis did not
35 conform to Criterion 3 for TLAAAs in 10 CFR 54.3(a) for the current period of extended operation,
36 but would conform to this criterion for the subsequent period of extended operation that is
37 requested for NRC approval. Therefore, the reviewer should perform a review of the CLB to
38 determine whether there are any existing analyses for the CLB that will need to be identified as
39 analyses that conform to Criterion 3 for TLAAAs for the proposed subsequent period of extended
40 operation even though the analyses did not conform to Criterion 3 for TLAAAs for the previous
41 period of extended operation that was approved in the renewed operating license for that
42 period. For those cases where the addition of a proposed subsequent period of extended
43 operation would cause a given analysis to conform to Criterion 3 for TLAAAs in 10 CFR 54.3(a),

1 the reviewer should assess whether the analysis also conforms to the remaining five criteria for
2 identifying TLAAs in 10 CFR 54.3(a), and determine whether the analysis needs to be identified
3 as a TLAA for the subsequent period of extended operation in accordance with the requirement
4 in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).

5 As appropriate, NRC staff ~~members~~ from other branches of the Office of Nuclear Reactor
6 Regulation (NRR) review the application in their assigned areas without examining the
7 identification of TLAAs. However, they may come across situations in which they may question
8 why the applicant did not identify certain analyses as TLAAs. The reviewer coordinates the
9 resolution of any such questions with these other NRC staff ~~members~~ to determine whether
10 these analyses should be evaluated as TLAAs.

11 In order to determine whether there is reasonable assurance that the applicant has identified the
12 TLAAs for its plant, the reviewer should find that the analyses omitted from the applicant's list are
13 not TLAAs. Should an applicant identify a TLAA that is also a basis for a plant-specific
14 exemption that was granted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12 and the exemption is in effect for the
15 current operating period, the reviewer verifies that the applicant also has identified that
16 exemption pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(2). ~~However, the initial license renewal applicants have~~
17 ~~found no such exemptions for their plants~~ Examples of an exemptions that may have been
18 granted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.12 and based on a TLAA are those NRC-granted
19 exemptions that approved American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code N-514 as
20 an alternative basis for complying with the pressure lift and system enable temperature setpoint
21 requirements for PWR low temperature overpressure protection systems in 10 CFR Part 50,
22 Appendix G and the ASME Code Section XI, Appendix G.

23 **4.1.4 Evaluation Findings**

24 The reviewer determines whether the applicant has provided sufficient information to satisfy the
25 provisions of this section, and whether the NRC staff's evaluation supports conclusions of the
26 following type, to be included in the ~~staff's safety evaluation report~~ SER:

27 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that the
28 applicant has provided an acceptable list of TLAAs as defined in 10 CFR 54.3,
29 and that no 10 CFR 50.12 exemptions have been granted on the basis of a
30 TLAA, as defined in 10 CFR 54.3.

31 **4.1.5 Implementation**

32 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method,
33 the method described herein are used by the NRC staff to evaluate conformance with
34 NRC regulations.

35 **4.1.6 References**

- 36 1. NEI. NEI 95-10, "Industry Guideline for Implementing the Requirements of
37 10 CFR Part 54—The License Renewal Rule," Revision 6. Washington, DC: Nuclear
38 Energy Institute, Revision 6, 1995.
- 39 2. NRC. NUREG—0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports
40 Nuclear Power Plants," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
41 March 2007.

1 3. NRC. "Nuclear Power Plant License Renewal; Revisions." *Federal Register*: Vol. 60.
2 No. 88, pp. 22,461–22,495. May 8, 1995

Table 4.1-1. Sample Process for Identifying Potential Time-Limited Aging Analyses (TLAA) and Basis for Disposition	
Example	Disposition
<p>NRC correspondence requests a utility to justify that unacceptable cumulative wear did not occur during the design life of control rods.</p>	<p>Does not qualify as a TLAA because the design life of control rods is less than 40 years. Therefore, does not meet criterion (3) of the TLAA definition in 10 CFR 54.3. <u>Example of an analysis that meets all six of the criteria in 10 CFR 54.3(a) for defining an analysis as a TLAA:</u> The current licensing basis (CLB) includes a time-dependent fatigue flaw growth analysis for the reactor coolant pump (RCP) flywheels. An age-related fatigue failure of flywheels could potentially be a source of missiles that have the potential to impact the structural integrity and pressure retaining function of the reactor coolant pressure boundary. The applicant has identified that the RCP flywheels are components that meet the scoping definition in Title 10 of the <i>Code of Federal Regulations</i> (10 CFR) 54.4(a)(1), in that the flywheels assure adequate heat removal during a plant trip and loss of power to the RCPs, as well as initiation of natural circulation flow as part of necessary safe shutdown activities.</p> <p>The applicant has not included the RCP flywheels are components that need to be within the scope of an aging management review (AMR), as would otherwise be required in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1). The analysis is referenced in the updated final safety analysis report UFSAR and is based on design basis transients that are assumed and evaluated in the UFSAR based on a 40-year design life. The analysis is relied upon to establish a 10-year augmented inservice inspection interval for performing inspections of RCP flywheels and to demonstrate that fatigue-induced growth of a flaw in the flywheels would not result in a flywheel missile that could threaten the structure integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary during the life of the plant.</p>
<i>Criterion in 10 CFR 54.3(a)</i>	<i>Disposition Basis for Comparing to the Criterion in 10 CFR 54.3(a)</i>
<p>Maximum wind speed of 100 mph is expected to occur once per 50 years. Criterion 1: The analysis must involve systems, structures, and components within the scope of license renewal, as delineated in 10 CFR 54.4(a).</p>	<p>Not a TLAA because it does not involve an aging effect. Although the RCP flywheels are active components and do not need to be subjected to an AMR (as defined in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)), the components are within the scope of license renewal application because their failure could impact the intended pressure retaining function of a component that is located in the reactor coolant pressure boundary (RCPB). Therefore, the fatigue flaw growth analysis does conform to Criterion 1 in 10 CFR 54.3(a) because the flywheels do need to be within the scope of license renewal as a component whose failure could impact the intended function of a component that has been scoped in for renewal in accordance with 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1).</p>
<p>Criterion 2: The analysis must consider the effects of aging.</p>	<p>The fatigue flaw growth analysis for the RCP flywheels does meet Criterion 2 because the analysis assumes the presence of a postulated crack in the components and assumes that an age-related growth mechanism (fatigue flaw growth) will grow the flaw under the assumed transient loading conditions for the analysis.</p>
<p>Criterion 3: The analysis must involve time-limited assumptions defined by the current operating term (for example, 40 years).</p>	<p>The fatigue flaw growth analysis for the RCP flywheels does meet Criterion 3 because the analysis assumes that the loading conditions that induced fatigue flaw growth in the flywheel discs are based on the 40-year cyclic transient assumptions for specific design transients in the UFSAR. The 40-year cyclical nature of this assumption defines this analysis as one that involves time-limited assumptions defined by the current operating term.</p>

<p><u>Criterion 4: The analysis must be determined to be relevant by the licensee in making a safety determination.</u></p>	<p><u>The analysis conforms to Criterion 4 because the applicant is relying on the fatigue flaw growth analysis to establish a safety-related decision at the facility, which amounts to the applicant's safety decision to perform augmented inservice inspection of the RCP flywheels on a 10-year inservice inspection interval and relates to the applicant's basis for maintaining the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary during the life of the plant.</u></p>
<p><u>Criterion 5: The analysis must involve conclusions or provide the basis for conclusions related to the capability of the system, structure, or component to perform its intended function(s), as delineated in 10 CFR 54.4(b).</u></p>	<p><u>The analysis conforms to Criterion 5 because the analysis evaluates the structural integrity of the RCP flywheels for fatigue-induced growth to ensure the integrity of the flywheels will be maintained during the licensed period of operation for the facility and that the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary will be protected against the consequences of postulated flywheel missiles during the life of the plant.</u></p>
<p><u>Criterion 6: The analysis is contained or incorporated by reference in the CLB.</u></p>	<p><u>The analysis conforms to Criterion 6 because the analysis is referenced in the UFSAR for the facility.</u></p>
<p><u>Example of analyses that do not meet the six of the criteria for TLAAs in 10 CFR 54.3(a):</u></p>	
<p><u>Correspondence from the utility to the NRC states that the membrane on the containment basemat is certified by the vendor to last for 40 years. Example of an analysis that does not meet Criterion 1 in 10 CFR 54.3(a):</u> <u>The analysis must involve systems, structures, and components within the scope of license renewal, as delineated in 10 CFR 54.4(a).</u></p>	<p><u>The membrane was not credited in any safety evaluation, and therefore the analysis is not considered a TLAA. This example does not meet criterion (4) of the TLAA definition in 10 CFR 54.3. The CLB includes a time-dependent corrosion analysis for both a refueling water storage tank (RWST) and a standby RWST that is included in the plant design. The applicant can align the standby RWST to the safety injection system and containment spray system for the facility during a postulated loss of coolant accident and the applicant has performed a 40-year time-dependent corrosion analysis of both the RWST and standby RWST. The RWST is credited as a safety-related component that is credited for accident mitigation objectives in the plant's accident analyses that are defined and evaluated in the UFSAR. In contrast, the standby RWST is not credited for accident mitigation in the accident analyses defined in the UFSAR. A postulated failure of the standby RWST does not have the ability to impact the intended function [as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(b)] of any safety-related component or structure that is required to be scoped in for renewal in accordance with 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1); nor is the standby RWST within the scope of any special regulations, as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3). The applicant has not identified the standby RWST as a tank that is within the scope of license renewal.</u></p> <p><u>Although the corrosion analysis for the standby RWST is part of the plant design, the analysis does not apply to a plant component that is within the scope of the SLRA because the component is not required to be within the scope of the license renewal application in accordance with 10 CFR 54.4 (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3). Therefore, under this example, the corrosion analysis for the standby RWST does meet Criterion 1 in 10 CFR 54.3(a) and does not meet the definition of a TLAA in the 10 CFR Part 54 rule.</u></p>

<p><u>Example of an analysis that does not meet Criterion 2 in 10 CFR 54.3(a):</u> <u>The analysis must consider the effects of aging.</u></p>	<p><u>The CLB and design basis includes a stress analysis for a reactor coolant loop elbow that is compared to American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code Section III allowable stress values. The stress analysis is performed in accordance with ASME Section III requirements, as invoked by 10 CFR 50.55a requirements.</u></p> <p><u>Although the stress analysis is required by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulations and ASME Code requirements and is part of the CLB, it does not involve any analysis of an applicable or postulated aging effect. Therefore, under this example, the analysis does not conform to Criterion 2 in 10 CFR 54.3(a) and does not meet the definition of a TLAA in the 10 CFR Part 54 rule.</u></p>
<p><u>Fatigue usage factor for the pressurizer surge line was determined not to be an issue for the current license period in response to NRC Bulletin 88-11.</u> <u>Example of an analysis that does not meet Criterion 3 in 10 CFR 54.3(a):</u> <u>The analysis must involve time-limited assumptions defined by the current operating term (for example, 40 years).</u></p>	<p><u>This example is a TLAA because it meets all 6 criteria in the definition of TLAA in 10 CFR 54.3. The utility's fatigue design basis relies on assumptions defined by the 40-year operating life for this component, which is the current operating term. The applicant has detected a flaw in one of its reactor vessel nozzle-to-safe end welds and has performed an ASME Code Section XI inservice inspection flaw growth analysis of the flaw in the components to justify further service of the impacted weld until the next outage in which the flaw would be inspected for acceptability, without the need of repair or replacement. The ASME-based flaw evaluation is part of the CLB and assumes the Class 1 design basis transients occur over a 20-year period from the time the flaw was detected.</u></p> <p><u>The analysis does not involve time-dependent assumptions defined by the current operating period because the assessment of design basis transient cycles was made on a time period less than that used for the current operating term. Therefore, under this example, the fatigue flaw analysis would not conform to Criterion 3 in 10 CFR 54.3(a) and would not meet the definition of a TLAA in the 10 CFR Part 54 rule.</u></p>
<p><u>Example of an analysis that does not meet Criterion 4 in 10 CFR 54.3(a):</u> <u>The analysis must be determined to be relevant by the licensee in making a safety determination.</u></p>	<p><u>The original licensing basis for a pressurized water reactor (PWR) included a high-energy line break (HELB) analysis for a piping location in the main reactor coolant loop that was based on ASME Section III cumulative usage factor (CUF) analysis requirements for high energy line break locations and demonstrated the need for inclusion of a pipe whip restraint in the design of the piping location. Subsequent to the performance of this analysis, the applicant submitted a leak-before-break (LBB) analysis for the main coolant loop piping that demonstrated conformance with the revised dynamic effect requirements in NRC General Design Criterion 4. The results of the LBB analysis demonstrated that the licensee would be capable of detecting a leak in the affected piping prior to a catastrophic failure of the component and that the pipe whip restraints could be removed from the design of the component. The LBB analysis was approved as part of an update of the CLB for the facility such that the HELB analysis is no longer relied upon as part of the CLB for this piping location.</u></p> <p><u>The original HELB analysis for this piping location is no longer relied upon for the CLB because it was replaced by the NRC-approved LBB analysis for the main coolant loops, which included these piping locations. Therefore, the original HELB analysis for this piping location is not relevant in making a safety determination relative to the inclusion of a pipe whip restraint on the piping component. Under this example, the HELB analysis for this specific piping location does not conform to Criterion 4 in 10 CFR 54.3(a) and does not meet the definition of a TLAA in the</u></p>

	<p><u>10 CFR Part 54 rule. HELB analyses for piping locations not excluded by LBB would still be relied upon for the CLB and would need to be identified as TLAAs for the LRA.</u></p>
<p><u>Containment tendon lift-off forces are calculated for the 40-year life of the plant. These data are used during Technical Specification surveillance for comparing measured to predicted lift-off forces. Example of an analysis that does not meet Criterion 5 in 10 CFR 54.3(a):</u> <u>The analysis must involve conclusions or provide the basis for conclusions related to the capability of the system, structure, or component to perform its intended function(s), as delineated in 10 CFR 54.4(b).</u></p>	<p><u>This example is a TLAA because it meets all 6 criteria of the TLAA definition in 10 CFR 54.3. The lift-off force curves are currently limited to 40-year values, and are needed to perform a required Technical Specification surveillance. The original licensing basis for a BWR included an evaluation of the number of paint coats that would be applied to the inside surfaces of its condensate storage tanks (CSTs). The CSTs have been included in the scope of the license renewal application in accordance with 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1) requirements and are within the scope of an applicable AMR, as performed in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1) requirements. Although the coating analysis for the inside surfaces of the CST is discussed in the UFSAR, the UFSAR states that the analysis is not relied upon for the structural integrity of the CST or for drawing a conclusion that the CST will fulfill its accident mitigation and safe shutdown functions.</u></p> <p><u>Although the coating analysis is part of the design basis, it is not used to draw a conclusion or provide the basis for concluding that the structural integrity of the CST walls will be maintained during the design life of the plant or the ability of the CST coolant inventory to meet the accident mitigation or safe shutdown objectives for the plant design. Therefore, the coating analysis for the CST does not involve conclusions or provide the basis for conclusions related to the capability of the CST to perform its intended functions, as defined in 10 CFR 54.4(b). Under this example, the coating analysis for the CST does not conform to Criterion 5 in 10 CFR 54.3(a) and does not meet the definition of a TLAA in the 10 CFR Part 54 rule.</u></p>
<p><u>Example of an analysis that does not meet Criterion 6 in 10 CFR 54.3(a):</u> <u>The analysis is contained or incorporated by reference in the CLB.</u></p>	<p><u>The CLB for a PWR includes a plant-specific, probabilistic main turbine missile analysis that is used as basis for meeting 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, dynamic effect analysis design requirements, as given in General Design Criterion 4, "Dynamic Effects." This analysis is described and evaluated in the UFSAR, and states that the analysis was performed to demonstrate the main turbines will not generate a missile that could threaten the integrity of safety-related structures and components in the facility. The UFSAR indicates that the probabilistic turbine missile analysis was performed in lieu of a generic time-dependent turbine missile analysis that is provided in a specific vendor report and that meets all six of the criteria for defining TLAAs in 10 CFR 54.3(a).</u></p> <p><u>In this case, although the generic time dependent turbine missile analysis in the vendor report could have been relied upon for the CLB, the applicant does not rely on the analysis in this report as its basis for meeting General Design Criterion 4 requirements in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A. Therefore, the generic analysis in the vendor report is not contained or incorporated by reference in the CLB. Under this example, the generic turbine missile</u></p>

	<u>analysis in the vendor report does not conform to Criterion 6 in 10 CFR 54.3(a) and does not meet the definition of a TLAA in the 10 CFR Part 54 rule.</u>
--	---

Table 4.1-2: Generic Time-Limited Aging Analyses	
Reactor Vessel Neutron Embrittlement (Subsection 4.2)	<u>Neutron Fluence</u>
	<u>Pressurized Thermal Shock (PWRs Only)</u>
	<u>Upper Shelf Energy (PWRs and BWRs)</u>
	<u>Pressure Temperature (P-T) Limits (PWRs and BWRs)</u>
	<u>Low Temperature Overpressure Protection System Setpoints (PWRs Only)</u>
	<u>Ductility Reduction Evaluation for Reactor Internals (B&W designed PWRs only)</u>
	<u>RPV Circumferential Weld Relief-Probability of Failure and Mean Adjusted Reference Temperature Analysis for the RPV Circumferential Welds (BWRs only)</u>
	<u>Reactor Vessel Axial Weld Probability of Failure and Mean Adjusted Reference Temperature Analysis (BWRs only)</u>
Metal Fatigue (Subsection 4.3)	<u>Metal Fatigue of Class 1 Components</u>
	<u>Metal Fatigue of Non-Class 1 Components</u>
	<u>Environmentally-Assisted Fatigue</u>
	<u>High Energy Line Break Analyses</u>
	<u>Cycle-dependent Fracture Mechanics or Flaw Evaluations</u>
	<u>Cycle-dependent Fatigue Waivers</u>
Environmental Qualification of Electrical Equipment (Subsection 4.4)	
Concrete Containment Tendon Prestress (Subsection 4.5)	
Inservice local metal containment corrosion analyses <u>Containment Liner Plate, Metal Containments, and Penetrations Fatigue</u> (Subsection 4.6)	

|

Table 4.1-3 — Examples of Potential Plant-Specific TLAs

Intergranular separation in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) of reactor vessel low-alloy steel under austenitic SS cladding
Low-temperature overpressure protection (LTOP) analyses
Fatigue analysis for the main steam supply lines to the turbine-driven auxiliary feedwater pumps
Fatigue analysis of the reactor coolant pump flywheel
Fatigue analysis of polar crane
Flow-induced vibration endurance limit for the reactor vessel internals
Transient cycle count assumptions for the reactor vessel internals
Ductility reduction of fracture toughness for the reactor vessel internals
Leak before break
Fatigue analysis for the containment liner plate
Containment penetration pressurization cycles
Metal corrosion allowance
High-energy line-break postulation based on fatigue cumulative usage factor
Inservice flaw growth analyses that demonstrate structure stability for 40 years

1 **4.2 Reactor VESSEL NEUTRON EMBRITTLEMENT Pressure Vessel Neutron**
2 **Embrittlement Analysis**

3 **Review Responsibilities**

4 **Primary** ~~—~~ Branch responsible for the time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) issues

5 **Secondary** ~~—~~ Branch responsible for reactor systems

6 **4.2.1 Areas of Review**

7 During plant service, neutron irradiation reduces the fracture toughness of ferritic steel in the
8 reactor pressure vessel (RPV) beltline region of light-water nuclear power reactors, where RPV
9 beltline region is described in Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2014-11. Areas of review to
10 ensure that the ~~reactor vessel~~RPV has adequate fracture toughness to prevent brittle failure
11 during normal and off-normal operating conditions are (a) upper-shelf energy, (b) pressurized
12 thermal shock (PTS) for pressurized water ~~reactors~~reactor (PWRs), (c) heat-up and cool-down
13 (pressure-temperature limits) curves, (d) ~~Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project~~
14 ~~(BWRVIP)~~05 analysis for elimination of circumferential weld inspection and analysis of the
15 axial welds, and (e) other plant-specific TLAA's on ~~reactor vessel~~RPV neutron embrittlement.
16 The adequacy of the analyses for these five areas is reviewed for the subsequent period of
17 extended operation.

18 ~~The adequacy of the analyses for these five areas is reviewed for the period of extended~~
19 ~~operation.~~

20 The branch responsible for reactor systems reviews neutron fluence and dosimetry information
21 in the application.

22 **4.2.2 Acceptance Criteria**

23 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review described in Subsection 4.2.1 of this review plan
24 section delineate acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of the U.S. Nuclear
25 Regulatory ~~Commission's (NRC's)~~Commission (NRC) regulation in Title 10 of the Code of
26 Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21(c)(1) ~~(i)-(iii)~~ (Refs. 2, 3).¹

27 **4.2.2.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis**

28 Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) ~~(i)-(iii)~~, an applicant must demonstrate one of the following:

- 29 (i) The analyses remain valid for the period of extended operation;
- 30 (ii) The analyses have been projected to the end of the ~~extended~~ period of extended
31 operation; or

¹For subsequent license renewal applications, the period of extended operation concerns the period of operation after the expiration of the renewed license (i.e., operation from 60 to 80 years).

1 (iii) The effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the
2 period of extended operation.

| 3 For the first three areas of review for the analysis of ~~reactor vessel~~RPV neutron embrittlement,
4 the specific acceptance criteria depend on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii),
5 or (iii).

1 4.2.2.1.1 Neutron Fluence

2 Neutron fluence is the number of neutrons accumulated per unit area during a certain period
3 of neutron irradiation. A RPV neutron fluence analysis involves time-limited assumptions
4 (e.g., 40 years of original design life) and is used to determine the loss of fracture toughness
5 due to neutron irradiation embrittlement of the RPV. Appendix H to 10 CFR Part 50 (Ref. 4)
6 requires that an applicant must implement a RPV Surveillance program for a RPV if the peak
7 neutron fluence at the end of the design life of the RPV exceeds a neutron fluence of 10^{17} n/cm²
8 [E > 1 MeV]. The RPV neutron fluence analysis is also integral to other neutron embrittlement
9 TLAAs [e.g., upper shelf energy and pressure-temperature (P-T) limits analyses] because
10 neutron fluence is a fundamental parameter which is used to determine the level of neutron
11 irradiation embrittlement of a RPV. As discussed above, the RPV neutron fluence analysis is
12 important in making a safety determination for a RPV in terms of loss of fracture toughness due
13 to neutron irradiation embrittlement.

14 Typically, the RPV neutron fluence analysis is described in the applicant's Final Safety Analysis
15 Report (FSAR) or other design documents approved by NRC. In its subsequent license renewal
16 application (SLRA), the applicant identifies (a) the neutron fluence for each beltline material at
17 the end of extended license term, (b) the NRC staff-approved methodology used to calculate the
18 neutron fluence or submits the methodology for NRC staff review, (c) whether the methodology
19 is consistent with the guidance in NRC Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.190 (Ref. 5), and (d) how the
20 neutron fluence is monitored during the subsequent period of extended operation. An applicant
21 may take any one of the following three dispositions for the RPV neutron fluence analysis.

22 4.2.2.1.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

23 A neutron fluence analysis typically accounts for planned operation by including assumptions
24 regarding the neutron flux emitted from the core. The flux is integrated over time to yield the
25 estimated fluence. Frequently, neutron fluence calculations will include projected fluence values
26 for multiple periods of exposure, (e.g., 40, 60, and 80 calendar years). In order to use a
27 disposition for fluence pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), the applicant will demonstrate the
28 existing RPV neutron fluence analysis, including the projected flux for planned operation, in the
29 current licensing basis (CLB) remains valid during the subsequent period of extended operation.
30 The fluence calculation will be re-evaluated to confirm its validity.

31 4.2.2.1.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

32 For a disposition in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii), the applicant will provide new or
33 updated calculations that address the fluence effects during the subsequent period of extended
34 operation. The new or updated RPV neutron fluence analysis is evaluated to consider the
35 subsequent period of extended operation in accordance with (1) NRC RG 1.190, or (2) a
36 methodology that has been approved for use by the NRC.

37 4.2.2.1.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

38 In the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
39 AMP X.M2, "Neutron Fluence Monitoring," the NRC staff has evaluated an aging management
40 program (AMP) for projecting and monitoring neutron fluence for the subsequent period of
41 extended operation. The staff has determined that this program is acceptable to project and
42 monitor neutron fluence for managing loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation
43 embrittlement of RPVs in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).

1 4.2.2.1.2 ~~Upper-Shelf Energy (USE)~~

2 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix G (Ref. ~~46~~) paragraph IV.A.1 requires that the ~~reactor vessel~~RPV
3 beltline materials have a Charpy upper-shelf energy (USE) of no less than 68 J (~~[50 ft-lb]~~)
4 throughout the life of the ~~reactor vessel~~RPV, unless otherwise approved by the NRC. An
5 applicant may take any one of the following three approaches.

6 4.2.2.1.42.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

7 The ~~reactor vessel~~RPV components evaluated in the existing ~~upper-shelf energy~~USE analysis
8 or NRC-approved equivalent margins analysis (EMA) are ~~re-evaluated~~reevaluated to
9 demonstrate that the existing analysis remains valid during the subsequent period of extended
10 operation because the neutron fluence projected to the end of the subsequent period of
11 extended operation is ~~bound~~bounded by the neutron fluence ~~assumed~~ in the existing NRC-
12 approved USE or EMA analysis.

13 4.2.2.1.42.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

14 The ~~reactor vessel~~RPV components evaluated in the existing ~~upper-shelf energy~~USE analysis
15 or NRC-approved EMA are ~~re-evaluated~~reevaluated to consider the subsequent period of
16 extended operation in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G.

17 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, Section IV.A.1 (the rule) requires applicants to take further
18 corrective actions ~~for those cases~~ where the ~~7550 ft-lbs (102 joules) unirradiated USE (UUSE)~~
19 ~~criterion or 50 ft-lbs ([68 joules])~~ end-of-life (EOL) USE criterion cannot be met ~~(i.e., when the~~
20 ~~respective UUSE value falls below 75 ft-lbs or the EOL USE falls below 50 ft-lbs).~~ When this
21 occurs, the rule requires a licensee to submit a supplemental analysis for NRC approval ~~for any~~
22 ~~case where the UUSE value is less than 75 ft-lbs (102 joules) or where the projected EOL USE~~
23 ~~value for a given material is projected to be less than the 50 ft-lbs (68 joules) acceptance criteria~~
24 ~~at the expiration of the operating license. Thus, if the USE value for a PWR reactor vessel (RV)~~
25 ~~material, as projected to the expiration of the period of extended operation, falls below either the~~
26 ~~50 ft-lbs (68 joules) acceptance criterion or the USE value criterion specified in a previously~~
27 ~~NRC-approved EMA, or where the percent drop in USE value for a BWR RV material, as~~
28 ~~projected to the expiration of the period of extended operation, falls below that percent drop in~~
29 ~~USE value approved by the NRC in its safety evaluation of the BWRVIP's generic EMA for~~
30 ~~BWRs, an.~~ The applicant will need to submit a plant-specific engineering analysis (usually an
31 EMA) for NRC approval as supplemental information for subsequent license renewal: (SLR).
32 Otherwise, failure to meet the USE requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G for the RVRPV
33 materials as evaluated using the neutron fluence that are projected for the subsequent period of
34 extended operation mandates imposition of additional commitments or license
35 ~~condition~~conditions on USE for the ~~license renewal application.~~ SLRA.

36 4.2.2.1.42.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

37 Acceptance criteria ~~under~~for accepting USE TLAs in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)
38 have yet to be developed. They will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to ensure that the
39 aging effects will be managed such that the intended function(s) will be maintained during the
40 subsequent period of extended operation.

41 4.2.2.1.23 *Pressurized Thermal Shock (for PWRs)*

1 For PWRs, 10 CFR 50.61 (Ref. 27) requires that the “reference temperature” for reactor
2 vessel RPV beltline materials evaluated at EOL fluence, the neutron fluence corresponding to
3 the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, reference temperature pressurized
4 thermal shock (RT_{PTS}), be less than the “PTS screening criteria” at the expiration date of the
5 operating license, unless otherwise approved by the NRC. The “PTS screening criteria” are 132
6 °C ([270 °F]) for plates, forgings, and axial weld materials, and 149 °C ([300 °F]) for
7 circumferential weld materials. Alternatively, the licensee may comply with the requirements of
8 10 CFR 50.61a (Ref. 8). The regulations require updating of the PTS assessment upon a
9 request for a change in the expiration date of a facility’s operating license, or whenever there is
10 a significant change of their projected material neutron fluence or change in the material
11 properties in any of the reactor vessel beltline materials, values of RT_{PTS} . Therefore, the RT_{PTS}
12 value must be calculated for the entire lifelong licensed operating period of the facility, including the
13 subsequent period of extended operation. If the analyses result in RT_{PTS} values that exceed the
14 PTS screening criteria at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, the applicant
15 is required to implement additional corrective actions as described in 10 CFR Part 50.61 or
16 10 CFR 50.61a. The PTS TLAA may be handled as follows.

17 4.2.2.1.23.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

18 The existing PTS analysis based on 10 CFR 50.61 remains valid during the subsequent period
19 of extended operation because the neutron fluence projected to the end of the subsequent
20 period of extended operation is bound by the neutron fluence assumed in the existing analysis.
21 If the existing PTS analysis is based on 10 CFR 50.61a, the applicant demonstrates that the
22 current analysis remains applicable for the subsequent period of extended operation.

23 4.2.2.1.23.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

24 The PTS analysis is re-evaluated ~~reevaluated~~ to consider the subsequent period of extended
25 operation in accordance with 10 CFR 50.61. ~~An analysis may be performed in accordance with~~
26 ~~NRC Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.154 (Ref. 3) or 10 CFR 50.61a (Ref. 16) if.~~ If the analyses result
27 in RT_{PTS} values that exceed the PTS screening criteria at the end of the subsequent period of
28 extended operation, the applicant is required to implement additional corrective actions as
29 described in 10 CFR Part 50.61 ~~are projected to be exceeded during or 10 CFR 50.61a.~~ If the
30 existing PTS analysis is based on 10 CFR 50.61a, the applicant updates the submittal to reflect
31 the subsequent period of extended operation.

32 4.2.2.1.23.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

33 The NRC staff position for license renewal (LR) on this option is described in a May 27, 2004
34 letter from L.A. Reyes (EDO) to the Commission (Ref. 49), which states that if the applicant
35 does not extend the TLAA, the applicant provides an assessment of the current licensing basis
36 CLB TLAA for PTS, a discussion of the flux reduction program implemented in accordance with
37 10 CFR 50.61(b)(3), if necessary, and an identification of the viable options that exist for
38 managing the aging effect in the future.

39 4.2.2.1.34 Pressure-Temperature (P-T) Limits

40 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G (Ref. 14) requires that the reactor pressure vessel (RPV) be
41 maintained within established pressure-temperature (P-T) P-T limits, including during any
42 condition of normal operation. This includes heatup operating conditions of the plant (including
43 heatups and cool-down-cooldowns of the reactor and anticipated operational transients), and

1 during pressure tests and system leak tests. These limits specify the maximum allowable
2 pressure as a function of reactor coolant temperature. As the ~~reactor pressure vessel~~RPV
3 becomes embrittled and its fracture toughness is reduced, the allowable pressure (given the
4 required minimum temperature) is reduced. Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2014-11 clarifies
5 issues that must be addressed in developing P-T limits (Ref. 1).

6 P-T limits are TLAA's for the application if the plant currently has P-T limit curves approved for
7 the expiration of the current period of operation ~~(i.e., 32-54 effective full power year (EFPY) or~~
8 ~~some other licensed EFPY values at value defined for the~~ expiration date of the current
9 license~~)-].~~ However, the P-T limits for the subsequent period of extended operation need not be
10 submitted as part of the ~~LRA~~SLRA since the P-T limits need to be updated through the 10 CFR
11 50.90 licensing process when necessary for P-T limits that are located in the limiting conditions
12 of ~~operation~~operations (LCOs) of the Technical Specifications (TS). For those plants that have
13 approved pressure-temperature limit reports (PTLRs), the P-T limits for the subsequent period
14 of extended operation will be updated at the appropriate time through the plant's Administrative
15 Section of the TS and the plant's PTLR process. In either case, the 10 CFR 50.90 or the PTLR
16 processes, which constitute the current licensing basisCLB, will ensure that the P-T limits for the
17 subsequent period of extended operation will be updated prior to expiration of the P-T limit
18 curves for the current period of operation.

19 P-T limits may be handled as follows.

20 4.2.2.1.~~34.1~~ 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

21 The applicant demonstrates (on a case-by-case basis) that existing P-T limits are in the CLB will
22 remain valid during the subsequent period of extended operation ~~because the neutron fluence~~
23 ~~projected to the end of the period of extended operation is bound by the fluence assumed in the~~
24 ~~existing analysis.~~

25 4.2.2.1.~~34.2~~ 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

26 The P-T limits are ~~reevaluated to consider~~updated for the subsequent period of extended
27 operation in accordance with
28 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G (Ref. ~~4)-4) and the applicant's appropriate TS change process for~~
29 updating the P-T limit curves.

30 For P-T limit curves that are included in and controlled by requirements in the limiting conditions
31 of operations of the plant TS, the applicant submits the changes to the P-T limits as a license
32 amendment request (i.e., a TS change request) for the LRA that is submitted in accordance with
33 the requirements 10 CFR 54.22 and uses the license amendment submittal as the basis for
34 accepting the TLAA in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).

35 For P-T limits that are controlled by Administrative Controls TS requirements and located in an
36 NRC-approved PTLR, the applicant updates the P-T limits in accordance with the methodology
37 or methodologies approved in the applicable Administrative Controls TS section for its PTLR
38 process and submits the updated PTLR(s) containing the updated P-T limits to the NRC
39 (as information) in accordance the reporting requirements in the applicable Administrative
40 Controls TS section. The applicant uses the submittal of the updated PTLR as the basis for
41 accepting the TLAA in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).

42 4.2.2.1.~~34.3~~ 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

1 Updated P-T limits for the subsequent period of extended operation must be
2 available established and completed using the applicable TS change process for updating the P-
3 T limit curves prior to ~~entering~~ the plant's entry into the subsequent period of extended
4 operation. The 10 CFR 50.90 (Ref. 10) process for P-T limits located in the LCOs or the
5 Administrative Controls Process for P-T limits that are administratively amended through a
6 PTLR process can be considered adequate AMPs or aging management ~~programs~~activities
7 within the scope of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii), such that P-T limits will be maintained through the
8 subsequent period of extended operation.

9 4.2.2.1.45 Elimination of Boiling Water Reactor Circumferential Weld ~~Inspection (for~~
10 Inspections

11 ~~4.1.7.6.2~~ Some boiling water reactors (BWRs)

12 ~~Some BWRs~~ have an approved technical alternative, which eliminates the ~~reactor vessel~~RPV
13 circumferential shell weld inspections from the Section XI program for the current license term
14 because they satisfy the limiting conditional failure probability for the circumferential welds at the
15 expiration of the current license, based on BWRVIP-05 and the extent of neutron embrittlement
16 (Refs. 5-7). These assessments are performed through the 10 CFR 50.55a process. If the
17 applicant indicates that relief from circumferential weld examination will be made under 10 CFR
18 50.55a(a)(3), the applicant will manage this TLAAs. Approved technical alternatives for SLR
19 have yet to be developed. They will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to ensure that the
20 aging effects will be managed in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).

21 ~~4.1.7.6.2.1~~ ~~4.2.2.1.4.1~~ ~~10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)~~

22 An applicant for renewal of a license should address this issue by noting that it will be handled
23 through a re-application under 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3). An applicant for a license renewal to
24 operate, such a BWR may provide justification to extend this relief into the that the intended
25 function(s) will be maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation ~~in~~
26 accordance with BWRVIP-74-A (Ref. 8), which is the revised and NRC-approved version of
27 BWRVIP-74 (Ref. 9). The staff's review of BWRVIP-74 (Ref. 9) is contained in an October 18,
28 2001 letter to C. Terry, BWRVIP Chairman (Ref. 10). Appendix E of the staff's final safety
29 evaluation report (FSER) (Ref. 10) conservatively evaluated BWR RPV's to have 64 effective
30 full-power years (EFPY), which is 10 EFPY greater than the maximum of what is realistically
31 expected for the end of the license renewal period. Since this is a generic analysis, a licensee
32 relying on BWRVIP-74-A should provide plant-specific information to demonstrate that at the
33 end of the renewal period, the circumferential beltline weld materials meet the limiting
34 conditional failure probability for circumferential welds specified in Appendix E of the FSER (Ref.
35 10) and that operator training and procedures are utilized during the license renewal term to
36 limit the frequency for cold over-pressure events to the amount specified in the NRC FSER (Ref.
37 10).

1 4.2.2.1.5 ~~6~~ BWR Axial Welds ~~(for~~

2 Those BWRs) that have been approved to use the circumferential weld technical alternative
3 also had to justify acceptable conditional probability of failure for their RPV axial shell weld
4 examination coverage from the Section XI program for the current license term. Approved
5 technical alternatives for SLR have yet to be developed. They will be evaluated on a
6 case-by-case basis to ensure that the aging effects will be managed in accordance with
7 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1) such that the intended function(s) will be maintained during the subsequent
8 period of extended operation.

9 ~~The staff's SER contained in a letter to Carl Terry dated March 7, 2000, "Supplement to Final~~
10 ~~Safety Evaluation of the BWR Vessel and Internals Project BWRVIP-05 Report" (Ref. 11),~~
11 ~~discussed the staff's concern related to RPV failure frequency for axial welds and the BWRVIP's~~
12 ~~analysis of the RPV failure frequency of axial welds. These discussions are also presented in~~
13 ~~the staff's FSER of BWRVIP-74 (Ref. 10). The SER indicates that the RPV failure frequency~~
14 ~~due to failure of the limiting axial welds in the BWR fleet at the end of 40 years of operation is~~
15 ~~less than 5×10^{-6} per reactor year, given the assumptions on flaw density, distribution, and~~
16 ~~location described in the SER. Since the BWRVIP analysis was generic, a licensee relying on~~
17 ~~BWRVIP-74-A should monitor axial beltline weld embrittlement. The applicant may provide~~
18 ~~plant-specific information to demonstrate that the axial beltline weld materials at the extended~~
19 ~~period of operation meet the criteria specified in the report or have a program to monitor axial~~
20 ~~weld embrittlement relative to the values specified by the staff in its March 7, 2000 (Ref. 11)~~
21 ~~letter.~~

22 4.2.2.2 FSAR Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

23 The specific criterion for meeting 10 CFR 54.21(d) is:

24 that the summary description of the evaluation of TLAA's for the subsequent period of
25 extended operation in the FSAR supplement is appropriate, such that later changes can
26 be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. (Ref. 11). The description contains information
27 associated with the TLAA's regarding the basis for determining that the applicant has
28 made the demonstration required by 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).

29 **4.2.3 Review Procedures**

30 For each area of review described in Subsection 4.2.1, the following review procedures should
31 be followed.

32 4.2.3.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis

33 For the first ~~three~~four areas of review for the analysis of ~~reactor vessel~~RPV neutron
34 embrittlement, the review procedures depend on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i),
35 (ii), or (iii). For each area, the applicant's three options under section 54.21(c)(1) are discussed
36 in turn, ~~as follows~~.

37 The applicant may identify activities to be performed to verify the assumption basis of the
38 neutron fluence calculations that are used to evaluate the RPV neutron embrittlement analyses.
39 An evaluation of that verification activity is provided by the applicant in the SLRA. The reviewer
40 assures that the applicant's verification activity is sufficient to confirm the calculation
41 assumptions for the 80-year period. If the assumption basis is not verified, the applicant must

1 reevaluate the analysis and take appropriate corrective actions as necessary, consistent with
2 the requirements of the affected regulation.

3 4.2.3.1.1 ~~Upper Shelf Energy~~Neutron Fluence

4 4.2.3.1.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

5 The ~~projected $\frac{1}{4}T$~~ reviewer confirms that the applicant's existing RPV neutron fluence at the end
6 of analysis remains valid during the subsequent period of extended operation~~is reviewed to~~
7 ~~verify that it is bound by the fluence assumed in the existing upper-shelf energy analysis.~~

8 Neutron Fluence: The reviewer also confirms that the applicant identifies (a) the neutron
9 fluence ~~at the $\frac{1}{4}T$ location~~ for each beltline material at the ~~expiration~~end of the ~~license~~
10 ~~renewal~~subsequent period of extended operation, (b) the NRC staff-approved methodology
11 used to determine the neutron fluence or submits the methodology for NRC staff review, and
12 (c) whether the methodology ~~follows~~is consistent with the guidance in NRC RG 1.190-~~(~~5~~)~~
13 15-5.

1 4.2.3.1.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

2 The reviewer confirms that the applicant adequately reevaluated its RPV neutron fluence
3 analysis for the subsequent period of extended operation. As part of its review, the review
4 confirms that the applicant identifies (a) the neutron fluence for each beltline material at the end
5 of the subsequent period of extended operation, (b) the NRC staff-approved methodology used
6 to determine the neutron fluence or submits the methodology for NRC staff review, and
7 (c) whether the methodology is consistent with the guidance in NRC RG 1.190.

8 4.2.3.1.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

9 GALL-SLR Report AMP X.M2, "Neutron Fluence Monitoring" of the GALL-SLR Report provides
10 an acceptable method to project and monitor RPV neutron fluence through the subsequent
11 period of extended operation in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). The NRC staff reviews
12 an applicant's program for dispositioning the TLAA in accordance with the requirements in
13 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) and the guidance in GALL-SLR Report AMP X.M2. Plant-specific
14 approaches to projecting and monitoring neutron fluence will be evaluated on a case-by-case
15 basis to ensure that the aging effects due to neutron irradiation embrittlement will be managed
16 such that the intended functions(s) will be adequately maintained for the subsequent period of
17 extended operation.

18 4.2.3.1.2 Upper-Shelf Energy

19 4.2.3.1.2.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

20 The projected $\frac{1}{4}T$ neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation is
21 reviewed for all beltline materials to verify that it is bounded by the neutron fluence assumed in
22 the existing NRC-approved USE or EMA analysis in the CLB.

23 4.2.3.1.2.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

24 The documented results of the revised ~~upper-shelf energy~~USE analysis (or revised EMA
25 analysis, as applicable) based on the projected neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent
26 period of extended operation are reviewed for compliance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G.
27 The applicant may use NRC RG 1.99 Rev. 2 (Ref. 12) ~~to project upper-shelf energies as the basis~~
28 ~~for using the $\frac{1}{4} T$ neutron fluence values for the reactor vessel beltline components (as~~
29 ~~projected to the end of the SLR period) to project the USE values for the reactor vessel beltline~~
30 ~~components at the end of the subsequent~~ period of extended operation. The applicant also may
31 use ASME Code Section XI Appendix K (Ref. 13) for the purpose of performing an equivalent
32 margins analysis to demonstrate that adequate protection for ductile failure is maintained to the
33 end of the subsequent period of extended operation. The NRC staff reviews the applicant's
34 methodology for this evaluation. Branch Technical Position (BTP) MTEB 5-3, "Fracture
35 Toughness Requirements," in Standard Review Plan (Ref. 14), Section 5.3.2, "Pressure
36 Temperature Limits, Upper-Shelf Energy, and Pressurized Thermal Shock," provides additional
37 NRC positions on estimations of USE values for ~~reactor vessel~~RPV beltline materials.

1 The NRC staff confirms that the applicant has provided sufficient information for all Upper Shelf
2 Energy (USE) and/or equivalent margins analysis calculations for the subsequent period of
3 extended operation as follows:

4 ~~Neutron Fluence:~~ The applicant identifies ~~(a) the neutron fluence at the 1/4T~~
5 ~~location for each beltline material at the expiration of the license~~
6 ~~renewal~~subsequent period, ~~(b) the staff-approved methodology used to~~
7 ~~determine the neutron fluence or submits the methodology for staff review, and~~
8 ~~(c) whether the methodology follows the guidance in NRC RG 1.190 (Ref. 15),~~ of
9 extended operation.

10 To confirm that the USE analysis meets the requirements of Appendix G of 10 CFR Part 50
11 (Ref. 6) at the end of the ~~license renewal~~subsequent period of extended operation, the NRC
12 staff determines whether:

- 13 1. For each beltline material, the applicant provides the unirradiated ~~Charpy~~ USE, and the
14 projected ~~Charpy~~ USE at the end of the ~~license renewal~~subsequent period of extended
15 operation, and whether the drop in ~~Charpy~~ USE was determined using the limit lines in
16 Figure 2 of NRC RG 1.99, Revision Rev 2, based on the material copper content, or from
17 surveillance data ~~and the percentage copper.~~
- 18 2. If an equivalent margins analysis is used to demonstrate compliance with the USE
19 requirements in Appendix G of 10 CFR Part 50, the applicant provides the analysis or
20 identifies an NRC-approved topical report that contains the analysis, which is applicable
21 to the subsequent period of extended operation. Information the NRC staff considers to
22 assess the equivalent margins analysis includes the unirradiated USE (if available) for
23 the ~~limiting~~ material, its copper content, the neutron fluence (1/4T and at 1-inch depth),
24 the ~~EOL~~projected SLR USE (if available), the operating temperature in the downcomer
25 at full power, the vessel radius, the vessel wall thickness, the J-applied analysis for
26 Service Level C and D, the vessel accumulation pressure, and the vessel bounding
27 heatup/cool-down rate during normal operation.

28 ~~For Boiling Water Reactors, the staff confirms that the beltline materials are evaluated in~~
29 ~~accordance with Renewal Applicant Action Item 10 in the staff's SER for BWRVIP-74 (Letter to~~
30 ~~C. Terry dated October 18, 2001) (Ref. 10). Action Item 10: To demonstrate that the beltline~~
31 ~~materials meet the Charpy USE criteria specified in Appendix B of BWRVIP-74-A or the NRC~~
32 ~~FSEER (Ref. 10), the applicant demonstrates that the percent reduction in Charpy USE for their~~
33 ~~beltline materials is less than that specified for the limiting BWR/3-6 plates and the non-Linde 80~~
34 ~~submerged arc welds and that the percent reduction in Charpy USE for their surveillance weld~~
35 ~~and plate are less than or equal to the values projected using the methodology in NRC RG 1.99,~~
36 ~~Revision 2.~~

37 ~~The applicant identifies whether there are two or more surveillance material samples available~~
38 ~~that are relevant to the RPV beltline materials. If there are two or more data points for a~~
39 ~~surveillance material, the applicant provides analyses of the data to determine whether the data~~
40 ~~are consistent with the NRC RG 1.99, Revision 2 methodology that was utilized in the BWRVIP-~~
41 ~~74-A analyses.~~

1 4.2.3.1.4.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

2 The applicant's proposal to demonstrate that the effects of aging on the intended function(s)
3 will be adequately managed for the subsequent period of extended operation is reviewed on a
4 case-by-case basis.

5 4.2.3.1.3.4.2 Pressurized Thermal Shock (for PWRs)

6 4.2.3.1.2.3.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

7 The projected clad-to-base metal interface neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent period
8 of extended operation is reviewed to verify that it is bound by the neutron fluence
9 assumed in the existing PTS analysis.

10 ~~Neutron Fluence: The applicant identifies~~ For PTS analysis based on a NRC-approved submittal
11 ~~based on 10 CFR 50.61a, the applicant demonstrates that the analysis bounds the subsequent~~
12 ~~period of extended operation.~~

13 4.2.3.1.3.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

14 The documented results of the revised PTS analysis based on the projected neutron fluence at
15 the end of the subsequent period of extended operation are reviewed for compliance with
16 10 CFR 50.61 or 10 CFR 50.61a.
17 (Ref. 7, 8)

18 The NRC staff confirms that the applicant has provided sufficient information for PTS for the
19 subsequent period of extended operation as follows:

20 The applicant identified the neutron fluence at the clad-to-base metal interface for
21 each beltline material at the expiration of the license renewal period. ~~The~~
22 ~~applicant identifies the staff-approved methodology used in determining the~~
23 ~~neutron fluence or submits the methodology for staff review and identifies~~
24 ~~whether the methodology followed the guidance in NRC RG 1.190 (Ref.~~
25 ~~15).~~ subsequent period of extended operation.

26 ~~1.1.7.6.2.2 4.2.3.1.2.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)~~

27 ~~The documented results of the revised PTS analysis based on the projected neutron fluence at~~
28 ~~the end of the period of extended operation are reviewed for compliance with 10 CFR 50.61.~~

29 ~~The staff confirms that the applicant has provided sufficient information for Pressurized Thermal~~
30 ~~Shock for the period of extended operation as follows:~~

31 ~~Neutron Fluence: Identified the neutron fluence at the clad-to-base metal interface for each~~
32 ~~beltline material at the expiration of the license renewal period. Identified the staff-approved~~
33 ~~methodology used in determining the neutron fluence or submit the methodology for staff review~~
34 ~~and identified whether the methodology followed the guidance in NRC RG 1.190 (Ref. 15).~~

35 There are two methodologies from 10 CFR 50.61 that can be used in the PTS analysis, based
36 on the projected neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation.
37 RT_{NDT} is the reference temperature (NDT means nil-ductility temperature) used as an indexing

1 parameter to determine the fracture toughness and the amount of embrittlement of a material.
2 RT_{PTS} is the reference temperature used in the PTS analysis and is related to RT_{NDT} at the end
3 of the facility's operating license.

4 The first methodology does not rely on plant-specific surveillance data to calculate delta RT_{NDT}
5 (i.e., the mean value of the adjustment or shift in reference temperature caused by irradiation).
6 The delta RT_{NDT} is determined by multiplying a chemistry factor from the tables in 10 CFR 50.61
7 by a neutron fluence factor calculated from the neutron flux using an equation.

8 The second methodology relies on plant-specific surveillance data to determine the delta RT_{NDT} .
9 In this methodology, two or more sets of surveillance data are needed. A surveillance datum
10 consists of a measured delta RT_{NDT} for corresponding neutron fluence. 10 CFR 50.61 specifies
11 a procedure and a criterion for determining whether the surveillance data are credible. For the
12 surveillance data to be defined as credible, the difference in the predicted value and the
13 measured value for delta RT_{NDT} must be less than -2.2 °C [28 °F] for weld metal. When a
14 credible surveillance data set exists, the chemistry factor can be determined from these data in
15 lieu of a value from the table in 10 CFR 50.61. Then the standard deviation of the increase in
16 the RT_{NDT} can be reduced from -2.2 °C [28°F] to -10 °C [14°F] for welds.

17 To confirm that the Pressurized Thermal ShockPTS analysis results in RT_{PTS} values below the
18 screening criteria in
19 10 CFR 50.61 at the end of the license renewalsubsequent period of extended operation, the
20 applicant provides the following:

- 21 1. For each beltline material, provide the unirradiated RT_{NDT} , the method of calculating the
22 unirradiated RT_{NDT} (either generic or plant-specific), the margin, ~~the~~ chemistry factor, the
23 method of calculating the chemistry factor, the mean value for the shift in transition
24 temperature, and the RT_{PTS} value.
- 25 2. If there are two or more data for a surveillance material that is from the same heat of
26 material as the beltline material, provide analyses to determine whether the data are
27 credible in accordance with NRC RG 1.99, RevisionRev 2 (Ref. 12) and whether the
28 margin value used in the analysis is appropriate.
- 29 3. If a surveillance program does not include the vessel beltline controlling material but two
30 or more data sets are available from other beltline materials, then provide an analysis of
31 the data in accordance with Regulatory GuideNRC RG 1.99, RevisionRev 2, Regulatory
32 Position C.2.1, to show that the results either bound or are comparable to the values that
33 would be calculated for the same materials using Regulatory Position C.1.1.

34 If the PTS screening criteria in 10 CFR 50.61 are projected to be exceeded during the
35 subsequent period of extended operation, an analysis based on NRC RG 1.154 (Ref. 315) or
36 10 CFR 50.61a may be submitted for review. For applicants with PTS analysis based upon an
37 NRC-approved submittal using 10 CFR 50.61a, the analysis is revised to reflect the subsequent
38 period of extended operation.

39 4.2.3.1.23.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

40 The NRC staff reviews the applicant's proposal to demonstrate that the effects of aging on the
41 intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the subsequent period of extended
42 operation will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

1 ~~The license renewal application~~ If corrective actions are necessary, the NRC staff ensures that
2 the SLRA provides an assessment of the ~~current licensing basis~~ CLB TLAA for PTS, a
3 discussion of the flux reduction program implemented in accordance with §50.61(b)(3), if
4 necessary, and an identification of the viable options that exist for managing the aging effect in
5 the future. As part of this review, the staff ensures that the applicant addressed the following
6 topics:

7 A. The applicant explains its core management plans (e.g., operation with a low leakage
8 core design and/or integral burnable neutron absorbers) from now through the end of
9 the subsequent period of extended operation. Based on this core management strategy,
10 the applicant:

- 11 (1) Identifies the material in the RPV which has limiting RT_{PTS} value,
- 12 (2) Provides the projected neutron fluence value for the limiting material at end of
13 ~~license~~ the subsequent period of extended ~~(EOLE), operation,~~
- 14 (3) Provides the projected RT_{PTS} value for the limiting material at ~~EOLE, end of the~~
15 subsequent period of extended operation, and
- 16 (4) Provides the projected date and neutron fluence values at which the limiting
17 material will exceed the screening criteria in §50.61.

18 B. The applicant discusses the AMPs or aging management ~~programs~~ activities that it
19 intends to implement, which actively “manage” the condition of the facility’s RPV and
20 hence, the risk associated with PTS. This discussion is expected to address, at least,
21 the facility’s reactor pressure vessel material surveillance program.

22 C. If corrective actions are necessary, the applicant briefly discusses the options that it is
23 considering with respect to “resolving” the PTS issue through ~~EOLE, end of the~~
24 subsequent period of extended operation. It is anticipated that this discussion includes
25 some or all of the following:

- 26 (1) Plant modifications (~~[e.g., heating of~~ emergency core cooling system (ECCS)
27 ~~injection water]~~ which could limit the risk associated with postulated PTS events
28 [see §50.61(b)(4) and/or (b)(6)],
- 29 (2) More detailed safety analyses (~~e.g., using Regulatory Guide 1.154~~) which may
30 be performed to show that the PTS risk for the facility is acceptably low through
31 ~~EOLE~~ end of the subsequent period of extended operation [see §50.61(b)(4)],
- 32 (3) More advanced material property evaluation (e.g., use of Master Curve
33 technology) to demonstrate greater fracture resistance for the limiting material
34 [applies to §50.61(b)(4)],
- 35 (4) The potential for RPV thermal annealing in accordance with §50.66
36 [see §50.61(b)(7)], and/or
- 37 (5) Use of the alternative PTS Rule (Ref. 468).

38 4.2.3.1.34 ~~Pressure-Temperature (P-T) Limits~~

~~1.1.7.6.2.3 4.2.3.1.3.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)~~

The regulation in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G (Ref. 6) requires that the RPV be maintained within established P-T limits during normal operating conditions of the plant, including heatups and cooldowns of the reactor and anticipated operational transients, and during pressure test and system leak test conditions. These limits specify the maximum allowable pressure as a function of reactor coolant temperature. As the RPV becomes embrittled and its fracture toughness is reduced, the allowable pressure (given the required minimum temperature) is reduced.

The regulation in 10 CFR 50.36 (Ref. 16) requires that P-T limits be controlled by plant TS; however, the process for performing updates of the P-T limits depends on whether the P-T limit curves for the facility are maintained in the Limiting Conditions of Operation Section of the TS (i.e., in the TS LCOs) or in a PTLR that is controlled and updated in accordance with the Administrative Controls Section of the plant TS (i.e., by an Administrative Controls TS Section). P-T limits are TLAAAs for the application if the plant currently has P-T limit curves approved for the expiration of the current period of operation (i.e., 32 EFPY or some other licensed EFPY value defined for the expiration date of the current license). However, as stated in SRP-SLR Section 4.2.2.1.3, the assessment of P-T limit TLAAAs for incoming LRAs and basis for accepting the TLAAAs under the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii) or (iii) is somewhat dependent on the process that is used for updating the P-T limits.

For P-T limits that are located in the TS LCOs and are controlled by the 10 CFR 50.90 license amendment process, the P-T limits are required to be updated and approved by the NRC prior to expiration of the current P-T limit curves in the TS LCOs, or when a change to the P-T limits is needed for compliance with the requirements in Section IV.C of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H (Ref. 4). For those plants that have approved PTLRs, the P-T limits are required to be updated prior to expiration of the current P-T limit curves in the PTLRs, or when a change to the P-T limits is needed for compliance with the requirements in Section IV.C of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, or when required by a specific P-T limits update clause in the Administrative Controls TS Section that governs implementation of the PTLR process.

Specifically, for plants with approved PTLRs, the Administrative Controls TS Section governing the PTLR process requires that the update of the P-T limits be accomplished using prescribed methodologies referenced in the TS requirements. NRC generic letter (GL) 96-03 (Ref. 17) provides the NRC's position on the minimum requirements that need to be included in the Administrative Controls TS Section that governs implementation of the PTLR process and the type of information that need to be included in the NRC-approved methodologies that will be used to update the P-T limits and PTLRs. The GL identifies that 10 CFR 50.90 license amendment requests are not necessary for updates of the P-T limit curves if the required methodologies are used to update the P-T limits in the PTLRs. Since GL 96-03 establishes the NRC's position on what needs be included within the scope of the P-T limit methodologies, applicants with approved PTLRs may want to verify that the P-T limit methodologies referenced in the applicable Administrative Controls TS Section for their PTLR processes are still in conformance with the criteria in GL 96-03 and that a resulting 10 CFR 54.22 (Ref. 18) change of the TS is not needed for their LRAs. If it is determined that a change to the referenced methodologies is needed for the LRA, the applicant should submit the changes to the referenced methodologies as part of a 10 CFR 54.22 implemented license amendment and TS change request for the LRA.

4.2.3.1.4.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

1 If the P-T limits are located in the TS LCOs or the PTLRs (whichever is applicable to CLB) and
2 the applicant selects the 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) option as the basis for accepting the TLAA, the
3 projected neutron fluencefluences for the ¼T and ¾T locations of each of the RPV beltline
4 components at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation are reviewed to confirm
5 that they are bounded by the neutron fluences used to develop the existing P-T limit analysis.

6 ~~Neutron Fluence: The applicant identifies (a) the neutron fluence at the ¼T and ¾T locations for~~
7 ~~each beltline material at the expiration of the license renewal period, (b) the staff-approved~~
8 ~~methodology used to determine the neutron fluence or submits the methodology for staff review,~~
9 ~~and (c) whether the methodology follows the guidance in NRC RG 1.190 (Ref. 15).~~

10 4.2.3.1.34.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

11 The documented results of the revised P-T limit analysis based on the projected reduction in
12 fracture toughness at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation is reviewed for
13 compliance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G. If the P-T limits are controlled by the TS LCOs,
14 the reviewer confirms that the updated P-T limits for the facility are submitted as a
15 10 CFR 54.22 required license amendment and TS change request for the facility. The
16 reviewer reviews the submitted P-T limit analysis for compliance with requirements in
17 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G. If the P-T limits are controlled by an applicable Administrative
18 Control TS Section and a PTLR process, the updated P-T limits are reviewed to confirm that the
19 updated P-T limits have been submitted in an updated PTLR that has been included with the
20 LRA. The P-T limits in the updated PTLR are also reviewed to confirm that the P-T limits have
21 been calculated in accordance with the methodologies referenced in the applicable
22 Administrative Controls TS Section for the PTLR process, or if not, that the updated
23 methodology or methodologies used to generate the updated P-T limits in the PTLR has or have
24 been submitted as part of a 10 CFR 54.22 implemented license amendment and TS change
25 request for the LRA.

26 The P-T limit evaluations are dependent upon the neutron fluence.

27 ~~Neutron Fluence: The applicant identifies (a) the neutron fluence at the ¼T and ¾T locations for~~
28 ~~each beltline material at the expiration of the license renewal period, (b) the staff-approved~~
29 ~~methodology used to determine the neutron fluence or submits the methodology for staff review,~~
30 ~~and (c) whether the methodology follows the guidance in NRC RG 1.190 (Ref. 15).~~

31 4.2.3.1.34.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

32 Updated P-T limits for the subsequent period of extended operation must be
33 available established and implemented prior to entering entry into the subsequent period of
34 extended operation. The 10 CFR 50.90 (Ref. 10) process for P-T limits located in the TS LCOs
35 or the TS Administrative Controls Process for P-T limits that are administratively amended
36 through a PTLR process can be considered adequate aging management programs AMPs
37 within the scope of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii), such that P-T limits will be maintained through the
38 subsequent period of extended operation.

39 ~~For Boiling Water Reactors, the plants whose P-T limits are controlled by an applicable~~
40 ~~Administrative Controls TS Section and a NRC-approved PTLR process, the methodologies~~
41 ~~referenced in the applicable TS Section are reviewed to verify that they will comply with the~~
42 ~~requirements in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G and conform to the recommended position for~~
43 ~~minimum methodology contents in GL 96-03. Otherwise, the methodology bases for generating~~

1 updates of the P-T limits during the subsequent period of extended operation are reviewed to
2 determine whether a 10 CFR 54.22-implemented license amendment and TS change of the
3 methodology requirements is necessary for the LRA.

4 For BWRs whose applicants are accepting their P-T limits in accordance with the criterion in
5 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii), the NRC staff confirms that the applicant addresses the following
6 Renewal Applicant Action Item in the NRC staff's Safety Evaluation Report (SER) for
7 BWRVIP-74 (Letter to C. Terry dated October 18, 2001) (Ref.10 19).

8 Action Item 9: Appendix A of ~~the~~ BWRVIP-74-A Report(Ref. 20) indicates that a
9 set of P-T curves should be developed for the heat-up and cool-down operating
10 conditions in the plant at a given EFPY in the ~~license renewal~~subsequent
11 of extended operation.

12 This means that, for this action item, the applicant has not provided updated curves, but shall
13 have a procedure for updating P-T limits in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, that
14 will cover 6080 years.

15 4.2.3.1.4~~5~~ Elimination of Boiling Water Reactor Circumferential Weld Inspection (~~for~~
16 Some BWRs) have an approved technical alternative, which eliminates the RPV circumferential
17 shell weld inspections from the Section XI program for the current license term. Approved
18 technical alternatives for SLR have yet to be developed. They will be evaluated on a
19 case-by-case basis to ensure that the aging effects will be managed in accordance with
20 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1) such that the intended function(s) will be maintained during the subsequent
21 period of extended operation.

22 ~~The staff verifies that the applicant has identified that, should the inspection relief be desired for~~
23 ~~the period of extended operation, an application will be made under 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3) prior~~
24 ~~to entering the period of extended operation. If the applicant indicates that relief from~~
25 ~~circumferential weld examination will be made under 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3), the applicant will~~
26 ~~manage this TLAA in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).~~

27 ~~1.1.7.6.3~~ ~~4.2.3.1.5~~ ~~Axial Welds (for BWRs)~~

28 ~~To demonstrate that the vessel has not been embrittled beyond the basis for the staff and~~
29 ~~BWRVIP analyses, the applicant should provide (a) a comparison of the neutron fluence, initial~~
30 ~~RT_{NDT}, chemistry factor amounts of copper and nickel, delta RT_{NDT}, and mean RT_{NDT} of the~~
31 ~~limiting axial weld at the end of the license renewal period to the reference case in the BWRVIP~~
32 ~~and staff analyses and (b) an estimate of conditional failure probability of the RPV at the end of~~
33 ~~the license renewal term based on the comparison of the mean RT_{NDT} for the limiting axial welds~~
34 ~~and the reference case. If this comparison does not indicate that the RPV failure frequency for~~
35 ~~axial welds is less than 5 x 10⁻⁶ per reactor year, the applicant should provide a probabilistic~~
36 ~~analysis to determine the RPV failure frequency for axial welds. Consistent with the staff's~~
37 ~~supplemental safety evaluation report (SER) of BWR Vessel and Internals Project BWRVIP-05~~
38 ~~Report, dated May 7, 2000 (Ref. 11), the staff should ensure that the applicant's plant is~~
39 ~~bounded by the BWRVIP-05 analysis or that the applicant has committed to a program to~~
40 ~~monitor axial weld embrittlement relative to the values specified by the staff in its May 7, 2000~~
41 ~~SER. The staff also confirms that the applicant has addressed the following Renewal Applicant~~
42 ~~Action Item in the staff's SER for BWRVIP-74 (Letter to C. Terry dated October 18, 2001)~~
43 ~~(Ref.10).~~

1 ~~Action Item 12: As indicated in the staff's March 7, 2000 letter to Carl Terry, a license renewal~~
2 ~~(LR) applicant shall monitor axial beltline weld embrittlement. One acceptable method is to~~
3 ~~determine the mean RT_{NDT} of the limiting axial beltline weld at the end of the extended period of~~
4 ~~operation is less than the values specified in Table 1 of the staff's Oct. 18, 2001 FSER (Ref. 10).~~

5 4.2.3.1.6 Boiling Water Reactor Axial Welds

6 Those BWRs that have been approved to use the circumferential weld technical alternative also
7 had to justify acceptable conditional probability of failure for their RPV axial shell weld
8 examination coverage from the Section XI program for the current license term. Approved
9 technical alternatives for SLR have yet to be developed. They will be evaluated on a
10 case-by-case basis to ensure that the aging effects will be managed in accordance with
11 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1) such that the intended function(s) will be maintained during the subsequent
12 period of extended operation.

13 4.2.3.2 FSAR Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

14 The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided information to be included in the FSAR
15 supplement that includes a summary description of the evaluation of the ~~reactor vessel~~RPV
16 neutron embrittlement TLAA. Table 4.2-1 of this review plan section contains examples of
17 acceptable FSAR supplement information for this TLAA. The reviewer verifies that the applicant
18 has provided an FSAR supplement with information equivalent to that in Table 4.2-1.

19 The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the
20 applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR supplement at the next update required
21 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4)-) (Ref. 21). As part of the license condition, until the FSAR
22 update is complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR
23 supplement without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such
24 change pursuant to the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the FSAR
25 to include the final FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, no condition will
26 be necessary.

27 As noted in Table 4.2-1, an applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its
28 FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in
29 the ~~license renewal application~~SLRA to any future aging management activities, including
30 enhancements and commitments to be completed before the subsequent period of extended
31 operation. The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to
32 ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.

33 4.2.4 Evaluation Findings

34 The reviewer determines whether the applicant has provided sufficient information to satisfy the
35 provisions of this section and whether the NRC staff's evaluation supports conclusions of the
36 following type, depending on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), to be
37 included in the ~~staff's safety evaluation report~~SER:

38 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that the
39 applicant has provided an acceptable demonstration, pursuant to 10 CFR
40 54.21(c)(1), that, for the ~~reactor vessel~~RPV neutron embrittlement TLAA, [choose
41 which is appropriate] (i) the analyses remain valid for the subsequent period of
42 extended operation, (ii) the analyses have been projected to the end of the

1 subsequent period of extended operation, or (iii) the effects of aging on the
2 intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the subsequent period of
3 extended operation. The NRC staff also concludes that the FSAR supplement
4 contains an appropriate summary description of the ~~reactor vessel~~RPV neutron
5 embrittlement TLA evaluation for the subsequent period of extended operation
6 as reflected in the license condition.

7 **4.2.5 Implementation**

8 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method, the
9 method described herein will be used by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with
10 NRC regulations.

11 **4.2.6 References**

- 12 ~~1. 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix G, "Fracture Toughness Requirements," Office of the Federal~~
13 ~~Register, National Archives and Records Administration, 2010.~~
- 14 1. 10 CFR NRC. Regulatory Information Summary (RIS) No. 2014-11, "Information on
15 Licensing Applications For Fracture Toughness Requirements For Ferritic Reactor
16 Coolant Pressure Boundary Components." ML14149A165. Washington, DC: U.S.
17 Nuclear Regulatory Commission. October 14, 2014.
- 18 2. 10 CFR Part 54, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power
19 Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 20 3. 10 CFR Part 54.21, "Contents of Application—Technical Information." Washington, DC:
21 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 22 4. 10 CFR Part 50, "Appendix H, Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program
23 Requirements." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 24 5. NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.190, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining
25 Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence." Revision 0. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
26 Regulatory Commission. 2001.
- 27 6. 10 CFR Part 50, "Fracture Toughness Requirements." Appendix G. Washington, DC:
28 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 29 ~~2.7. 10 CFR Part 50.61, "Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection Against~~
30 ~~Pressurized Thermal Shock Events," Office of the Federal Register, National Archives~~
31 ~~and Records Administration, 2010." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory~~
32 ~~Commission. 2015.~~
- 33 8. 10 CFR Part 50.61a, "Alternate Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection
34 Against Pressurized Thermal Shock Events." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
35 Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 36 9. L.A. Reyes. Letter (May 27) to the Commission. ML041190564. Washington, DC:
37 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2004.

- 1 10. 10 CFR Part 50.90, "Application for Amendment of License, Construction Permit, or
2 Early Site Permit." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 3 11. 10 CFR Part 50.59, "Changes, Tests, and Experiments." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
4 Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 5 12. NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.99, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials."
6 Revision 2. May, 1988.
- 7 13. ASME. Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
8 Nonmandatory Appendix K, "Assessment of Reactor Vessels with Low Upper Shelf
9 Charpy Impact Energy Levels." New York City, New York. 2010.
- 10 14. NRC. NUREG-0800, "U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Standard Review Plan."
11 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 2007.
- 12 ~~3.15.~~ NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.154, "Format and Content of Plant-Specific Pressurized
13 Thermal Shock Safety Analysis Reports for Pressurized Water Reactors,"
14 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, January 1987.
- 15 ~~4. Letter to the Commission from L.A. Reyes (EDO), dated May 27, 2004 (ADAMS accession~~
16 ~~number ML041190564)~~
- 17 ~~5. BWRVIP-05, "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Reactor Pressure Vessel Shell Weld~~
18 ~~Inspection Recommendations," EPRI TR-105697, September 1995.~~
- 19 16. 10 CFR Part 50.36, "Technical Specifications." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
20 Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 21 17. NRC. Generic Letter 96-03, "Relocation of the Pressure Temperature Limit Curves and
22 Lower Temperature Overpressure Protection System Limits." Washington, DC:
23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 1996.
- 24 18. 10 CFR Part 54.22, "Contents of Application – Technical Specifications."
25 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 26 ~~6. Christopher Grimes. Letter (October 18) to Carl Terry of Niagara Mohawk Power Company,~~
27 ~~BWRVIP Chairman, from Gus C. Lainas of NRC, "Final Safety Evaluation of the BWR~~
28 ~~Vessel and Internals Project BWRVIP-05 Report (TAC No. M93925)," July 28, 1998.~~
- 29 ~~7. Generic Letter 98-05, "Boiling Water Reactor Licensees Use of the BWRVIP-05 Report to~~
30 ~~Request Relief from Augmented Examination Requirements on Reactor Pressure Vessel~~
31 ~~Circumferential Shell Welds," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, November 10, 1998.~~
- 32 ~~8. BWRVIP-74-A, "BWR Vessels and Internals Project, BWR Reactor Pressure Vessel~~
33 ~~Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines for License Renewal," EPRI TR-1008872,~~
34 ~~June 2003.~~
- 35 ~~9. BWRVIP-74, "BWR Vessels and Internals Project, BWR Reactor Pressure Vessel Inspection~~
36 ~~and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines," EPRI TR-113596, September 1999.~~

- 1 ~~10.19. Letter to Carl Terry of Niagara Mohawk Power Company, BWRVIP Chairman, from~~
2 ~~Christopher Grimes, of NRC, “Acceptance for Referencing of EPRI Proprietary Report~~
3 ~~TR-113596, BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Reactor Pressure Vessel~~
4 ~~Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines BWRVIP-74), and Appendix A,~~
5 ~~Demonstration of Compliance with the Technical Information Requirements of the~~
6 ~~License Renewal Rule (10CFR54.21),” dated October 18,.)” Washington, DC: U.S.~~
7 ~~Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2001.~~
- 8 ~~11. Letter to Carl Terry of Niagara Mohawk Power Company, EPRI. TR-1008872, “BWRVIP~~
9 ~~Chairman, from Jack R. Strosnider, Jr., of NRC, “Supplement to Final Safety Evaluation of~~
10 ~~the 74-A, BWR Vessel/Vessels and Internals Project BWRVIP-05 Report (TAC No.~~
11 ~~MA3395),” dated March 7, 2000.12.~~
- 12 ~~12.20. Regulatory Guide 1.99 Rev. 2, “Radiation Embrittlement of, BWR Reactor Vessel~~
13 ~~Materials,” May, 1988. Pressure Vessel Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines for~~
14 ~~License Renewal.” Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 2003.~~
- 15 ~~13. Appendix K of ASME Code, Section XI, “Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power~~
16 ~~Plant Components,” 2004 Edition.~~
- 17 ~~14.21. NUREG-0800, “10 CFR Part 50.71, “Maintenance of Records, Making of Reports.”~~
18 ~~Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Standard Review Plan,” U.S.~~
19 ~~Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 2007. 2015.~~

15. ~~Regulatory Guide 1.190 Rev. 0, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 2001.~~

16. ~~75 FR 23, "Alternative Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection Against Pressurized Thermal Shock Events," January 4, 2010.~~

Table 4.2-1. Examples of FSAR Supplement for Reactor Vessel Neutron Embrittlement TLA Evaluation Analyses

TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
<p><u>Neutron Fluence</u></p> <p><u>Example for acceptance per §54.21(c)(1)(iii)</u></p>	<p><u>The neutron fluence of each beltline material for the subsequent period of extended operation has been calculated in accordance with the guidance in RG 1.190. The neutron fluence is monitored through the subsequent period of extended operation by using GALL-SLR Report AMP X.M2, "Neutron Fluence Monitoring."</u></p>	<p><u>Ongoing through AMP monitoring</u></p>
<p>Upper-shelf energy</p> <p><u>Example for acceptance per §54.21(c)(1)(ii)</u></p>	<p>10 CFR Part 50 Appendix G paragraph IV.A.1 requires that the reactor vesselRPV beltline materials must have Charpy upper-shelf energy of no less than 50 ft-lb (68 J) throughout the life of the reactor vesselRPV unless otherwise approved by the NRC. The upper-shelf energy has been determined to exceed 50 ft-lb (68 J) to the end of the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation.</p>	<p>Completed</p>
<p>Pressurized thermal shock (for PWRs)</p> <p><u>Example for acceptance per §54.21(c)(1)(ii)</u></p>	<p>For PWRs, 10 CFR 50.61 requires the "reference temperature RT_{PTS}" for reactor vesselRPV beltline materials to be less than the "PTS screening criteria" at the expiration date of the operating license unless otherwise approved by the NRC. The "PTS screening criteria" are 270°F (132°C) for plates, forgings, and axial weld materials, or 300°F (149°C) for circumferential weld materials. The "The reference temperature" has been determined to be less than the "PTS screening criteria" at the end of the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation, <u>unless alternate requirements have been invoked in accordance with 10 CFR 50.61(b) and approved by the NRC.</u></p>	<p>Completed</p>
<p>Pressure-temperature (P-T) limits</p> <p><u>Example for acceptance per §54.21(c)(1)(iii)</u></p>	<p>10 CFR Part 50 Appendix G requires that heatup and cooldown of the RPV be accomplished within established P-T limits. These limits specify the maximum allowable pressure as a function of reactor coolant temperature. As the RPV becomes embrittled and its fracture toughness is reduced, the allowable pressure is reduced. 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix G requires periodic update of P-T limits based on projected embrittlement and data from a material surveillance program. The P-T limits will be</p>	<p><u>For P-T limits controlled by TS LCOs: 10 CFR 50.90 Update should be completed and approved by the NRC before the subsequent period of</u></p>

Table 4.2-1. Examples of FSAR Supplement for Reactor Vessel Neutron Embrittlement TLAA Evaluation Analyses

	updated to consider the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation.	<p>extended operation</p> <p><u>For P-T limits controlled by TS PTLR requirements: Update should be completed before the subsequent period of extended operation and updated PTLR containing the updated P-T limits submitted to the NRC in accordance applicable Administrative Control TS reporting requirements</u></p>
Elimination of <u>BWR circumferential weld inspection inspections</u> and analysis of <u>BWR axial welds (for BWRs)</u>	NRC has granted relief from the reactor vessel circumferential shell weld inspections because the applicant has demonstrated through plant-specific analysis that the plant meets the staff-approved BWRVIP-74-A Report and has provided sufficient information that the probability of vessel failure due to embrittlement of axial welds is low. If the applicant indicates that relief from circumferential weld examination will be made under 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3), the applicant will manage this TLAA in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). [Not applicable - approved technical alternatives for SLR have yet to be developed]	Re-submittal under 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3) should be completed before the period of extended operation <u>Not applicable</u>
Other miscellaneous TLAA's on RV neutron embrittlement	Provide sufficient information on how the calculations for plant-specific TLAA's were performed, what the limiting TLAA parameter was calculated to be in accordance with the neutron fluence projected for the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation, and why the TLAA is acceptable under either 10 CFR 54.21 (c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii).	

*An applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in the license renewal application SLRA to any future aging management activities to be completed before the subsequent period of extended operation. The staff

Table 4.2-1: Examples of FSAR Supplement for Reactor Vessel Neutron Embrittlement TLAA Evaluation Analyses
--

expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities by no later than the committed date.

1 **4.3 Metal Fatigue**

2 **Review Responsibilities**

3 **Primary** ~~---~~ Branch responsible for the time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) issues

4 **Secondary** ~~---~~ None

5 **4.3.1 Area of Review**

6 Fatigue occurs in a metal component ~~may progressively degrade and lose its structural integrity~~
7 when it is subjected to fluctuating stresses, even loads. ~~If the loading is of sufficient magnitude~~
8 or frequency, cracks may initiate and propagate in the component ~~at magnitudes less than the~~
9 design static loads, due to a well-known degradation mechanism – the location of maximum
10 loading. To address fatigue. ~~This mechanism of degradation can occur in flaw-free components~~
11 by developing cracks during services. ASME concerns, Section III (Ref. 1) of the American
12 Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code requires a fatigue analysis for Class 1
13 components ~~unless allowed by the Code to be exempted under applicable ASME Section III~~
14 ~~provisions.~~ The analysis considers must consider all transient expected cyclic loads based on
15 the anticipated number of thermal and pressure transients, and includes cyclic loadings. ~~In the~~
16 most rigorous evaluation, the ASME Code provisions include the calculation of a parameter “the
17 cumulative usage factor” (CUF) that is used for estimating the extent of selected locations within
18 a component, which is a calculated measure of the expended fatigue damage initiation life at
19 each location in the component. Under these provisions, the ASME Code limits the CUF to a
20 value of less than or equal to one unity for acceptable fatigue design. A CUF below a value of
21 ~~one unity~~ provides assurance that no crack has ~~been formed.~~ A CUF above a initiated at the
22 analyzed location in the component. ~~Other provisions in Section III of the ASME Code allow~~
23 less rigorous treatment to address the fatigue design in components that have smaller or less
24 frequent cyclic loadings, (i.e., fatigue waiver evaluation). ~~In some cases, continued adequacy of~~
25 the fatigue life of a component may be demonstrated through reinspections that continue to
26 demonstrate an absence of fatigue flaws, (i.e., flaw tolerance evaluation). ~~In other cases, the~~
27 growth of fatigue flaws is assessed to ensure that flaws detected in components remain within
28 allowable limits.

29 The acceptability of metal components from a fatigue standpoint is demonstrated by one or
30 more relevant fatigue parameters, which include, but are not limited to, the CUF values, the
31 environmentally-adjusted CUF_{en} values, transient cycle limits, and predicted flaw sizes
32 (for fatigue flaw tolerance or component flaw evaluations). ~~The limits of the fatigue parameters~~
33 are established by the applicable fatigue analyses and may be a design limit, for example from
34 an ASME Code fatigue evaluation, or an analysis-specific value of one allows for the possibility
35 that a crack may form, and that if left untreated, the crack could propagate exponentially under
36 fatigue loading and eventually lead to coolant leakage in reactor pressure boundary
37 components, or even general structural failure. ~~Metal fatigue of components may have been~~
38 evaluated, for example based on an assumed the number of transients or cycles for the
39 current cyclic load occurrences assumed in fatigue waiver evaluations or the acceptable flaw
40 sizes postulated in flaw tolerance or component flaw evaluations.

41 As a result of the assumptions used in the underlying evaluations associated with metal
42 component fatigue parameters (i.e., the magnitude and frequency of the assumed cyclic
43 loadings for the future operating term. ~~The life of the component), the continued validity of such~~
44 metal fatigue analysis analyses is reviewed for the subsequent period of extended operation.

1 Areas of review to ensure that the metal component fatigue or flaw growth/tolerance parameter
2 evaluations are valid for the subsequent period of extended operation include:

3 1. CUF calculations for ASME Code Class 1 or fatigue waiver evaluations for components
4 designed to ASME using the fatigue requirements of Section III requirements, and of the
5 ASME Code or other Codes that are based on use a CUF_t calculation [e.g., the 1969
6 edition of ANSI B31.7 (Ref. 3) for Class 1 piping, ASME NC-3200 vessels, ASME NE-
7 3200 Class MC components, ASME NG-3200 core support structures, and metal bellows
8 designed to ASME NC-3649.4(e)(3), ND-3649.4(e)(3), or NE-3366.2(e)(3)]. ASME Class
9 1 components, which include core support structures, are analyzed for metal fatigue.] or
10 the Draft ASME Code for Pumps and Valves for I_t analyses).

11 2. Implicit-Fatigue-based maximum allowable stress calculations for pipng components
12 designed evaluated to United States of America Standards (USAS) American National
13 Standards Institute (ANSI) B31.1 (Ref. or ASME Code Class 2) and 3 requirements, and
14 ASME Code Class 2 and 3 components designed to ASME Section III design
15 requirements that are similar to the guidance in ANSI B31.1.

16 ANSI B31.1 applies only to piping and does not call for an explicit fatigue analysis. It
17 specifies allowable stress levels based on the number of anticipated full thermal range
18 transient cycles. The specific stress range reduction factors due to full thermal cycles are
19 listed in Table 4.3-1.

20 3. Environmental fatigue 3. CUF calculations for ASME Code Class 1 reactor coolant
21 pressure boundary components.

22 Generic Safety Issue: The fatigue design criteria for nuclear power plant components
23 have changed as the industry consensus codes and standards have developed. The
24 fatigue design criteria for a specific component depend on the version of the design code
25 that applied to that component, i.e., the code of record. There is a concern that the
26 effects of the reactor coolant environment on the fatigue life of components were not
27 adequately addressed by the code of record.

28 The NRC has decided that the adequacy of the code of record relating to metal fatigue is
29 a potential safety issue to be addressed by the current regulatory process for operating
30 reactors (Refs. 4 and 5). The effects of fatigue for the initial 40-year reactor license
31 period were studied and resolved under Generic Safety Issue (GSI) 78, "Monitoring of
32 Fatigue Transient Limits for reactor coolant system," and GSI 166, "Adequacy of Fatigue
33 Life of Metal Components" (Ref. 6). GSI 78 addressed whether fatigue monitoring was
34 necessary at operating plants. As part of the resolution of GSI 166, an assessment was
35 made of the significance of the more recent fatigue test data on the fatigue life of a
36 sample of components in plants where Code fatigue design analysis had been
37 performed. The efforts on fatigue life estimation and ongoing issues under GSI 78 and
38 GSI 166 for 40-year plant life were addressed separately under a staff generic task
39 action plan (Refs. 7 and 8). The staff documented its completion of the fatigue action
40 plan in SECY 95-245 (Ref. 9).

41 SECY 95-245 was based on a study described in NUREG/CR-6260, "Application of
42 NUREG/CR-5999 Interim Fatigue Curves to Selected Nuclear Power Plant Components"
43 (Ref. 10). In NUREG/CR-6260, sample locations with high fatigue usage were

1 evaluated. Conservatisms in the original fatigue calculations, such as actual cycles
2 versus assumed cycles, were removed, and the fatigue usage was recalculated using a
3 fatigue curve considering the effects of the environment. The staff found that most of the
4 locations would have a CUF of less than the ASME Code limit of 1.0 for 40 years. On the
5 basis of the component assessments, supplemented by a 40-year risk study, the staff
6 concluded that a backfit of the require evaluation of environmental fatigue data to
7 operating plants could not be justified. However, because the staff was less certain that
8 sufficient excessive conservatisms in the original fatigue calculations could be removed
9 to account for an additional 20 years of operation for renewal, the staff recommended in
10 SECY-95-245 that the samples in NUREG/CR-6260 should be evaluated considering
11 environmental effects for license renewal. GSI-190, "Fatigue Evaluation of Metal
12 Components for 60-year Plant Life," was established to address the residual concerns of
13 GSI-78 and GSI-166 regarding the environmental effects on fatigue of pressure
14 boundary components for 60 years of plant operation. effects (CUF_{en}).

15 The scope of GSI-190 included design basis fatigue transients. It studied the probability
16 of fatigue failure and its effect on core damage frequency (CDF) of selected metal
17 components for 60-year plant life. The results showed that some components have
18 cumulative probabilities of crack initiation and through-wall growth that approach one
19 within the 40- to 60-year period. The maximum failure rate (through-wall cracks per year)
20 was in the range of 10E-2 per year, and those failures were generally associated with
21 high cumulative usage factor locations and components with thinner walls, i.e., pipes
22 more vulnerable to through-wall cracks. In most cases, the leakage from these through-
23 wall cracks is small and not likely to lead to core damage. It was concluded that no
24 generic regulatory action is necessary and that GSI-190 is resolved based on results of
25 probabilistic analyses and sensitivity studies, interactions with the industry (NEI and
26 EPRI), and different approaches available to licensees to manage the effects of aging
27 (Refs. 11 and 12).

28 However, the calculations supporting resolution of this issue, which included
29 consideration of environmental effects, indicate the potential for an increase in the
30 frequency of pipe leaks as plants continue to operate. Thus, the staff concluded that
31 licensees are to address the effects of coolant environment on component fatigue life as
32 aging management programs are formulated in support of license renewal.

33 The applicant's consideration of the effects of coolant environment on component fatigue
34 life for license renewal is an area of review.

- 35 4. Potential fatigue assessments for BWR vessel internals components (potential TLAA's
36 based on applicable applicant action items identified in applicable BWRVIP reports)

37 For Boiling Water Reactors, license renewal applications that reference the following
38 BWR Vessels and Internals Project (BWRVIP) reports should identify and evaluate the
39 projected fatigue CUFs as a potential TLAA issue, which may impact the structural
40 integrity of the subject reactor pressure vessel internal components.

- 41 ● BWRVIP-18-A (Ref. 16, action item #4) for core spray internals
- 42 ● BWRVIP-27-A (Ref. 17, action item #4) for standby liquid control system/core
43 plate ΔP
- 44 ● BWRVIP-47-A (Ref. 18, action item #4) for lower plenum.

1 In addition, license renewal applications that reference the BWRVIP-74-A report (Ref.
2 19) for reactor pressure vessel, should address the following renewal applicant action
3 items in the staff's SER for BWRVIP-74-A report.

4 Item #8: For the license renewal period, verify that the original fatigue analysis is valid
5 and also addresses environmental fatigue for components mentioned in NUREG/CR-
6 6260. As a minimum, these components normally include reactor coolant pressure
7 boundary components, such as reactor vessel head and shell components, reactor
8 vessel flange bolting or stud components, reactor vessel nozzle components, and piping
9 components, including safe-end locations.

10 Item #14: Components that have indications that were previously analytically evaluated
11 in accordance with ASME Section XI Subsection IWB-3600 until the end of the 40-year
12 service period shall be re-evaluated for the period corresponding to the license renewal
13 term.

14 ~~5. Potential Fatigue-based flaw growth analyses, flaw tolerance, or fatigue-based fracture~~
15 ~~mechanics analyses, including those for high-energy line breaks, reactor coolant pump~~
16 ~~(RCP) flywheels, reactor vessel metal bellows, and reactor vessel underclad cracking~~
17 ~~analyses (applicable to reactor vessels fabricated from SA-508 Class 2 or 3 forgings), as~~
18 ~~appropriate~~

19 ~~The validity of these analyses is reviewed for the period of extended operation. The design~~
20 ~~criteria used to determine the postulated high-energy line break design locations include~~
21 ~~the calculated fatigue CUF based on the number of design transients assumed for the~~
22 ~~40-year life of the plant. The aging effect of concern for the RCP flywheel is fatigue crack~~
23 ~~initiation and growth in the flywheel bore keyway from stresses due to starting the motor~~
24 ~~during start/stop cycles of the RCP during the 40-year design. Similarly, the primary~~
25 ~~containment process metal bellows are designed for a specific number of cycles of~~
26 ~~expansion and contraction for 40 years of operation. The fracture toughness (including~~
27 ~~the effects of neutron irradiation) and flaw growth analyses for underclad cracks that are~~
28 ~~postulated in the internal cladding of SA-508 Class 2 and 3 alloy steel components are~~
29 ~~also based upon 40-year design transients support reinspection intervals for~~
30 ~~components.~~

31 **4.3.2 Acceptance Criteria**

32 ~~The~~ Acceptance criteria are provided in the following subsections for the areas of review
33 described in Subsection 4.3.1 ~~of this review plan section that~~ delineate acceptable methods for
34 meeting the requirements of the NRC's U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulations
35 in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21(c)(1).

36 4.3.2.1 *Time-Limited Aging Analysis*

37 Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) ~~-through~~ (iii), an applicant must demonstrate one of the
38 following: for each analysis:

39 i. _____ (i) — The analyses remain valid for the period of extended operation:

40 +ii. _____ The analyses have been projected to the end of the period of extended operation; or

1 ~~(ii) the analyses have been projected to the end of the extended period of operation, or~~

2 ~~iii.~~ (iii) — The effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for
3 the period of extended operation.

4 ~~In some instances, the applicant may identify activities to be performed to verify the assumption~~
5 ~~bases of the fatigue analyses. Evaluations of those activities are provided by the applicant.~~
6 ~~The reviewer assures that the applicant's activities are sufficient to confirm the calculation~~
7 ~~assumptions for the subsequent period of extended operation.~~

8 Specific acceptance criteria for metal component fatigue evaluations are discussed in the
9 following ~~sub-sections~~subsections.

10 4.3.2.1.1 ~~ASME Code Class 1 Components Designed to ASME Section III and other~~
11 ~~Codes based on CUF~~Evaluated for Fatigue Parameters Other than CUF_{en}

12 For metal components ~~designed or analyzed to ASME Code Section III requirements for Class I~~
13 ~~components or other Codes that require a CUF calculation~~evaluated for fatigue parameters
14 other than CUF_{en} , the acceptance criteria, ~~depending~~ depend on the applicant's choice of 10
15 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), ~~are discussed in the following sub-sections and are as follows:~~

16 4.3.2.1.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

17 The existing CUF fatigue parameter calculations remain valid for the subsequent period of
18 extended operation because the number of accumulated cycles ~~for the design basis transients~~
19 ~~would not and the assumed severity of each of the cyclic loadings evaluated in the calculations~~
20 ~~are not projected to exceed the limits established~~evaluated for these ~~transients~~loadings. The
21 ~~revised projections are verified to be consistent with historical plant operating characteristics~~
22 ~~and anticipated future operation.~~

23 4.3.2.1.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

24 The ~~CUF calculation analyses~~fatigue parameter calculations are ~~projected~~revised and shown to
25 remain acceptable throughout the ~~end of the extended~~subsequent period of extended operation
26 based on ~~projecting a revised projection of~~ the cumulative number and assumed severity of
27 ~~transient occurrences for design basis transients through~~each of the cyclic loadings to the
28 ~~expiration~~end of the subsequent period of extended operation. The revised projections are
29 verified to be consistent with historical plant operating characteristics and anticipated future
30 operation. The resulting CUF fatigue parameter values are verified to remain less than or equal
31 to ~~a CUF~~ their respective allowable value ~~of one~~ for the subsequent period of extended
32 operation.

33 4.3.2.1.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

34 ~~In Chapter X.M1 of the GALL~~The applicant proposes an aging management program (AMP) as
35 the basis for demonstrating that the effect or effects of aging on the intended function(s) of the
36 structure(s) or component(s) in the fatigue parameter evaluations will be adequately managed
37 during the subsequent period of extended operation. The AMP in Section X.M1, "Cyclic Load
38 Monitoring," of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-
39 SLR) report provides one method that may be used to demonstrate compliance with the
40 requirement in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).

1 An applicant may also propose another AMP to demonstrate compliance with the requirement in
2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). If the basis for aging management is a plant-specific AMP, the AMP is
3 described in terms of the 10 program elements defined in the Standard Review Plan for Review
4 of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-SLR),
5 Appendix A.1, “Branch Technical Position, Aging Management Review—Generic,”
6 Sections A.1.2.3.1 through A–1.2.3.10.

7 If an inspection program is proposed as the basis for aging management, the applicant should
8 ensure that: (a) inspections will be performed for the specific component(s) or structure(s) in
9 the evaluation and (b) applicant has justified that the inspection methods and frequencies in the
10 proposed inspection program are applicable to the component(s), such that they may be used to
11 demonstrate compliance with the requirement in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).

12 4.3.2.1.2 Components Evaluated for CUF_{en}

13 For metal components evaluated for CUF_{en} , the acceptance criteria depend on the applicant’s
14 choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii).

15 Applicants should also include CUF_{en} calculations for additional component locations if they are
16 considered to be more limiting than those previously evaluated. The sample of critical
17 components can be evaluated by applying environmental correction factors to the existing CUF
18 analyses or by performing more refined calculations. Environmental effects on fatigue for
19 these critical components can be evaluated using the positions described in Regulatory Guide
20 (RG) 1.207, Revision 1 (Ref. 5) or an NRC staff-approved alternative.

21 4.3.2.1.2.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

22 The existing CUF_{en} calculations remain valid for the subsequent period of extended operation
23 because the number of accumulated cycles, the assumed severity of the cyclic loadings, and
24 the assumed water chemistry conditions evaluated in the calculations are not projected to
25 exceed the limits evaluated for these loadings. The revised projections for the number of
26 accumulated cycles are verified to be consistent with historical plant operating characteristics
27 and anticipated future operation.

28 For stainless steel (SS) and nickel alloy materials, or any location where average temperature
29 was used in the calculation, or where a constant F_{en} value of 1.49 was used for nickel alloy
30 materials, the methods are updated under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii) to use the positions described
31 in RG 1.207, Revision 1.

32 4.3.2.1.2.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

33 The CUF_{en} calculations are revised and shown to remain acceptable throughout the subsequent
34 period of extended operation based on a revised projection of the cumulative number of
35 occurrences, the assumed severity of cyclic loadings, and the assumed water chemistry
36 conditions to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation. The revised projections
37 are verified to be consistent with historical plant operating characteristics and anticipated future
38 operation. The resulting CUF_{en} values are verified to remain less than or equal to unity for the
39 subsequent period of extended operation. The positions described in RG 1.207, Revision 1 are
40 used for all component evaluations as a part of revising the calculations.

41 4.3.2.1.2.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

1 ~~In Section X.M1 of the GALL-SLR Report (Ref. 13), the NRC staff has evaluated a program for~~
2 ~~monitoring and tracking the number of occurrences and the severity of critical thermal and~~
3 ~~pressure transients cyclic loadings for the selected components. The~~ In Section XI.M2 of the
4 ~~GALL-SLR Report, the NRC staff have evaluated a program for monitoring and tracking water~~
5 ~~chemistry conditions. The NRC staff determined that this program is an these programs, when~~
6 ~~used together, are acceptable aging management program AMPs to address metal the effects of~~
7 ~~reactor water environment on component fatigue of the reactor coolant system components life~~
8 according to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). The GALL-SLR Report may be referenced in an
9 subsequent license renewal application (SLRA) and should be treated in the same manner as
10 an approved topical report. In referencing the GALL-SLR Report, the applicant should indicate
11 that the material referenced is applicable to the specific plant involved and should provide the
12 information necessary to adopt the finding of program acceptability as described and evaluated
13 in the report. The applicant also should verify that the approvals set forth in the GALL-SLR
14 Report for the generic program apply to the applicant's program. Alternatively, the components
15 could be replaced and the CUF_{en} values for the replacement components shown to be
16 acceptable for the subsequent period of extended operation.

17 ~~1.1.7.6.4 — 4.3.2.1.2 Piping Components Designed to USAS ANSI B31.1 Requirements~~
18 ~~and ASME Code Class 2 and 3 Components Designed to ASME Section III~~
19 ~~Requirements~~

20 ~~For piping designed or analyzed to B31.1 guidance or ASME Code Class 2 and 3 components~~
21 ~~designed to ASME Section III cyclic design requirements, the acceptance criteria, depending on~~
22 ~~the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), are discussed in the following sub-~~
23 ~~sections.~~

24 ~~1.1.7.6.4.1 — 4.3.2.1.2.1 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)~~

25 ~~The maximum allowable stress range values for the existing fatigue analysis remain valid~~
26 ~~because the allowable limit for the number of full thermal range transient cycles would not be~~
27 ~~exceeded during the period of extended operation.~~

28 ~~1.1.7.6.4.2 — 4.3.2.1.2.2 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)~~

29 ~~The maximum allowable stress range values are re-evaluated based on the projected number of~~
30 ~~assumed full thermal range transient cycles above a value of 7000 (refer to Table 4.3-1). The~~
31 ~~current stress range value in the design basis for the component is then compared to the~~
32 ~~reduced maximum allowable stress range value to make sure it is within the value of the newly-~~
33 ~~reduced limit, and to ensure that the design basis value remains acceptable during the period of~~
34 ~~extended operation.~~

35 ~~1.1.7.6.4.3 — 4.3.2.1.2.3 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)~~

36 ~~The effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the period of~~
37 ~~extended operation. Chapter X.M1 in the GALL *Final Safety Analysis Report* provides an~~
38 ~~acceptable method for dispositioning fatigue analyses under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).~~
39 ~~Alternatively, the component could be replaced and the allowable stresses for the replacement~~
40 ~~will be sufficient as specified by the code during the period of extended operation. The analyses~~
41 ~~will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to ensure that the aging effects will be managed such~~
42 ~~that the intended functions(s) will be maintained during the period of extended operation.~~

~~1.1.7.6.5 — 4.3.2.1.3 — Environmental Fatigue Calculations for Code Class 1 Components~~

~~The staff recommendation for the closure of GSI-190 is contained in a December 26, 1999 memorandum from Ashok Thadani to William Travers (Ref. 11). The staff recommended that licensees address the effects of the coolant environment on component fatigue life as aging management programs are formulated in support of license renewal. For reactor coolant pressure boundary components, one method acceptable to the staff for satisfying this recommendation is to assess the impact of the reactor coolant environment on a sample of critical components. These critical components should include, as a minimum, those selected in NUREG/CR-6260 (Ref. 10). Applicants should consider adding additional component locations if they are considered to be more limiting than those considered in NUREG/CR-6260. The sample of critical components can be evaluated by applying environmental correction factors to the existing ASME Code fatigue analyses.~~

~~Applicants should consider adding additional component locations if they are considered to be more limiting than those considered in NUREG/CR-6260. The sample of critical components can be evaluated by applying environmental correction factors to the existing ASME Code fatigue analyses. Applicants should add additional plant specific component locations if they may be more limiting than those considered in NUREG/CR-6260. Environmental effects on fatigue for these critical components can be evaluated using one of the following sets of formulae:~~

~~• Carbon and Low Alloy Steels~~

- ~~○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-6583 (Ref. 14), using the applicable ASME Section III fatigue design curve.~~
- ~~○ Those provided in Appendix A of NUREG/CR-6909 (Ref. 21), using either the applicable ASME Section III fatigue design curve or the fatigue design curve for carbon and low alloy steel provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Figures A.1 and A.2, respectively, and Table A.1).~~
- ~~○ A staff approved alternative.~~

~~• Austenitic Stainless Steels~~

- ~~○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-5704 (Ref. 15), using the applicable ASME Section III fatigue design curve.~~
- ~~○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Ref. 21), using the fatigue design curve for austenitic stainless steel provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Figure A.3 and Table A.2).~~
- ~~○ A staff approved alternative.~~

~~• Nickel Alloys~~

- ~~○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Ref. 21), using the fatigue design curve for austenitic stainless steel provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Figure A.3 and Table A.2).~~
- ~~○ A staff approved alternative.~~

~~Any one option may be used for calculating the CUF_{en} for each material.~~

~~1.1.7.6.6 — 4.3.2.1.4 — Potential Fatigue Assessments for BWR Vessel Internals Components~~

~~The acceptance criteria in Subsection 4.3.2.1.1 of this review plan section apply.~~

~~1.1.7.6.7 — 4.3.2.1.5 — Potential Flaw Growth and Fracture Mechanics Analysis~~

~~Depending on the choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), the acceptance criteria are discussed in the following sub-sections.~~

~~1.1.7.6.7.1 — 4.3.2.1.5.1 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)~~

~~The existing analyses remain valid because the number of cycles assumed for the 40-year life would not be exceeded during the period of extended operation.~~

~~1.1.7.6.7.2 — 4.3.2.1.5.2 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)~~

~~The degree of flaw growth or increase in the stress intensity factor are projected based on the cumulative number of transient occurrences to the end of the period of extended operation. The newly projected values are compared to the acceptance criteria for these parameters that were set in the original analysis.~~

~~1.1.7.6.7.3 — 4.3.2.1.5.3 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)~~

~~The acceptance criteria under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to ensure that the aging effects will be managed such that the intended function(s) of the subject components will be maintained during the period of extended operation.~~

~~Chapter X.M1 in the GALL Report may not be used as a basis for accepting fatigue-based flaw growth analyses or fracture mechanics analyses in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).~~

~~4.3.2.2 — FSAR Supplement~~

The specific criterion for meeting 10 CFR 54.21(d) is as follows:

The summary description of the evaluation of TLAAs for the subsequent period of extended operation in the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) supplement is appropriate such that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description should contain information associated with the TLAAAs regarding the basis for determining that the applicant has made the demonstration required by 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).

4.3.3 Review Procedures

~~For each area~~ Review procedures for metal component fatigue parameter evaluations for the areas of review described in Subsection 4.3.1, ~~the review procedures in the subsequent sub-sections should be followed~~ are discussed in the following subsections.

4.3.3.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis

The Code of Record should be used for the re-evaluation, or the applicant may update to a later Code edition pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a using an appropriate Code reconciliation. In the latter case, the reviewer verifies that the requirements in 10 CFR 50.55a are met.

~~4.3.3.1.1 ASME Code Class 1 Components Designed to ASME Section III and Evaluated for Fatigue Parameters Other Codes based on CUF Than CUF_{en}~~

1 For ~~metal components designed or analyzed to ASME Class 1 requirements or evaluated for~~
2 ~~fatigue parameters~~ other ~~Codes that are based on a CUF calculation than CUF_{en}~~, the review
3 ~~procedures, depending~~ depend on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii),
4 and are discussed in the following sub-sections as follows:

5 4.3.3.1.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

6 The operating ~~transient~~cyclic load experience and a list of the assumed transients used in the
7 existing ~~CUF~~fatigue parameter calculations is reviewed for the current operating term ~~are~~
8 ~~reviewed~~ to ensure that the projected number of ~~assumed transients would not be~~
9 ~~exceeded~~transient occurrences during the subsequent period of extended operation- will not
10 exceed the assumed number of transient occurrences in the existing fatigue parameter
11 calculations. The projected number of occurrences for each transient is verified to be consistent
12 with historical plant operating characteristics and anticipated future operation. In addition, a
13 comparison of the operating cyclic load severity to the severity for each transient assumed in
14 the existing fatigue parameter calculations is made to demonstrate that the cyclic load severity
15 for each transient used in the fatigue parameter calculations remains bounding. For
16 consistency purposes, the review also includes an assessment of the TLAA information against
17 relevant design basis information and current licensing basis (CLB) information- ~~(including~~
18 ~~applicable cycle-counting requirements in technical specifications).~~

19 4.3.3.1.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

20 The operating ~~transient~~cyclic load experience is reviewed to ensure that the increased number
21 of ~~transients~~cyclic load occurrences and their severity for each transient used for any ~~re-~~
22 ~~analysis meets or exceeds~~reanalysis remain within the number of ~~transient~~transient
23 occurrences and severity for each transient projected to the end of the subsequent period of
24 extended operation. The revised ~~CUF~~fatigue parameter calculations are reviewed to ensure
25 that the ~~CUF~~fatigue parameter remains less than or equal to ~~one~~the allowed value at the end of
26 the ~~period of extended operation.~~ subsequent period of extended operation. The revised fatigue
27 parameter calculations are shown to remain acceptable based on revised projections of the
28 cumulative number of occurrences and the assumed severity of each transient to the end of the
29 subsequent period of extended operation. The revised projections are verified to be consistent
30 with historical plant operating characteristics and anticipated future operation. For consistency
31 purposes, the review also includes an assessment of the TLAA information against relevant
32 design basis information and CLB information.

33 4.3.3.1.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

34 Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii), the applicant proposes an AMP or aging management
35 activities as the basis for demonstrating that the effect or effects of aging on the intended
36 function(s) of the structure(s) or component(s) in the fatigue parameter evaluation will be
37 adequately managed during the subsequent period of extended operation. If Section X.M1,
38 "Cyclic Load Monitoring," of the GALL-SLR Report is used as the basis for managing cumulative
39 fatigue damage or cracking due to fatigue or cyclical loading in the structure(s) or component(s),
40 the reviewer reviews the applicant's AMP against the program elements defined in GALL-SLR
41 Report Section X.M1.

42 An applicant also has the option of proposing another GALL-based AMP, plant-specific AMP, or
43 plant-specific activities, or combination of, to demonstrate compliance with the requirement in
44 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). If another GALL-based AMP is proposed as the basis for aging

1 management, the reviewer reviews the applicant's AMP against the program element criteria
2 defined in the applicable AMP section in Chapter XI of the GALL-SLR Report. If the basis for
3 aging management is a plant-specific AMP or plant-specific aging management activities, the
4 reviewer reviews the program element criteria for the AMP or activities against the program
5 element criteria defined in this SRP-SLR, Appendix A.1, "Branch Technical Position, Aging
6 Management Review—Generic," Sections A.1.2.3.1 through A.1.2.3.10.

7 If a sampling based inspection program (a type of condition monitoring program) is proposed as
8 the basis for aging management, the reviewer ensures that the AMP actually performs
9 inspections of the specific component(s) or structure(s) in the evaluation at each unit in a
10 multiunit site and that the applicant has appropriately justified that the inspection methods and
11 associated frequencies are capable of managing cumulative fatigue damage or cracking by
12 fatigue or cyclical loading in the component(s) or structure(s), such that the TLAA may be
13 accepted in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).

14 4.3.3.1.2 Components Evaluated for CUF_{en}

15 For metal components evaluated for CUF_{en} , the review procedures depend on the applicant's
16 choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii).

17 Applicants should include CUF_{en} calculations for the limiting component locations exposed to
18 the reactor water environment. A sample of critical components can be evaluated by applying
19 environmental correction factors to the existing CUF analyses or by performing more refined
20 calculations. Environmental effects on fatigue for these critical components can be evaluated
21 using the positions described in RG 1.207, Revision 1, or a NRC staff-approved alternative.

22 4.3.3.1.2.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

23 The operating cyclic load experience and a list of the assumed transients used in the existing
24 fatigue parameter calculations are reviewed for the current operating term to ensure that the
25 number of assumed occurrences of each transient would not be exceeded during the
26 subsequent period of extended operation. A comparison of the operating cyclic load severity to
27 the severity assumed in the existing fatigue parameter calculations for each transient should be
28 made to demonstrate that the cyclic load severities used in the fatigue parameter calculations
29 remain bounding. In addition, a comparison of the water chemistry conditions to those assumed
30 in the existing environmental multiplier (F_{en}) calculations should be made to demonstrate that
31 the water chemistry conditions used in the F_{en} calculations remain appropriate. For consistency
32 purposes, the review also includes an assessment of the TLAA information against relevant
33 design basis information and CLB information ~~(including applicable cycle-counting requirements~~
34 ~~in technical specifications.~~ For SS and nickel alloy materials, or any location where average
35 temperature was used in the calculation, or where a constant F_{en} value of 1.49 was used for
36 nickel alloy materials, the review includes verification that the methods have been updated to
37 use the positions described in RG 1.207, Revision 1 under the provisions of 10 CFR
38 54.21(c)(1)(ii).

39 4.3.3.1.2.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

40 The operating cyclic load experience and a list of the assumed transients used in the existing
41 fatigue parameter calculations is reviewed for the current operating term to ensure that the
42 number of assumed occurrences for each transient are projected to the end of the subsequent
43 period of extended operation. The reviewer verifies that a comparison of the operating cyclic

1 load severity to the severity assumed in the existing fatigue parameter calculations for each
2 transient has been made to demonstrate that the cyclic load severities used in the fatigue
3 parameter calculations remain bounding. In addition, the reviewer verifies that a comparison of
4 the water chemistry conditions to those assumed in the F_{en} calculations has been made to
5 demonstrate that the water chemistry conditions used in the F_{en} calculations are appropriate.
6 For consistency purposes, the review also includes an assessment of the TLAA information
7 against relevant design basis information and CLB information. The review includes verification
8 that the positions described in RG 1.207, Revision 1 are used for all component evaluations as
9 a part of revising the calculations.

10 The Code of Record should be used for the reevaluation, or the applicant may update to a later
11 Code edition pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a- using an appropriate Code reconciliation. In the latter
12 case, the reviewer verifies that the requirements in 10 CFR 50.55a are met.

13 4.3.3.1.42.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

14 The applicant may reference ~~Chapter~~Sections X.M1 and XI.M2 of the GALL-SLR Report in its
15 ~~license renewal~~SLR application and use ~~this~~these GALL-~~chapter-~~SLR chapters to accept the
16 TLAA in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii), as appropriate. The review should verify that
17 the applicant has stated that the report is applicable to its plant with respect to its program that
18 monitors and tracks the number and severity of critical ~~thermal and pressure transients~~cyclic
19 loadings and water chemistry conditions for ~~the selected reactor coolant system~~metal
20 components. The reviewer verifies that the applicant has identified the appropriate
21 ~~program~~programs as described and evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report. The reviewer also
22 ensures that the applicant has stated that its program contains the same program elements that
23 the NRC staff evaluated and relied upon in approving the corresponding generic program in the
24 GALL-SLR Report. For consistency purposes, the review also includes an assessment of the
25 TLAA information against ~~relevant design basis and CLB information (including applicable cycle-~~
26 ~~counting requirements in technical specifications). No staff re-evaluation of the acceptability of~~
27 ~~the basis in the GALL Report Chapter X.M1 is necessary.~~

28 ~~1.1.7.6.8 4.3.3.1.2 Piping Components Designed to USAS ANSI B31.1 Requirements~~
29 ~~and ASME Code Class 2 and 3 Components Designed to ASME Section III~~
30 ~~Requirements~~

31 ~~For piping designed or analyzed to ANSI B31.1 guidance or ASME Code Class 2 and 3~~
32 ~~components designed to ASME Section III cyclic design requirements, the review procedures,~~
33 ~~depending on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), are discussed in the~~
34 ~~following sub-sections.~~

35 ~~1.1.7.6.8.1 4.3.3.1.2.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)~~

36 ~~The staff reviews the relevant information in the TLAA, operating plant transient history, design~~
37 ~~basis, and CLB (including technical specification cycle counting requirements) to verify that the~~
38 ~~maximum allowable stress range values for the existing fatigue analysis remain valid for the~~
39 ~~period of extended operation and that the allowable limit for full thermal range transients will not~~
40 ~~be exceeded during the period of extended operation.~~

~~1.1.7.6.8.2 — 4.3.3.1.2.2 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)~~

~~The maximum allowable stress range values are re-evaluated based on the projected number of full thermal range transient cycles above a value of 7000 (refer to Table 4.3-1). The reviewer confirms that (1) if the value of the number of equivalent full temperature cycles is above 7000, the applicant has reduced the maximum allowable stress range limit according to the reduction factors in the table, and (2) the design basis stress value for the component is within the newly-reduced allowable limit. The review includes all-relevant design basis, operating history, CLB, and TLAACLB information, (including technical specification applicable cycle counting requirements, and water chemistry monitoring set forth in the applicable AMPs).~~

~~1.1.7.6.8.3 — 4.3.3.1.2.3 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)~~

~~The effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the period of extended operation. Chapter X.M1 in the GALL Report provides an acceptable method to disposition these fatigue analyses under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). The staff reviews an applicant's program for dispositioning the TLAA in accordance with the requirements in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) and the guidance in GALL Chapter X.M1.~~

~~In addition to continued monitoring and tracking, the components could be re-analyzed, inspected for cracking, repaired, or replaced. Such approaches will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to ensure that the aging effects will be managed such that the intended functions(s) will be maintained during the period of extended operation.~~

~~1.1.7.7 — 4.3.3.1.3 — Other Evaluations Based on CUF~~

~~The reviewer verifies that the applicant has addressed the staff recommendation for the closure of GSI-190 contained in a December 26, 1999 memorandum from Ashok Thadani to William Travers (Ref. 11). The reviewer verifies that the applicant has addressed the effects of the coolant environment on component fatigue life as aging management programs are formulated in support of license renewal. If an applicant has chosen to assess the impact of the reactor coolant environment on a sample of critical components, the reviewer verifies the following:~~

- ~~1. The critical components include a sample of high-fatigue usage locations. This sample is to include the locations identified in NUREG/CR-6260 (Ref. 10), as a minimum, and proposed additional locations based on plant specific considerations.~~
- ~~2. The sample of critical components has been evaluated (1) by applying environmental correction factors to the existing ASME Code required CUF analyses, or (2) using the methodology provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Ref. 21). If the Class 1 component was designed to a code not requiring CUF, a new environmental CUF calculation has been performed or is addressed in an appropriate license renewal commitment.~~
- ~~3. Environmental effects on fatigue for these critical components have been evaluated using one of the following sets of formulae:~~

- ~~• Carbon and Low Alloy Steels~~

- ~~○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-6583 (Ref. 14), using the applicable ASME Section III fatigue design curve.~~
- ~~○ Those provided in Appendix A of NUREG/CR-6909 (Ref. 21), using either the applicable ASME Section III fatigue design curve or the fatigue design curve for~~

1 carbon and low alloy steel provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Figures A.1 and A.2,
2 respectively, and Table A.1).

3 ~~○ A staff approved alternative.~~

4 ~~● Austenitic Stainless Steels~~

5 ~~○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-5704 (Ref. 15), using the applicable ASME
6 Section III fatigue design curve.~~

7 ~~○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Ref. 21), using the fatigue design curve
8 for austenitic stainless steel provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Figure A.3 and
9 Table A.2).~~

10 ~~○ A staff approved alternative.~~

11 ~~● Nickel Alloys~~

12 ~~○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Ref. 21), using the fatigue design curve
13 for austenitic stainless steel provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Figure A.3 and
14 Table A.2).~~

15 ~~○ A staff approved alternative.~~

16 ~~Any one option may be used for calculating the CUF_{en} for each material.~~

17 ~~1.1.7.7.1 4.3.3.1.4 Potential Fatigue Assessments for BWR Vessel Internals
18 Components~~

19 ~~The review procedures in Subsection 4.3.3.1.1 of this review plan section apply.~~

20 ~~1.1.7.7.2 4.3.3.1.5 Potential Flaw Growth and Fracture Mechanics Analysis~~

21 ~~Depending on the choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), the review procedures are
22 discussed in the following sub-sections.~~

23 ~~1.1.7.7.2.1 4.3.3.1.5.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)~~

24 ~~The operating cyclic experience and a list of the assumed cycles used in the existing analyses
25 are reviewed to ensure that the number of assumed cycles would not be exceeded during the
26 period of extended operation.~~

27 ~~1.1.7.7.2.2 4.3.3.1.5.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)~~

28 ~~The staff reviews the applicant's basis for projecting the amount of flaw growth or increase in
29 the stress intensity factor value to the end of the period of extended operation. The staff ensures
30 that the applicant's basis is valid and that the newly-projected values are compared to the
31 acceptance criteria for these parameters that were set in the original analysis.~~

32 ~~1.1.7.7.2.3 4.3.3.1.5.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)~~

33 ~~The staff reviews the applicant's proposed program to ensure that the effects of aging on the
34 intended function(s) of the subject component will be adequately managed for the period of
35 extended operation. The acceptance criteria under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) will be evaluated on a
36 case-by-case basis. Chapter X.M1 in the GALL Report may not be used as a basis for~~

1 ~~accepting fatigue-based flaw growth analyses or fracture mechanics analyses in accordance~~
2 ~~with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).~~

3 4.3.3.2 FSAR—An applicant also has the option of proposing another GALL-based AMP, plant-
4 specific AMP, or plant-specific activities, or combination of, to demonstrate compliance with the
5 requirement in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). If another GALL-based AMP is proposed as the basis for
6 aging management, the reviewer reviews the applicant’s AMP against the program element
7 criteria defined in the applicable AMP section in Chapter XI of the GALL-SLR Report. If the
8 basis for aging management is a plant-specific AMP or plant-specific aging management
9 activities, the reviewer reviews the program element criteria for the AMP or activities against the
10 program element criteria defined in this SRP-SLR, Appendix A.1, “Branch Technical Position,
11 Aging Management Review—Generic,” Sections A.1.2.3.1 through A.1.2.3.10.

12 If a sampling based inspection program (a type of condition monitoring program) is proposed as
13 the basis for aging management, the reviewer ensures that the AMP actually performs
14 inspections of the specific component(s) or structure(s) in the evaluation at each unit in a
15 multiunit site and that the applicant has appropriately justified that the inspection methods and
16 associated frequencies are capable of managing cumulative fatigue damage or cracking by
17 fatigue or cyclical loading in the component(s) or structure(s), such that the TLAA may be
18 accepted in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).

19 4.3.3.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

20 The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided information to be included in the FSAR
21 supplement that includes a summary description of the evaluation of the metal fatigue TLAA.
22 Table 4.3-21 contains examples of acceptable FSAR supplement information for ~~this~~
23 ~~TLAA.~~metal fatigue TLAAs that are dispositioned in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).
24 The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided a FSAR supplement with information
25 equivalent to that in Table 4.3-2-1.

26 The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the
27 applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR supplement at the next update required
28 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license condition, until the FSAR update is
29 complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR supplement
30 without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change pursuant to
31 the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the FSAR to include the final
32 FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, no condition will be necessary.

33 As noted in Table 4.3-21, an applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its
34 FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in
35 the license renewal application to any future aging management activities, including
36 enhancements and commitments to be completed before the start of the subsequent period of
37 extended operation. The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed
38 license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the
39 committed date.

40 **4.3.4 Evaluation Findings**

41 The reviewer determines whether the applicant has provided sufficient information to satisfy the
42 provisions of this section and whether the NRC staff’s evaluation supports conclusions of the

1 following type, depending on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), to be
2 included in the NRC staff's Safety Evaluation Report:

3 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that
4 the applicant has provided an acceptable demonstration, pursuant to
5 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1), that, for the [reviewer to insert applicable type of metal
6 fatigue analysis] TLA, [choose which is appropriate] (i) the analyses remain
7 valid for the subsequent period of extended operation, (ii) the analyses have
8 been projected to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, or
9 (iii) the effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed
10 for the subsequent period of extended operation. The NRC staff also concludes
11 that the FSAR Supplement contains an appropriate summary description of the
12 [reviewer to insert applicable type of metal fatigue analysis] TLA, evaluation for
13 the subsequent period of extended operation as reflected in the license condition.

14 **4.3.5 Implementation**

15 Except in those cases in-which/where the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative ~~method~~,
16 the ~~method/methods~~ described herein will be used by the NRC staff in its ~~evaluation/evaluations~~
17 of conformance with NRC regulations.

18 **4.3.6 References**

- 19 ~~1. ASME-Section III, "Rules for Construction of Nuclear Power Plant Components," The~~
20 ~~ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, 2004 edition, The Section III. New York City,~~
21 ~~New York: American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, NY.~~
- 22 ~~2. ANSI/ASME B31.1, "Power Piping," New York City, New York: American National~~
23 ~~Standards Institute.~~
- 24 ~~3. ANSI/ASME B31.7-1969, "Nuclear Power Piping," New York City, New York:~~
25 ~~American National Standards Institute.~~
- 26 ~~1. SECY-93-049, "Implementation of 10 CFR Part 54, 'Requirements for Renewal of Operating~~
27 ~~Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants,'" March 1, 1993.~~
- 28 ~~2. Staff Requirements Memorandum from Samuel J. Chilk, dated June 28, 1993.~~
- 29 ~~3. NUREG-0933, "Resolution of Generic Safety Issues," Supplement 32, U.S. Nuclear~~
30 ~~Regulatory Commission, August 2008.~~
- 31 ~~4. Letter from William T. Russell of NRC to William Rasin of the Nuclear Management and~~
32 ~~Resources Council, dated July 30, 1993.~~
- 33 ~~5. SECY-94-191, "Fatigue Design of Metal Components," July 26, 1994.~~
- 34 ~~6. SECY-95-245, "Completion of the Fatigue Action Plan," September 25, 1995.~~
- 35 ~~7. NUREG/CR-6260, "Application of NUREG/CR-5999 Interim Fatigue Curves to Selected~~
36 ~~Nuclear Power Plant Components," March 1995.~~

- 1 ~~8. Letter from Ashok C. Thadani of the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research to William D.~~
2 ~~Travers, Executive Director of Operations, dated December 26, 1999.~~
- 3 ~~9. NUREG/CR-6674, "Fatigue Analysis of Components for 60-Year Plant Life," June 2000.~~
- 4 ~~10. NUREG-1801, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory~~
5 ~~Commission, Revision 2, 2010.~~
- 6 ~~11. NUREG/CR-6583, "Effects of LWR Coolant Environments on Fatigue Design Curves of~~
7 ~~Carbon and Low-Alloy Steels," March 1998.~~
- 8 ~~12. NUREG/CR-5704, "Effects of LWR Coolant Environments on Fatigue Design Curves of~~
9 ~~Austenitic Stainless Steels," April 1999.~~
- 10 ~~13. NRC Acceptance for Referencing of BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Core Spray~~
11 ~~Internals Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines for Compliance with the License~~
12 ~~Renewal Rule (10CFR Part 54), Dec. 7, 2000, in BWRVIP-18-A, "BWR Vessel and Internals~~
13 ~~Project BWR Core Spray Internals Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines," EPRI TR-~~
14 ~~1011469, February 2005.~~
- 15 ~~14. NRC Acceptance for Referencing of Report, "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR~~
16 ~~Standby Liquid Control System/Core Plate Δ P Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines,"~~
17 ~~for Compliance with the License Renewal Rule (10CFR Part 54), Dec. 20, 1999, in~~
18 ~~BWRVIP-27-A, "BWR Vessel and Internals Project BWR Standby Liquid Control~~
19 ~~System/Core Plate Δ P Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines," EPRI TR-1007279,~~
20 ~~August 2003.~~
- 21 ~~15. NRC Acceptance for Referencing of Report, "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR~~
22 ~~Lower Plenum Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines," for Compliance with the License~~
23 ~~Renewal Rule (10CFR Part 54), Dec. 7, 2000, in BWRVIP-47-A, "BWR Vessel and Internals~~
24 ~~Project BWR Lower Plenum Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines," EPRI TR-1009947,~~
25 ~~June 2004.~~
- 26 ~~16. NRC Acceptance for Referencing of EPRI Proprietary Report TR-113596, "BWR Vessel and~~
27 ~~Internals Project, BWR Reactor Pressure Vessel Inspection and Flaw Evaluation~~
28 ~~Guidelines," and Appendix A, "Demonstration of Compliance with the Technical Information~~
29 ~~Requirements of the License Renewal Rule (10CFR 54.21)," Oct. 18, 2001, in BWRVIP-74-~~
30 ~~A, "BWR Vessel and Internals Project BWR Reactor Pressure Vessel Inspection and Flaw~~
31 ~~Evaluation Guidelines," EPRI TR-1008872, June 2003.~~
- 32 Regulatory 4. NRC. Regulatory Guide RG-1.207, "Guidelines for Evaluating Fatigue Analyses
33 Incorporating the Life Reduction of Metal Components due to the Effects of the Light-
34 Water Reactor Environment for New Reactors," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
35 Commission, Coolant Environments in Fatigue Analyses of Metal Components."
36 Revision 1. Washington, DC, March 2007. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
37 2014.
- 38 5. NRC. NUREG/CR-6909, Revision 1, ANL-12/60, "Effect of LWR Coolant Environments
39 on the Fatigue Life of Reactor Materials" (Final Report), February 2007. "
40 ML14087A068. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 2014.

- 1 6. ASME. Section III, "Rules for Construction of Nuclear Facility Components."
2 New York City, New York: American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- 3 7. ASME. Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
4 Nonmandatory, Appendix A, Analysis of Flaws." New York City, New York: American
5 Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- 6 8. ASME. Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
7 Appendix C, Evaluation of Flaws in Austenitic Piping." New York City, New York:
8 American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

Table 4.3-1 — Stress Range Reduction Factors, Examples of FSAR Supplement for Metal Fatigue TLAA Evaluation		
10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) Examples		
<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>Components Evaluated for Fatigue Parameters Other than CUF_{en}</u>	<p><u>Number of Equivalent Full Temperature Cycles</u>[Applicant to identify and provide adequate description of the specific metal fatigue parameter evaluation]</p> <p>The number of occurrences and severity of each of the thermal and pressure transients, projected to the end of the subsequent license renewal operating period, demonstrate that the [Applicant to insert Name of the TLAA] remains valid during the subsequent license renewal operating period and therefore, that this TLAA is acceptable in accordance with the criterion in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i).</p>	<u>Stress Range Reduction Factor</u> Completed (prior to submittal of an application for SLR)
<u>Components Evaluated for CUF_{en}</u>	<p>7,000 and less[Applicant to identify and provide adequate description of the specific metal fatigue evaluation for evaluating environmentally assisted fatigue in ASME Code Class 1 or Safety Class 1 components]</p> <p>The effects of the water environment on component fatigue life have been addressed by assessing the impact of the water environment on the limiting component locations, using the positions described in Regulatory Guide 1.207, Revision 1.</p> <p>The number of occurrences and severity of each of the thermal and pressure transients, projected to the end of the subsequent license renewal operating period, and consideration of the water chemistry parameters demonstrate that the TLAA on environmentally assisted fatigue remains valid during the subsequent license renewal operating period and therefore, that this TLAA is acceptable in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i).</p>	1.0 Completed (prior to submittal of an application for SLR)
10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii) Examples		
<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>Components Evaluated for Fatigue Parameters Other than CUF_{en}</u>	<p>7,000 to 14,000[Applicant to identify and provide adequate description of the specific metal fatigue parameter evaluation]</p> <p>The analysis has been projected to the end of the subsequent license renewal operating period, considering the number of occurrences and severity of each of the thermal and pressure transients, and demonstrates that the TLAA is acceptable in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).</p>	0.9 Completed (prior to submittal of an application for SLR)

Table 4.3-1 — ~~Stress Range Reduction Factors, Examples of FSAR Supplement for Metal Fatigue TLAA Evaluation~~

10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) Examples		
<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>Components Evaluated for CUF_{en}</u>	<p>14,000 to 22,000 Applicant to identify and provide adequate description of the specific metal fatigue evaluation for evaluating environmentally assisted fatigue in ASME Code Class 1 or Safety Class 1 components]</p> <p>The effects of the water environment on component fatigue life have been addressed by assessing the impact of the water environment on the limiting component locations, using the positions described in Regulatory Guide 1.207, Revision 1.</p> <p>The analysis for environmentally-assisted fatigue has been projected to the end of the subsequent license renewal operating period, considering the number of occurrences and severity of each of the thermal and pressure transients and the water chemistry parameters, and demonstrates that the TLAA is acceptable in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).</p>	0-8 Completed (prior to submittal of an application for SLR)
10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) Examples		
<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>Components Evaluated for Fatigue Parameters Other than CUF_{en}</u>	<p>22,000 to 45,000 Fatigue evaluations were performed to ensure the continued validity of the metal fatigue analyses for the subsequent license renewal operating period. [Applicant to provide adequate description of the specific metal fatigue parameter evaluation]</p> <p>The AMP monitors and tracks the number of occurrences and severity of thermal and pressure transients, and requires corrective actions to ensure that applicable fatigue analyses remain within their allowable limits. The effects of aging due to fatigue will be managed by the AMP for the subsequent license renewal operating period in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).</p>	0-7 Program should be implemented before the subsequent period of extended operation
<u>Components Evaluated for CUF_{en}</u>	<p>45,000 to 100,000 The effects of the water environment on component fatigue life will be addressed by assessing the impact of the water environment on the limiting component locations, using the positions described in Regulatory Guide 1.207, Revision 1. A limiting sample of critical components can be evaluated by applying environmental adjustment factors to the existing CUF analyses or by performing more refined calculations.</p> <p>The AMPs monitor and track the number of occurrences and severity of thermal and pressure transients, monitor water chemistry, and require corrective actions to ensure that</p>	0-6 Program should be implemented before the subsequent period of extended operation

Table 4.3-1 — ~~Stress Range Reduction Factors.~~ Examples of FSAR Supplement for Metal Fatigue TLAA Evaluation

<u>10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) Examples</u>		
<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
	<u>the applicable fatigue analyses remain within their allowable limits. The effects of aging due to environmentally assisted fatigue will be managed by the AMPs for the subsequent license renewal operating period in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).</u>	
	<u>100,000 and over</u>	<u>0.5</u>

Table 4.3-2 Example of FSAR Supplement for Metal Fatigue TLAA Evaluation

10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)(iii) Example

TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
Metal fatigue	<p>The aging management program monitors and tracks the number of critical thermal and pressure test transients and monitors the cycles for the selected reactor coolant system components.</p> <p>The aging management program addresses the effects of the coolant environment on component fatigue life by assessing the impact of the reactor coolant environment on a sample of critical components that include, as a minimum, those components selected in NUREG/CR-6260. Environmental effects on fatigue for these critical components can be evaluated using one of the following sets of formulae:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <u>Carbon and Low Alloy Steels</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-6583 (Ref. 14), using the applicable ASME Section III fatigue design curve. ○ Those provided in Appendix A of NUREG/CR-6909 (Ref. 21), using either the applicable ASME Section III fatigue design curve or the fatigue design curve for carbon and low alloy steel provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Figures A.1 and A.2, respectively, and Table A.1). ○ A staff approved alternative. ● <u>Austenitic Stainless Steels</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-5704 (Ref. 15), using the applicable ASME Section III fatigue design curve. ○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Ref. 21), using the fatigue design curve for austenitic stainless steel provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Figure A.3 and Table A.2). ○ A staff approved alternative. ● <u>Nickel Alloys</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Those provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Ref. 21), using the fatigue design curve for austenitic stainless steel provided in NUREG/CR-6909 (Figure A.3 and Table A.2). ○ A staff approved alternative. <p>Any one option may be used for calculating the GUF_{en} for each material.</p>	Evaluation should be completed before the period of extended operation

* An applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in the license renewal application to any future aging management activities to be completed before the period of extended operation. The staff

Table 4.3-2 — Example of FSAR Supplement for Metal Fatigue TLAA Evaluation

10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) Example

TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the commitment date.		

|

1 **4.4 Environmental Qualification (EQ) of Electric Equipment**

2 **Review Responsibilities**

3 **Primary** ~~---~~ Branch responsible for electrical engineering

4 **Secondary** ~~---~~ None

5 **4.4.1 Areas of Review**

6 ~~The~~ The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has established environmental
7 qualification requirements in the Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 50,
8 Appendix A, Criterion 4, and 10 CFR 50.49. Section 50.49 specifically requires each nuclear
9 power plant licensee to establish a program to qualify certain electric equipment (not including
10 equipment located in mild environments) so that such equipment, in up to its end-of-life condition,
11 will meet its performance specifications during and following design basis accidents under the
12 most severe environmental conditions postulated at the equipment's respective location after
13 ~~such an accident.~~ (qualified life). Such conditions include, among others, conditions resulting
14 from a design basis event such as a loss of coolant ~~accidents (LOCAs)~~ accident (LOCA), high-
15 energy line ~~breaks~~ break (HELBs), and post-LOCA ~~radiation.~~ Equipment environments. Electric
16 equipment is ~~qualified by test must be preconditioned by aging to perform its end-of-life~~
17 ~~condition (i.e., safety function in those harsh environments after the condition at effects of~~
18 ~~in service aging. 10 CFR 50.49 requires that the end effects of the current operating~~
19 ~~term).~~ significant aging mechanisms be addressed as part of environmental qualification. Those
20 components with a qualified life equal to or greater than the duration of the current operating
21 term are covered by time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs).

22 ~~In a related subject,~~ For equipment located in a harsh environment, the objective of
23 Environmental Qualification (EQ) is to demonstrate with reasonable assurance that electric
24 equipment important to safety, for which a qualified life has been established, can perform its
25 safety function(s) without experiencing common cause failures before, during or after applicable
26 design basis events.

27 ~~For equipment located in a mild environment (an environment that at no time would be~~
28 ~~significantly more severe than the environment occurring during normal operation, including~~
29 ~~anticipated operational occurrences—10 CFR 50.49), the demonstration that the equipment can~~
30 ~~meet its functional requirements during normal environmental conditions and anticipated~~
31 ~~operational occurrences in accordance with the plant's design and licensing bases. Equipment~~
32 ~~important to safety located in a mild environment is not part of an EQ program according to~~
33 ~~10 CFR 50.49 [10 CFR 50.49(c)]. Documents that demonstrate that a component is qualified or~~
34 ~~designed for a mild environment include design/purchase specifications, seismic qualification~~
35 ~~reports, an evaluation or certificate of conformance as applicable.~~

36 Some nuclear power plants have mechanical equipment that was qualified in accordance with
37 the provisions of Criterion 4 of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50. If a plant has qualified
38 mechanical equipment, it is typically documented in the plant's master EQ list. If this qualified
39 mechanical equipment requires a performance of a TLAA, it should be performed in accordance
40 with the provisions of SRP-~~LRSLR~~ Section 4.7, "Other Plant-Specific Time-Limited Aging
41 Analyses." If a TLAA of qualified mechanical equipment is necessary, usually it will involve
42 assessments of the environmental effects on consumable components such as seals, gaskets,
43 lubricants, fluids for hydraulic systems, or diaphragms.

1 4.4.1.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis

2 All operating plants must meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 for certain important-to-safety
3 electrical components. 10 CFR 50.49 defines the scope of components to be included, requires
4 the preparation and maintenance of a list of in-scope components, and requires the preparation
5 and maintenance of a qualification file that includes component performance specifications,
6 electrical characteristics, and environmental conditions. 10 CFR 50.49(e)(5) contains
7 provisions for aging that require, in part, consideration of all significant types of aging
8 degradation that can affect component functional capability. 10 CFR 50.49(e) also requires
9 component replacement or refurbishment prior to the end of designated life, unless additional
10 life is established through reanalysis or ongoing qualification. 10 CFR 50.49(f) establishes four
11 methods of demonstrating qualification for aging and accident conditions. 10 CFR 50.49(k) and
12 ~~(h-i)~~ permit different qualification criteria to apply based on plant and component vintage.
13 Supplemental environmental qualification regulatory guidance for compliance with these
14 different qualification criteria is provided in NRC Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.89, Rev. 1,
15 "Environmental Qualification of Certain Electric Equipment Important to Safety for Nuclear
16 Power Plants" (Ref. 1), and the Division of Operating Reactors (DOR) Guidelines (Ref. 2), and
17 NUREG--0588 (Ref. 3). The principal nuclear industry qualification standards for electric
18 equipment are Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) STD 323-1971 (Ref. 4)
19 and IEEE STD 323-1974 (Ref. 5). These standards contain explicit environmental
20 qualificationEQ considerations based on TLAAs. Compliance with 10 CFR 50.49 provides
21 reasonable assurance that the component can perform its intended functions during and
22 following accident conditions after experiencing the effects of inservice aging for applicable
23 equipment.

24 4.4.1.1.1 ~~DOR~~Division of Operating Reactors Guidelines

25 The qualification of electric equipment that is subject to significant known degradation due to
26 aging where a qualified life was previously required to be established in accordance with
27 Section 5.2.4 of the DOR Guidelines is reviewed for the period of subsequent period of extended
28 operation according to those requirements. If a qualified life was not previously established, the
29 qualification is reviewed in accordance with Section 7 of the DOR Guidelines.

30 4.4.1.1.2 NUREG--0588, Category II (IEEE STD 323-1971)

31 The qualification of certain electric equipment important to safety for which qualification was
32 required in accordance with NUREG--0588, Category II, is reviewed for conformance to those
33 requirements for the subsequent period of extended operation to assess the validity of the
34 extended qualification. These requirements include IEEE STD 382-1972 (Ref. 6) for valve
35 operators and IEEE STD 334-1971 (Ref. 7). In addition, 10 CFR 50.49(L) has to be addressed
36 for replacement equipment.

37 4.4.1.1.3 NUREG--0588, Category I (IEEE STD 323-1974)

38 The qualification of certain electric equipment important to safety for which qualification was
39 required in accordance with NUREG--0588, Category I, is reviewed for conformance to those
40 requirements for the subsequent period of extended operation to assess the validity of the
41 extended qualification.

1 4.4.1.2 *Generic Safety Issue*

2 Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2003-09 was issued on May 2, 2003, (Ref. 8) to inform
3 addressees of the results of the technical assessment of generic safety issue (GSI)-168,
4 “Environmental Qualification of Electrical Equipment” (Ref. 9). This RIS requires no action on
5 the part of the addressees.

6 4.4.1.3 FSAR *Final Safety Analysis Report* Supplement

7 The detailed information on the evaluation of TLAA is contained in the subsequent license
8 renewal application- (SLRA). A summary description of the evaluation of TLAA for the period of
9 extended operation is contained in the applicant's FSAR *Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR)*
10 supplement. The FSAR supplement is an area of review.

11 **4.4.2 Acceptance Criteria**

12 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review described in Subsection 4.4.1 of this review plan
13 section delineate acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of the NRC's regulations in
14 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).

15 4.4.2.1 *Time-Limited Aging Analysis*

16 For long-term operation, TLAA are reviewed to determine continued acceptability of
17 the analyzed component for the subsequent period of extended operation. The
18 time-dependent parameter is reevaluated, analyzed or assumed to determine a value
19 that applies to the subsequent period of extended operation. This new value of the
20 time-dependent parameter is then used to reevaluate the analysis parameter, applicable to
21 the subsequent period of extended operation.

22 Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) ~~–(j)–(iii)~~, an applicant must demonstrate the TLAA is
23 acceptable if it meets one of the following cases:

- 24 (i) The ~~analyses remain~~ analysis remains valid for the subsequent period of extended
25 operation. The time-dependent parameter(s) for the subsequent period of
26 extended operation does not exceed the time-dependent parameter value used in
27 the existing EQ analysis.
- 28 (ii) The ~~analyses have~~ analysis has been projected to the end of the
29 ~~extended~~ subsequent period of extended operation, ~~or and remains acceptable for~~
30 the subsequent period of extended operation. The time-dependent parameter(s) is
31 projected for the subsequent period of extended operation. The value of the time-
32 dependent analysis parameter(s) remains bounded to the value used in the existing
33 EQ analysis.
- 34 (iii) The effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the
35 subsequent period of extended operation.

36 The applicant manages the time-dependent parameter [using an aging management
37 program (AMP) (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP X.E1, “SLR AMP X.E1 Environmental
38 Qualification (EQ)” of Electric Components) to assure that the value of the analysis
39 parameter continues to meet the EQ analysis value.

1 Specific acceptance criteria for ~~environmental qualification~~EQ of certain electric equipment
2 important to safety analyzed to Section 5.2.4 of the DOR Guidelines; NUREG--0588, Category
3 II (Section 4); or NUREG--0588, Category I, depend on the applicant's choice, that is, 10 CFR
4 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), and are:

5 4.4.2.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

6 The existing qualification is based on previous testing, analysis, or operating experience, or
7 combinations thereof, that demonstrate that the equipment is qualified for the period of extended
8 operation. For option (i), the aging evaluation existing at the time of the ~~renewal~~
9 ~~application~~SLRA for the component remains valid for the subsequent period of extended
10 operation, and no further evaluation is necessary.

11 4.4.2.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

12 Qualification of the equipment is extended for the subsequent period of extended operation by
13 testing, analysis, or operating experience, or combinations thereof, in accordance with the
14 ~~current licensing basis~~CLB. For option (ii), a reanalysis of the aging evaluation is performed in
15 order to project the qualification of the component through the subsequent period of extended
16 operation. Important reanalysis attributes of an aging evaluation include analytical methods, data
17 collection and reduction methods, underlying assumptions, acceptance criteria, and corrective
18 actions if acceptance criteria are not met. These reanalysis attributes are discussed in Table
19 4.4-1.

20 4.4.2.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

21 In Chapter X of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal
22 (GALL-SLR) Report (Ref. 10), the NRC staff has evaluated the ~~environmental qualification~~EQ
23 program (10 CFR 50.49) and determined that it is an acceptable ~~aging management~~
24 ~~program~~AMP to address ~~environmental qualification~~EQ according to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).
25 The GALL-SLR Report may be referenced in a ~~license renewal application~~SLRA and should be
26 treated in the same manner as an approved topical report. However, the GALL-SLR Report
27 contains one acceptable way and is not the only way to manage aging for ~~license renewal~~SLR.

28 In referencing the GALL-SLR Report, the applicant should indicate that the material referenced
29 is applicable to the specific plant involved and should provide the information necessary to
30 adopt the finding of program acceptability as described and evaluated in the report. The
31 applicant should also verify that the approvals set forth in the GALL-SLR Report for the generic
32 program apply to the applicant's program.

33 4.4.2.2 FSARFinal Safety Analysis Report Supplement

34 The specific criterion for meeting 10 CFR 54.21(d) is:

35 The summary description of the evaluation of TLAAs for the period of extended
36 operation in the FSAR supplement is appropriate such that later changes can be
37 controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description should contain information
38 associated with the TLAAs regarding the basis for determining that the applicant
39 has made the demonstration required by 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).

40

1 **4.4.3 Review Procedures**

2 For each area of review described in Subsection 4.4.1, the following review procedures should
3 be followed:

4 **4.4.3.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis**

5 For electric equipment qualified to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.49, the review procedures,
6 ~~depending depend~~ on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), which are:

7 **4.4.3.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)**

8 The documented results, test data, analyses, etc. of the previous qualification, which consisted
9 of an appropriate combination of testing, analysis, and operating experience, are reviewed
10 to confirm that the original qualified life remains valid for the subsequent period of extended
11 operation.

12 **4.4.3.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)**

13 The results of projecting the qualification to the end of the subsequent period of extended
14 operation are reviewed. The qualification methods include testing, analysis/inspection,
15 operating experience, reanalysis, ongoing qualification or combinations thereof.

16 The reanalysis of an aging evaluation is normally performed to extend the qualification by
17 ~~reducing/re-evaluating original attributes, assumptions and conservatisms for environmental~~
18 conditions and other factors to identify excess conservatisms incorporated in the prior
19 evaluation. ~~Such a reanalysis~~ Reanalysis of an aging evaluation to extend the qualification of
20 electrical equipment is performed ~~on a routine basis~~ pursuant to 10 CFR 50.49(e) as part of an
21 ~~environmental qualification-EQ~~ program. ~~A component~~ While an electrical equipment life -
22 limiting condition may be due to thermal, radiation, or ~~electrical~~ operational/testing and cyclic
23 aging; ~~the vast majority of component electrical equipment~~ aging limits are based on thermal
24 conditions. ~~Conservatism~~ Conservatism may exist in aging evaluation parameters, such as the
25 assumed ~~ambient service conditions including~~ temperature ~~of the component, unrealistically low~~
26 ~~activation energy, or in the and radiation, loading, power, signal conditions, cycles, and~~
27 ~~application of a component (e.g., de-energized versus energized)-, or the use of an~~
28 unrealistically low activation energy.

29 The reanalysis of an aging evaluation is ~~documented in accordance with~~ performed according to
30 the ~~plant's station's~~ quality assurance (QA) program requirements, which ~~provides for~~ requires
31 the verification of assumptions and conclusions. ~~including the maintenance of required margins~~
32 and uncertainties.

33 For reanalysis, the reviewer verifies that an applicant has completed its reanalysis, addressing
34 attributes of analytical methods, data collection and reduction methods, underlying assumptions,
35 acceptance criteria, and corrective actions if acceptance criteria are not met (see Table 4.4-1).
36 The reviewer also verifies that the reanalysis has been completed in a timely manner prior to the
37 end of qualified life.

38 **4.4.3.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)**

1 The applicant may reference the GALL-SLR Report in its ~~license renewal application~~SLRA, as
2 appropriate. The review should verify that the applicant has stated that the report is applicable
3 to its plant with respect to its ~~environmental qualification~~EQ program. The reviewer verifies that
4 the applicant has identified the appropriate ~~program~~AMP as described and evaluated in the
5 GALL-SLR Report. The reviewer also ensures that the applicant has stated that its
6 ~~environmental qualification~~EQ program contains, and is consistent with, the same program
7 elements that the NRC staff evaluated and relied upon in approving the corresponding generic
8 ~~program~~AMP in the GALL-SLR Report. No further NRC staff evaluation is necessary.

9 If the applicant does not reference the GALL-SLR Report in its renewal application, additional
10 NRC staff evaluation is necessary to determine whether the applicant's ~~program~~TLLA analysis
11 and EQ AMP is acceptable for this area of review.

12 4.4.3.2 FSARFinal Safety Analysis Report Supplement

13 The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided information to be included in the FSAR
14 supplement that includes a summary description of the TLLA evaluation of the ~~environmental~~
15 ~~qualification of applicant's EQ AMP including time dependent~~ electric equipment. Table 4.4-2
16 contains examples of acceptable FSAR supplement information for this TLLA. The reviewer
17 verifies that the applicant has provided a FSAR supplement with information equivalent to
18 consistent with that in Table 4.4-2- including plant-specific commitments, license conditions,
19 enhancements or exceptions.

20 ~~The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the applicant~~
21 ~~to update its FSAR to include this FSAR supplement at the next update required pursuant to~~
22 ~~10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license condition, until the FSAR update is complete, the~~
23 ~~applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR supplement without prior~~
24 ~~NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change pursuant to the criteria~~
25 ~~set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. The staff will review any such changes when the next update is~~
26 ~~submitted. If the applicant updates the FSAR to include the final FSAR supplement before the~~
27 ~~license is renewed, no condition will be necessary.~~

28 As noted in Table 4.4-2, an applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its
29 FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified ~~and committed~~ in
30 the ~~license renewal application to~~SLRA any future aging management activities, including
31 commitments, license conditions, enhancements, and commitmentsexceptions to be completed
32 before implemented prior to or during the subsequent period of extended operation. ~~The staff~~
33 ~~expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will~~
34 ~~complete these activities no later than the committed date.~~

35 4.4.4 Evaluation of Findings

36 The reviewer determines whether the applicant has provided information sufficient to satisfy the
37 provisions of this section and whether the ~~staff's~~applicant's evaluation supports conclusions of
38 the ~~following type, applicant's TLLA evaluation.~~ Depending on the applicant's ~~choice~~selection, a
39 review of the applicant's 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), evaluation is to be included in the
40 NRC staff's Safety Evaluation Report.

41 The NRC staff confirms that the FSAR supplement contains a sufficiently detailed summary
42 description with key AMP attributes identified in the FSAR summary description. The NRC staff

1 also confirms the FSAR summary provides a summary description of any commitments, license
2 conditions, enhancements, or exceptions as appropriate.

3 On the basis of its review, ~~as discussed above,~~ the NRC staff concludes that the
4 applicant has provided an acceptable demonstration, pursuant to 10 CFR 54.2
5 (c)(1), that, for the environmental qualification of Electric Equipment TLAA,
6 [choose which is appropriate] (i) the analyses remain valid for the subsequent
7 period of extended operation, (ii) the analyses have been projected to the end of
8 the subsequent period of extended operation, or (iii) the effects of aging on the
9 intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the subsequent period of
10 extended operation. ~~The staff also concludes that the FSAR supplement contains~~
11 ~~an appropriate summary description of the environmental qualification of electric~~
12 ~~equipment TLAA evaluation for the period of extended operation as reflected in~~
13 ~~the license condition.~~

14 **4.4.5 Implementation**

15 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an ~~acceptable~~ alternative method for
16 complying with specific portions of the NRC's regulations, the method described herein will be
17 used by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.

1 **4.4.6** **References**

- 2 1. NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.89, Rev. 1, "Environmental Qualification of Certain Electric
3 Equipment Important to Safety for Nuclear Power Plants," Revision 1. Washington,
4 DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1984.
- 5 2. NRC. "Guidelines for Evaluating Environmental Qualification of Class 1E Electrical
6 Equipment in Operating Reactors," ("DOR Guidelines"), Washington, DC: U.S.
7 Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 1979.
- 8 3. NRC. NUREG--0588, "Interim Staff Position on Environmental Qualification of Safety-
9 Related Equipment," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
10 July 1981.
- 11 4. IEEE-STD. Standard 323-1971, "IEEE Trial Use Standard; General Guide for Qualifying
12 Class 1E Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations." New York City, New York:
13 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.
- 14 5. IEEE-STD. Standard 323-1974, "IEEE Standard for Qualifying Class 1E Equipment for
15 Nuclear Power Generating Stations." New York City, New York: Institute of Electrical
16 and Electronics Engineers.
- 17 6. IEEE-STD. Standard 382-1972, "Standard for Qualification of Actuators for Power
18 Operated Valve Assemblies with Safety Related Functions for Nuclear Power Plants."
19 New York City, New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.
- 20 7. IEEE-STD. Standard 334-1971, "IEEE Standard for Type Tests of Continuous Duty
21 Class 1E Motors for Nuclear Power Generating Stations." New York City, New York:
22 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.
- 23 8. NRC. Regulatory Issue Summary 2003-09, "Environmental Qualification of Low-Voltage
24 Instrumentation and Control Cables," Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
25 Commission. May 2, 2003.
- 26 ~~9. Generic Safety Issue 168, NRC. "Environmental Qualification of Low-Voltage~~
27 ~~Instrumentation and Control Cables," February 2001.~~
- 28 ~~10.9. NUREG-1801, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report,"~~ Safety Issue-168.
29 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Revision 2, 2010. February
30 2001.
- 31 11. CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants,
32 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2009.
- 33 12. CFR 50.49, "Environmental Qualification of Electrical Equipment Important to Safety for
34 Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2014.
- 35 13. NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.211, "Qualification of Safety-Related Cables and Field Splices
36 for Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
37 April 2009.

- 1 14. NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.100, "Seismic Qualification of Electrical and Active
2 Mechanical Equipment and Functional Qualification of Active Mechanical Equipment for
3 Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
4 September 2009.
- 5 15. NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.218, "Condition-Monitoring Techniques for Electric Cables
6 Used in Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
7 Commission. April 2012.
- 8 16. IEEE, Standard 1205-2014, "IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring, and Mitigating
9 Aging Effects on Electrical Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations and
10 Other Nuclear Facilities." New York City, New York: Institute of Electrical and
11 Electronics Engineers.

Reanalysis Attributes	Description
Analytical methods	<p>The analytical models used in the reanalysis of an aging evaluation should be <u>are</u> the same as those previously applied during the prior evaluation. The Arrhenius methodology is an acceptable thermal model for performing a thermal aging evaluation. The analytical method used for a radiation aging evaluation is to demonstrate qualification for the total integrated dose (i.e., normal radiation dose for the projected installed life plus accident radiation dose). For license renewal <u>SLR</u>, one acceptable method of establishing the <u>80-year normal radiation dose is to multiply the</u> 60-year normal radiation dose is to multiply the 40-year normal radiation dose by 1.52.0 (i.e., 6080 years/40 years). The result is added to the accident radiation dose to obtain the total integrated dose for the component. For cyclic <u>aging effects attributed to cyclic</u> aging, a similar approach may be used. Other models may be justified on a case-by-case basis.</p>
Data collection and reduction methods	<p>Reducing <u>The identification of</u> excess conservatism <u>conservatism</u> in the component <u>electrical equipment</u> service conditions (for example, temperature, radiation, <u>and</u> cycles) used in the prior aging evaluation is the chief method used for a reanalysis. <u>For example,</u> temperature data <u>and uncertainties</u> used in an <u>aging equipment EQ</u> evaluation should <u>may</u> be conservative and based on <u>the anticipated</u> plant design temperatures or on <u>found to be</u> <u>conservative when compared to</u> actual plant temperature data. When used, plant temperature data can be obtained in several ways, including monitors used for technical specification compliance; other installed monitors, measurements made by plant operators during rounds, and temperature sensors on large motors (while the motor is not running). <u>or dedicated monitoring equipment for EQ.</u></p> <p>A representative number of temperature measurements are conservatively <u>over a sufficient period of time are</u> evaluated to establish the temperatures used in an aging evaluation. Plant temperature data may be used in an aging evaluation in different ways, such as (a) directly applying the plant temperature data in the evaluation or (b) using the plant temperature data</p>

Reanalysis Attributes	Description
	<p>to demonstrate conservatism when using plant design temperatures for an evaluation. <u>The methodology for environmental monitoring, data collection and the analysis of localized EQ equipment environmental data (including temperature and radiation) used in the reanalysis is justified in the record of the reanalysis qualification report.</u></p> <p><u>Environmental monitoring data used in the analysis that is collected one time, or is of limited duration, is justified with respect to the applicability of such data to the reanalysis.</u> Any changes to material activation energy values <u>included</u> as part of a reanalysis <u>should be</u> justified <u>by the applicant on a plant-specific basis.</u> Similar methods of reducing<u>identifying</u> excess conservatism<u>conservatism</u> in the component<u>equipment</u> service conditions used in prior aging evaluations<u>condition evaluation</u> can be used for radiation and cyclic<u>cyclic</u> aging.</p>
Underlying assumptions	<p>Environmental qualification component<u>EQ equipment</u> aging evaluations contain sufficient conservatism<u>conservatism</u> to account for most environmental changes occurring due to plant modifications and events. When unexpected adverse <u>A reanalysis demonstrates that adequate margin is maintained consistent with the original analysis in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59 requiring certain margins and accounting for the unquantified uncertainties established in the EQ aging evaluation of the equipment. A reanalysis that utilizes initial qualification conservatisms and/or in-service environmental conditions (e.g., actual temperature and radiation conditions) are part of an EQ program.</u></p> <p><u>Adverse localized environments</u> are identified during <u>periodic inspections, or by</u> operational or maintenance activities that affect the <u>operating</u> environment of an environmentally qualified component, the affected environmental qualification component is evaluated and appropriate corrective actions are taken, which may include changes to the qualification bases and conclusions. <u>(e.g., changes to qualified life).</u></p>

Reanalysis Attributes	Description
Acceptance criteria and corrective actions	<p>The reanalysis of an aging evaluation shouldis <u>used to</u> extend the <u>environmental</u> qualification of thea component. If the qualification cannot be extended by reanalysis, the component must be refurbished, replaced, or requalified prior to exceeding the current qualified life. A reanalysis should be performed in a timely manner (such that sufficient time is available to refurbish, replace, or requalify the component if the reanalysis is unsuccessful).unfavorable). <u>A modification to qualified life either by reanalysis or ongoing qualification must demonstrate that adequate margin is maintained consistent with the original analysis including unquantified uncertainties established in the original EQ equipment aging valuation.</u></p>

Table 4.4-1. Environmental Qualification Reanalysis Attributes

Reanalysis Attributes	Description
-----------------------	-------------

Table 4.4-2—Examples of FSAR Supplement for Environmental Qualification of Electric Equipment TLAA Evaluation

10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) Example

TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
Environmental qualification of electric equipment	The original environmental qualification qualified life has been shown to remain valid for the period of extended operation.	Completed

10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii) Example

TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
Environmental qualification of electric equipment	The environmental qualification has been projected to the end of the period of extended operation. Reanalysis addresses attributes of analytical methods, data collection and reduction methods, underlying assumptions, acceptance criteria, and corrective actions.	Completed

10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) Example

TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
------	---------------------------	--------------------------

<p>Environmental qualification of electric equipment <u>Ongoing Qualification</u></p>	<p>The existing As an alternative to reanalysis when assessed margins, conservatisms, or assumptions do not support reanalysis (e.g., extending qualified life) of an EQ component, the use of on-going qualification techniques including condition monitoring or condition based methodologies may be implemented as a means to provide reasonable assurance that an equipment environmental qualification process, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.49, will adequately manage aging of environmental qualification equipment is maintained for the subsequent period of extended operation because.</p> <p><u>On-going qualification of electric equipment will be replaced prior to reaching the end of its qualified life. Reanalysis addresses attributes of analytical methods, data collection and</u></p>	<p>Existing program</p>
---	--	-------------------------

Table 4.4-1. Environmental Qualification Reanalysis Attributes

Reanalysis Attributes	Description
	<p>reduction methods, underlying assumptions, important to safety subject to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 involves the inspection, observation, measurement, or trending of one or more indicators, which can be correlated to the condition or functional performance of the EQ equipment. On-going Qualification techniques, including condition based monitoring, provide information that may be used in the determination a component's ability to perform its safety function and the</p> <p>components remaining qualified life for the subsequent period of extended operation.</p> <p>On-going qualification techniques for EQ equipment include, as applicable, periodic testing, inspections, mitigation, sampling (e.g., subsequent EQ qualification testing of inservice or representative EQ equipment with established acceptance criteria, and corrective actions if acceptance criteria are not met, and the period of time prior to the end of qualified life when the reanalysis will be completed (e.g., mitigation, replacement or refurbishment) consistent with endorsed standards and regulatory guidance.</p>

~~* An applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in the license renewal application to any future aging management activities to be completed before the period of extended operation. The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.~~

Table 4.4-2. Examples of FSAR Supplement for Environmental Qualification Electric Equipment TLAA Evaluation			
<u>10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) Example</u>			
	<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>4.4</u>	<u>Environmental qualification of electric equipment</u>	<p><u>The original environmental qualification qualified life has been shown to remain valid for the period of extended operation.</u></p> <p><u>[Plant specific identification and summary descriptions of commitments, license conditions, enhancements or exceptions are also described as applicable]</u></p>	
<u>10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii) Example</u>			
	<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>4.4</u>	<u>Environmental qualification of electric equipment</u>	<p><u>The environmental qualification has been projected to the end of the period of extended operation.</u></p> <p><u>[The summary report addresses the key reanalysis attributes of analytical methods, data collection and reduction methods, underlying assumptions, acceptance criteria, and corrective actions].</u></p> <p><u>[Plant specific identification and summary descriptions of commitments, license conditions, enhancements or exceptions are also described as applicable]</u></p>	<u>Completed</u>
<u>10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) Example</u>			
	<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule</u>
<u>4.4</u>	<u>Environmental qualification of electric equipment</u>	<p><u>The applicant's environmental qualification process, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.49, will adequately manage aging of environmental qualification equipment for the period of extended operation because equipment will be replaced prior to reaching the end of its qualified life.</u></p> <p><u>[The summary report addresses the key reanalysis attributes of methods, data collection and reduction methods, underlying assumptions, acceptance criteria, and corrective actions.]</u></p>	<u>Existing program</u>

Table 4.4-2. Examples of FSAR Supplement for Environmental Qualification Electric Equipment TLAA Evaluation			
		<u>[The applicant states that its environmental qualification program contains the same elements evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report.]</u>	
		<u>[Plant specific identification and summary descriptions of commitments, license conditions, enhancements or exceptions are also described as applicable]</u>	

|

1 **4.5 Concrete Containment ~~TENDON PRESTRESS~~ Unbonded Tendon**
2 **Prestress Analysis**

3 **Review Responsibilities**

4 **Primary** ~~---~~ Branch responsible for ~~TLAA issues~~ structural engineering

5 **Secondary** ~~---~~ Branch responsible for structural engineering

6 **4.5.1 Areas of Review**

7 The prestressing tendons in prestressed concrete containments ~~lose their~~ undergo losses in
8 prestressing forces with time due to creep and shrinkage of concrete and relaxation of the
9 prestressing steel. During the design phase, engineers ~~estimate~~ estimated these losses to ~~arrive~~
10 at the end of the prestressed containment operating life (Refs. 1 and 2), normally 40 years. ~~The~~
11 Operating experiences with the trend of prestressing forces indicate ~~that~~ the prestressing
12 tendons lose their prestressing forces at a rate higher than predicted due to sustained high
13 temperature ~~(Ref. 3).~~ In addition, loss of prestress or reduction in tendon force can occur due
14 to breakage of tendon wires or improper anchorages. Stress corrosion cracking (SCC) in
15 individual tendons can also occur and contribute to the loss of tendon prestress if there is a
16 susceptible material and environment combination. Moreover, consideration should be given to an
17 increased tendon relaxation when replacing existing in service tendons with new. Thus, it is
18 necessary to ensure that the applicant addresses existing Time Limited Aging Analyses (TLAAs
19 for the extended period of operation.) for the subsequent period of extended operation. Plant
20 specific TLAAs regarding loss of prestress [e.g., predicted tendon prestress force lower limit–
21 predicted lower limit (PLL), bonded tendons] are addressed and reviewed in Section 4.7, “Other
22 Plant-Specific Time-Limited Aging Analyses.”

23 The adequacy of the prestressing forces in prestressed concrete containments is reviewed for
24 the subsequent period of extended operation.

25 **4.5.2 Acceptance Criteria**

26 The acceptance criteria for the area of review described in Subsection 4.5.1 delineate
27 acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of the NRC’s U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
28 Commission (NRC) regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR)
29 54.21(c)(1).

30 **4.5.2.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis**

31 Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) - (iii), an applicant must demonstrate one of the following:

- 32 (i) The analyses remain valid for the subsequent period of extended operation;
- 33 (ii) The analyses have been projected to the end of the ~~extended~~ subsequent period of
34 extended operation; or
- 35 (iii) The effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the
36 subsequent period of extended operation.

37 ~~Accordingly, the specific options for satisfying the acceptance criterion are:~~

1 4.5.2.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

2 The existing prestressing force evaluation remains valid because (a) losses of the prestressing
3 force are less than the predicted losses, as evidenced from the trend lines constructed from the
4 recent inspection, (b) the period of evaluation covers the subsequent period of extended
5 operation, and (c) the trend lines of the measured prestressing forces remain above the minimum
6 required prestress force specified at anchorages for each group of tendons for the subsequent
7 period of extended operation.

8 4.5.2.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

9 The ~~trend prediction~~ line of prestressing forces for each group of tendons initially developed for
10 40 years of operation should be extended to 6080 years. The applicant ~~should~~
11 ~~demonstrated~~ demonstrates through analysis the unbonded tendon prestressed concrete
12 containment design adequacy remains valid and that the trend lines of the measured
13 prestressing forces will stay above the design Minimum Required Value (MRV) in the current
14 licensing basis (CLB) for each group of tendons during the subsequent period of extended
15 operation. ~~(Ref. 4). If this cannot be done, the applicant should develop a systematic plan for~~
16 ~~retensioning selected tendons so that the trend lines will remain above the minimum required~~
17 ~~prestress force specified at anchorages for each group of tendons during the period of extended~~
18 ~~operation, or perform a reanalysis of containment to demonstrate design adequacy.~~

19 4.5.2.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

20 In Chapter X of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal
21 (GALL-SLR) Report ~~(Ref. 4)~~, the NRC staff evaluated a program that assesses the concrete
22 containment tendon prestressing forces, aging management program (AMP) X.S1, "Concrete
23 Containment Unbonded Tendon Prestress", and has determined that it is an acceptable aging
24 management program-AMP to address concrete containment tendon prestress according to
25 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii), except for operating experience. ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further
26 evaluation is recommended of the applicant's operating experience related to the containment
27 prestress force. However, the GALL-SLR Report contains one acceptable way and not the only
28 way to manage aging ~~for license renewal~~.

29 The GALL-SLR report may be referenced in a subsequent license renewal application,
30 (SLRA), and is treated in the same manner as an approved topical report. ~~However, the GALL~~
31 ~~report contains one acceptable way, but not the only way, to manage aging for license renewal.~~

32 In referencing the GALL-SLR report, an applicant indicates that the material referenced is
33 applicable to the specific plant involved and should provide the information necessary to adopt
34 the finding of program acceptability as described and evaluated in the report. An applicant also
35 verifies that the approvals set forth in the GALL-SLR report for the generic program apply to the
36 applicant's program.

37 4.5.2.2 FSAR-Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

38 The specific criterion for meeting 10 CFR 54.21(d) is:

39 The summary description of the evaluation of TLAAs for the subsequent period of extended
40 operation in the FSAR-Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) supplement is appropriate such that
41 later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description must contain information

- 1 associated with the TLAA's regarding the basis for determining that the applicant has made the
- 2 demonstration required by 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).

1 **4.5.3 Review Procedures**

2 For each area of review described in Subsection 4.5.1, the following review procedures should
3 be followed:

4 4.5.3.1 *Time-Limited Aging Analysis*

5 For a concrete containment prestressing tendon system, the review procedures, depending on
6 the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), are:

7 4.5.3.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

8 The results of a recent inspection to measure the amount of prestress loss are reviewed to
9 ensure that the reduction of prestressing force is less than the predicted loss in the existing
10 analysis. The reviewer verifies that the trend line of the measured prestressing force, when
11 plotted on the predicted prestressing force curve, shows that the existing analysis ~~will cover~~
12 remains valid for the subsequent period of extended operation.

13 4.5.3.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

14 The reviewer reviews the trend lines of the measured prestressing forces to ensure that
15 individual tendon lift-off forces (rather than average lift-off forces of the sampled tendon group)
16 are considered in the regression analysis for the subsequent period of extended operation, as
17 discussed in Information Notice (IN) 99-10 (Ref. 3). ~~Either the reviewer, "Degradation of~~
18 Prestressing Tendon Systems in Prestressed Concrete Containments. ~~The reviewer then~~
19 verifies that the trend lines will stay above the minimum required prestressing forces for each
20 group of tendons during the ~~period of extended operation or, if the trend lines fall below the~~
21 ~~minimum required prestressing forces during this period, the reviewer verifies that the applicant~~
22 ~~has a systematic plan for retensioning the tendons to ensure that the trend lines will return to~~
23 ~~being, and remain, above the minimum required prestressing forces for each group of tendons~~
24 ~~during the subsequent~~ period of extended operation. ~~If the applicant chooses to reanalyze the~~
25 ~~containment, the reviewer verifies so~~ that the design adequacy is maintained in the subsequent
26 period of extended operation.

27 4.5.3.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

28 An applicant may reference the GALL-~~SLR~~ Report in its ~~license renewal application~~ SLRA, as
29 appropriate. The reviewer verifies that the applicant has stated that the report is applicable to
30 its plant with respect to its program that assesses the concrete containment tendon prestressing
31 forces. The reviewer verifies that the applicant has identified the appropriate program (i.e.,
32 GALL-Chapter-SLR Report AMP X.S1) as described and evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report.
33 The reviewer also ensures that the applicant has stated that its program contains the same
34 program elements that the NRC staff evaluated and relied upon in approving the corresponding
35 generic program in the GALL-SLR Report.

36 ~~The GALL Report recommends~~ Further evaluation is recommended of the applicant's operating
37 experience related to the containment prestress force. The applicant's program should
38 incorporate the relevant operating experience that occurred at the applicant's plant as well as at
39 other plants. The applicant considers applicable portions of the experience with prestressing
40 systems described in ~~Information Notice IN 99-10 (Ref. 3)~~. Tendon operating experience
41 could vary among plants with prestressed concrete containments. The difference could be due

1 to the prestressing system design (for example, button-heads, wedge or swaged anchorages),
2 environment, or type of reactor [pressurized water reactor (PWR) or boiling water reactor
3 (BWR)]]. The reviewer reviews the applicant's program to verify that the applicant has
4 adequately considered plant-specific operating experience.

5 If the applicant does not reference the GALL-SLR Report in its renewal application SLRA,
6 additional NRC staff evaluation is necessary to determine whether the applicant's program is
7 acceptable for this area of review. The reviewer uses the guidance provided in Branch
8 Technical Position (BTP)
9 RLSB-1 of this Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications
10 for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-LRSLR) to ensure that loss of prestress in the concrete
11 containment prestressing tendons is adequately managed for these that trend lines will remain
12 above the minimum required prestressing forces for each group of tendons for the subsequent
13 period of extended operation.

14 4.5.3.2 FSAR Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

15 The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided information, to be included in the FSAR
16 supplement, that includes a summary description of the evaluation of the tendon prestress
17 TLAA. Table 4.5-1 contains examples of acceptable FSAR supplement information for this
18 TLAA. The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided an FSAR supplement with
19 information equivalent to that in Table 4.5-1.

20 The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the
21 applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR supplement at the next update required
22 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license condition, until the FSAR update is
23 complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR supplement
24 without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change pursuant to
25 the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the FSAR to include the FSAR
26 supplement before the license is renewed, no condition will be necessary.

27 As noted in Table 4.5-1, an applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its
28 FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in
29 the SLRA to any future aging management activities, including enhancements and
30 commitments to be completed before the subsequent period of extended operation. The NRC
31 staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant
32 will complete these activities no later than the committed date.

33 4.5.4 Evaluation Findings

34 The reviewer determines whether the applicant has provided sufficient information to satisfy the
35 provisions of Section 4.5 and whether the NRC staff's evaluation supports conclusions of the
36 following type, depending on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), to be
37 included in the Safety Evaluation Report:

38 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that the
39 applicant has provided an acceptable demonstration, pursuant to
40 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1), that, for the concrete containment tendon prestress TLAA,
41 [choose which is appropriate] (i) the analyses remain valid for the subsequent
42 period of extended operation, (ii) the analyses have been projected to the end of
43 the subsequent period of extended operation, or (iii) the effects of aging on the

1 intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the subsequent period of
2 extended operation. The NRC staff also concludes that the FSAR supplement
3 contains an appropriate description of the concrete containment tendon prestress
4 TLLA evaluation for the subsequent period of extended operation as reflected in
5 the license condition.

6 **4.5.5 Implementation**

7 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method, the
8 method described herein will be used by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with
9 NRC regulations.

10 **4.5.6 References**

11 1. NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.35.1, "Determining Prestressing Forces for Inspection of
12 Prestressed Concrete Containments." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
13 Commission. ML003740040. July 1990.

14 2. NRC. Information Notice 99-10, "Degradation of Prestressing Tendon Systems in
15 Prestressed Concrete Containments." ML031500244. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
16 Regulatory Commission. April 1999.

Table 4.5-1. Examples of FSAR Supplement for Concrete Containment Tendon Prestress TLAA Evaluation

<u>10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) Example</u>		
<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>Concrete Containment Tendon Prestress</u>	<u>The prestressing tendons are used to impart compressive forces in the prestressed concrete containments to resist the internal pressure inside the containment that would be generated in the event of a loss of coolant accident (LOCA). The prestressing forces generated by the tendons diminish over time due to losses in prestressing forces in the tendons and in the surrounding concrete. The prestressing force review and evaluation has been completed and determined to remain valid to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, and the trend lines of the measured prestressing forces will stay above the minimum required prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the end of this period.</u>	<u>Completed</u>
<u>10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii) Example</u>		
<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>Concrete Containment Tendon Prestress</u>	<u>The prestressing tendons are used to impart compressive forces in the prestressed concrete containments to resist the internal pressure inside the containment that would be generated in the event of a LOCA. The prestressing forces generated by the tendons diminish over time due to losses in prestressing forces in the tendons and in the surrounding concrete. The prestressing force analysis and evaluation has been completed and determined to remain within allowable limits to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, and the trend lines of the measured pre-stressing forces will stay above the minimum required prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the end of this period.</u>	<u>Completed</u>
<u>10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) Example</u>		
<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>Concrete Containment Tendon Prestress</u>	<u>The prestressing tendons are used to impart compressive forces in the prestressed concrete containments to resist the internal pressure inside the containment that would be generated in the event of a LOCA. The prestressing forces generated by the tendons diminish over time due to losses of prestressing forces in the tendons and in the surrounding concrete. The [identify the AMP] developed to monitor the prestressing forces will ensure that, during each inspection, the trend lines of the measured prestressing forces show that they meet the requirements of the ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWL, as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a and</u>	<u>Program should be implemented before the subsequent period of extended operation.</u>

Table 4.5-1. Examples of FSAR Supplement for Concrete Containment Tendon Prestress TLAA Evaluation

	<p><u>supplemented. If the trend lines cross the PLLs, corrective actions should be taken. The program incorporates plant-specific and industry operating experience.</u></p>	
<p><u>*An applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in the license renewal application to any future aging management activities to be completed before the subsequent period of extended operation. The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.</u></p>		

|

4.6 Containment Liner Plate, Metal Containments, and Penetrations Fatigue Analysis

Review Responsibilities

Primary—Branch responsible for structural engineering

Secondary—Branch responsible for mechanical engineering

4.6.1 Areas of Review

This section addresses fatigue analyses for containment metal liner plates, metal containments [including boiling water reactor (BWR) containment suppression chamber and the vent system], and penetrations (including personnel airlocks, equipment hatches, sleeves, dissimilar metal welds, and bellows].

The interior surface of a concrete containment structure is lined with thin metallic plates to provide a leak-tight barrier against the uncontrolled release of radioactivity to the environment, as required by Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 50, Appendix J. The thickness of the liner plates is generally between 1/4-in [6.2 mm] and 3/8-in [9.5 mm]. The liner plates are attached to the concrete containment wall by stud anchors or structural rolled shapes or both. The design process assumes that the liner plates do not carry loads. However, imposed loads or conditions (e.g., dead, seismic, thermal, internal pressure, creep and shrinkage) induce cyclic stresses in the liner plates. Thus, under design-basis conditions, the liner plates could experience cyclic strains. Some plants may have metal containments instead of concrete containments with liner plates. The metal containments are designed to carry dead loads and seismic loads in addition to the internal pressure and temperature loads. For BWR Mark I metal containments, the containment suppression pool torus chamber (wetwell) and the vent system are designed or evaluated for hydrodynamic loads associated with actuation of safety relief valves and the discharge into the suppression pool chamber.

Fatigue of the containment liner plates or metal containments may be considered in the design based on an assumed number of occurrences and severities of cyclic loads for the current operating term. The cyclic loads include reactor building interior temperature variations during heatups and cooldowns of the reactor coolant system, loss of coolant accident (LOCA) as applicable, annual outdoor temperature variations, thermal loads due to the high energy containment penetration piping lines (such as steam and feedwater lines), seismic loads, and pressurization due to periodic Type A integrated leak rate tests. The BWR containment suppression pool chamber and the vent system are designed or evaluated for the hydrodynamic cyclic loads as described in Section 6.2.1.1.C, “Pressure-Suppression Type BWR Containments,” of NUREG–0800, “Standard Review Plan.”

Electrical penetration assemblies are usually sealed canisters penetrating the containment liner plate or metal containment barrier such that a pressure boundary between the inboard and outboard sides of the penetration exists while maintaining electrical continuity through the device. Mechanical penetrations include piping penetrations, access penetrations, drywell head, and fuel transfer tubes. High energy piping penetrations and the fuel transfer tubes in some plants are equipped with stainless steel (SS) bellow assemblies. These penetrations accommodate loads from relative movements between the containment wall (including the liner) and the adjoining structures, and from Type B, local leak rate tests. The penetrations have sleeves (up to 3 m [10 ft] in length, with a 5- to 8-cm [2- to 3-inch] annulus around the piping) to

1 penetrate the concrete containment wall and allow movement of the piping system. Dissimilar
2 metal welds connect the piping penetrations to the bellows or SS plates to provide essentially
3 leak-tight penetrations. Historical maintenance records, industry operating experience, and
4 aging mechanisms that include degradation due to fatigue and their effects on electrical and
5 mechanical penetrations, are discussed in Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Report
6 “Aging Management Guideline for Commercial Nuclear Power Plants Electrical and
7 Mechanical Penetrations.”

8 The containment metal liner plates, metal containments (including BWR containment
9 suppression chamber and the vent system), and penetrations (including personnel airlocks,
10 equipment hatches, sleeves, dissimilar metal welds, and bellows), may be designed in
11 accordance with requirements of Section III of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers
12 (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code¹. If a plant’s code of record requires a fatigue
13 parameter evaluation (fatigue analysis or fatigue waiver), then this analysis may be a
14 time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) and should be evaluated in accordance with
15 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1) for the subsequent license renewal (SLR) period.

16 The adequacy of the fatigue parameter evaluations of the containment liner plates, metal
17 containments, and penetrations is reviewed in this section for the subsequent period of
18 extended operation. The number of cyclic load occurrences assumed in the fatigue parameter
19 evaluations should be clearly identified in Section 4.6 of the applicant’s subsequent license
20 renewal application (SLRA). The fatigue parameter evaluations of the pressure boundary of
21 process piping are reviewed separately following the guidance in Standard Review Plan for
22 Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-SLR)
23 Section 4.3, “Metal Fatigue.”

24 4.6.1.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis (Fatigue Parameter Evaluations)

25 The ASME Code contains explicit requirements for fatigue parameter evaluations
26 (fatigue analyses or fatigue waivers), which are TLAAs. Specific requirements are contained in
27 the design code of reference for each plant. The severities and the numbers of cycles of actual
28 loadings for each cyclic load assumed in the underlying analyses should be verified against the
29 numbers and severities of the actual loads projected for the subsequent period of extended
30 operation. Monitoring of cyclic loading is accomplished through a TLAA aging management
31 program (AMP) consistent with the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License
32 Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report, X.M1 “Cyclic Load Monitoring,” or through a site-specific AMP
33 consistent with the guidance provided in the SRP-SLR, Appendix A.1, “Branch Technical
34 Position, Aging Management Review—Generic.”

35 4.6.1.1.1 Fatigue Analyses (ASME Section III, MC or Class 1)

36 ASME, Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code, Section III, Division 2, “Code for Concrete
37 Containments, Rules for Construction of Nuclear Facility Components,” and ASME B&PV Code,
38 Section III, Division 1, “Subsection NE, Class MC Components, Rules for Construction of
39 Nuclear Facility Components,” require a fatigue analysis for liner plates, metal containments,
40 and penetrations that considers all cyclic loads based on the anticipated number of cycles.
41 Containment components also may be designed to ASME Section III Class 1 requirements. A

¹GALL-SLR Report Chapter 1, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use of AMPs.

1 Section III, MC or Class 1 fatigue analysis requires the calculation of the cumulative usage
2 factor (CUF) based on the fatigue properties of the materials and the expected fatigue service of
3 the component. The ASME code limits the CUF to a value less than or equal to one for
4 acceptable fatigue design. The fatigue resistance of the liner plates or metal containments, and
5 penetrations during the subsequent period of extended operation is an area of review.

6 Other evaluations also contain metal fatigue analysis requirements based on a CUF calculation,
7 such as metal bellows designed to ASME NC-3649.4(e)(3) Titles or NE-3366.2(e)(3) standards.

8 4.6.1.1.2 Fatigue Waiver Evaluations

9 The current licensing basis (CLB) may include fatigue waiver evaluations that preclude the need
10 for performing CUF analyses of structural components. The American Society of Mechanical
11 Engineers (ASME) Code Section III rules for performing fatigue waiver evaluations for structural
12 components are analogous to those in the Code for performing fatigue waiver evaluations of
13 mechanical components. ASME Code NE-3131(d) Titles (1974 editions or later) rules out
14 consideration for earthquake transients unless they impact designated liner locations
15 recognized in the specifications. ASME Code NE-3222.4(d) "Analysis for Cyclic Operations,
16 Vessels Not Requiring Analysis for Cyclic Operation," provides for a waiver from fatigue analysis
17 when certain cyclic loading criteria are met.

18 4.6.1.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

19 The SLRA contains TLAA information for containment liner plates, metal containments, and
20 penetrations. A summary description of the evaluation of containment liner plates, metal
21 containments, and penetrations TLAAs for the subsequent period of extended operation is also
22 contained in the applicant's proposed final safety analysis report (FSAR) supplement. The
23 FSAR supplement is an area of review.

24 4.6.2 Acceptance Criteria

25 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review described in Subsection 4.6.1 delineate
26 acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
27 (NRC) regulations in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).

28 4.6.2.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis

29 In some instances, the applicant may identify activities to be performed to verify the assumption
30 bases of the fatigue parameter evaluations, the fatigue analyses, or the fatigue waiver
31 evaluations. Evaluations of those activities are provided by the applicant. The reviewer assures
32 that the applicant's activities are sufficient to confirm the calculation assumptions for the
33 SLR period.

34 Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1), an applicant must demonstrate one of the following:

35 (i) The analyses remain valid for the subsequent period of extended operation;

36 (ii) The analyses have been projected to the end of the subsequent period of extended
37 operation; or

1 (iii) The effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for
2 the subsequent period of extended operation.

3 Specific acceptance criteria for fatigue of containment liner plates, metal containments, and
4 penetrations are provided in the following subsections.

5 4.6.2.1.1 Fatigue Parameter Evaluations

6 For containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations that have fatigue parameter
7 evaluations, the acceptance criteria are provided in the following subsections depending on the
8 applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii). This section applies to the evaluations
9 identified in Sections 4.6.1.1.1 and 4.6.1.1.2.

10 4.6.2.1.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

11 The fatigue parameter evaluations remain valid because the numbers of occurrences and
12 severities of assumed cyclic loads are not projected to be exceeded during the subsequent
13 period of extended operation.

14 4.6.2.1.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

15 The fatigue parameter evaluations have been reevaluated based on revised numbers of
16 occurrences and severities of assumed cyclic loads for the subsequent period of extended
17 operation and have been shown to remain within the allowed limits.

18 4.6.2.1.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

19 The applicant proposes an AMP as the basis for demonstrating that the effect or effects of aging
20 on the intended function(s) of the structure(s) or component(s) in the fatigue parameter
21 evaluations will be adequately managed during the subsequent period of extended operation.
22 GALL-SLR Report AMP X.M1 provides one method that may be used to demonstrate
23 compliance with the requirement in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).

24 An applicant may also propose another AMP to demonstrate compliance with the requirement in
25 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). If the basis for aging management is a plant-specific AMP, the AMP
26 should be defined in terms of the 10 program elements defined in the SRP-SLR, Appendix A.1.

27 If an inspection program is proposed as the basis for aging management, the AMP implements
28 inspections of the component(s) or structure(s) in the evaluation. The AMP justifies the
29 inspection methods and frequencies that are applicable to the component(s) or structure(s),
30 such that the TLAA will meet the requirement of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).

31 Consistent with the TLAA acceptance criterion in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii), an AMP is proposed to
32 accept the TLAA in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) and to manage the effects of
33 cumulative fatigue damage or fatigue-induced cracking on the intended functions of the
34 components during the subsequent period of extended operation. GALL-SLR Report
35 AMP XI.M1 provides one AMP that may be used as the basis for accepting the fatigue
36 parameter evaluation in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). However, other Generic Aging
37 Lessons Learned (GALL) AMPs or plant-specific AMPs or activities may be used to accept the
38 TLAA in accordance 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) if appropriately justified in the SLRA.

1 4.6.2.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

2 The summary description of the evaluation of TLAA's for the subsequent period of extended
3 operation in the FSAR supplement is appropriate such that later changes can be controlled by
4 10 CFR 50.59. The description should contain information associated with the TLAA's regarding
5 the basis for determining that the applicant has made the demonstration required by
6 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).

7 4.6.3 Review Procedures

8 For each area of review described in Subsection 4.6.1, the review procedures in the following
9 subsections should be used.

10 4.6.3.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis

11 4.6.3.1.1 Fatigue Parameter Evaluations

12 For containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations with fatigue parameter
13 evaluations, the review procedures are provided in the following subsections depending on the
14 applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii).

15 4.6.3.1.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

16 The projected number of occurrences and severities of cyclic loadings at the end of the
17 subsequent period of extended operation is compared to the number of occurrences and
18 severities of cyclic loadings used in the existing fatigue parameter evaluations. The comparison
19 confirms that the number of occurrences and severities of cyclic loadings in the existing fatigue
20 parameter evaluations will not be exceeded during the subsequent period of extended
21 operation.

22 4.6.3.1.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

23 The revised number of occurrences and severities of cyclic loadings projected to the end of the
24 subsequent period of extended operation is reevaluated. The revised fatigue parameter
25 evaluations based on the projected number of occurrences and severities of cyclic loads are
26 reviewed to ensure that the calculated fatigue parameters remain less than the allowed values
27 at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation.

28 If applicable, the code of record is used for the revised fatigue parameter evaluations, or the
29 applicant may update to a later code edition pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a. In the latter case, the
30 reviewer verifies that the requirements in 10 CFR 50.55a are met.

31 4.6.3.1.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

32 Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii), the applicant proposes an AMP or aging management
33 activities as the basis for demonstrating that the effect or effects of aging on the intended
34 function(s) of the structure(s) or component(s) in the fatigue parameter evaluation will be
35 adequately managed during the subsequent period of extended operation. If an AMP
36 corresponding to GALL-SLR Report AMP X.M1 is used as the basis for managing cumulative
37 fatigue damage or cracking due to fatigue or cyclical loading in the structure(s) or component(s),

1 the reviewer reviews the applicant's AMP against the program elements defined in GALL-SLR
2 Report AMP X.M1.

3 An applicant also has the option of proposing another GALL-based AMP, plant-specific AMP, or
4 plant-specific activities, or combination thereof, to demonstrate compliance with the requirement
5 in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). If another GALL-based AMP is proposed as the basis for aging
6 management, the reviewer reviews the applicant's AMP against the program element criteria
7 defined in the applicable AMP section in Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report. If the basis for
8 aging management is a plant-specific AMP or plant-specific aging management activities, the
9 reviewer reviews the program element criteria for the AMP or activities against the criteria
10 defined in the SRP-SLR, Appendix A.1, "Branch Technical Position, Aging Management
11 Review—Generic," Sections A.1.2.3.1 through A.1.2.3.10.

12 If a sampling based inspection program (a type of condition monitoring program) is proposed as
13 the basis for aging management, the reviewer ensures that the AMP actually performs
14 inspections of the component(s) or structure(s) in the evaluation and that the applicant has
15 appropriately justified that the inspection bases are capable of managing cumulative fatigue
16 damage or cracking by fatigue or cyclical loading in the component(s) or structure(s), such that
17 the TLAA may be accepted in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).

18 4.6.3.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

19 The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided information, to be included in the FSAR
20 supplement, that includes a summary description of the fatigue parameter TLAA evaluations for
21 the containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations. SRP-SLR Table 4.6-1
22 contains examples of acceptable FSAR Supplement information for this TLAA. The reviewer
23 verifies that the applicant has provided an FSAR Supplement with information equivalent to that
24 in Table 4.6-1.

25 The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the
26 applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR supplement at the next update required
27 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license condition, until the FSAR update is
28 complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR supplement
29 without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change pursuant to
30 the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the FSAR to include the final
31 FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, no condition will be necessary.

32 As noted in Table 4.6-1, the applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its
33 FSAR. However, the review should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in the
34 SLRA to any future aging management activities, including enhancements and commitments to
35 be completed before the subsequent period of extended operation. The NRC staff expects to
36 impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete
37 these activities no later than the committed date.

38 4.6.4 Evaluation Findings

39 The reviewer determines whether the applicant has provided sufficient information to satisfy the
40 provisions of this section and to support the following conclusions, depending on the applicant's
41 choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), to be included in the Safety Evaluation Report.

1 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that
2 the applicant has provided an acceptable demonstration, pursuant to
3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1), that the [the reviewer inserts the type of fatigue parameter
4 evaluation] TLAA evaluations, [choose which is appropriate] (i) remains valid for
5 the subsequent period of extended operation, (ii) has been projected to the end
6 of the subsequent period of extended operation, or (iii) the effects of aging on the
7 intended function(s) will be adequately managed during the subsequent period of
8 extended operation. The NRC staff also concludes that the FSAR supplement
9 contains an appropriate summary description of the [the reviewer inserts the type
10 of fatigue parameter evaluation] TLAA evaluations for the subsequent period of
11 extended operation as reflected in the license condition.

12 **4.6.5 Implementation**

13 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method, the
14 method described herein will be used by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with
15 NRC regulations.

16 **4.6.6 References**

- 17 1. NRC. NUREG-0661, "Mark I Containment Long-Term Program Resolution of Generic
18 Technical Activity A-7." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
19 July 1980.
- 20 2. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code,
21 Section III, Division 2, "Code for Concrete Containments, Rules for Construction of
22 Nuclear Facility Components," New York City, New York (as endorsed in Regulatory
23 Guide 1.136, "Design Limits, Loading Combinations, Materials, Construction, and
24 Testing of Concrete Containments.").
- 25 3. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code,
26 Section III, Division 1, "Subsection NE, Class MC Components, Rules for Construction of
27 Nuclear Facility Components," New York City, New York.
- 28 4. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code,
29 Section III, Division 1, "Subsection NC, Class 2 Components, Rules for Construction of
30 Nuclear Facility Components," New York City, New York.
- 31 5. Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) TR-1003456, "Aging Management Guideline
32 for Commercial Nuclear Power Plants Electrical and Mechanical Penetrations,"
33 Palo Alto, California, April 2002.

Table 4.6-1. Examples of FSAR Supplement for Containment Liner Plates, Metal Containments, and Penetrations Fatigue TLAA Evaluation

<u>10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) Example</u>		
<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>Containment Liner Plates, Metal Containments, and Penetrations Fatigue</u>	<u>The containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations provide an essentially leak-tight barrier. Current fatigue parameter evaluations remain valid during the subsequent period of extended operation.</u>	<u>Completed</u>
<u>10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii) Example</u>		
<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>Containment Liner Plates, Metal Containments, and Penetrations Fatigue</u>	<u>The containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations provide an essentially leak-tight barrier. Fatigue parameter evaluations have been reevaluated based on revised numbers of occurrences and severities of cyclic loads projected for the subsequent period of extended operation. The revised fatigue parameter values remain within allowable limits for the subsequent period of extended operation.</u>	<u>Completed</u>
<u>10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) Example</u>		
<u>TLAA</u>	<u>Description of Evaluation</u>	<u>Implementation Schedule*</u>
<u>Containment Liner Plates, Metal Containments, and Penetrations Fatigue</u>	<u>The containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations provide an essentially leak-tight barrier. The applicant identifies an aging management program (AMP) to manage the effects of fatigue on such components during the subsequent period of extended operation. The program monitors and tracks the number of cycles and occurrences and severities of relevant transients. The program is effective when fatigue evaluations and/or fatigue usage remain within the allowable limits or requires corrective actions (e.g., reanalyses and/or component replacement) when the limits are exceeded. If the component is replaced, the fatigue parameter value for the replacement should be shown to be less than the allowable limit during the subsequent period of extended operation.</u>	<u>Proposed TLAA AMP should be implemented before the subsequent period of extended operation.</u>

*An applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in the subsequent license renewal application to any future aging management activities to be completed before the subsequent period of extended operation. The NRC staff expects to impose a license condition on any subsequent renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.

Note: All containment components need not meet the same requirement. It is likely that the liner plate and the bellows may be evaluated per 10CFR54.21(c)(1)(i), while high energy penetrations may be evaluated per 10CFR54.21(c)(1)(ii).

1 **4.7 Other Plant-Specific Time-Limited Aging Analyses**

2 **Review Responsibilities**

3 **Primary**—Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) branch responsible for the time-limited
4 aging analysis (TLAA) issues

5 **Secondary**—Other branches responsible for systems, as appropriate

6 **4.7.1 Areas of Review**

7 There are certain plant-specific safety analyses that may involve time-limited assumptions
8 defined by the current operating term of the plant (for example, aspects of the reactor vessel
9 design) and may, therefore, be TLAAs. Pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*
10 (10 CFR) 54.21(c), a subsequent license renewal (SLR) applicant is required to evaluate
11 TLAAs. The definition of TLAAs is provided in 10 CFR 54.3 and in Section 4.1 of this Standard
12 Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants
13 (SRP-SLR).

14 As indicated in 10 CFR 54.30, the adequacy of the plant's current licensing basis (CLB) is not
15 an area within the scope of the SLR review. Any questions regarding the adequacy of the CLB
16 must be addressed under the backfit rule (10 CFR 50.109) and are separate from the license
17 renewal process. SLR reviews focus on the subsequent period of extended operation.
18 Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.30, if the reviews required by 10 CFR 54.21(a) or (c) show that there is
19 not reasonable assurance during the current license term that licensed activities will be
20 conducted in accordance with the CLB, the licensee is required to take measures under its
21 current license to ensure that the intended functions of those systems, structures, and
22 components (SSCs) are maintained in accordance with the CLB throughout the term of the
23 current license. The adequacy of the measures for the term of the current license is not within
24 the scope of the SLR review.

25 Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c), an applicant must provide a listing of TLAAs and plant-specific
26 exemptions that are based on TLAAs. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff
27 reviews the applicant's identification of TLAAs and exemptions separately, following the
28 guidance in Section 4.1 of this SRP-SLR.

29 The NRC staff has developed review procedures for the evaluation of certain TLAAs. If an
30 applicant identifies these TLAAs as applicable to its plant, the NRC staff reviews them
31 separately, following the guidance in Sections 4.2 through 4.6 of this SRP-SLR.

32 Table 4.7-1 provides examples of potential plant-specific TLAA topics. The reviewer follows the
33 generic guidance in this section of the SRP-SLR for reviewing these and any other plant-specific
34 TLAAs that have been identified by the applicant. For particular systems, the reviewers
35 from branches responsible for those systems may be requested to assist in the review,
36 as appropriate.

1 The following sub-sections identify the areas of review for plant-specific TLAA's.

2 4.7.1.1 *Time-Limited Aging Analysis*

3 The applicant's evaluation of the TLAA for the subsequent period of extended operation
4 is reviewed.

5 4.7.1.2 *Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement*

6 The FSAR supplement summarizing the applicant's evaluation of the TLAA for the subsequent
7 period of extended operation is reviewed.

8 **4.7.2 Acceptance Criteria**

9 The acceptance criteria for the areas of review described in Section 4.7.1 delineate acceptable
10 methods for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1) and 10 CFR 54.21(d).

11 4.7.2.1 *Time-Limited Aging Analysis*

12 Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1), an applicant must demonstrate one of the following for TLAA's:

13 (i) The analyses remain valid for the period of extended operation;

14 (ii) The analyses have been projected to the end of the period of extended
15 operation; or

16 (iii) The effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for
17 the period of extended operation.

18 Acceptance criteria for each TLAA demonstration are discussed in the following subsections.

19 4.7.2.1.1 *10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)*

20 The applicant must demonstrate that the analysis remains valid for the subsequent period of
21 extended operation. The analysis remains valid because it is shown to be bounding even
22 during the subsequent period of extended operation. No changes to the existing analysis
23 are necessary.

24 4.7.2.1.2 *10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)*

25 The applicant must demonstrate that the analysis has been projected to the end of the
26 subsequent period of extended operation. The existing analysis is updated or recalculated to
27 show acceptable results for the subsequent period of extended operation.

28 4.7.2.1.3 *10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)*

29 The applicant must demonstrate that the effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be
30 adequately managed for the subsequent period of extended operation. Appendix A.1 of this
31 SRP-SLR provides the acceptance criteria for programs and activities used to manage the
32 effects of aging.

1 4.7.2.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

2 The summary description of the applicant's TLAA evaluation should be sufficiently
3 comprehensive such that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The summary
4 description should identify which demonstration from 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1) was chosen and
5 provide the results of the evaluation used to make that demonstration.

6 **4.7.3 Review Procedures**

7 For certain applicants, plant-specific analyses may meet the definition of a TLAA as given in
8 10 CFR 54.3. The concern for SLR is that these analyses may not have properly considered
9 the full length of the subsequent period of extended operation, which may change conclusions
10 with regard to safety and the capability of SSCs within the scope of the Rule to perform or one
11 or more safety functions. The review of these TLAA's provides assurance that the effects of
12 aging are properly addressed through the subsequent period of extended operation.

13 The following sub-sections provide the review procedures for each area of review described in
14 Section 4.7.1.

15 4.7.3.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis

16 For each TLAA, the review procedures depend on the applicant's choice of methods of
17 compliance in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii).

18 4.7.3.1.1 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)

19 Justification provided by the applicant is reviewed to verify that the existing analysis remains
20 valid for the subsequent period of extended operation. The existing analysis should be shown
21 to be bounding even during the subsequent period of extended operation.

22 The applicant describes the TLAA with respect to the objectives of the analysis, assumptions
23 used in the analysis, conditions, acceptance criteria, relevant aging effects, and intended
24 function(s). For those analyses that consider cyclic loading, each load or transient type should
25 be identified along with the corresponding number of total cycles assumed in the analysis and
26 the number of cycles that are anticipated to occur through the subsequent period of extended
27 operation. The applicant shows that (a) conditions and assumptions used in the analysis
28 already address the relevant aging effects for the subsequent period of extended operation, and
29 (b) acceptance criteria are maintained to provide reasonable assurance that the intended
30 function(s) is maintained. Thus, no reanalysis is necessary.

31 In some instances, the applicant may identify activities to be performed to verify the assumption
32 basis for the calculation (e.g., cycle counting). An evaluation of that activity is provided by the
33 applicant. The reviewer assures that the applicant's verification activities are sufficient to
34 confirm the validity of the calculation assumptions for the subsequent period of
35 extended operation.

36 If the TLAA must be modified or recalculated to extend the period of evaluation to consider the
37 subsequent period of extended operation, then reevaluation should be addressed under
38 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).

1 4.7.3.1.2 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)

2 The documented results of the revised analyses are reviewed to verify that their period of
3 evaluation is extended such that they are valid for the subsequent period of extended operation.
4 The applicable analysis technique can be the one that is in effect in the plant's CLB at the time
5 that the subsequent license renewal application (SLRA) is filed.

6 The applicant may recalculate the TLAA using an 80-year period to show that the acceptance
7 criteria continue to be satisfied for the subsequent period of extended operation. The applicant
8 also may revise the TLAA by recognizing and reevaluating any overly conservative conditions
9 and assumptions. Examples include relaxing overly conservative assumptions in the original
10 analysis, using new or refined analytical techniques, and performing the analysis using an
11 80-year period. The applicant should provide a sufficient description of the analysis and
12 document the results of the reanalysis to show that it is satisfactory for the subsequent period of
13 extended operation.

14 As applicable, the plant's code of record is used for the reevaluation, or the applicant may
15 update to a later code edition pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a. In the latter case, the reviewer
16 verifies that the requirements in 10 CFR 50.55a are met.

17 In some cases, the applicant may identify activities to be performed to verify the assumption
18 basis for the calculation (e.g., cycle counting). An evaluation of that activity is provided by
19 the applicant. The reviewer assures that the applicant's verification activities are sufficient
20 to confirm the validity of the calculation assumptions for the subsequent period of extended
21 operation.

22 4.7.3.1.3 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

23 Under this option, the applicant proposes to manage the aging effects associated with the TLAA
24 by an aging management program (AMP) or aging management activities in the same manner
25 as described in the integrated plant assessment (IPA) in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). The reviewer
26 reviews the applicant's AMP or aging management activities to verify that the effects of aging on
27 the intended function(s) are adequately managed consistent with the CLB for the subsequent
28 period of extended operation.

29 The applicant identifies the structures and components (SCs) associated with the TLAA. The
30 TLAA is described with respect to the objectives of the analysis, conditions, assumptions used,
31 acceptance criteria, relevant aging effects, and intended function(s). The reviewer uses the
32 guidance in Section A.1 of this SRP-SLR to ensure that the effects of aging on the SC-intended
33 function(s) are adequately managed for the subsequent period of extended operation.

34 4.7.3.2 Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement

35 The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided information to be included in the Final
36 Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) supplement that includes a summary description of the
37 evaluation of each TLAA. Each such summary description is reviewed to verify that it is
38 sufficiently comprehensive.

39 The NRC staff expects to impose a condition on any subsequently renewed license to require
40 the applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR supplement at the next update required
41 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license condition, until the FSAR update is

1 complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR supplement
2 without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change pursuant to
3 the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the FSAR to include the final
4 FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, then no such condition ~~will be~~ necessary.

5 ~~As noted in Table 4.5-1, an~~The applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into
6 its FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed
7 in the ~~license renewal application-SLRA~~ to any future aging management activities, including
8 enhancements and commitments to be completed before the subsequent period of extended
9 operation. The NRC staff expects to impose a ~~license~~-condition on any subsequently renewed
10 license to ~~ensure that~~require the applicant ~~will~~to complete these activities no later than the
11 committed date.

12 **4.57.4** **Evaluation Findings**

13 The reviewer determines whether the applicant has provided sufficient information to satisfy the
14 provisions of Section 4.7 and whether the NRC staff's evaluation supports conclusions of the
15 following type, depending on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), to be
16 included in the Safety Evaluation Report:

17 On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that
18 the applicant has provided an acceptable demonstration, pursuant to
19 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1), that, for the (name of specific) TLAA, [choose which
20 is appropriate]

21 (i) The analyses remain valid for the subsequent period of extended operation,

22 (ii) The analyses have been projected to the end of the period of extended
23 operation, or

24 (iii) The effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for
25 the subsequent period of extended operation. The NRC staff also concludes that
26 the FSAR supplement contains an appropriate summary description of this TLAA
27 evaluation for the period of extended operation, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(d).

28 **4.7.5** **Implementation**

29 Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method, the
30 method described herein is used by the NRC staff in its evaluation of conformance with
31 NRC regulations.

32 **4.7.6** **References**

33 None

Table 4.7-1. Examples of Potential Plant-Specific TLAA Topics
<u>BWRs</u>
<u>Re-flood thermal shock of the reactor pressure vessel</u>
<u>Re-flood thermal shock of the core shroud and other reactor vessel internals</u>
<u>Loss of preload for core plate rim hold-down bolts</u>
<u>Erosion of the main steam line flow restrictors</u>
<u>Susceptibility to irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking</u>
<u>PWRs</u>
<u>Reactor pressure vessel underclad cracking</u>
<u>Leak-before-break</u>
<u>Reactor coolant pump flywheel fatigue crack growth</u>
<u>Response to NRC Bulletin 88-11, "Pressurizer Surge Line Thermal Stratification"</u>
<u>Response to NRC Bulletin 88-08, "Thermal Stresses in Piping Connected to Reactor Cooling Systems"</u>
<u>BWRs and PWRs</u>
<u>Fatigue of cranes (crane cycle limits)</u>
<u>Fatigue of the spent fuel pool liner</u>
<u>Corrosion allowance calculations</u>
<u>Flaw growth due to stress corrosion cracking</u>
<u>Predicted lower limit</u>
<u>Grouted tendon prestress systems, structures, and components</u>

5 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS CHANGES

5.1 Review of Technical Specifications Changes and Additions Necessary to Manage the Effects of Aging During the Subsequent Period of Extended Operation

Review Responsibilities

Primary— Division of License Renewal (DLR) technical branch for reviewing applicable technical specifications (TS) requirements for relevance to specific aging management programs (AMPs) or time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs)

Secondary—DLR projects branch responsible for processing of the subsequent license renewal application (SLRA); supporting branches in Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Division of Engineering (NRR/DE); Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Division of Inspection and Regional Support (NRR/DIRS); Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Division of Policy and Rulemaking (NRR/DPR); Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing (NRR/DORL) or Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Division of Safety Systems (NRR/DSS)

5.1.1 Areas of Review

The requirements in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.22 (Ref. 2) require an applicant to identify any new TSs or TS changes (i.e., amendments) that are needed to manage the effects of aging during the period of extended operations. This section of the Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-SLR) provides guidance for determining whether plant TS changes need to be included in a plant-specific SLRA.

5.1.2 Acceptance Criteria

The TS for a relicensed light-water reactor facility may contain specific TS sections that may have relationships to AMPs or TLAAs that are identified in an SLRA. The following provide examples of (but are not limited to) TS requirements that may relate to AMPs or TLAAs:

- For TS that include administrative controls section provisions that establish preventative maintenance and periodic visual inspection requirements for plant systems located outside of containment [i.e., for applicant's whose SLRAs include periodic surveillance and preventative maintenance AMPs and whose current licensing basis (CLBs) include these types of TS requirements], the AMPs should establish the relationship of the TS requirements to the applicable program element criteria for their AMPs, as applicable.
- For TS that include administrative controls section provisions that establish fuel oil testing requirements for emergency diesel fuel storage tanks (i.e., for applicant's whose SLRAs include diesel fuel oil testing AMPs and with CLBs that include these types of TS requirements), the AMPs should establish the relationship of the TS requirements to the applicable program element criteria for their AMPs, as applicable.
- For TS that include pressure-temperature (P-T) limits for their reactor vessels and reactor coolant pressure boundary components in the limiting conditions of operations (LCOs) and control updates of these P-T limits through their 10 CFR 50.90 license

amendment request process, the TS requirements may have direct bearing on how the P-T limit TLAAAs for the SLRA are accepted in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii).

- For TS that include P-T limits for their reactor vessels and reactor coolant pressure boundary components in a pressure-temperature limit report (PTLR) and controls updates of the P-T limits and PTLR in accordance with a program and process controlled by the administrative controls section of their TS, the TS requirements may have direct bearing on how the P-T limit TLAAAs for the SLRA are accepted in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii).

Acceptance criteria for plant-specific TS are contained in the specific TS provisions or alternatively in referenced documents invoked by the TS requirements. For those SLRAAs for plants whose CLBs include TS requirements that relate to an AMP's program element bases for managing specific aging effects, the TS requirements should be reviewed to confirm that they remain adequate for managing the aging effects that are within the scope of the AMPs. Otherwise, the TS requirements should be amended accordingly as part of the SLRA in accordance with 10 CFR 54.22 and the changes in the TS requirement criteria factored into the program element bases for the AMP, as appropriate.

For those TS requirements that relate to TLAAAs, the TS requirements and any methodologies or processes invoked by the TS requirements should be reviewed to see if they need to be amended or new TS requirements need to be proposed in order to demonstrate adequate compliance of the TLAAAs in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii). Otherwise, TS changes that are determined as being necessary to disposition TLAAAs in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii) should be included in the SLRA as part of TS change requests under 10 CFR 54.22. This may include TS changes that may be needed for P-T limit TLAAAs controlled by PTLR processes, if it is determined that the current P-T limit methodologies approved and invoked by the current administrative controls TS requirements cannot generate P-T limits for the subsequent license renewal (SLR) period that will comply with the P-T limit requirements in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G (Ref. 1), and Appendix G of the ASME Code Section XI edition of record for the facility.

5.1.3 Review Procedures

The reviewer should review the applicant's operating license, including the TS that are included as part of the operating license, and procedures to ensure that the applicant has identified all appropriate TS changes or additions that may impact AMPs or TLAAAs during the subsequent period of extended operation. If it is determined that new TS requirements, or new operating license conditions are needed to manage specific aging effects, or that changes to the existing TS requirements need to be amended in order to manage such aging effects, the reviewer determines that those license amendments are submitted with the SLRA for U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approval in accordance with the requirement in 10 CFR 54.22.

Examples of existing TS requirements that may be used to manage the effects of aging include but are not limited to: (a) preventative maintenance and periodic visual inspection requirements for plant systems located outside of containment, (b) diesel fuel oil monitoring requirements or surveillance requirements that are listed in the administrative controls sections of the TS, which may form the bases for fuel oil chemistry programs used to manage loss of material due to

general, pitting, crevice, and microbiologically-induced corrosion in emergency diesel fuel oil system components, and (c) requirements in the TS that govern the applicant's updates to the P-T limits of their plants that constitute part of the mandatory bases for managing and analyzing loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation embrittlement in ferritic steel components of the reactor vessel and reactor coolant pressure boundary. This latter example is a TLAA.

5.1.4 Evaluation Findings

The reviewer determines whether the applicant has provided sufficient information to satisfy the provisions of ~~Section 4.5~~this section, and whether the NRC staff's evaluation supports ~~conclusions~~one of the following ~~type, depending on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii),~~three conclusions listed below that is to be included in the NRC staff's safety evaluation report, as applicable for the review of the SLRA:

On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that the applicant has provided an acceptable demonstration, pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1), basis for concluding that, for the concrete containment tendon prestress SLRA does not need to include any new TS requirements or TS amendments to manage the effects of aging during the SLR period.

On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that the applicant has provided a list of all new TS provisions or TS changes in the SLRA that are needed to manage the effects of aging during the SLR period, as required by 10 CFR 54.22. The NRC staff also concludes that these TS changes will be capable of managing the effects of aging in accordance the requirement in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3).

Pursuant to the requirement in 10 CFR 54.22, as discussed above, the NRC staff concludes that the applicant has provided those new TS provisions or TS changes in the SLRA needed to manage [INSERT APPLICABLE AGING EFFECT], as evaluated in [INSERT NAME of TLAA, [choose which is appropriate] (i) the analyses-] for the SLR period. The NRC staff also concludes that these TS changes adequately demonstrate that the [INSERT NAME of TLAA and then INSERT one of the Following Statements to finish off this conclusion] . . . will remain valid for the period of extended operation, (ii) the analyses have SLR period, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i),” has been adequately projected to the end of the period of extended operation, or (SLR period, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii),” effects of [INSERT APPLICABLE AGING EFFECT AND MECHANISM] on the intended functions of the [INSERT APPLICABLE STRUCTURES OR COMPONENTS EVALUATED IN THE TLAA] during the SLR period, as required by 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) the effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the period of extended operation. The staff also concludes that the FSAR supplement contains an appropriate description of the concrete containment tendon prestress TLAA evaluation for the period of extended operation as reflected in the license condition.)

4.5.1.5 Implementation

~~Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method, The method described herein will be used by the staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations~~NRC staff to evaluate compliance with NRC regulation in 10 CFR 54.22,

which requires the applicant to identify any new TS requirements or TS amendments that are necessary to manage the effects of aging during an SLR period.

4.5.1.6 References

1. ~~Regulatory Guide 1.35, Rev. 3, "Inspection of UngROUTED Tendons in Prestressed Concrete Containments," July 1990.~~
2. ~~Regulatory Guide 1.35.1, "Determining Prestressing Forces for Inspection of Prestressed Concrete Containments," July 1990.~~
3. ~~NRC Information Notice 99-10, "Degradation of Prestressing Tendon Systems in Prestressed Concrete Containments," April 1999.~~
4. ~~NUREG-1801, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report," 1. 10 CFR Part 50, "Fracture Toughness Requirements." Appendix G. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Revision 2, 2010. 2015.~~

Table 4.5-1—Examples of FSAR Supplement for Concrete Containment Tendon Prestress TLAA Evaluation

10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) Example		
TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
Concrete containment tendon prestress	The prestressing tendons are used to impart compressive forces in the prestressed concrete containments to resist the internal pressure inside the containment that would be generated in the event of a LOCA. The prestressing forces generated by the tendons diminish over time due to losses in prestressing forces in the tendons and in the surrounding concrete. The prestressing force evaluation has been determined to remain valid to the end of the period of extended operation, and the trend lines of the measured prestressing forces will stay above the minimum required prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the end of this period.	Completed
10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) Example		
TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
Concrete containment tendon prestress	The prestressing tendons are used to impart compressive forces in the prestressed concrete containments to resist the internal pressure inside the containment that would be generated in the event of a LOCA. The prestressing forces generated by the tendons diminish over time due to losses of prestressing forces in the tendons and in the surrounding concrete. The aging management program developed to monitor the prestressing forces should ensure that, during each inspection, the trend lines of the measured prestressing forces show that they meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2)(viii)(B). If the trend lines cross the PLLs, corrective actions will be taken. The program will also incorporate any plant-specific and industry operating experience.	Program should be implemented before the period of extended operation.

* An applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in the license renewal application to any future aging management activities to be completed before the period of extended operation. The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.

~~2. 4.6 CONTAINMENT LINER PLATE, METAL CONTAINMENTS, AND PENETRATIONS FATIGUE ANALYSIS~~

~~Review Responsibilities~~

~~Primary~~ – Branch responsible for mechanical engineering

~~Secondary~~ – Branch responsible for structural engineering

~~1.1.8 4.6.1 Areas of Review~~

~~The interior surface of a concrete containment structure is lined with thin metallic plates to provide a leak-tight barrier against the uncontrolled release of radioactivity to the environment, as required by 10 CFR Part 50. The thickness of the liner plates is generally between 1/4 inch (6.2 millimeter) and 3/8-inch (9.5 millimeter). The liner plates are attached to the concrete containment wall by stud anchors or structural rolled shapes or both. The design process assumes that the liner plates do not carry loads. However, normal loads, such as from concrete shrinkage, creep, and thermal changes, imposed on the concrete containment structure, are transferred to the liner plates through the anchorage system. Internal pressure and temperature loads are directly applied to the liner plates. Thus, under design-basis conditions, the liner plates could experience significant strains. Some plants may have metal containments instead of concrete containments with liner plates. The metal containments are designed to carry gravity and seismic loads in addition to the internal pressure and temperature loads. Additionally, the BWR containment suppression pool chamber and the vent system are designed or evaluated for hydrodynamic loads associated with actuation of safety relief valves and the discharge into the suppression pool chamber.~~

~~Fatigue of the liner plates or metal containments may be considered in the design based on an assumed number of loading cycles for the current operating term. The cyclic loads include reactor building interior temperature variation during the heatup and cooldown of the reactor coolant system, a LOCA, annual outdoor temperature variations, thermal loads due to the high energy containment penetration piping lines (such as steam and feedwater lines), seismic loads, and pressurization due to periodic Type A integrated leak rate tests. The BWR containment suppression pool chamber and the vent system are designed or evaluated for the hydrodynamic cyclic loads as described in Section 6.2.1.1.C, "Pressure Suppression Type BWR Containments," of NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan" (Ref. 1).~~

~~High-energy piping penetrations and the fuel transfer tubes in some plants are equipped with stainless steel bellow assemblies. These are designed to accommodate relative movements between the containment wall (including the liner) and the adjoining structures. The penetrations have sleeves (up to 10 feet in length, with a 2- to 3-inch annulus around the piping) to penetrate the concrete containment wall and allow movement of the piping system. Dissimilar metal welds connect the piping penetrations to the bellows or stainless steel plates to provide essentially leak-tight penetrations.~~

~~The containment liner plates, metal containments, BWR containment suppression chamber and the vent system, penetration sleeves (including dissimilar metal welds), and penetration bellows may be designed in accordance with requirements of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. If a plant's code of record requires a fatigue analysis, then this analysis may be a TLAA and must be evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1) to ensure that~~

the effects of aging on the intended functions are adequately managed for the period of extended operation.

The adequacy of the fatigue analyses of the containment liner plates (including welded joints), metal containments, BWR containment suppression chamber and the vent system, penetration sleeves, dissimilar metal welds, and penetration bellows is reviewed in this section for the period of extended operation. The fatigue analyses of the pressure boundary of process piping are reviewed separately following the guidance in SRP-LR Section 4.3, "Metal Fatigue."

~~1.1.8.1~~ ~~4.6.1.1~~ *Time-Limited Aging Analysis*

The containment liner plates (including welded joints), metal containments, BWR containment suppression chamber and the vent system, penetration sleeves, dissimilar metal welds, and penetration bellows may be designed and/or analyzed in accordance with ASME code requirements. The ASME code contains explicit metal fatigue or cyclic considerations based on TLAA's. Specific requirements are contained in the design code of reference for each plant.

~~1.1.8.1.1~~ ~~4.6.1.1.1~~ *ASME Section III, MC or Class 1*

ASME Section III, Division 2, "Code for Concrete Reactor Vessel and Containments," Subsection CC, "Concrete Containment," and Division 1, Subsection NE, "Class MC Components," (Ref. 2) require a fatigue analysis for liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations that considers all cyclic loads based on the anticipated number of cycles. Containment components also may be designed to ASME Section III Class 1 requirements. A Section III, MC or Class 1 fatigue analysis requires the calculation of the cumulative usage factor (CUF) based on the fatigue properties of the materials and the expected fatigue service of the component. The ASME code limits the CUF to a value less than or equal to one for acceptable fatigue design. The fatigue resistance of the liner plates or metal containments, and penetrations during the period of extended operation is an area of review.

~~1.1.8.1.2~~ ~~4.6.1.1.2~~ *Other Evaluations Based on CUF*

Other evaluations also contain metal fatigue analysis requirements based on a CUF calculation, such as metal bellows designed to ASME NC-3649.4(e)(3) or NE-3366.2(e)(3). For these cases, the discussion relating to ASME Section III, MC or Class 1, in Subsection 4.6.1.1.1 applies.

~~1.1.8.2~~ ~~4.6.1.2~~ *FSAR Supplement*

Detailed information on the evaluation of TLAA's is contained in the renewal application. A summary description of the evaluation of TLAA's for the period of extended operation is contained in the applicant's FSAR supplement. The FSAR supplement is an area of review.

~~1.1.9~~ ~~4.6.2~~ **Acceptance Criteria**

The acceptance criteria for the areas of review described in Subsection 4.6.1 delineate acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of the NRC's regulations in 2. 10 -CFR 54.21(c)(1).

~~1.1.9.1~~ ~~4.6.2.1~~ *Time-Limited Aging Analysis*

Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1), an applicant must demonstrate one of the following:

- ~~(i) The analyses remain valid for the period of extended operation;~~
- ~~(ii) The analyses have been projected to the end of the extended period of operation; or~~
- ~~(iii) The effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the period of extended operation.~~

~~Specific acceptance criteria for fatigue of containment liner plates, metal containments, liner plate weld joints, dissimilar metal welds, penetration sleeves, and penetration bellows are:~~

~~1.1.9.1.1 — 4.6.2.1.1 — ASME Section III, MC or Class 1~~

~~For containment liner plates, metal containments, BWR containment suppression chamber and the vent system, and penetrations designed or analyzed to ASME MC or Class 1 requirements, the acceptance criteria, depending on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), are:~~

~~1.1.9.1.1.1 — 4.6.2.1.1.1 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)~~

~~The existing CUF calculations remain valid because the number of assumed cyclic loads will not be exceeded during the period of extended operation.~~

~~1.1.9.1.1.2 — 4.6.2.1.1.2 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)~~

~~CLB fatigue analysis, per ASME Code Section III, was conducted for a 40-year life. The CUF calculations are re-evaluated based on an increased number of assumed cyclic loads to cover the period of extended operation. All cyclic loads considered in the original fatigue analyses (including Type A and Type B leak rate tests) are re-evaluated and revised, as necessary. The revised analysis shows that the CUF does not exceed one, as required by the ASME code, during the period of extended operation.~~

~~1.1.9.1.1.3 — 4.6.2.1.1.3 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)~~

~~An aging management program provided by the applicant shall demonstrate that the effects of aging on the component's intended function(s) will be adequately managed during the period of extended operation. If the proposed aging management program relies on mitigation or inspection, it shall be evaluated against the 10 elements described in Branch 22, "Contents of Application—Technical Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this standard review plan). However, if the component is replaced, the CUF for the replacement must be less than or equal to one during the period of extended operation.~~

~~1.1.9.1.2 — 4.6.2.1.2 — Other Evaluations Based on CUF~~

~~The acceptance criteria in Subsection 4.6.2 apply.~~

~~1.1.9.2 — 4.6.2.2 FSAR Supplement~~

~~The specific criterion for meeting 10 CFR 54.21(d) is:~~

~~The summary description of the evaluation of TLAAs for the period of extended operation in the FSAR supplement is appropriate such that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description should contain information associated with~~

~~the TLAA's regarding the basis for determining that the applicant has made the demonstration required by 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).~~

~~1.1.10 — 4.6.3 Review Procedures~~

~~For each area of review described in Subsection 4.6.1, the following review procedures is followed:~~

~~1.1.10.1 — 4.6.3.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis~~

~~1.1.10.1.1 — 4.6.3.1.1 — ASME Section III, MC or Class 1~~

~~For containment liner plates, metal containments, BWR containment suppression chamber and the vent system, and penetrations designed or analyzed to ASME MC or Class 1 requirements, the review procedures, depending on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), are:~~

~~1.1.10.1.1.1 — 4.6.3.1.1.1 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)~~

~~The number of assumed transients used in the existing CUF calculations for the current operating term is compared to the extrapolation to 60 years of operation of the number of operating transients experienced to date. The comparison confirms that the number of transients in the existing analyses will not be exceeded during the period of extended operation.~~

~~1.1.10.1.1.2 — 4.6.3.1.1.2 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)~~

~~Operating transient experience and a list of the increased number of assumed cyclic loads projected to the end of the period of extended operation are reviewed to ensure that the cyclic load projection is adequate. The revised CUF calculations based on the projected number of assumed cyclic loads are reviewed to ensure that the CUF remains less than one at the end of the period of extended operation.~~

~~The code of record is used for the reevaluation, or the applicant may update to a later code edition pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a. In the latter case, the reviewer verifies that the requirements in 10 CFR 50.55a are met.~~

~~1.1.10.1.1.3 — 4.6.3.1.1.3 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)~~

~~The applicant's proposed aging management program to ensure that the effects of aging on the intended function(s) are adequately managed for the period of extended operation is reviewed. If the program relies on mitigation or inspection, it shall be reviewed against the 10 elements described in Branch Technical Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this standard review plan). If the applicant proposes a component replacement before its CUF exceeds one, the reviewer verifies that the CUF for the replacement will remain less than or equal to one during the period of extended operation.~~

~~Applicant-proposed programs are reviewed on a case-by-case basis.~~

~~1.1.10.1.2 — 4.6.3.1.2 — Other Evaluations Based on CUF~~

~~The review procedures in Subsection 4.6.3.1 apply.~~

~~1.1.10.2~~ — ~~4.6.3.2 FSAR Supplement~~

~~The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided information, to be included in the FSAR supplement that includes a summary description of the evaluation of containment liner plate, metal containments, BWR containment suppression chamber and the vent system, and penetrations fatigue TLAA. Table 4.6-1 contains examples of acceptable FSAR supplement information for this TLAA. The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided an FSAR supplement with information equivalent to that in Table 4.6-1.~~

~~The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR supplement at the next update required pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license condition, until the FSAR update is complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR supplement without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change pursuant to the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the FSAR to include the final FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, no condition will be necessary.~~

~~As noted in Table 4.6-1, the applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the review should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in the license renewal application to any future aging management activities, including enhancements and commitments to be completed before the period of extended operation. The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.~~

~~1.1.11~~ — ~~4.6.4~~ **Evaluation Findings**

~~The reviewer determines whether the applicant has provided sufficient information to satisfy the provisions of this section and to support conclusions of the following type, depending on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), to be included in the staff's safety evaluation report:~~

~~On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the staff concludes that the applicant has provided an acceptable demonstration, pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1), that the containment liner plate or metal containment, BWR containment suppression chamber and the vent system, and penetrations fatigue TLAA, [choose which is appropriate] (i) the analyses remain valid for the period of extended operation, (ii) the analyses have been projected to the end of the period of extended operation, or (iii) the effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the period of extended operation. The staff also concludes that the FSAR supplement contains an appropriate summary description of the containment liner plate or metal containment, BWR containment suppression chamber and the vent system, and penetrations fatigue TLAA evaluation for the period of extended operation as reflected in the license condition.~~

~~1.1.12~~ — ~~4.6.5~~ **Implementation**

~~Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method, the method described herein will be used by the staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.~~

~~1.1.13~~ ~~4.6.6~~ **References**

- ~~1. NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants," Specifications." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 2007.~~
- ~~2. ASME Section III, Division 2, "Code for Concrete Reactor Vessels and Containments," Subsection CC, "Concrete Containment," and Division 1, Subsection NE, "MC Components," The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, 2004 edition as approved in 10 CFR 50.55a, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, NY.~~

Table 4.6-1—Examples of FSAR Supplement for Containment Liner Plates, Metal Containments, and Penetrations Fatigue TLAA Evaluation

10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) Example		
TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
Containment liner plates (or metal containment) and penetrations fatigue	The containment liner plates (or metal containment), BWR containment suppression chamber and the vent system, liner weld joints, penetration sleeves, dissimilar metal welds, and penetration bellows that provide an essentially leak-tight barrier. A Section III, MC or Class 1 fatigue analysis limits the CUF to a value less than or equal to one for acceptable fatigue design. The existing CUF evaluation has been determined to remain valid because the number of assumed cyclic loads would not be exceeded during the period of extended operation.	Completed
10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii) Example		
TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
Containment liner plates (or metal containment) and penetrations fatigue	The containment liner plates (or metal containment), BWR containment suppression chamber and the vent system, liner weld joints, penetration sleeves, dissimilar metal welds, and penetration bellows that provide an essentially leak-tight barrier. A Section III, MC or Class 1 fatigue analysis limits the CUF to a value less than or equal to one for acceptable fatigue design. The CUF calculations have been reevaluated based on an increased number of assumed cyclic loads to cover the period of extended operation. The revised CUF will not exceed one during the period of extended operation.	Completed
10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) Example		
TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
Containment liner plates (or metal containment) and penetrations fatigue	The containment liner plates (or metal containment), BWR containment suppression chamber and the vent system, liner weld joints, penetration sleeves, dissimilar metal welds, and penetration bellows that provide an essentially leak-tight barrier. A Section III, MC or Class 1 fatigue analysis limits the CUF to a value less than or equal to one for acceptable fatigue design. If the component is replaced, the CUF for the replacement will be shown to be less than one during the period of extended operation.	Program should be implemented before the period of extended operation.

Note: All containment components need not meet the same requirement. It is likely that the liner plate and the bellows may be evaluated per 10CFR54.21(c)(1)(i), while high energy penetrations may be evaluated per 10CFR54.21(c)(1)(ii).

* An applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in the license renewal application to any future aging management activities to be completed before the period of extended operation. The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant will complete these activities no later than the committed date.

~~3.~~ ~~4.7~~ OTHER PLANT-SPECIFIC TIME-LIMITED AGING ANALYSES

Review Responsibilities

~~Primary~~ – NRR branch responsible for the TLAA issues

~~Secondary~~ – Other branches responsible for systems, as appropriate

~~1.1.14~~ ~~4.7.1~~ **Areas of Review**

~~There are certain plant-specific safety analyses that may have been based on an explicitly assumed 40-year plant life (for example, aspects of the reactor vessel design) and may, therefore, be TLAA's. Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c), a license renewal applicant is required to evaluate TLAA's. The definition of TLAA's is provided in 10 CFR 54.3 and in Section 4.1 of this SRP-LR.~~

~~Plant-specific TLAA's may have evolved since issuance of a plant's operating license. As indicated in 10 CFR 54.30, the adequacy of the plant's CLB, which includes TLAA's, is not an area within the scope of the license renewal review. Any questions regarding the adequacy of the CLB must be addressed under the backfit rule (10 CFR 50.109) and are separate from the license renewal process.~~

~~License renewal reviews focus on the period of extended operation. Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.30, if the reviews required by 10 CFR 54.21(a) or (c) show that there is not reasonable assurance during the current license term that licensed activities will be conducted in accordance with the CLB, the licensee is required to take measures under its current license to ensure that the intended functions of those systems, structures, or components are maintained in accordance with the CLB throughout the term of the current license. The adequacy of the measures for the term of the current license is not within the scope of the license renewal review.~~

~~Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c), an applicant must provide a listing of TLAA's and plant-specific exemptions that are based on TLAA's. The staff reviews the applicant's identification of TLAA's and exemptions separately, following the guidance in Section 4.1 of this SRP-LR.~~

~~Based on lessons learned in the review of the initial license renewal applications, the staff has developed review procedures for the evaluation of certain TLAA's. If an applicant identifies these TLAA's as applicable to its plant, the staff reviews them separately, following the guidance in Sections 4.2 through 4.6. The reviewer reviews other TLAA's that are identified by the applicant following the generic guidance in this section. For particular systems, the reviewers from branches responsible for those systems may be requested to assist in the review, as appropriate.~~

~~The following areas relating to a TLAA are reviewed:~~

~~1.1.14.1~~ ~~4.7.1.1~~ *Time-Limited Aging Analysis*

~~The evaluation of the TLAA for the period of extended operation is reviewed.~~

~~1.1.14.2 — 4.7.1.2 FSAR Supplement~~

~~The FSAR supplement summarizing the evaluation of the TLAA for the period of extended operation in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(d) is reviewed.~~

~~1.1.15 — 4.7.2 Acceptance Criteria~~

~~The acceptance criteria for the areas of review described in Subsection 4.7.1 of this section delineate acceptable methods for meeting the requirements of the NRC's regulations in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).~~

~~1.1.15.1 — 4.7.2.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis~~

~~Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) – (iii), an applicant must demonstrate one of the following for the TLAAs:~~

- ~~(i) The analyses remain valid for the period of extended operation;~~
- ~~(ii) The analyses have been projected to the end of the extended period of operation; or~~
- ~~(iii) The effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the period of extended operation.~~

~~1.1.15.2 — 4.7.2.2 FSAR Supplement~~

~~The specific criterion for meeting 10 CFR 54.21(d) is:~~

~~The summary description of the evaluation of TLAAs for the period of extended operation in the FSAR supplement is appropriate such that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description contains information associated with the TLAAs regarding the basis for determining that the applicant has made the demonstration required by 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1).~~

~~1.1.16 — 4.7.3 Review Procedures~~

~~For certain applicants, plant-specific analyses may meet the definition of a TLAA as given in 10 CFR 54.3. The concern for license renewal is that these analyses may not have properly considered the length of the extended period of operation, which may change conclusions with regard to safety and the capability of SSCs within the scope of the Rule to perform or one or more safety functions. The review of these TLAAs provides the assurance that the aging effect is properly addressed through the period of extended operation.~~

~~For each area of review described in Subsection 4.7.1, the following review procedures are followed:~~

~~1.1.16.1 — 4.7.3.1 Time-Limited Aging Analysis~~

~~For each TLAA identified, the review procedures depend on the applicant's choice of methods of compliance from those identified in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), as follows:~~

~~1.1.16.1.1 — 4.7.3.1.1 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)~~

~~Justification provided by the applicant is reviewed to verify that the existing analyses are valid for the period of extended operation. The existing analyses should be shown to be bounding even during the period of extended operation.~~

~~The applicant describes the TLAA with respect to the objectives of the analysis, assumptions used in the analysis, conditions, acceptance criteria, relevant aging effects, and intended function(s). The applicant shows that (a) conditions and assumptions used in the analysis already address the relevant aging effects for the period of extended operation, and (b) acceptance criteria are maintained to provide reasonable assurance that the intended function(s) is maintained for renewal. Thus, no reanalysis is necessary for renewal.~~

~~In some instances, the applicant may identify activities to be performed to verify the assumption basis of the calculation, such as cycle counting. An evaluation of that activity is provided by the applicant. The reviewer assures that the applicant's activity is sufficient to confirm the calculation assumptions for the 60-year period.~~

~~If the TLAA must be modified or recalculated to extend the period of evaluation to consider the period of extended operation, the reevaluation should be addressed under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).~~

~~1.1.16.1.2 — 4.7.3.1.2 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii)~~

~~The documented results of the revised analyses are reviewed to verify that their period of evaluation is extended, such that they are valid for the period of extended operation (e.g., 60 years). The applicable analysis technique can be the one that is in effect in the plant's CLB at the time of filing of the renewal application.~~

~~The applicant may recalculate the TLAA using a 60-year period to show that the TLAA acceptance criteria continue to be satisfied for the period of extended operation. The applicant also may revise the TLAA by recognizing and reevaluating any overly conservative conditions and assumptions. Examples include relaxing overly conservative assumptions in the original analysis, using new or refined analytical techniques, and performing the analysis using a 60-year period. The applicant shall provide a sufficient description of the analysis and documents the results of the reanalysis to show that it is satisfactory for the 60-year period.~~

~~As applicable, the plant's code of record is used for the reevaluation, or the applicant may update to a later code edition pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a. In the latter case, the reviewer verifies that the requirements in 10 CFR 50.55a are met.~~

~~In some cases, the applicant identifies activities to be performed to verify the assumption basis of the calculation, such as cycle counting. An evaluation of that activity is provided by the applicant. The reviewer confirms that the applicant's activity is sufficient to confirm the calculation assumptions for the 60-year period.~~

~~1.1.16.1.3 — 4.7.3.1.3 — 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)~~

~~Under this option, the applicant proposes to manage the aging effects associated with the TLAA by an aging management program in the same manner as described in the IPA in 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). The reviewer reviews the applicant's aging management program to verify that the~~

~~effects of aging on the intended function(s) are adequately managed consistent with the CLB for the period of extended operation.~~

~~The applicant identifies the structures and components associated with the TLAA. The TLAA is described with respect to the objectives of the analysis, conditions, assumptions used, acceptance criteria, relevant aging effects, and intended function(s). In cases where a mitigation or inspection program is proposed, the reviewer uses the guidance provided in Branch Technical Position RLSB-1 of this standard review plan to ensure that the effects of aging on the structure and component-intended function(s) are adequately managed for the period of extended operation.~~

~~1.1.16.2~~ — ~~4.7.3.2~~ *FSAR Supplement*

~~The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided information to be included in the FSAR supplement that includes a summary description of the evaluation of each TLAA. Each such summary description is reviewed to verify that it is appropriate, such that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. The description should contain information that the TLAA's have been dispositioned for the period of extended operation. Sections 4.2 through 4.6 of this standard review plan contain examples of acceptable FSAR supplement information for TLAA evaluation.~~

~~The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to require the applicant to update its FSAR to include this FSAR supplement at the next update required pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). As part of the license condition, until the FSAR update is complete, the applicant may make changes to the programs described in its FSAR supplement without prior NRC approval, provided that the applicant evaluates each such change pursuant to the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.59. If the applicant updates the FSAR to include the final FSAR supplement before the license is renewed, no condition is necessary.~~

~~As noted in Sections 4.2 through 4.6, an applicant need not incorporate the implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the review should verify that the applicant has identified and committed in the license renewal application to any future aging management activities, including enhancements and commitments to be completed before the period of extended operation. The staff expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applicant completes these activities no later than the committed date.~~

~~1.1.17~~ — ~~4.7.4~~ **Evaluation Findings**

~~The reviewer determines whether the applicant has provided sufficient information to satisfy the provisions of Section 4.7 and whether the staff's evaluation supports conclusions of the following type, depending on the applicant's choice of 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii), to be included in the staff's safety evaluation report:~~

~~On the basis of its review, as discussed above, the staff concludes that the applicant has provided an acceptable demonstration, pursuant to 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1), that, for the (name of specific) TLAA, [choose which is appropriate] (i) the analyses remain valid for the period of extended operation, (ii) the analyses have been projected to the end of the period of extended operation, or (iii) the effects of aging on the intended function(s) will be adequately managed for the period of extended operation. The staff also concludes that the FSAR~~

~~supplement contains an appropriate summary description of this TLA evaluation for the period of extended operation as reflected in the license condition.~~

~~1.1.18~~ — ~~4.7.5~~ **Implementation**

~~Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method, the method described herein is used by the staff in its evaluation of conformance with NRC regulations.~~

~~1.1.19~~ — ~~4.7.6~~ **References**

~~None~~

|

| 1 . 2015.

1

APPENDIX A

2

~~BRANCH TECHNICAL~~ GENERAL NRC STAFF POSITIONS AND GUIDANCE

|

APPENDIX A—GENERAL NRC STAFF POSITIONS AND GUIDANCE

A.1 Aging Management Review—~~GENERIC~~—Generic (Branch Technical Position RLSB-1)

A.1.1 Background

Pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21(a)(3), a license renewal ~~applicant~~application (LRA) is required to demonstrate that the effects of aging on structures and components (SCs) subject to an aging management review (AMR) are adequately managed so their intended functions will be maintained consistent with the current licensing basis (CLB) for the subsequent period of extended operation. The purpose of this Branch Technical Position (BTP) (RLSB-1) is to address the aging management demonstration that has not been addressed specifically in Chapters 3 and 4 of this Standard Review Plan- for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-SLR).

The subsequent license renewal (SLR) process is not intended to demonstrate absolute assurance that ~~structures and components~~SCs will not fail, but rather that there is reasonable assurance that they will perform such that the intended functions are maintained consistent with the ~~current licensing basis~~-(CLB) during the subsequent period of extended operation.

There are generally four types of aging management programs (AMPs): (i) prevention, (ii) mitigation, (iii) condition monitoring, and (iv) performance monitoring.

Prevention Programs preclude the effects of aging. For example, coating programs prevent external corrosion of a tank.

Mitigation Programs attempt to slow the effects of aging. For example, water chemistry programs mitigate internal corrosion of piping.

Condition Monitoring Programs inspect for the presence and extent of aging effects- or perform tests that monitor potential changes in a components or structure's material condition. Examples of programs that involve inspections are the visual examination of concrete structures for cracking and the ultrasonic examination of pipe wall for flow-accelerated corrosion (FAC)- induced wall thinning.-)induced wall thinning. Examples of programs that involve material testing are reactor pressure vessel material surveillance capsule testing programs that monitor for embrittlement in ferritic reactor pressure vessel component's and material testing programs that monitor for degradation in spent fuel pool neutron absorption materials.

Performance Monitoring Programs test the ability of a structure or component to perform its intended function(s). For example, the ability of the tubes on heat exchangers to transfer heat is tested.

More than one type of AMP may be implemented to ensure that aging effects are managed. For example, in managing internal corrosion of piping, a mitigation program (water chemistry) may be used to minimize susceptibility to corrosion. However, it may also be necessary to have a condition monitoring program (ultrasonic inspection) to verify that corrosion is indeed insignificant. In addition, some AMPs may incorporate more than one of the activities of prevention, mitigation, condition monitoring, or performance monitoring. For example, open cycle cooling water programs may incorporate combinations of inspection (condition monitoring) and flow testing (performance monitoring) activities.

1 **A.1.2 Branch Technical Position**

2 A.1.2.1 *Applicable Aging Effects*

- 3 1. The determination of applicable aging effects is based on degradation mechanisms that
4 have occurred and those that potentially could cause ~~structure and component~~SC
5 degradation. The materials, environment, stresses, service conditions, operating
6 experience, and other relevant information should be considered in identifying applicable
7 aging effects. The effects of aging on the intended function(s) of ~~structures and~~
8 ~~components~~SCs also should be considered.
- 9 2. Relevant aging information may be contained in, but is not limited to, the following
10 documents: (i) plant-specific maintenance and inspection records; (ii) plant-specific site
11 deviation or issue reports; (iii) plant-specific U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
12 and Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) inspection reports; (iv) plant-specific
13 licensee self-assessment reports; (v) plant-specific and other licensee event
14 ~~reports~~report (LERs); (vi) NRC, INPO, and vendor generic communications; and (vii)
15 generic safety issues (GSIs)/unresolved safety issues (USIs); NUREG reports; and
16 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) reports.
- 17 3. If operating experience or other information indicates that a certain aging effect may be
18 applicable and an applicant determines that it is not applicable to its specific plant, the
19 reviewer may question the absence of this aging effect ~~unless~~if the applicant has not
20 provided ~~the~~a sufficient basis ~~for this determination~~ in its subsequent license renewal
21 application. ~~However, in questioning the absence of the aging effect, a reference and/or~~
22 ~~basis which aided the applicant in addressing the question should be provided. (SLRA).~~
23 For example, the question could cite a previous application review, NRC generic
24 communications, engineering judgment, relevant research information, or other industry
25 experience as the basis for the question. Simply citing that the aging effect is listed in
26 the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR)
27 Report is not a sufficient basis. For example, it may be that the aging effect is applicable
28 to a pressurized water reactor (PWR) component, but the applicant's plant is a boiling
29 water reactor (BWR) and does not have such a component. In this example, using the
30 GALL-SLR Report merely as a checklist is not relevantappropriate.
- 31 4. An aging effect may not have been identified in the GALL-SLR Report, if it arises out of
32 industry experience after the issuance of the GALL-SLR Report. The reviewer should
33 ensure that the applicant has evaluated the latest industry experience to identify all
34 applicable aging effects.
- 35 5. An aging effect should be identified as applicable for ~~license renewal~~SLR even if there is
36 a prevention or mitigation program associated with that aging effect. For example, water
37 chemistry, a coating, or use of cathodic protection could prevent or mitigate corrosion,
38 but corrosion should be identified as applicable for ~~license renewal~~SLR, and the AMR
39 should consider the adequacy of the AMP referencing water chemistry, coating, or
40 cathodic protection.
- 41 6. Specific identification of aging mechanisms is not a requirement; however, it is an option
42 to identify specific aging mechanisms and the associated aging effects in the integrated
43 plant assessment (IPA).

1 7. The applicable aging effects to be considered for ~~license renewal~~SLR include those that
2 could result from normal plant operation, including plant/ or system operating transients
3 and plant shutdown. Specific aging effects from abnormal events need not be
4 postulated for ~~license renewal~~SLR. However, if an abnormal event has occurred at a
5 particular plant, its contribution to the aging effects on ~~structures and components~~SCs
6 for ~~license renewal~~SLR should be considered for that plant. For example, if a resin
7 intrusion has occurred in the reactor coolant system at a particular plant, the contribution
8 of this resin intrusion event to aging should be considered for that plant.

9 Design basis events (DBEs) are abnormal events; they include design basis pipe break, loss of
10 coolant accident (LOCA), and safe shutdown earthquake (SSE). Potential aging effects
11 resulting from DBEs are addressed, as appropriate, as part of the plant's CLB. There are other
12 abnormal events which should be considered on a case-by-case basis. For example, abuse
13 due to human activity is an abnormal event; aging effects from such abuse need not be
14 postulated for ~~license renewal~~SLR. When a safety-significant piece of equipment is
15 accidentally damaged by a licensee, the licensee is required to take immediate corrective action
16 under existing procedures (see 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix B) to ensure functionality of the
17 equipment. The equipment degradation is not due to aging; corrective action is not necessary
18 solely for the subsequent period of extended operation. However, leakage from bolted
19 connections should not be considered as abnormal events. Although bolted connections are
20 not supposed to leak, experience shows that leaks do occur, and the leakage could cause
21 corrosion. ~~Thus, the aging effects from leakage of bolted connections should be evaluated for~~
22 ~~license renewal.~~ In addition, condensation frequently occurs during humid periods of normal
23 plant operation and can also occur during plant shutdown when normally hot components might
24 be below the dew point. The aging effects from leakage of bolted connections and
25 condensation occurring during humid periods of normal plant operations should be evaluated for
26 SLR. Condensation during plant shutdowns could result in aging effects such as reduced
27 thermal insulation resistance due to moisture intrusion and should be evaluated for SLR. It is
28 less likely that condensation during plant shutdowns would result in loss of material, unless
29 plant-specific operating experience dictates otherwise (e.g., as a result of extended plant
30 shutdowns).

31 An aging effect due to an abnormal event does not preclude that aging effect from occurring
32 during normal operation for the subsequent period of extended operation. For example, a
33 certain PWR licensee observed clad cracking in its pressurizer, and attributed that to an
34 abnormal dry out of the pressurizer. Although dry out of a pressurizer is an abnormal event, the
35 potential for clad cracking in the pressurizer during normal operation should be evaluated for
36 ~~license renewal~~SLR. This is because the pressurizer is subject to extensive thermal
37 fluctuations and water level changes during plant operation, which may result in clad cracking
38 given sufficient operating time. The abnormal dry out of the pressurizer at that certain plant may
39 have merely accelerated the rate of the aging effect.

40 A.1.2.2 Aging Management Program for Subsequent License Renewal

- 41 1. An acceptable AMP should consist of the 10 elements described in Table A.1-1, as
42 appropriate ~~(Ref. 1).~~ These program elements/~~attributes~~ are discussed further in
43 Position A.1.2.3 below.
- 44 2. All programs and activities that are credited for managing a certain aging effect for a
45 specific structure or component should be described. These AMPs/programs and

1 activities may be evaluated together for the 10 elements described in Table A.1-1, as
2 appropriate.

3 3. The risk significance of a structure or component could be considered in evaluating the
4 robustness of an AMP. Probabilistic arguments may be used to develop an approach for
5 aging management adequacy. However, use of probabilistic arguments alone is not an
6 acceptable basis for concluding that, for those ~~structures and components~~SCs subject to
7 an AMR, the effects of aging will be adequately managed in the subsequent period of
8 extended operation. Thus, risk significance may be considered in developing the details
9 of an AMP for the structure or component for ~~license renewal~~SLR, but may not be used
10 to conclude that no AMP is necessary for ~~license renewal~~SLR.

11 4. For programs that rely on NRC-endorsed technical or topical reports (TRs), the scope of
12 the AMP includes the applicant's bases for resolving or addressing any NRC limitations
13 or applicant/licensee action items that are placed on the activities for implementing a
14 given report's methodology. These limitations or action items are identified in the NRC's
15 safety evaluation on the TR's methodology and recommended activities. If it is
16 determined that the response to a specific applicant action item will result in the need for
17 augmentation of specific programmatic criteria beyond those activities recommended in
18 the applicable TR, the applicant should define the AMP accordingly to identify the AMP
19 program element or elements that are impacted by the basis for responding to the
20 applicable action item and the adjustments that will need to be made to the TR guidance
21 recommendations, as defined in the impacted program elements for the AMP and
22 applicable to the CLB and design basis for the facility. It is also recommended that the
23 applicants provide their bases for resolving the specific limitations or action items in
24 Appendix C of their SLRAs.

25 A.1.2.3 *Aging Management Program Elements*

26 A.1.2.3.1 *Scope of Program*

27 The specific program necessary for ~~license renewal~~SLR should be identified. The scope of the
28 program should include the specific ~~structures and components~~SCs, the aging of which the
29 program manages.

30 A.1.2.3.2 *Preventive Actions*

- 31 1. The activities for prevention and mitigation programs should be described. These
32 actions should mitigate or prevent aging degradation.
- 33 2. Some condition or performance monitoring programs do not rely on preventive actions
34 and thus, this information need not be provided.
- 35 3. In some cases, condition or performance monitoring programs may also rely on
36 preventive actions. The specific prevention activities should be specified.

37 A.1.2.3.3 *Parameters Monitored or Inspected*

- 38 1. This program element should identify the aging effects that the program manages and
39 should provide a link between the parameter or parameters that will be monitored and
40 how the monitoring of these parameters will ensure adequate aging management.

- 1 2. For a condition monitoring program, the parameter monitored or inspected should be
2 capable of detecting the presence and extent of aging effects. Some examples are
3 measurements of wall thickness and detection and sizing of cracks.
- 4 3. For a performance monitoring program, a link should be established between the
5 degradation of the particular structure or component-intended function(s) and the
6 parameter(s) being monitored. An example of linking the degradation of a passive
7 component-intended function with the performance being monitored is linking the fouling
8 of heat exchanger tubes with the heat transfer-intended function- as identified by a
9 change in the differential temperature across the heat exchanger tubes. This could be
10 monitored by periodic heat balances. Since this example deals only with one intended
11 function of the tubes (heat transfer), additional programs may be necessary to manage
12 other intended function(s) of the tubes, such as pressure boundary. Thus, a
13 performance monitoring program must ensure that the structure-and-componentsSCs
14 are capable of performing their intended functions by using a combination of
15 performance monitoring and evaluation (if outside acceptable limits of acceptance
16 criteria) that demonstrate that a change in performance characteristic is a result of an
17 age-related degradation mechanism.
- 18 4. For prevention or mitigation programs, the parameters monitored should be the specific
19 parameters being controlled to achieve prevention or mitigation of aging effects. An
20 example is the coolant oxygen level that is being controlled in a water chemistry program
21 to mitigate pipe cracking.

22 A.1.2.3.4 *Detection of Aging Effects*

- 23 1. Detection of aging effects should occur before there is a loss of the structure-and
24 componentSC-intended function(s). The parameters to be monitored or inspected
25 should be appropriate to ensure that the structure-and-componentSC-intended
26 function(s) will be adequately maintained for license-renewalSLR under all CLB design
27 conditions. Thus, the discussion for the “detection of aging effects” program element
28 should address (a) how the program element would be capable of detecting or
29 identifying the occurrence of age-related degradation or an aging effect prior to a loss of
30 structure-and-component (SC)-SC-intended function or (b) for preventive/mitigative
31 programs, how the program would be capable of preventing or mitigating their
32 occurrence prior to a loss of a SC-intended function. The discussion should provide
33 information that links the parameters to be monitored or inspected to the aging effects
34 being managed.
- 35 2. Nuclear power plants are licensed based on redundancy, diversity, and defense-in-depth
36 principles. A degraded or failed component reduces the reliability of the system,
37 challenges safety systems, and contributes to plant risk. Thus, the effects of aging on a
38 structure or component should be managed to ensure its availability to perform its
39 intended function(s) as designed when called upon. In this way, all system
40 level--intended function(s), including redundancy, diversity, and defense-in-depth
41 consistent with the plant’s CLB, would be maintained for license-renewal.SLR. A
42 program based solely on detecting structure and component failure should not be
43 considered as an effective AMP for license-renewalSLR.
- 44 3. This program element describes “when,” “where,” and “how” program data are collected
45 (i.e., all aspects of activities to collect data as part of the program).

1 4. For condition monitoring programs, the method or technique (such as visual, volumetric,
2 or surface inspection), frequency, and timing of new, one-time inspections may be linked
3 to plant-specific or ~~industrywide~~industry-wide operating experience. The discussion
4 ~~should provide~~provides justification, including codes and standards referenced, that the
5 technique and frequency are adequate to detect the aging effects before a loss of SC-
6 intended function. A program based solely on detecting SC failures is not considered an
7 effective AMP.

8 For a condition monitoring program, when sampling is used to represent a larger
9 population of SCs, applicants ~~should~~ provide the basis for the inspection population and
10 sample size. The inspection population should be based on such aspects of the SCs as
11 a similarity of materials of construction, fabrication, procurement, design, installation,
12 operating environment, or aging effects. The sample size should be based on such
13 aspects of the SCs as the specific aging effect, location, existing technical information,
14 system and structure design, materials of construction, service environment, or previous
15 failure history. The samples ~~should be~~are biased toward locations most susceptible to
16 the specific aging effect of concern in the subsequent period of extended operation.
17 Provisions on expanding the sample size when degradation is detected in the initial
18 sample should also be included. For multiunit sites, samples are conducted at all units.
19 Provisions for expanding the sample size when degradation is detected in the initial
20 sample are included.

21 5. For a performance monitoring program, the “detection of aging effects” program element
22 should discuss and establish the monitoring methods that will be used for performance
23 monitoring. In addition, the “detection of aging effects” program element should also
24 establish and justify the frequency that will be used to implement these performance
25 monitoring activities.

26 6. For a prevention or mitigation program, the “detection of aging effects” program element
27 should discuss and establish the monitoring methods that the program will use to
28 monitor for the preventive or mitigative parameters that the program controls and should
29 justify the frequency of performing these monitoring activities.

30 A.1.2.3.5 *Monitoring and Trending*

31 1. Monitoring and trending activities should be described, and they should provide a
32 prediction of the extent of degradation and thus effect timely corrective or mitigative
33 actions. Plant-specific and/or industrywide operating experience may be considered in
34 evaluating the appropriateness of the technique and frequency.

35 2. This program element describes “how” the data collected are evaluated and may also
36 include trending for a forward look. This includes an evaluation of the results against the
37 acceptance criteria and a prediction regarding the rate of degradation in order to confirm
38 that timing of the next scheduled inspection will occur before a loss of SC-intended
39 function. Although aging indicators may be quantitative or qualitative, aging indicators
40 should be quantified, to the extent possible, to allow trending. The parameter or
41 indicator trended should be described. The methodology for analyzing the inspection or
42 test results against the acceptance criteria should be described. Trending is a
43 comparison of the current monitoring results with previous monitoring results in order to
44 make predictions for the future.

1 A.1.2.3.6 Acceptance Criteria

2 1. The quantitative or qualitative acceptance criteria of the program and its basis should be
3 described. The acceptance criteria, against which the need for corrective actions are
4 evaluated, should ensure that the ~~structure and component~~SC-intended function(s) are
5 maintained consistent with all CLB design conditions during the subsequent period of
6 extended operation. The program should include a methodology for analyzing the
7 results against applicable acceptance criteria.

8 For example, carbon steel pipe wall thinning may occur under certain conditions due to
9 FAC. An AMP for FAC may consist of periodically measuring the pipe wall thickness
10 and comparing that to a specific minimum wall acceptance criterion. Corrective action is
11 taken, such as piping replacement, before deadweight, seismic, and other loads, and
12 this acceptance criterion must be appropriate to ensure that the thinned piping would be
13 able to carry these CLB design loads. This acceptance criterion should provide for
14 timely corrective action before loss of intended function under these CLB design loads.

15 2. Acceptance criteria could be specific numerical values, or could consist of a discussion
16 of the process for calculating specific numerical values of conditional acceptance criteria
17 to ensure that the ~~structure and component~~SC-intended function(s) will be maintained
18 under all CLB design conditions. Information from available references may be cited.

19 3. It is not necessary to justify any acceptance criteria taken directly from the design basis
20 information that is included in ~~either~~ the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), plant
21 Technical Specifications, (TS), or other codes and standards incorporated by reference
22 into NRC regulations; they are a part of the CLB. Nor is it necessary to justify the
23 acceptance criteria that have been established in either NRC-accepted or NRC-
24 endorsed methodology, such as those that may be given in NRC-approved or NRC-
25 endorsed topical reports or NRC-endorsed codes and standards; the acceptance criteria
26 referenced in these types of documents have been subject to an NRC review process
27 and have been approved or endorsed for their application to an NRC-approved or
28 NRC--endorsed evaluation methodology. Also, it is not necessary to discuss CLB
29 design loads if the acceptance criteria do not permit degradation because a ~~structure~~
30 ~~and component~~SC without degradation should continue to function as originally
31 designed. Acceptance criteria, which do permit degradation, are based on maintaining
32 the intended function under all CLB design loads.

33 A.1.2.3.7 Corrective Actions

34 1. Actions to be taken when the acceptance criteria are not met should be described in
35 appropriate detail or referenced to source documents. Corrective actions, including root
36 cause determination and prevention of recurrence, should be timely.

37 2. If corrective actions permit analysis without repair or replacement, the analysis should
38 ensure that the ~~structure and component~~SC-intended function(s) are maintained
39 consistent with the CLB.

40 ~~3. For safety-related components, an applicant's 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Quality~~
41 ~~Assurance Program, is an acceptable means to confirm Results that do not meet the~~
42 ~~corrective actions acceptance criteria are done in a manner consistent with the condition~~
43 ~~monitoring program, preventive program, mitigative program, addressed as conditions~~

1 ~~adverse to quality~~ or ~~performance monitoring program that is credited for aging~~
2 ~~management. For example, for a plant-significant conditions adverse to quality under~~
3 ~~those specific condition monitoring program that is based on ASME Section XI~~
4 ~~requirements, the implementation portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that~~
5 ~~are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B.~~
6 ~~Appendix A.2 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B~~
7 ~~program should ensure that any, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of~~
8 ~~this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this~~
9 ~~program.~~

10 ~~3.4.~~ For plant-specific programs that rely on NRC-endorsed Technical or TRs, the corrective
11 actions are performed/implemented in accordance with corrective actions recommended
12 in the applicable Code requirements or NRC-approved Code cases/ TR or TRs, or the
13 applicant's 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA process, as applicable.

14 A.1.2.3.8 Confirmation Process

15 1. The confirmation process should be described. The process ensures that ~~preventive~~
16 ~~actions are adequate and that~~ appropriate corrective actions have been completed and
17 are effective.

18 ~~2. The effectiveness of prevention and mitigation programs should be verified periodically.~~
19 ~~For example, in managing internal corrosion of piping, a mitigation program (water~~
20 ~~chemistry) may be used to minimize susceptibility to corrosion. However, it also may be~~
21 ~~necessary to have a condition monitoring program (ultrasonic inspection) to verify that~~
22 ~~corrosion is indeed insignificant.~~

23 2. The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA
24 program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50,
25 Appendix B. Appendix A.2 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50,
26 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both
27 safety-related and nonsafety-related systems, structures, and components (SSCs) within
28 the scope of this program.

29 3. When ~~corrective actions/significant conditions adverse to quality~~ are ~~necessary/identified,~~
30 there should be follow-up activities to confirm that the corrective actions have been
31 completed, a root cause determination was performed, and recurrence will be prevented.

32 4. For plant-specific condition monitoring programs that rely on the augmented inspection
33 and evaluation methodologies in NRC-endorsed Technical or TRs, the administrative
34 controls for these types of programs, including their implementing procedures and
35 review and approval processes, are implemented in accordance existing site 10 CFR 50
36 Appendix B, QA Programs, or their equivalent, as applicable. Additional administrative
37 controls criteria may apply as identified in the TRs or in other industry reports or
38 guidelines, such as those developed by (but not limited to) Nuclear Energy Institute
39 (NEI), the EPRI Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project (BWRVIP), EPRI
40 Materials Reliability Program (MRP), BWR Owners Group, PWR Owners Group, or
41 industry vendors, such as AREVA, Westinghouse, or General Electric (GE) or
42 GE-Hitachi.

43 A.1.2.3.9 Administrative Controls

1 1. The administrative controls of the program should be described. Administrative controls
2 provide a formal review and approval process.

3 ~~2. Any AMPs to be relied on for license renewal should have regulatory and administrative~~
4 ~~controls. That is the basis for 10 CFR 54.21(d) to require that the FSAR supplement~~
5 ~~include a summary description of the programs and activities for managing the effects of~~
6 ~~aging for license renewal. Thus, any informal programs relied on to manage aging for~~
7 ~~license renewal must be administratively controlled and included in the FSAR~~
8 ~~supplement.~~

9 2. Administrative controls are addressed through the QA program that is used to meet the
10 requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, associated with managing the effects of
11 aging (e.g., document control, special processes, and test control). Appendix A.2
12 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
13 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
14 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.

15 3. For plant-specific condition monitoring programs that rely on the augmented inspection
16 and evaluation methodologies in NRC-endorsed Technical or TRs, the administrative
17 controls for these types of programs, including their implementing procedures and
18 review and approval processes, are implemented in accordance existing site 10 CFR 50
19 Appendix B, QA Programs, or their equivalent, as applicable. Additional administrative
20 controls criteria may apply as identified in the TRs or in other industry reports or
21 guidelines, such as those developed by (but not limited to) NEI, the EPRI BWRVIP,
22 EPRI MRP, BWR Owners Group, PWR Owners Group, or industry vendors, such as
23 AREVA, Westinghouse, or GE or GE-Hitachi.

24 A.1.2.3.10 *Operating Experience*

25 1. ~~1.~~—Consideration of future plant-specific and industry operating experience relating
26 to ~~aging management programs-AMPs~~ should be discussed. ~~(See Appendix A.4).~~
27 Reviews of operating experience by the applicant in the future may identify areas where
28 ~~aging management programsAMPs~~ should be enhanced or new programs developed.
29 An applicant should commit to a future review of plant-specific and industry operating
30 experience to confirm the effectiveness of its ~~aging management programsAMPs~~ or
31 indicate a need to develop new ~~aging management programs-AMPs~~. This information
32 should provide objective evidence to support the conclusion that the effects of aging will
33 be managed adequately so that the ~~structure and componentSC~~ intended function(s) will
34 be maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation.

35 2. ~~2.~~—Currently available operating experience with existing programs should be
36 discussed. The discussion should note any changes to the programs during the first
37 period of extended operation. The operating experience of ~~AMPs that are~~ existing
38 programs, including past corrective actions resulting in program enhancements or
39 additional programs, should be considered. A past failure would not necessarily
40 invalidate an AMP because the feedback from operating experience should have
41 resulted in appropriate program enhancements or new programs. This information can
42 show where an existing program has succeeded and where it has ~~failed (if at all)not~~
43 been fully effective in intercepting aging degradation in a timely manner. This
44 information should provide objective evidence to support the conclusion that the effects

1 of aging will be managed adequately so that the ~~structure and component~~SC-intended
2 function(s) will be maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation.

3 3. ~~3.~~ Currently available operating experience applicable to new programs should also
4 be discussed. For new AMPs that have yet to be implemented at an applicant's facility,
5 the programs have not yet generated any operating experience ~~(OE)~~. However, there
6 may be other relevant plant-specific ~~OE at the plant~~ or generic ~~OE in the~~ industry
7 operating experience that is relevant to the AMP's program elements, even though the
8 ~~OE operating experience~~ was not identified ~~as a result of the~~through implementation of
9 the new program. Thus, when developing the elements for new programs, an applicant
10 ~~may need to~~should consider the impact of relevant ~~OE that results~~operating experience
11 from ~~the past~~implementation of its existing AMPs ~~that are existing programs and and~~
12 from generic industry operating experience.

13 3.4. For plant-specific condition monitoring programs that rely on the augmented inspection
14 and evaluation methodologies in NRC-endorsed Technical or TRs, the administrative
15 controls for these types of programs, including their implementing procedures and
16 review and approval processes, are implemented in accordance with existing site
17 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, QA Programs, or their equivalent, as applicable. Additional
18 administrative controls criteria may apply as identified in the TRs or in other industry
19 reports or guidelines, such as those developed by (but not limited to) NEI, the impact of
20 relevant generic OE on developing the program elements. Therefore, operating
21 experience applicable to new programs should be discussed. Additionally, an applicant
22 should commit to a review of future plant-specific and industry operating experience for
23 new programs to confirm their effectivenessEPRI BWRVIP, EPRI MRP, BWR Owners
24 Group, PWR Owners Group, or industry vendors, such as AREVA, Westinghouse, or GE
25 or GE-Hitachi.

26 **A.1.3 References**

27 NEI 95-10, "Industry Guideline for Implementing the Requirements of 10 CFR Part 54—
28 The License Renewal Rule," Nuclear Energy Institute, Revision 6

|

4.

Table A.1-1. Elements of an Aging Management Program for <u>Subsequent License Renewal</u>	
Element	Description
1. Scope of Program	Scope of program includes the specific structures and components <u>SCs</u> subject to an AMR for <u>license renewal</u> <u>SLR</u> .
2. Preventive Actions	Preventive actions should prevent or mitigate aging degradation.
3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected	Parameters monitored or inspected should be linked to the degradation of the particular structure or component <u>SC</u> -intended function(s).
4. Detection of Aging Effects	Detection of aging effects should occur before there is a loss of structure or component <u>SC</u> -intended function(s). This includes aspects such as method or technique (i.e., visual, volumetric, surface inspection), frequency, sample size, data collection, and timing of new/one-time inspections to ensure timely detection of aging effects.
5. Monitoring and Trending	Monitoring and trending should provide predictability of the extent of degradation, and timely corrective or mitigative actions.
6. Acceptance Criteria	Acceptance criteria, against which the need for corrective action will be evaluated, should ensure that the structure or component <u>SC</u> -intended function(s) are maintained under all CLB design conditions during the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation.
7. Corrective Actions	Corrective actions, including root cause determination and prevention of recurrence, should be timely.
8. Confirmation Process	Confirmation process should ensure that preventive actions are adequate and that appropriate corrective actions have been completed and are effective.
9. Administrative Controls	Administrative controls should provide a formal review and approval process.
10. Operating Experience	<p>If the AMP is an existing program, <u>Operating experience of applicable to</u> the AMP, including past corrective actions resulting in program enhancements or additional programs, should provide objective evidence to support the conclusion that the effects of aging will be managed adequately so that the structure and component <u>SC</u>-intended function(s) will be maintained during the <u>subsequent</u> period of extended operation. <u>Operating experience with existing programs should be discussed</u></p> <p><u>In addition, the ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience ensures that the AMP is effective in managing the aging effects for which it is credited. The AMP is either enhanced or new AMPs are developed, as appropriate, when it is determined through the evaluation of operating experience that the effects of aging may not be adequately managed.</u></p>

1 **A.2** **Quality Assurance for Aging Management Programs**
2 **(Branch Technical Position IQMB-1)**

3 **A.2.1** **Background**

4 The subsequent license renewal ~~applicant~~application (SLRA) is required to demonstrate that the
5 effects of aging on structures and components (SCs) subject to an aging management review
6 (AMR) will be managed adequately to ensure that their intended functions are maintained
7 consistent with the current licensing basis (CLB) of the facility for the subsequent period of
8 extended operation. Therefore, those aspects of the AMR process that affect quality of
9 safety-related ~~systems,~~ systems, structures, ~~systems,~~ and components (SSCs) are subject to the quality
10 assurance (QA) requirements of ~~10 CFR~~Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR)
11 Part 50 Appendix B. For nonsafety-related ~~structures and components (SCs)~~SCs subject to an
12 AMR, the existing 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix B QA program may be used by the applicant to
13 address the elements of corrective actions, the confirmation process, and administrative
14 controls, as described in Branch Technical Position RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this ~~standard~~
15 ~~review plan for license renewal (SRP-LR).~~SLR). The confirmation process ensures that
16 ~~preventive actions are adequate and that~~ appropriate corrective actions have been completed
17 and are effective. Administrative controls should provide for a formal review and approval
18 process. Reference 1 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-
19 SLR) Report describes how a subsequent license renewal (SLR) applicant can rely on the
20 existing requirements in 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear
21 Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants," to satisfy these program elements/attributes. The
22 purpose of this branch technical position (IQMB-1) is to describe an acceptable process for
23 implementing the corrective actions, the confirmation process, and administrative controls of
24 aging management programs (AMPs) for ~~license renewal~~SLR.

25 **A.2.2** **Branch Technical Position**

- 26 1. Safety-related SCs are subject to 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix B requirements, which are
27 adequate to address all quality-related aspects of an ~~aging management program~~AMP
28 consistent with the CLB of the facility for ~~the~~subsequent period of extended operation.
- 29 2. For nonsafety-related SCs that are subject to an AMR for ~~license renewal~~SLR, an
30 applicant has the option to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix B program
31 to include these SCs and to address corrective actions, the confirmation process, and
32 administrative controls for aging management during the subsequent period of extended
33 operation. The reviewer verifies that the applicant has documented such a commitment
34 in the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) supplement in accordance with 10 CFR
35 54.21(d).
- 36 3. If an applicant chooses an alternative means to address corrective actions, the
37 confirmation process, and administrative controls for managing aging of nonsafety-
38 related SCs that are subject to an AMR for ~~license renewal~~SLR, the applicant's proposal
39 is reviewed on a case-by-case basis following the guidance in Branch Technical Position
40 RLSB-1 (Appendix A.1 of this SRP-~~LR~~SLR).

41 **A.2.3** **References**

- 42 ~~1. NUREG-1801, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory~~
43 ~~Commission, Revision 2, 2010.~~

1

2

None.

1 **A.3 Generic Safety Issues Related to Aging (Branch Technical Position**
2 **RLSB-2)**

3 **A.3.1 Background**

4 Unresolved safety issues (USIs) and generic safety issues (GSIs) are identified and tracked in
5 the NRC's U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) formal resolution process set forth in
6 NUREG-0933, "Resolution of Generic Safety Issues," which is updated periodically (Ref. 1).
7 Appendix B to NUREG-0933 contains a listing of those issues that are applicable to operating
8 and future plant. NUREG-0933 is a source of information on generic concerns identified by the
9 NRC. Some of these concerns may be related to the effects of aging or time-limited aging
10 analyses (TLAAs) for systems, structures, or components within the scope of the subsequent
11 license renewal (SLR) review. The purpose of this Branch Technical Position (RLSB-2) is to
12 address the license renewal/SLR treatment of an aging effect or a TLAA which is a subject of an
13 USI or a GSI ([60 Federal Register (FR) 22484]-).

14 ~~Table A.3-1 provides examples to help determine whether a USI or GSI should or should not be~~
15 ~~specifically addressed for license renewal, based on lessons learned from the staff review of the~~
16 ~~initial license renewal applications. However, two of these examples (GSI-23 and -190) have~~
17 ~~been resolved by the staff. They are included in the examples for illustrative purposes.~~

18 **A.3.2 Branch Technical Position**

19 **A.3.2.1 Treatment of GSIs**

20 The license renewal rule requires that aging effects be managed to ensure that the structure-
21 structures and component components (SC) intended function(s) are maintained and that
22 TLAAs are evaluated for license renewal/SLR. Thus, all applicable aging effects of structures
23 and components/SCs subject to an aging management review (AMR) and all TLAAs must be
24 evaluated, regardless of whether they are associated with GSIs or USIs. The agency's Generic
25 Issues Program process for resolving GSIs is described in Management Directive 6.4, "Generic
26 Issues Program," dated November 17, 2009, and SECY-07-0022, "Status Report on Proposed
27 Improvements to the Generic Issues Program."

28 ~~1. USIs and HIGH and MEDIUM-priority issues described in NUREG-0933 Appendix B~~
29 ~~(Ref. 1) that involve aging effects for structures and components subject to an AMR or~~
30 ~~TLAAs are specifically addressed. The version of NUREG-0933 that is current on the~~
31 ~~date 6 months before the date of the license renewal application is used to identify such~~
32 ~~issues. Prior to Safety Evaluation Report (SER) completion, any new issues contained in~~
33 ~~later versions of NUREG-0933 should be reviewed and resolved if applicable to the~~
34 ~~applicant's plant. New issues are addressed by using one of the approaches described~~
35 ~~in Position A.3.2.2 below.~~

36 ~~2. New generic safety issues, designated as USI, HIGH, or MEDIUM-priority after the~~
37 ~~application has been submitted, that involve aging effects for structures and components~~
38 ~~subject to an aging management review or TLAA should be submitted in the annual~~
39 ~~update of the application.~~

40 ~~3. During the preparation and review of a license renewal application, an applicant or the~~
41 ~~NRC may become aware of an aging management or TLAA issue that is generically~~
42 ~~applicable to other nuclear plants. If issues have generic applicability (but are not yet~~

1 part of the formal GSIs resolution process as identified in NUREG-0933), an applicant
2 should still address the issue to demonstrate that the effects of aging are or will be
3 managed adequately or that TLAAs are evaluated for the period of extended operation.

4 ~~1.1.19.1~~ ~~A.3.2.2 Approaches for Addressing GSIs (60 FR 22484)~~

5 One of the following approaches may be used:

6 ~~1. If resolution has been achieved before issuance of a renewed license, implementation of~~
7 ~~that resolution is incorporated within the license renewal application. The plant-specific~~
8 ~~implementation information is provided.~~

9 ~~2. A technical rationale is provided that demonstrates that the CLB will be maintained until~~
10 ~~some later time in the period of extended operation, at which point one or more~~
11 ~~reasonable options (for example, replacement, analytical evaluation, or a~~
12 ~~surveillance/maintenance program) become available to adequately manage the effects~~
13 ~~of aging. An applicant describes the basis for concluding that the CLB is maintained~~
14 ~~during the period of extended operation and briefly describes options that are technically~~
15 ~~feasible during the period of extended operation to manage the effects of aging, but~~
16 ~~does not have to preselect which option to use.~~

17 ~~3. An aging management program is developed that, for that plant, incorporates a~~
18 ~~resolution to the aging effects issue.~~

19 ~~4. An amendment of the CLB (as a separate action outside the license renewal application)~~
20 ~~is proposed that, if approved, removes the intended function(s) from the CLB. The~~
21 ~~proposed CLB amendment is reviewed under 10 CFR Part 50 and is not a review area~~
22 ~~for license renewal.~~

23 ~~A.~~

|

A.4 Operating Experience for Aging Management Programs

A.4.1 Background

Operating experience is a crucial element of an effective aging management program (AMP). It provides the basis to support all other elements of the AMP and, as a continuous feedback mechanism, drives changes to these elements to ensure the overall effectiveness of the AMP. Operating experience should provide objective evidence to support the conclusion that the effects of aging are managed adequately so that the structures and components (SC)-intended function(s) will be maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation. Under their current operating licenses, subsequent license renewal (SLR) applicants are required to implement programs for the ongoing review of operating experience, such as those established in accordance with Item I.C.5, "Procedures for Feedback of Operating Experience to Plant Staff," of NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements" (Ref. 3).

A.4.2 Position

The systematic review of plant-specific and industry operating experience concerning aging management and age-related degradation ensures that the SLR AMPs are, and will continue to be, effective in managing the aging effects for which they are credited. The AMPs should either be enhanced or new AMPs developed, as appropriate, when it is determined through the evaluation of operating experience that the effects of aging may not be adequately managed. AMPs should be informed by the review of operating experience on an ongoing basis, regardless of the AMP's implementation schedule.

Acceptable Use of Existing Programs

Programs and procedures relied upon to meet the requirements of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 50, Appendix B (Ref. 1) and NUREG-0737 (Ref. 3), Item I.C.5, may be used for the capture, processing, and evaluation of operating experience concerning age-related degradation and aging management during the term of a renewed operating license. As part of meeting the requirements of NUREG-0737, Item I.C.5, the applicant should actively participate in the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations' operating experience program [formerly the Significant Event Evaluation and Information Network (SEEIN) program endorsed in U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 82-04, "Use of INPO SEEIN Program"] (Ref. 2). These programs and procedures may also be used for the translation of recommendations from the operating experience evaluations into plant actions (e.g., enhancement of AMPs and development of new AMPs). While these programs and procedures establish a majority of the functions necessary for the ongoing review of operating experience, they are also subject to further review as discussed below.

Areas of Further Review

To ensure that the programmatic activities for the ongoing review of operating experience are adequate for SLR, the following points should be addressed:

- The programs and procedures relied upon to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, and NUREG-0737, Item I.C.5, explicitly apply to and otherwise would not preclude the consideration of operating experience on age-related degradation and aging management. Such operating experience can constitute information on the SCs identified in the integrated plant assessment; their materials, environments, aging

effects, and aging mechanisms; the AMPs credited for managing the effects of aging; and the activities, criteria, and evaluations integral to the elements of the AMPs. To satisfy this criterion, the applicant should use the option described in A.2.2.2 of Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-SLR) Appendix A.2, "Quality Assurance for Aging Management Programs (Branch Technical Position IQMB-1)," to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, program to include nonsafety-related SCs.

- The license renewal interim staff guidance (LR-ISG) documents and revisions to the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report should be considered as sources of industry operating experience and evaluated accordingly. There should be a process to identify such documents and process them as operating experience.
- All incoming plant-specific and industry operating experience should be screened to determine whether it may involve age-related degradation or impacts to aging management activities.
- A means should be established within the corrective action program to identify, track, and trend operating experience that specifically involves age-related degradation. There should also be a process to identify adverse trends and to enter them into the corrective action program for evaluation.
- Operating experience items identified as potentially involving aging should receive further evaluation. This evaluation should specifically take into account the following: (a) systems, structures, and components (SSCs), (b) materials, (c) environments, (d) aging effects, (e) aging mechanisms, (f) AMPs, and (g) the activities, criteria, and evaluations integral to the elements of the AMPs. The assessment of this information should be recorded with the operating experience evaluation. If it is found through evaluation that any effects of aging may not be adequately managed, then a corrective action should be entered into the 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, program to either enhance the AMPs or develop and implement new AMPs.
- Assessments should be conducted on the effectiveness of the AMPs and activities. These assessments should be conducted on a periodic basis that is not to exceed once every five years. They should be conducted regardless of whether the acceptance criteria of the particular AMPs have been met. The assessments should also include evaluation of the AMP or activity against the latest NRC and industry guidance documents and standards that are relevant to the particular program or activity. If there is an indication that the effects of aging are not being adequately managed, then a corrective action is entered into the 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, program to either enhance the AMPs or develop and implement new AMPs, as appropriate.
- Training on age-related degradation and aging management should be provided to those personnel responsible for implementing the AMPs and those personnel who may submit, screen, assign, evaluate, or otherwise process plant-specific and industry operating experience. The scope of training should be linked to the responsibilities for processing operating experience. This training should occur on a periodic basis and include provisions to accommodate the turnover of plant personnel.

- Guidelines should be established for reporting plant-specific operating experience on age-related degradation and aging management to the industry. This reporting should be accomplished through participation in the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations' operating experience program.
- Any enhancements necessary to fulfill the above criteria should be put in place no later than the date the renewed operating license is issued and implemented on an ongoing basis throughout the term of the renewed license.

The programmatic activities for the ongoing review of plant-specific and industry experience concerning age-related degradation and aging management should be described in the subsequent license renewal application (SLRA), including the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) supplement. Alternate approaches for the future consideration of operating experience are subject to NRC review on a case-by-case basis.

A.4.3 .3 References

1. ~~NUREG-0933, "Resolution of Generic Safety Issues," Supplement 32, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, August 2008.~~
2. ~~NRC Regulatory Issue Summary 2000-02, "Closure of Generic Safety Issue 23, Reactor Coolant Pump Seal Failure," February 15, 2000.~~

~~Letter from Ashok C. Thadani of the 1. _____ 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, *Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants*, Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, 2015.~~

2. Generic Letter 82-04, "Use of INPO SEE-IN Program." March 9, 1982. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
3. NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Research, NRC, to William D. Travers, Executive Director of Operations, NRC, dated December 26, 1999Commission.
3. ~~SECY 94-225, "Issuance of Proposed Rulemaking Package on GSI-23, Reactor Coolant Pump Seal Failure," August 26, 1994.~~
4. ~~Information Notice 93-61, "Excessive Reactor Coolant Leakage Following a Seal Failure in a Reactor Coolant Pump or Reactor Recirculation Pump," August 9, 1993.~~
5. ~~Deleted.~~
6. ~~NRC Regulatory Issue Summary 2003-09, "Environmental Qualification of Low-Voltage Instrumentation and Control Cables" dated May 2, 2003.~~

Table A.3-1—Examples of Generic Safety Issues that Should/Should Not Be Specifically Addressed for License Renewal and Basis for Disposition

Example	Disposition
GSI-23, “Reactor Coolant Pump Seal Failures”	This issue relates to reactor coolant pump seal failures, which challenge the makeup capacity of the emergency core cooling system in PWRs. Although GSI-23 originally addressed seal performance both during normal operation and during loss of seal cooling conditions, it has been modified to address only seal performance during loss of seal cooling conditions (Refs. 4 and 5). Loss of all seal cooling may cause the reactor coolant pump seals to fail or leak excessively. Because the reactor coolant pump seal performance during loss of seal cooling conditions is not an issue that involves AMR or TLAA, GSI-23 need not be specifically addressed for license renewal (Ref. 2).
GSI-168, “Environmental Qualification of Electrical Equipment”	This issue relates to aging of electrical equipment that is subject to environmental qualification requirements. Environmental qualification is a TLAA for license renewal. Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2003-09 was issued on May 2, 2003, to inform addressees of the results of the technical assessment of GSI-168, “Environmental Qualification of Electrical Equipment.” This RIS requires no action on the part of the addressees (Ref. 7).
GSI-173.A, “Spent Fuel Storage Pool: Operating Experience”	This issue relates to the potential for a sustained loss of spent fuel pool cooling capacity and the potential for a substantial loss of spent fuel pool coolant inventory. The staff evaluated the issue and concluded that no actions will be taken for operating plants. As indicated in NUREG-0933, the staff is pursuing regulatory improvement changes to RG 1.13, “Spent Fuel Storage Facility Design Basis,” and NUREG-0800, “Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants.” Thus, GSI-173.A need not be specifically addressed for license renewal.
GSI-190, “Fatigue Evaluation of Metal Components for 60-Year Plant Life”	This issue relates to environmental effects on fatigue of reactor coolant system components for 60 years. Fatigue is also a TLAA for license renewal. Thus, GSI-190 was specifically addressed for license renewal by the initial license renewal applicants. This GSI has now been resolved (Ref. 3).

1

2

3 4. NUREG-1801, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report," U.S. Nuclear
4 Regulatory Commission.

