



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 7, 2016

Mr. Scott Batson
Site Vice President
Oconee Nuclear Station
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
7800 Rochester Highway
Seneca, SC 29672-0752

SUBJECT: OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1, 2 AND 3 - RESPONSE
REGARDING PHASE 2 STAFFING SUBMITTALS ASSOCIATED WITH
NEAR-TERM TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATION 9.3 RELATED TO THE
FUKUSHIMA DAI-ICHI NUCLEAR POWER PLANT ACCIDENT (CAC NOS.
MF6396, MF6397, AND MF6398)

Dear Mr. Batson:

By letter dated March 12, 2012 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML12053A340), the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued a request for information pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 50, Section 50.54(f) (hereafter referred to as the 50.54(f) letter), regarding Recommendations 2.1 (seismic and flooding evaluations), 2.3 (seismic and flooding walkdowns), and 9.3 (emergency preparedness communication and staffing) of the Near-Term Task Force (NTTF) review of insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi accident. With respect to Recommendation 9.3, Enclosure 5 to the NRC's letter requested licensees and holders of construction permits to assess their means to power communications equipment onsite and offsite during a prolonged station blackout (SBO) event and to perform a staffing assessment to determine the staff required to fill all necessary positions in response to a multi-unit event.

The 50.54(f) letter, in part, requested licensee responses pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 50.54(f) pertaining to onsite and augmented staff availability to implement the strategies discussed in the emergency plan and plant operating procedures, including new staff or functions resulting from the assessment, identified collateral duties, an implementation schedule to perform the assessments, identified modifications, and any changes that have been made or will be made to the emergency plan regarding on-shift or augmented staffing. In addition, NTTF Recommendation 9.3 has a dependency on the implementation of NTTF Recommendation 4.2 (mitigating strategies). As a result of this dependency, licensees responded to the 50.54(f) letter in phases. The Phase 1 staffing assessment requested licensees to evaluate their ability to respond to a multi-unit extended loss of alternating current (ac) power (ELAP) event utilizing existing processes and procedures. The licensee responses to the 50.54(f) letter for Phase 1 staffing assessments for multi-unit sites were received and evaluated by the NRC staff. The NRC staff issued acknowledgement letters to all licensees

with multi-unit sites, with the exception of San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, by April 28, 2014.

Licensees were also requested to submit for NRC staff review a Phase 2 staffing assessment, which provides an assessment of the staffing necessary to perform the functions related to the strategies developed in response to NTF Recommendation 4.2 and the resulting NRC Order EA-12-049, "Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events [BDBEE]" (ADAMS Accession No. ML12054A736). Licensees were requested to provide their Phase 2 staffing assessments to the NRC no later than four months prior to the beginning of their second refueling outage (as used in the context of NRC Order EA-12-049). By letter dated June 17, 2015 (ADAMS Accession No. ML15176A343), Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (the licensee) submitted its Phase 2 staffing assessment for Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 to the NRC in response to the 50.54(f) letter.

The NRC staff reviewed your Phase 2 staffing assessment in accordance with the assumptions and guidelines of Sections 2.2, 2.3, and 3 of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) guidance document NEI 12-01, "Guideline for Assessing Beyond Design Basis Accident Response Staffing and Communications Capabilities" (ADAMS Accession No. ML12125A412), which was endorsed by the NRC staff by letter dated May 15, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12131A043). The NRC staff noted that you assessed your current onsite minimum staffing levels to identify any enhancements needed to respond following a beyond-design-basis large-scale natural event, and to ensure that the strategies contained in the existing emergency plan and plant operating procedures, such as those used in response to a SBO, can be performed by the site staff. Your assessment assumed that a large-scale natural event causes: (1) an ELAP, (2) all units on the site to be affected, and (3) access to the site to be impeded for a minimum of six hours. You also assessed your capability to perform the site-specific functions related to the strategies developed in response to Order EA-12-049. You conducted independent reviews and concluded, based on your staffing assessment, that the existing on-shift minimum staff is sufficient to implement the loss of all ac power, multi-unit event response strategies, including those strategies developed to support the requirements of Order EA-12-049, while supporting performance of the required emergency planning duties without unacceptable collateral duties.

The NRC staff reviewed your Phase 2 staffing submittal and confirmed that your existing emergency response resources, as described in your emergency plan, are sufficient to perform the required plant actions and emergency plan functions, and implement the multi-unit event response strategies that were developed in response to Order EA-12-049 without the assignment of collateral duties that would impact the performance of assigned emergency plan functions.

As a result, the NRC staff concludes that your Phase 2 staffing submittal adequately addresses the response strategies needed to respond to a BDBEE using your procedures and guidelines. The NRC staff will verify the implementation of your staffing capabilities through the inspection program.

S. Batson

- 3 -

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Stephen Philpott at (301) 415-2365 or via email at Stephen.Philpott@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gregory T. Bowman". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "G" and "B".

Gregory T. Bowman, Branch Chief
Orders Management Branch
Japan Lessons-Learned Division
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287

cc: Distribution via Listserv

S. Batson

- 3 -

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Stephen Philpott at (301) 415-2365 or via email at Stephen.Philpott@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Gregory T. Bowman, Branch Chief
Orders Management Branch
Japan Lessons-Learned Division
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	RidsOgcMailCenter	RidsNrrPMOconee	CMurray, NSIR
JOMB R/F	RidsNrrLASLent	RidsRgn2MailCenter	ARivera, NSIR
RidsNrrDorlLpl2-1	VWilliams, NSIR	GBowman, NRR	SPhilpott, NRR
JBoska, NRR	MMarkley, NRR		

ADAMS Accession No.: ML15351A210

***via email**

OFFICE	NRR/JLD/JOMB/PM	NRR/JLD/LA	NSIR/DPR/NRLB/BC*	NRR/JLD/JOMB/BC
NAME	SPhilpott	SLent	ARivera	GBowman
DATE	1/21/2016	1/4/2016	1/26/2016	2/7/2016

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY