

**From:** [Venkataraman, Booma](#)  
**To:** [Dave Lochbaum](#)  
**Subject:** RE: RE: INITIAL RECOMMENDATIONS: 2.206 Petition regarding Pilgrim current licensing basis for flooding (OEDO-15-00479)(CAC NO. MF6460)  
**Date:** Monday, December 14, 2015 10:52:00 AM

---

Mr. Lochbaum,  
Thank you very much.  
Booma

---

**From:** Dave Lochbaum [mailto:DLochbaum@ucsusa.org]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2015 10:34 AM  
**To:** Venkataraman, Booma <Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov>  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: INITIAL RECOMMENDATIONS: 2.206 Petition regarding Pilgrim current licensing basis for flooding (OEDO-15-00479)(CAC NO. MF6460)

Hello Booma:

Sorry for missing the opportunity to address the PRM again.

I appreciate the opportunity, but don't feel we have any new insights/information to share with the PRB. We will pass on another meeting with the PRB.

Thanks,  
Dave Lochbaum  
UCS

---

**From:** Venkataraman, Booma [Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2015 10:12 AM  
**To:** Dave Lochbaum  
**Subject:** RE: INITIAL RECOMMENDATIONS: 2.206 Petition regarding Pilgrim current licensing basis for flooding (OEDO-15-00479)(CAC NO. MF6460)

Mr. Lochbaum,  
Good morning. I sent the e-mail below with the initial recommendations on the Pilgrim flooding petition. I had requested if you would like another opportunity to address the PRB. Could you let me know by 12/17/2015? Also, please let me know if you would address the PRB, when would it be?

Thanks, Booma

---

**From:** Venkataraman, Booma  
**Sent:** Monday, November 16, 2015 3:16 PM  
**To:** 'Dave Lochbaum' <[DLochbaum@ucsusa.org](mailto:DLochbaum@ucsusa.org)>  
**Cc:** Banic, Merrilee <[Merrilee.Banic@nrc.gov](mailto:Merrilee.Banic@nrc.gov)>; Render, Diane <[Diane.Render@nrc.gov](mailto:Diane.Render@nrc.gov)>; Tate, Travis <[Travis.Tate@nrc.gov](mailto:Travis.Tate@nrc.gov)>; Guzman, Richard <[Richard.Guzman@nrc.gov](mailto:Richard.Guzman@nrc.gov)>  
**Subject:** INITIAL RECOMMENDATIONS: 2.206 Petition regarding Pilgrim current licensing basis for flooding (OEDO-15-00479)(CAC NO. MF6460)

Mr. Lochbaum,

In accordance with MD 8.11, "Review Process for 10 CFR 2.206 petitions," I am writing to let you know the initial recommendations of the Petition Review Board (PRB) on your petition dated June 24, 2015 regarding the current licensing basis on the flooding for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (PNPS).

Your petition request that NRC take enforcement action to require that the current licensing basis for PNPS include flooding caused by local intense precipitation/ probable maximum precipitation events meets the acceptance criteria of MD 8.11. The issues raised in the petition are subject of NRC staff review and evaluation and therefore the PRB's initial recommendation is to accept and hold the petition until a resolution is achieved. We expect the resolution of the issue by December 2016.

The PRB also recommends to reject two supplementary issues raised by the petitioners in the teleconference on Aug 5, 2015: (1) the issue of submerged safety-related cables which was resolved in a Director's Decision (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System Accession No. ML13255A191) and (2) the petitioners' request for the updated site plan which is in the scope of the Freedom of Information Act process and not in the 2.206 process.

Please let me know if you would like another opportunity to address the PRB and if so when.

I will be out of the office from Nov 18 till December 4, 2015. Diane Render who is copied on this e-mail will be my backup to answer any questions during this time.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely, Booma

---

**Booma Venkataraman, P.E.**  
*Project Manager, NRR/DORL/LPL1-1*  
*Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation*  
[Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov](mailto:Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov)  
301.415.2934

**From:** Dave Lochbaum [<mailto:DLochbaum@ucsusa.org>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 22, 2015 12:17 PM  
**To:** Venkataraman, Booma <[Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov](mailto:Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov)>  
**Cc:** Banic, Merrilee <[Merrilee.Banic@nrc.gov](mailto:Merrilee.Banic@nrc.gov)>; Beasley, Benjamin <[Benjamin.Beasley@nrc.gov](mailto:Benjamin.Beasley@nrc.gov)>  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: 2.206 Petition regarding Pilgrim current licensing basis for flooding (OEDO-15-00479)(TAC NO. MF6460) - 60 DAY STATUS

Dear Ms. Venkataraman:

Thanks for the status.

On a related note, I'm in discussions with my co-petitioners regarding whether to withdraw the petition in light of the licensee's recent announcement of plans to permanently close Pilgrim by June 2019.

If we reach this determination, I'll relay it to you ASAP.

Thanks,  
Dave Lochbaum  
UCS

---

**From:** Venkataraman, Booma [Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 22, 2015 12:14 PM  
**To:** Dave Lochbaum  
**Cc:** Banic, Merrilee; Beasley, Benjamin  
**Subject:** 2.206 Petition regarding Pilgrim current licensing basis for flooding (OEDO-15-00479)(TAC NO. MF6460) - 60 DAY STATUS

Mr. Lochbaum,

In accordance with MD 8.11, I am writing to provide you the periodic update on your 2.206 petition dated June 24, 2015 regarding the current licensing basis on flooding for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station.

On Aug 5, 2015 you and your co-petitioners addressed the Petition Review Board (PRB). On September 8, 2015, the PRB met internally to discuss your request. The PRB continues to evaluate your petition. I will let you know when the PRB makes its initial recommendations.

Sincerely, Booma

**Booma Venkataraman, P.E.**  
*Project Manager, NRR/DORL/LPLI-1*  
*Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation*  
[Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov](mailto:Booma.Venkataraman@nrc.gov)  
301.415.2934