

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 12/4/15 9:19 AM
Received: December 03, 2015
Status: Pending Post
Tracking No. 1jz-8mlx-nuhd
Comments Due: December 10, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0068
Order Modifying Licenses

Comment On: NRC-2012-0068-0015
Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events

Document: NRC-2012-0068-DRAFT-0014
Comment on FR Doc # 2015-28593

Submitter Information

Name: Brenda Kovarik
Organization: American Electric Power

RECEIVED
 2015 DEC -4 AM 9:16
 RULES AND DIRECTIVES
 CONTROL
 ROOM

General Comment

See attached file(s)

11/10/2015
80 FR 69702

Attachments

JLD-ISG 2012-01 draft R1 comment

2

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= S. Philpott (EXPT)

Docket ID NRC-2012-0068

1. Comment to:

main body, Page 5, paragraph titled "Implementation."

Comment:

Add the following sentence: "Licensees that, prior to the issuance date of this ISG, have confirmed compliance with Order EA-12-049 in accordance with JLD-ISG-2012-01, Revision 0, dated August 29, 2012, (ML12229A174), and NEI 12-06 Revision 0, dated August 21, 2012, (ML12242A378), and have received a Safety Evaluation documenting the NRC staff's determination that the licensee's integrated plan will adequately address the requirements of Order EA-12-049, are considered to have provided an acceptable alternative to the requirements of this ISG, and NEI 12-06, Revision 1, including all Appendices.

Basis for Comment:

Licensees that have implemented an integrated plan that has been determined to be acceptable by the NRC staff have already demonstrated compliance with EA-12-049. These licensees have therefore already provided an "acceptable alternative" to the requirements in the revised ISG and revised NEI guide.

2. Comment to

Enclosure Section 3.4 "Programmatic Controls for Unavailability"

Comment:

Change referenced section from 11.5.3 to 11.5.4

Basis for Comment:

NEI 12-06 Section 11.5.3 describes maintenance and testing. NEI 12-06 Section 11.5.4 describes unavailability of equipment and applicable connections.

3. Comment to:

Enclosure Section 6.1, Treatment of Reevaluated Seismic Hazards, Staff Position e.

Comment:

Add the following sentence:

The structure housing the equipment should be evaluated to confirm that, while deformation of the structure is possible, it will protect the equipment at the reevaluated seismic hazard so as to allow deployment of the equipment.

Basis for Comment:

Addition of the sentence is a clarification for consistency with other paragraphs of Section including c., d., and f. Additionally, provides clarification of the phrase "adequate seismic margin to protect the equipment"