



CONVERSATION RECORD

12/1/2015

NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU Glenn Mathues, Licensing Engineer for Transportation, et al.		DATE OF CONTACT 11/24/2015	TYPE OF CONVERSATION <input type="checkbox"/> E-MAIL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TELEPHONE <input type="checkbox"/> INCOMING <input type="checkbox"/> OUTGOING
E-MAIL ADDRESS glenn.mathues@areva.com		TELEPHONE NUMBER (410) 910-6538	

ORGANIZATION AREVA TN	DOCKET NUMBER(S) 71-9233
--------------------------	-----------------------------

LICENSE NUMBER(S) N/A	CONTROL NUMBER(S) N/A
--------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT
11/24/15, 1:00 PM-CONFERENCE CALL-CLARIFICATION OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO SHIELDING RAI NO. 1 RESPONSE FOR TN-RAM-INCREASE THE Ci CONTENT AND HEAT LOAD (DOCKET NO. 71-9233)(TAC NO. L25002)

SUMMARY
Attendees:

AREVA TN:

1. Prakash Narayanan
2. Jibu Abraham, Design Project Engineer
3. Rick Miglorie, Nuclear Analyst, Criticality and Shielding
4. Glenn Mathues, Licensing Engineer for Transportation

NRC:

1. Veronica Wilson, Technical Reviewer, Criticality\Shielding Safety
2. Norma Garcia Santos, Project Manager

Continue on Page 2

ACTION REQUIRED (IF ANY)
AREVA TN agreed to provide a comments on the condition proposed by the staff.

Continue on Page 3

NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION
Norma Garcia Santos / Veronica Wilson.

SIGNATURE

CONVERSATION RECORD (continued)

SUMMARY: (Continued from page 1)

On November 24, 2015, NRC and AREVA TN (the applicant) participated on a phone call to clarify responses to requests for additional information (RAIs) related to the Shielding Evaluation for the Model No. TN-RAM (TN-RAM) amendment request to:

1. increase in Ci content from 14K Ci to 30K Ci "or equivalent," and
2. increase in heat load from 300 watts to 500 watts

The staff received the RAI responses by letter dated October 8, 2015.

This call was a follow-up to the call held on 11/9/2015.

The staff provided an electronic copy of NUREG-1608 (see Enclosures 1 and 2) and proposed language to the certificate of compliance to describe what constitutes a uniformly distributed stainless steel content (see Enclosure 3). The applicant mentioned that a Type B package is tightly controlled, but agreed that adding the language proposed by the staff should provide clearer instructions to the shipper. The staff pointed out that most of the language included in the proposed language was taken from the application. The applicant agreed with the proposed condition and provided comments to the staff after the teleconference (See Enclosure 4).