



Combined License Application Review STP Units 3 and 4

Safety Panel 2 November 19, 2015

Panelists

- Tom Tai Senior Project Manager
- Ryan Nolan Reactor System Engineer
- Sheila Ray Senior Electrical Engineer

Recommendation 4.2 - Framework

- SECY-12-0025 contains proposed orders and requests for information in response to lessons learned from Fukushima Dai-ichi
- Order EA-12-049 has requirements for mitigation of beyond-design-basis external events
- JLD-ISG-2012-01 provides guidance for meeting Order EA-12-049

STP Units 3 and 4 Approach

- In Phase 1 (initial phase), installed plant equipment maintains key safety functions for 36 hours
- No Phase 2 (transition phase) is needed since time is available to bring in offsite resources
- In Phase 3 (final phase), offsite portable equipment supports key safety functions beyond 36 hours

Three Key Safety Functions

- Core cooling is maintained by reactor core isolation cooling (RCIC) in Phase 1 and acindependent water addition (ACIWA) system in Phase 3
- Containment overpressure protection system maintains containment integrity
- During Phase 1, spent fuel cooling uses existing water inventory; after Phase 1 ACIWA maintains spent fuel pool water level

Water and Fuel Supplies

- Phase 1 RCIC uses water from the suppression pool and condensate storage tank
- Phase 3 ACIWA uses water from fire water storage tanks and ultimate heat sink basins
- Operators transfer fuel from Seismic Category I fuel oil storage tanks in Phase 3

Electrical Power

- Phase 1 Class 1E 125 VDC station batteries provide power for 36 hours via load shedding
- Staff reviewed the battery sizing calculation and confirmed the adequacy of the power supply
- Phase 3 Two offsite portable FLEX 480V,
 1500 kW diesel generators to power the loads
- Electrical isolation is maintained between the safety-related system and the FLEX diesel generators

Conclusions

- STP 3&4 mitigation strategies provide core cooling, containment, and spent fuel pool cooling capabilities per Order EA-12-049
- License condition requires completion of the overall integrated plan, and full implementation of the guidance and strategies prior to fuel load

Open Phase Condition (Bulletin 2012-01)

- An open phase condition occurred at the Byron plant in 2012. An open phase condition occurs when one or more of the three phases in an offsite power feed is lost
- Regulatory basis: 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, General Design Criterion (GDC) 17 and 10 CFR 50.55a(h)(3).

- This event is significant because it has the potential to result in a common cause failure, in which both offsite and onsite electrical power systems might not be able to perform their intended safety function
- The event highlighted a problem that could occur at other reactors

- To address the vulnerability identified in Bulletin 2012-01, active reactor designs, such as STP, should have the following:
 - Automatic detection of loss of phase events
 - Alarm in the control room
 - Automatic mitigation/response

- STP is the first 10 CFR Part 52 active reactor design COL applicant to resolve the open phase issue
- STP design includes a scheme to detect, alarm, and automatically respond to an open phase event

- Staff determined that the design was sufficient because it provides features for detection, alarm, and automatic protection of safety-related equipment.
- Furthermore, the design meets the requirements in GDC 17 and 10 CFR 50.55a(h)(3).

Questions?