## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

From: RulemakingComments Resource

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2015 9:36 AM

**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource

**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0612.pdf

### DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

**SECY-067** 

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057 SECY DOCKET DATE: 11/19/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**COMMENT#: 623** 

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public

Email Number: 1432

**Mail Envelope Properties** (5a6e58c1592241e1891268dba41d8050)

**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

**Sent Date:** 11/25/2015 9:36:21 AM **Received Date:** 11/25/2015 9:36:22 AM

From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Rulemaking1CEm Resource" <Rulemaking1CEm.Resource@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 298 11/25/2015 9:36:22 AM

NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0612.pdf 69826

**Options** 

Priority:StandardReturn Notification:NoReply Requested:NoSensitivity:Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received:

# **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

**As of:** 11/23/15 3:39 PM

**Received:** November 19, 2015

**Status:** Pending\_Post

Tracking No. 1jz-8mcr-ocle

Comments Due: November 19, 2015

**Submission Type:** Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0612

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

## **Submitter Information**

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

## **General Comment**

I object to the proposed 100 mSv per year of radiation exposure from nuclear reactors and other nuclear facilities. If you know your work at all then you know that this guarantees cancer for everyone in America. Who will pay for it? Who will take care of everyone? This makes you traitors of the worst kind.

According to the new Richardson et. al. government (taxpayer) funded study of US-UK and French nuclear workers, which was published in the British Medical Journal this October, 10 years of 100 mSv per year would lead to approximately 100 extra cancers per 100 people. Yes, 100% excess cancer rate! This is above and beyond current cancer rates. According to the taxpayer funded National Academy of Sciences BEIR VII (2006) report, a lifetime of 100 mSv would lead to 80 extra cancers per 100 people and 100 per 100 for women. Once again, above and beyond current cancer rates. Either way, the 100 mSv is murderous and unacceptable. The victims don't even benefit.

According to BEIR VII, around half of these radiation induced cancers will be life-shortening by 14 to 15 years, meaning people will die around retirement age.

Such high levels of exposure (100 mSv) would lead to excess cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease, as well, after only about 5 years, according to the ICRP. There will be more cataracts too.

Shame on you for wasting people's time and taxpayer monies even considering such a murderous protocol.

You should not allow those with such huge conflicts of interest as Carol Marcus, Mohan Doss or Mark Miller to put in such a request.