

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2015 9:33 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0609.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 11/19/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 620

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1429

Mail Envelope Properties (83af2c92ce4d49a595f6ddcf60f1c20f)

Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30
Sent Date: 11/25/2015 9:33:22 AM
Received Date: 11/25/2015 9:33:23 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	298	11/25/2015 9:33:23 AM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0609.pdf		67982

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

As of: 11/23/15 3:51 PM
Received: November 19, 2015
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jz-8mcr-bhio
Comments Due: November 19, 2015
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0609

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Hickey

Address: United States,

Email: spindoctorjimbo@gmail.com

General Comment

Hi there!

I am not a scientist; just a citizen who is able to read and think clearly. Of course, in a democracy, supposedly the citizens rule.

So saying, I register here my absolute opposition to any decision that gives credence to, let alone adopts, the so-called hormesis theory of biological response to low doses of radiation. Not only does the weight of scientific opinion--as opposed to public relations hacks of one sort or another, whether degreed or not is immaterial--militate against such a position, but the choice to do so would also be anomalous indeed given the recent governmental decision to cancel investigation into the prevalence of leukemia when nuclear reactors are upwind or upstream.

Sometimes, officials and experts decry simple citizens as haters of science. If this decision goes through, the corruption of science that it represents will show the truth behind this assertion of hatred: people truly do despise false authority, that only sells itself to the highest bidder.

Whatever the case may be, time will tell. The Nuclear Fool Cycle will show the hubris of embracing this insidious technology for any other purpose than a relatively efficient way to commit mass collective suicide.

The least that administrators could do who do not want to help such a process of mass destruction along is that they do not encourage further nuclear adventures in profiteering at the expense of the public health.