

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2015 9:14 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0603.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 11/19/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 614

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1423

Mail Envelope Properties (4495b4b242f2418daa72eb21a39b0d3f)

Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30
Sent Date: 11/25/2015 9:13:33 AM
Received Date: 11/25/2015 9:13:33 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 298 | 11/25/2015 9:13:33 AM |
| NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0603.pdf | | 67638 |

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

| |
|--|
| As of: 11/23/15 3:22 PM |
| Received: November 19, 2015 |
| Status: Pending_Post |
| Tracking No. 1jz-8mcr-jwu8 |
| Comments Due: November 19, 2015 |
| Submission Type: Web |

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0603

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

Submitter Information

Name: Debby Zamorski

Address:

PO Box 545

Boones Mill, VA, 24065

Email: dzines72@aol.com

General Comment

No level of radiation is acceptable for human beings and there is ample evidence of the harmful effects on humans as well as animals. If any proponent or any decision maker in this change in regulations process would like to demonstrate their faith in any acceptable radiation levels by exposing themselves and their loved ones to whatever threshold would be the highest and still deemed as acceptable and consent to be monitored for a period of 10 years and be clear of any harmful effects, then that would be a scientific method that I would consider as a reasonable .