

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2015 9:11 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30  
**Attachments:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0600.pdf

### **DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

**FRN#:** 80FR35870

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0057

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 11/19/15

**TITLE:** Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**COMMENT#:** 611

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1420

**Mail Envelope Properties** (5ef257917e4e402bb36f84848ec87f3c)

**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30  
**Sent Date:** 11/25/2015 9:10:44 AM  
**Received Date:** 11/25/2015 9:10:46 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	288	11/25/2015 9:10:46 AM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0600.pdf		65011

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 11/23/15 3:20 PM
<b>Received:</b> November 19, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8mcr-bz6v
<b>Comments Due:</b> November 19, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0600

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Rhonda Davis

---

## General Comment

I am absolutely opposed to adopting the proposed radiation hormesis model of acceptable amounts of radiation. It is time to stop lowering standards just to meet the leakages of aging nuclear facilities and the possible failures of new technologies to meet the current standards. There are already enough cancer-causing agents in our environment, without introducing more exposure to radiation to the mix. It is absolutely too dangerous for small children, pregnant women, and the aged, not to mention the prolonged exposure to a perfectly healthy adult. Please do not consider changing the no-threshold model--instead, please pursue more renewable energy sources that do not expose the environment to more man-made pollution. I live in a city within proximity of two aging nuclear power plants, and the thought of them failing worries me enough every day without worrying that the NRC will give the public a false sense of safety by lowering the standards on these facilities.