

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2015 8:29 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on PRM-20-18, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0532.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 11/18/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 583

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1392

Mail Envelope Properties (4cbe3ce6a1c149519db58ee09e38cf52)

Subject: Comment on PRM-20-18, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30
Sent Date: 11/25/2015 8:28:43 AM
Received Date: 11/25/2015 8:28:44 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 298 | 11/25/2015 8:28:44 AM |
| NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0532.pdf | | 68465 |

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

| |
|--|
| As of: 11/23/15 2:08 PM |
| Received: November 18, 2015 |
| Status: Pending_Post |
| Tracking No. 1jz-8mbp-b7gs |
| Comments Due: November 19, 2015 |
| Submission Type: Web |

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0532

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

Submitter Information

Name: Kurt Sanger

Address:

35 Crestway Lane

Rochester, NY, 14612

Email: ksanger@rochester.rr.com

General Comment

My cousin's husband worked for RG&E and spent time at Gina Nuclear Power Plant. He died of cancer and we've often wondered if the low dosage radiation he received while spending time at the plant contributed to his early death. He worked there by choice, accepting the risks, and taking the stipends for hazardous pay.

My wife and I drink red wine by choice. We choose not to smoke by choice. Changing the rules so that a corporation may increase the amount of radiation we receive either by accident or on purpose is not something that we are in favor of. We choose to spend time in the sun. We have our basements tested for Radon and take precautions if they test positive. We have no means to avoid a discharge by the utility. And they have no control over where this additional discharge might go as the prevailing winds will distribute it.

Please do not increase the allowable limits for radiation exposure for the general public. There is no need to do so. If small exposures to radiation are beneficial for some people then maybe Gina may sell tickets to those individuals who wish to receive these benefits and thereby make additional profits which may be used to protect the rest of us.