

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2015 8:15 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-18, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30  
**Attachments:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0528.pdf

### **DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

**FRN#:** 80FR35870

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0057

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 11/17/15

**TITLE:** Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**COMMENT#:** 580

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1389

**Mail Envelope Properties** (8899f8ce56eb47a7962a721d8bb49246)

**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-18, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30  
**Sent Date:** 11/25/2015 8:15:05 AM  
**Received Date:** 11/25/2015 8:15:06 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	288	11/25/2015 8:15:06 AM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0528.pdf		65210

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 11/23/15 2:03 PM
<b>Received:</b> November 17, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8mb4-tdf7
<b>Comments Due:</b> November 19, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0528

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** John Dale

---

## General Comment

This is reckless. Does artificial man-made radiation do more to activate the human immune system than, say, a morning working in the sun in the garden? Which radiation is more effective at producing the desired results? The proposed rule is an example of when logic can run amok. Enough already .. we have what we need for energy; solar, wind. Now, optimize and leave nuclear behind. Monopoly, not economy, is behind this ridiculous rule, in my humble opinion.