

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 19
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. M0007	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 09/24/2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. NRO-15-0123	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY US NRC - HQ ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT DIVISION MAIL STOP TWFN-5E03 ATTN MARK THOMPSON WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001	CODE NRCHQ	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) US NRC - HQ ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT DIVISION MAIL STOP TWFN-5E03 WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001	CODE NRCHQ
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code) INFORMATION SYSTEMS LABORATORIES INC ATTN KATHLEEN NGUYEN NA SAN DIEGO CA 921212722		(x) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)
CODE 107928806	FACILITY CODE	X 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. NRC-HQ-12-C-42-0093 NRC-HQ-13-T-42-0003	10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) 02/22/2013

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, is not extended.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)
See Schedule Net Increase: \$165,000.00

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE X	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A. FAR 52.243-2 - Changes - Cost Reimbursement
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

NRC-HQ-12-C-42-0093 NRC-HQ-13-T-42-0003

LIST OF CHANGES:

Reason for Modification : Supplemental Agreement for work within scope

Period Of Performance End Date changed from 2015-09-30 00:00:00 to 2017-09-30 00:00:00

New Vendor:

107928806

Information Systems Laboratories, Inc.

Total Amount for this Modification: \$166,896.00

New Total Amount for this Version: \$306,334.00

New Total Amount for this Award: \$593,334.00

Continued ...

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9 A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) MARK THOMPSON
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED 16C. DATE SIGNED 09/22/2015

NSN 7540-01-152-8070
Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83)
Prescribed by GSA
FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

TEMPLATE - ADM001

SUNSI REVIEW COMPLETE

NOV 17 2015

ADM002

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. M0007		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 09/24/2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. NRO-15-0123	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY US NRC - HQ ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT DIVISION MAIL STOP TWFN-5E03 ATTN MARK THOMPSON WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001		CODE NRCHQ	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) US NRC - HQ ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT DIVISION MAIL STOP TWFN-5E03 WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001	CODE NRCHQ

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code) INFORMATION SYSTEMS LABORATORIES INC ATTN KATHLEEN NGUYEN NA SAN DIEGO CA 921212722		(x) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.
CODE 107928806 FACILITY CODE		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)
		x 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. NRC-HQ-12-C-42-0093 NRC-HQ-13-T-42-0003
		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) 02/22/2013

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, is not extended.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required) See Schedule	Net Increase:	\$165,000.00
---	---------------	--------------

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE X	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A. <u>FAR 52.243-2 - Changes - Cost Reimbursement</u>
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)
NRC-HQ-12-C-42-0093 NRC-HQ-13-T-42-0003

LIST OF CHANGES:
Reason for Modification : Supplemental Agreement for work within scope
Period Of Performance End Date changed from 2015-09-30 00:00:00 to 2017-09-30 00:00:00
New Vendor:
107928806
Information Systems Laboratories, Inc.
Total Amount for this Modification: \$166,896.00
New Total Amount for this Version: \$306,334.00
New Total Amount for this Award: \$593,334.00
Continued ...

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9 A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) William Arcieri 2015.09.22 16:45:06 -04'00' (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED 9/22/2015	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) MARK THOMPSON	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
---	-------------------------------	---	-------------------------------	------------------

CONTINUATION SHEET

REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
 NRC-HQ-12-C-42-0093/NRC-HQ-13-T-42-0003/M0007

PAGE OF
 2 19

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR
 INFORMATION SYSTEMS LABORATORIES INC

ITEM NO. (A)	SUPPLIES/SERVICES (B)	QUANTITY (C)	UNIT (D)	UNIT PRICE (E)	AMOUNT (F)
	<p>Obligated Amount for this Modification: \$165,000.00 New Total Obligated Amount for this Award: \$591,438.00 Incremental Funded Amount changed: from \$139,438.00 to \$304,438.00 Contracting Officer changed from LISA A KAUFFMAN to MARK THOMPSON</p> <p>CHANGES FOR LINE ITEM NUMBER: 9400 Total Amount changed from \$139,438.00 to \$306,334.00 Obligated Amount for this modification: \$165,000.00 Incremental Funded Amount changed from \$139,438.00 to \$304,438.00 End Date changed from 2015-09-30 00:00:00 to 2017-11-30 00:00:00</p> <p>CHANGES FOR DELIVERY LOCATION: NRCHQ Amount changed from \$139,438.00 to \$306,334.00</p> <p>NEW ACCOUNTING CODE ADDED: Account code: 2015-X0200-FEEBASED-25-25D004-17-4-107-2013-251A BBFY 2015 Delivery Location Code: NRCHQ US NRC - HQ DIVISION OF CONTRACTS</p>				

The purpose of this modification is to modify the task order as follows: 1) Increase the ceiling of the task order from \$426,438.00, by \$166,896.00 to \$593,334.00; 2) Increase the incremental funding of the task order from \$426,438.00 by \$165,000.00 to \$591,438.00; 3) extend the period of performance end-date from September 30, 2015 to November 30, 2017; 4) Modify the Statement of Work and associated Level of Effort Table; and 5) Update ISL's Indirect Cost rates on this contract to align them with the indirect rate schedule that DCAA approved for ISL in its letter dated February 28, 2014.

Accordingly, the following sections of task order replaced and modified as follows:

Section A

Price Schedule:

Ceiling:

From (Mod 06):
By:
To (Mod 07):

CLIN	DESCRIPTION OF SUPPLIES/SERVICES	ESTIMATED COST	ESTIMATED FEE	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST AND FIXED FEE
0001	Technical Expertise to assist NRC Staff in determining whether or not the Turkey Point COL application meets appropriate regulatory requirements.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	\$593,334.00

A.1 CONSIDERATION AND OBLIGATION – COST-PLUS-FIXED-FEE (AUG 2011)

- (a) The total estimated cost to the Government for full performance of this task order is \$593,334.00, of which the sum [REDACTED] represents the reimbursable costs, and of which [REDACTED] represents the fixed-fee.
- (b) The amount obligated by the Government with respect to this contract is [REDACTED] based on this amount, [REDACTED] represents the obligated money associated with reimbursable costs, and [REDACTED] represents the fixed fee (fixed-fee is fully-funded).
- (c) In accordance with FAR 52.216-8 – Fixed Fee, it is the policy of the NRC to withhold payment of fee after payment of [REDACTED] percent of the fee has been paid in order to protect the Government's interest. The amount of fixed-fee withheld from the contractor will not exceed [REDACTED] percent of the total fee or [REDACTED], whichever is less. Accordingly, the maximum amount of fixed-fee that may be held in reserve is [REDACTED]

A.2 TASK/DELIVERY ORDER PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE (AUG 2011)

This order shall commence on 03-01-2013 and will expire on 11-30-2017.

Note: THE FINAL DELIVERABLE IS DUE TO THE NRC NLT June 30, 2017

TASK ORDER STATEMENT OF WORK

NRC-HQ-12-C-42-0093

JCN / Cost Center Q4014 / 2013	Laboratory ISL	Task Order No. 03
Applicant Florida Power and Light	Design/Site AP1000/Turkey Point Units 6 & 7	Docket No. 52-040, 52-041
Title/Description Technical Support for Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 – Environmental Review		
TAC No. RX0658	B&R Number 25-17-4-107	SRP Section(s) or ESRP NUREG -1555
NRC Contracting Officer (CO)		
Mark Thompson	(301) 415-0689	Mark.Thompson@nrc.gov
NRC Contracting Officer Representative (COR)		
Alicia Williamson	(301) 415-1878	Alicia.Williamson@nrc.gov

1.0 BACKGROUND

Combined Operating License (COL) Applications are submitted pursuant to Part 52 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR 52), "Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants." The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) reviews COL Applications based on information furnished by electric utility companies pursuant to 10 CFR 52.79, "Contents of Applications; Technical Information in Final Safety Analysis Report."

A Standard Review Plan (SRP) (NUREG-0800) is prepared for the guidance of staff reviewers in the Office of New Reactors in performing safety reviews of applications to construct or operate nuclear power plants and the review of applications to approve standard designs and sites for nuclear power plants. The principal purpose of the SRP is to assure the quality and uniformity of staff safety reviews.

The NRC staff has also prepared NUREG-1555, "Standard Review Plans for Environmental Reviews for Nuclear Power Plants," to provide guidance to the staff performing environmental reviews of applications relating to nuclear power plants. The Environmental Standard Review Plan (ESRP) is a companion to regulatory guides that address siting and environmental issues. As with NUREG-0800, the purpose of the ESRP is to assure the quality and uniformity of

environmental reviews. The staff publishes the results of these reviews in an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

2.0 OBJECTIVE

The objective of this task order is to obtain technical expertise from the contractor to assist the NRC staff in determining whether or not the Turkey Point COL application meets appropriate regulatory requirements. Specifically, technical assistance is required for expert technical assistance services to assist the NRC in the review of environmental protection requirements associated with the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application. In addition, to assist NRC in developing the environmental regulatory documents to provide the bases for the licensing decision and support for the adjudicatory hearing on whether to grant a COL.

As part of Task Order 75 under NRC-42-07-036, ISL provided technical assistance related to the environmental review of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application. Under Task Order 75 the following tasks were completed: one site audit and one alternative sites audit; two initial scoping meetings were held near the site in Homestead, FL; and one scoping summary report was issued; three rounds of requests for additional information (RAI) were submitted to FPL; a preliminary draft environmental impact statement (pEIS) was developed and submitted to NRC for review; and a draft pEIS writing session was held at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in Richland, WA. In addition, the Turkey Point COL review is subject to a contested hearing. The Atomic Safety Licensing Board (ALSB) initially admitted three contentions in February 2011. Two of those contentions were dismissed (January 2012), but one contention remains.

Under this task order, ISL will continue to provide NRC with technical assistance with the environmental review of the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 COL application to complete the following: (1) issuance and publishing of draft EIS; (2) support at NRC's public meeting for the draft EIS and responding to public comments; (3) preparing the final EIS; (4) support NRC's contested and mandatory hearing process; and (5) support post final EIS effort. ISL will continue to participate on a multidisciplinary team coordinated and managed by another NRC contractor; where this contractor with lead responsibility has the administrative and managerial role for document development and record controls. All established protocols, objectives, and milestones should continue to be followed. As indicated in Task Order 75, the duration of the project will require funding over several fiscal years and technical progress and project schedule may be affected by various circumstances (i.e. stakeholders, project risks, and issues), which may result in unanticipated schedule modifications.

NOTE: This contract was previously modified to reduce the scope of the resource areas of non-radiological health and non-radiological waste due to the subject matter expert no longer being an employee at ISL. No other changes (i.e. schedule, process, or reduction in resources) were made. Particularly, no reduction in resources/funding were made due to limited funding provided to the project and the complexity of other resources areas covered by the contract.

3.0 WORK REQUIREMENTS, SCHEDULE AND DELIVERABLES

Upon the acceptance of this task order, the contractor will continue with the designated contract Project Manager (PM) who will continue to direct the principal investigators (subject matter experts [SMEs]) efforts for delivering consistent, high-quality products and services that satisfy client requirements meeting schedules and budget commitments. In addition, the established Environmental Project Plan prepared by the lead contractor will continued to be followed to integrate management, oversight, commitment tracking, quality and records control, schedule control, identification of technical and support staff, project meetings, contractor staff orientation, interactions with other NRC contractors involved in guidance development activities under other agreements, and travel.

3.1 COR Designation:

For this task order, Ms. Alicia William serves both as NRC Contracting Officer's Representative (COR), and the Environmental Project Manager (EPM). The terms "NRC COR" and "EPM" may be used interchangeably for this task order.

For this task order, Ms. Tomeka Terry is the designated Alternate COR.

Below is a description of the specific tasks that the contractor will continue to support.

Table 1. Subtasks, Schedule, and Deliverables

Work Breakdown Structure	Subtasks(and Phase) Standards	Scheduled Completion	Deliverables
2.0 Project Management	1. The PM will oversee the review effort and coordinate with COR (Technical) to ensure common understanding of work scope, timing of activities, and review progression. The PM will facilitate accurate reporting, including preparing a project management performance report, to provide the COR (Technical) with the necessary information for determining level of effort expended and the associated progress. Frequent and effective communication of any NRC direction, scope clarifications, schedule modifications; etc. to the team is expected. When necessary, the PM will participate in program level orientations, training and meetings which are held to disseminate timely information concerning programmatic information or decisions, and if work activities are adjusted along with any necessary schedule adjustments and appropriate parties are informed.	Throughout project	Project Management Performance Reports as defined in Attachment 2 of this statement of work (SOW) (Supplement to Monthly Letter Status Report)

Work Breakdown Structure	Subtasks(and Phase) Standards	Scheduled Completion	Deliverables
<p>5.0 Develop DEIS</p>	<p>Requirement: Prepare RAIs in accordance with Attachment 1 of the base contract and review applicant responses, on an as needed basis. Submit finalized sections of draft environmental analysis in EIS format consistent with the ESRP to the lead contractor, if appropriate for contractor assigned technical area,</p> <p>Participate in team discussions on multidisciplinary technical consistency and conformance with regulatory guidance, and incorporate NRC-agreed upon technical resolutions.</p> <p>Standard: Participation of individuals (and means for participation) designated by NRC EPM and Technical Evaluation Report (TER) inputs provided in a timely manner to support the draft EIS. Documentation for inputs to be consistent with NRC-provided guidance and, where appropriate, templates; independent technical evaluations are expected to document rationale for reliance on applicant and alternate sources.</p>	<p>Approx. 20 days after all outstanding information has been received.</p>	<p>RAIs and Input to review of RAI responses, if required.</p> <p>Complete sections/ technical input to DEIS.</p> <p>Participate in team meetings as required.</p>

Work Breakdown Structure	Subtasks(and Phase) Standards	Scheduled Completion	Deliverables
5.1.2 DEIS Public Meeting	<p>2. Requirement: Subsequent to NRC issuance of DEIS, if appropriate, SME's will assist NRC at a public meeting to present the team findings and respond to questions during the presentation.</p> <p>Standard: Participation of individuals designated by NRC EPM and acknowledgement that participation is necessary, if relevant technical areas apply.</p>	Approximately 30 days after DEIS is published.	<p>Email confirmation that assigned personnel, if needed can participate in public meeting.</p> <p>Attend Public Meeting.</p>
5.1.3.2 Bin DEIS Comments	<p>3. Requirement: Participate in discussions regarding the disposition of comments received at the public meeting and during the public comment period.</p> <p>Standard: Participation of individuals designated by NRC EPM and acknowledgement that participation is necessary, if relevant technical areas apply.</p>	Approximately 20 days after closure of the comment period on DEIS.	Email confirmation that assigned personnel have reviewed comments and understand disposition and can participate in teleconferences.

Work Breakdown Structure	Subtasks(and Phase) Standards	Scheduled Completion	Deliverables
<p>5.1.3.3 Draft Responses to DEIS Comments</p>	<p>5. Requirement: Prepare initial responses to DEIS comments and interact with the NRC Technical Review team to resolve any discrepancies. Provide responses to lead contractor.</p> <p>Standard: Participation of individuals designated by NRC EPM and acknowledgement that participation is necessary, if relevant technical areas apply. Input is consistent with NRC-provided guidance, and where appropriate templates.</p>	<p>Approximately 45 days after closure of the comment period on DEIS.</p>	<p>Draft Input to DEIS comments.</p>

Work Breakdown Structure	Subtasks(and Phase) Standards	Scheduled Completion	Deliverables
5.2 Draft input to FEIS	<p>6. Requirement: Complete technical review of the changes resulting from public and stakeholder comments, (b) provide working draft of environmental analysis in EIS format consistent with the ESRP to the lead contractor, and (c)incorporate NRC-agreed upon resolutions.</p> <p>Standard: Participation of individuals (and means for participation) designated by NRC EPM and TER inputs provided in a timely manner to support preparation of working draft in advance of technical discussions. Provide NRC EPM and acknowledgment that participation is necessary, if relevant technical areas apply. Documentation for inputs to be consistent with NRC-provided guidance; any supplemental evaluations are expected to document rationale for reliance on applicant and alternate sources.</p>	Approximately 60 days after closure of the comment period on DEIS.	Complete sections/ technical input to FEIS.

Work Breakdown Structure	Subtasks(and Phase) Standards	Scheduled Completion	Deliverables
5.2.2 FEIS Writing Session	<p>7. Requirement: If necessary, participate in team discussions (either at the lead contractor's location or by other means) on technical consistency and conformance with regulatory guidance.</p> <p>Standard: Participation of individuals (and means for participation) designated by NRC EPM and TER inputs provided in a timely manner to support preparation of working draft in advance of technical discussions. Provide NRC EPM an acknowledgment that participation is necessary, if relevant technical areas apply.</p>	Approximately 6 months after publication of DEIS.	<p>Email confirmation that assigned personnel, if needed can participate in FEIS writing session.</p> <p>Participate in Writing Session.</p>

Work Breakdown Structure	Subtasks(and Phase) Standards	Scheduled Completion	Deliverables
<p>6.0 Hearing Process</p> <p>6.1 Hearing Support: Pre-FEIS</p> <p>6.2 Hearing Support: Post-FEIS</p>	<p>8. Requirement: Support EPM and Safety PM in preparing for and participating in (mandatory and, if applicable, contentious) hearing (including pre-hearing conferences, preparing testimony, attendance as witness, and contributing to the hearing files).</p> <p>Standard: Participation of individuals (and means for participation) designated by NRC EPM and inputs provided in a timely manner to support hearing activities in advance of legal discussions. Provide NRC EPM acknowledgment that participation is necessary, if relevant technical areas apply.</p> <p>Documentation for inputs (e.g., testimony) to be closely coordinated with legal staff consistent with information provided in EIS and filings in response to contentions.</p>	<p>Throughout project. Hearing schedule TBD.</p> <p>60-180 days following issuance of the final EIS.</p>	<p>Testimony Input if required.</p> <p>Attendance at Hearing Proceedings if required.</p> <p>Hearing file records provided on a continuing basis throughout the review.</p>
<p>7.0 Post FEIS Effort</p>	<p>9. The contractor team will support the EPM in post-final EIS activities associate with the identification and analysis of any new and potentially significant information.</p>	<p>Initiated by EPM if/when needed</p>	<p>No formal deliverables.</p>
<p><u>ALL DELIVERABLES AND THE FINAL DELIVERABLE IS DUE TO THE NRC NLT June 30, 2017</u></p>			

Note: These work schedules are subject to change by the COR (Technical) to support the fact of life project schedule changes resulting from technical process related project risks and issues caused by the review of the applicant's environmental project. However, the level of effort, deliverables, and contract costs shall be in accordance with the original contract criteria, except under those conditions where the scope of review needs to be modified due to review complexities.

The COR (Technical) will issue technical instruction throughout the duration of this task order. Technical instructions must be within the general SOW delineated in the task order and shall not

constitute new assignments of work or changes of such a nature as to justify an adjustment in cost or period of performance. Travel within the number of person-trips and person-days authorized under this work scope can be directed by the COR (Technical)/EPM. The contractor shall refer to Section G.1 of the base contract for further information and guidance on any technical directions issued under this task order.

Any modifications to the scope of work, cost, or period of performance of this task order must be issued by the CO and will be coordinated with the COR.

4.0 TECHNICAL AND OTHER SPECIAL QUALIFICATIONS REQUIRED

As specified in the base contract, the contractor shall provide individuals who have the required educational background and work experience to meet the objectives of the work specified in this task order.

Technical areas of the EIS that may require a SME include the following:

- Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice
- Land Use
- Fuel Cycle
- Health Physics & Radiation Protection
- Non-Radiation Health & Waste
- Cultural and Historic Resources
- Accident Analysis

The contractor shall provide a contractor PM to oversee the effort and ensure the timely submittal of quality deliverables so that all information is accurate and complete as defined in the base contract.

The NRC will rely on representations made by the contractor concerning the qualifications of the personnel assigned to this task order, including assurance that all information contained in the technical and cost proposals, including resumes, is accurate and truthful. The resume for each professional proposed to work under this task order (contractor, subcontractor, or consultant) shall describe the individual's experience in applying his or her area of engineering specialization to work in the proposed area. The use of particular personnel on this contract is subject to the NRC COR's approval. This includes any proposed changes to key personnel during the life of the task order.

5.0 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Task Order Progress Reporting Requirements

Please refer to Section F of the basis contract award document; Task Order No. 1 for Technical Assistance with Project Reporting; and Attachment 2 of this Task Order, for requirements

(Monthly Letter Status Report, Electronic Spending Plan, and Project Management Performance Report) related to reporting technical and financial status of this project.

Technical Reporting Requirements

Unless otherwise specified above, the contractor shall provide all deliverables as draft products. The COR (Technical) will review all draft deliverables (and coordinate any internal NRC staff review, if needed) and provide comments back to the contractor. The contractor shall revise the draft deliverable based on the comments provided by the COR (Technical), and then deliver the final version of the deliverable. When mutually agreed upon between the contractor and the COR (Technical), the contractor may submit preliminary or partial drafts to help gauge the contractor's understanding of the particular work requirement.

The contractor shall provide deliverables in hard copy (upon request) and electronic formats. The electronic format shall be provided in MS Word or other word processing software approved by the COR (Technical). For each deliverable, the contractor shall provide one hard copy (upon request) and electronic copy the CORs. The schedule for deliverables shall be contained in the approved project plan for the task order effort.

In all correspondence, include identifying information: Agreement No.: NRC-HQ-12-C-42-0093; JCN No.: Q4014; Technical Assignment Control No. RX0658; Task Order No.3; the applicant: FPL/Florida Power and Light; and, the site: Turkey Point Units 6 & 7.

At the completion of subtasks 2 and 5-6, provide technical inputs to the lead contractor, with copies to the NRC, that will be consolidated as TER in the form and content of a product that can be used by the NRC as input to the EIS, in the form and content of RAIs, or in the form and content of trip reports.

Also, communications with the NRC and among contractor staff may be subject to hearing file requirements under 10 CFR Part 2. In this circumstance, the COR (Technical) will identify the type of records that must be provided to the NRC for inclusion in the hearing file.

6.0 MEETINGS AND TRAVEL

The following travel assumptions should be considered in planning the work effort. It is likely that a smaller group than the entire review team will be necessary to accomplish some activities; the actual travel contingent will be determined by the COR (Technical). Travel in excess of the total number of person-trips must be approved by the CO; travel within the work scope limits will be approved by the COR (Technical).

- One, Five-person, 4-day working trip to near the applicant's site for the draft EIS public meeting. (Task 3)

- **Two, Two-person, 4-day working trip near the site to support finalization of the Final EIS (Task 6).**
- One Five-person, 5-day working trip to Pacific Northwest National Laboratory for the Final EIS writing session. (Task 7)
- One, Two-person, 4-day trips to near the site for contested hearing.* (Task 8)
- One, Two- person, 4 day trips to NRC headquarters to participate in mandatory hearings.* (Task 8)

Periodically, over the course of this contact, the contractor will interact (e.g., via e-mail or telephone) with the EPM to discuss (a) project progress, (b) questions, (c) NRC comments, and (d) the conduct and content of subtasks associated with this contract. In unique circumstances, if the EPM is not fulfilling the role of the COR (Technical), then the results of any schedule and resource implications will be discussed with the COR (Administrative) as well. It is anticipated that most of the communication between the NRC and the contractor will be handled in this manner.

For planning purposes, it is assumed that progress meetings will be quarterly during any active phase of this project, at the discretion of NRC. Each of these meetings between the contractor PM and the COR (Technical) is expected to last 1 to 2 days. The contractor should plan to make available key personnel assigned to the project during the course of these meetings. Most meetings will generally occur at the contractor's location and will be scheduled between the COR (Technical) and the contractor PM. Periodically, a program review meeting, which involves NRC and contractor management, will be held at the contractor's location to review overall program objectives and project performance; program reviews are typically held biennially.

*At the discretion of the NRC COR (Technical), meetings may be conducted at the contractor or via telephone or video conference.

7.0 NRC FURNISHED MATERIAL

The COR (Technical) will provide those NRC documents related to the applicable portions of the application (for example, the Environmental Report) that are readily available. The COR (Technical) will provide access to pertinent sections of the COL, DC, or other NRC documents and docketed correspondence on related issues.

The contractor staff will identify any additional NRC documentation that is needed and the COR (Technical) will determine whether it will be provided by the NRC or obtained directly by the contractor from NUDOCS, ADAMS, NRC public document room or the NRC website at www.nrc.gov. In addition, the NRC will continue to ensure access to the environmental SharePoint site EARRTH, Environmental Assessment Reactor Review Team Home that will be used during the review.

8.0 LEVEL OF EFFORT

The estimated level of effort in professional staff hours apportioned among the tasks and project phases and by labor category is as follows:

Work Breakdown Structure	Task(s)	Labor Category	Level of Effort FY 2013-2015 (hours)	Level of Effort FY 2016 (hours)	Level of Effort FY 2017 (hours)
2.0 Project Management	Project Management Tasks 1	Project Manager	100	80 + 100 = 180	20 + 20 = 40
		Admin Support	50	40 + 50 = 90	10 + 10 = 20
5.0 Draft EIS	Finalize DEIS, Public Meeting, and Comment Response Tasks 2-5	SMEs	640	0	0
5.0 Final EIS	Develop Final EIS Tasks 6-7	SMEs	150	400 + 600 = 1000	0
6.0 Hearing Process 6.2 Hearing Support: Post-FEIS	Mandatory Hearing Support Task 8	SMEs	0	100	100 + 200 = 300
7.0 Post-FEIS Efforts	Post-FEIS Effort Task 9	SMEs	0	25	25 + 50 = 75
	Total		940	645 + 750 = 1395	155 + 280 = 435
	Total Previous Task Order LOE			1740	
	Total Added LOE			1030	
	Total Task Order LOE			2770	

9.0 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

See clause A.2 – Task/Delivery Order Period of Performance (Aug 2011) .

10.0 OTHER APPLICABLE INFORMATION

License Fee Recovery

All work under this task order is fee recoverable and must be charged to the appropriate TAC number.

Expected Classification or Sensitivity

All work under this project is expected to be unclassified and not sensitive.

Assumptions and Understandings:

- The level of effort for all tasks is based on the assumption that the contractor is familiar with the review procedures of the ESRP (NUREG-1555), NRC and NEPA regulations and associated guidance documents.
- It is assumed that the contractor has access to the NRC furnished material available on the Internet.
- It is understood that the scope of the review consists of conference calls with the NRC staff, and with the NRC staff and the applicant, to discuss open items in an attempt to obtain additional information or reach resolution.
- The level of effort assumes that the total number of comments on the draft EIS across all technical areas will be of the order of 2000 comments and that about 10 percent will require a refinement of earlier analyses.
- The level of effort assumes that the contractor will operate collegially with the lead contractor and any other contractors assigned to the project; notably, those contractors other than the lead contractor are expected to meet timeliness and quality input objectives so that the lead contractor can compile all inputs to meet NRC expectations.
- Unless specifically requested by the contractor, it is assumed that it has access to NRC furnished material on the Internet and at the NRC SharePoint site EARRTH.
- It is understood that the scope of the review consists of NRC team activities led by another contractor with oversight provided by NRC technical staff and monitored/directed by the NRC COR/EPM.
- The key deliverables, or outputs of this regulatory review, shall be the inputs to TERs that will provide the inputs for the NRC draft and final EISs. The EIS will document the NRC's technical, environmental, and legal basis for approving the COL application. The TER inputs must provide sufficient information to adequately explain the NRC staff's

IDIQ No.: NRC-HQ-12-C-42-0093
TO No.: NRC-HQ-12-T-42-0003
SOW Version 2 (Mod 07)

rationale for its assessment of the reasonably foreseeable impacts on the human environment of constructing, operating, and decommissioning the proposed project and its consideration of certain alternatives. The TER, and ultimately the EIS, should be written in plain language whereby an interested person without a technical background could understand the staff's assessment and rationale for its conclusions and recommendations.

All other terms and conditions of this task order remain unchanged.