

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 19, 2015 9:43 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30  
**Attachments:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0524.pdf

### **DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

**FRN#:** 80FR35870

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0057

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 11/17/15

**TITLE:** Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**COMMENT#:** 525

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1322

**Mail Envelope Properties** (d07e47d381024b9da17ec2637e49e87f)

**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30  
**Sent Date:** 11/19/2015 9:42:55 AM  
**Received Date:** 11/19/2015 9:43:01 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	298	11/19/2015 9:43:01 AM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0524.pdf		66402

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 11/17/15 11:02 AM
<b>Received:</b> November 17, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8max-jqtj
<b>Comments Due:</b> November 19, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0524

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Nick Thabit

---

## General Comment

Even those petitioning for the rules change admit that there is no definitive evidence that the "hormesis effect" is real. Subjecting 300,000,000 human beings to exponentially increased radiation on the basis of speculation by three people is not within the province of the mission of the NRC as I understand it. My understanding is that your mission is to protect the public.

According to Mark Miller's suggestions of reasonable dose limits, Fukushima would not have to have been evacuated. He says that 1,000 mSv/year is safe. Would you really gamble with our health based on such specious assertions?

Please review your ultimate obligation before you make your decision.

Thank you,

Nick Thabit