

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Monday, November 16, 2015 5:24 PM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30  
**Attachments:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0494.pdf

### **DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

**FRN#:** 80FR35870

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0057

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 11/12/15

**TITLE:** Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**COMMENT#:** 489

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1286

**Mail Envelope Properties** (5c54f23c3d2b47808d7bfefa2d594186)

**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30  
**Sent Date:** 11/16/2015 5:23:52 PM  
**Received Date:** 11/16/2015 5:23:53 PM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	298	11/16/2015 5:23:53 PM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0494.pdf		66959

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 11/13/15 4:53 PM
<b>Received:</b> November 11, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8m7c-qjpt
<b>Comments Due:</b> November 19, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0494

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Laura MacKay

---

## General Comment

Please reject any proposal to reduce protection from radiation.

Dr. Ian Fairlie, an official in the British government who has worked on radiation risks and consulted on radiation matters to the European Parliament and other government entities, is just one expert who debunks the hormesis theory and its suggestion that "a little radiation is good for you."

"The scientific evidence for the LNT is plentiful, powerful and persuasive," he says, having examined many US and European studies done. Concerning the recent petitions to the NRC, he says, "my conclusion is that they do not merit serious consideration." They "appear to be based on preconceptions or even ideology, rather than the scientific evidence which points in the opposite direction."